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ABSTRACT

*Chlamydia trachomatis* infections are the most common bacterial sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in humans, worldwide. Due to asymptomatic nature of *C. trachomatis*, the need for sensitive, reliable and affordable laboratory methods for diagnosis is critical. The aim of this study was to ascertain if the genetic profiles of different *C. trachomatis* isolates associate with antibiotic resistance.

Two hundred and sixty-five Eswab™ clinical samples were screened for *Ct* using Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection. We have applied High Resolution Melting Analysis (HRMA) for the genotyping of the Ct and applied it specifically to the 14 sexually transmitted infection-related genotypes: A-C, D-K and L1-L3. Based on the genotype of the OMP1 (Outer Membrane Protein) gene *C. trachomatis* is grouped into different serovars, which present in different clinical manifestations; with type A, B, Ba, and C causing trachoma, D-K cause urogenital infections and LI, LII & LIII associated with lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV). We confirmed the presence of the OMP1 gene with the conventional PCR. HRMA was performed to identify the *C. trachomatis* serovars on a Quantstudio 5 real-time PCR instrument and CDC control strains were included in the analysis. HRM analysis was done on the High-Resolution Melt Softwarev3.1. We identified the following serovars A, B, C, D, E, F, G, I, J, L3 and our prevalence for the above serovars were as follows 3.2%, 6.4%, 3.2%, 9.7%, 16.1%, 29%, 9.7%, 12.9%, 3.2% and 6.4%, respectively. None of the serovars: H, K, L1, L2 were observed.

A TaqMan real time PCR assay was also performed to measure the bacterial concentration of each *C. trachomatis* positive sample to elucidate if there is any association with the serovar type. D-K serovars had higher bacterial load compared to A-C and L3 serovars, (p =0.0045). We also performed sanger sequencing on ribosomal proteins (L4 and L22) to determine the presence of mutations that have been previously associated with drug resistance. The ribosomal protein L4 had mutations located in 7 different positions, significant mutations associated with macrolides resistance were observed at amino acid number 109 and 151. Ribosomal protein L22 had 21 samples with mutation at amino acid number 24, that has not been associated with resistance before. Based on our study and previous studies, it is clear that macrolide resistance in *C. trachomatis* is multifactorial besides changes in the amino acids.
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1. INTRODUCTION

*Chlamydia trachomatis* (*C. trachomatis*) infections are the most common bacterial sexually transmitted infections (STIs) in humans, worldwide [1], with annually occurring new infections estimated to be 105.7 million [2]. In Africa, it is estimated that 92.6 million new cases of the curable STIs which include *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Treponema pallidum*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis*, occur annually[3]. Bacterial vaginosis (*BV*) is also among the infections that increase the risk of acquiring STIs although it is not considered an STI [4] [5]. Bautista et al reported that BV an important risk factor to successive sexually transmitted infections including *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, especially in high risk women[5] [6]. In one study, the STI prevalence has been reported to be 13% with the incidence of 20/100 women-years [7]. Similar STI incidence has been reported by other studies done in South Africa [7] [8]. According to World Health Organization, 101 million chlamydial infections are reported annually and in 2012 the prevalence was shown to be 4.2% among women, whilst 2.7% among men, globally [9]. STIs as a major health problem are also associated with increased acquisition and transmission of HIV infection, as people who are infected with STIs are vulnerable to HIV [10]. Up to 80% women and 50% men are asymptomatic, making it difficult to diagnose and treat thus enhancing transmission of STIs among their partners [9]. The chlamydial infection rates are higher among young women compared to men, necessitating screening programs that primarily target women [11]. Another study conducted in South Africa, looking at different communities, they reported regional differences with 42% prevalence of *C. trachomatis* in Cape Town while Soweto showed 18% [12]. Each year, about 65 million Chlamydia infections are estimated to occur amongst women [13]. When *C. trachomatis* remains untreated, it results in serious sequelae [2] that include pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) leading to ectopic pregnancy, tubal infertility, and chronic pelvic pain [9]. Approximately 10-20% *C. trachomatis* untreated women develop PID and 10-15% clinical PID cases result in tubal factor infertility [13].


**Background**

*C. trachomatis* is the species that belongs to the Chlamydiaceae family, which is pathogenic to human or/and animals. It is a gram negative, obligate intracellular pathogen [14]. The Chlamydiaceae have significantly condensed genomes (1.04 Mb encoding 895 open reading frames for *C. trachomatis*) which lack metabolic enzymes, making them greatly reliable on the host for their metabolic processes [15].

*C. trachomatis* is comprised of different serovars, which present in three distinct clinical syndromes; serovar A, B, Ba, and C causing trachoma, D-K serovars cause urogenital infections and LI, LII & LIII are associated with lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) [16]. The trachoma biovar is known to be the leading cause of non-congenital blindness in the developing nations, with approximately 21 million patients globally [17], while the genital tract biovar is the most prevalent amongst the three [15]. Serovars D, E and F are the most prevalent genotypes in the urogenital chlamydial infections [18]. The LGV biovar causes either invasive urogenital or anorectal infections [15], and it can spread all over the body leading to arthritis or inflammation of the lungs when left untreated [19].

*C. trachomatis* has also been associated with acquisition and transmission of HIV infection, as people who are infected with STIs are vulnerable to HIV [10, 20]. The risk of a *C. trachomatis*-infected subject getting HIV is higher compared to the risk of a *C. trachomatis*-negative subject [21]. It has also been proposed as an independent risk factor for development of cancer of the cervix [22]. Common risk factors associated with *C. trachomatis* are adults between the age of 14-25, recent change in sexual partner or coinfection with another STI and chlamydia positive sexual partner [23] [24].

Non-barrier contraceptive or lack of consistence in using barrier contraceptive is also the risk factor of *C. trachomatis* [25]. Clinical manifestation of chlamydial infections includes pain during sexual intercourse commonly known as deep dyspareunia, abnormal vaginal discharge, lower abdominal pain, dysuria (difficult during urination) and intermenstrual or postcoital bleeding [26] [25]. Even though the incubation period of *C. trachomatis* infection is poorly described, it is estimated to be 7-21 days after which a person may start showing symptoms [25].
Syndromic management of *C. trachomatis*

The syndromic approach includes empiric treatment of signs and symptoms of a group of causative pathogens instead of treating the individual disease pathogen [27]. Vaginal discharge, lower abdominal pain and genital ulceration are amongst the main syndromic diagnoses and are treated with combination therapy to treat the likely underlying infections [28]. The syndromic approach used in most developing countries; where there are limited resources and lack of suitable laboratory services; is a key tool in the control of STIs and their sequelae [27] [29] [30]. Nevertheless, it is not adequate since significant pathogens such as *C. trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* can exist without presenting with any symptoms, and therefore often go untreated [31]. This syndromic management approach often leads to over diagnosis and over treating thus wasting antibiotics [28] [31] [32]. It relies on the known organisms that cause a cluster of signs or symptoms characterizing a particular clinical condition; this means individuals presenting with these well recognized signs and symptoms, get treated for all the known associated pathogens [28]. Whilst dual infections are not uncommon, assuming all symptomatic individuals are infected by more than one pathogen results in gross overtreatment, especially in the light of antimicrobial stewardship [33].

Syndromic management of STIs, by definition, also results in significant under treatment as the asymptomatic individuals, who are the majority, are not treated [28]. Due to the asymptomatic nature of most of the STIs, both syndromic management and laboratory testing for specific organisms are critical and complementary, so that the appropriate treatment can be administered [34] [35]. It is necessary for pregnant women to undergo screening for STIs including *C. trachomatis* since the infection may be transmitted from mother to the child [36]. Screening for *C. trachomatis* and other STIs should be recommended for high risk populations so that the asymptomatic patients are identified and treated as they are the reservoirs of these infections. Another short coming about syndromic management is that it is not easy to find and treat sexual partners appropriately, hence the need for expedited partner therapy (EPT) already implemented in other countries such as the USA [37]. Our country should consider moving from syndromic management to diagnostic management of STIs. Therefore, the importance of developing or improving the diagnostic method for *C. trachomatis* and other major STIs should be a priority [37].
Immunization against *C. trachomatis*

It has been difficult to successfully develop and approve *C. trachomatis* vaccines in the past years [38]. In the past, after isolation of the causative agent of trachoma vaccine studies were initiated [39]. Children were vaccinated with formalin-fixed chlamydial elementary bodies (EBs), which provided short-lived and partial serovar specific immunity. Efforts put on the studies of chlamydial vaccine over the past three decades led to discovery of numerous protective antigens [40]. Development of vaccine for genital chlamydial infection has been in the preclinical phase of testing for a while, but the first Phase I trials of chlamydial vaccine candidates are underway, and scientific developments promise for additional candidates to enter clinical evaluation in the coming years [13]. The existence of serologically distinct strains or serovars of *C. trachomatis* mandates a vaccine that will provide protection against multiple serovars [41].

Scientists at McMaster University have conducted a study that looks at a protective vaccine against the most common species of chlamydia commonly known as *C. trachomatis* [41]. The findings from their study suggested that highly conserved proteins of the chlamydial type III secretion system (T3SS) may signify good candidates for a Chlamydia vaccine. Presently, no certified vaccine exists for *C. trachomatis*, but indication from animal models and human studies give suggestions that a vaccine is feasible [13]. Hafner et al reported development of protective vaccine against *C. trachomatis* and other genital tract infections is promiscuous and they believe there is a genetic toolbox for manipulating this obligate intracellular and infective agent [42]. Finding effective immunization for *C. trachomatis* infection is therefore well underway and with successful studies, approved vaccines may become available.

Diagnosis of *C. trachomatis*

Whilst *C. trachomatis* can be cultured, the culture method requires trained personnel, high maintenance and is labour intensive for routine purposes. Hence, the use of molecular methods is of high priority as they do not require live culture but the genetic content of the organism [43]. Possible methods to use for screening include GeneXpert® CT/NG assay. This is one of the methods that was used in the recent study by Garrett et al where they screened women visiting the STI clinic, Durban, South Africa [37]. This assay screens for both *C. trachomatis* and *N. gonorrhea* and the results found were compared with the ones found through screening with the Anyplex™
II STI-7 Detection, which detects 7 different STIs (C. trachomatis, N. gonorrhoeae, M. genitalium, U. urealyticum U parvum, M. hominis and T. vaginalis) [44] [45]. The results found correlated well. Other studies used serological diagnostic assays, which they found not to be reliable as they lack specificity and sensitivity, and cross-reactivity of antibodies with other chlamydia species is observed [46]. Another study done by Brabin et al they used a laboratory method known as Roche COBAS TaqMan CT test to screen for C. trachomatis positive samples in which they confirmed positive patients using Artus C. trachomatis plus PCR kit [47]. All the above-mentioned methods are molecular based indicating that the Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) approach for diagnosis of C. trachomatis is the current diagnostic as demonstrated by their improved specificity and sensitivity compared to cell culture [48]. Therefore, a need for molecular based diagnostic methods should be considered in clinics and healthcare facilities not only for C. trachomatis but also the other major STIs causative agents.

**Treatment and Management**

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)- 2015 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Treatment Guidelines - recommended treatment regimens are azithromycin 1 g orally in a single dose OR doxycycline 100 mg orally twice daily for 7 days [25]. Alternatively, erythromycin and fluoroquinolones can be given to individuals who cannot tolerate the preferred regime, and amoxicillin is recommended for pregnant women as per South African Health guidelines, 2018 [49]. LGV is also considered in the regime, but, with extended treatment plan [50] [51].

As much as C. trachomatis infection is cured with antibiotics, people on treatment should take precautions such as not engaging to sexual activities during treatment phase, thus preventing the spread to sexual partner(s) and sharing of medication is strictly prohibited since the person infected should finish the whole regime to ensure cure [52] [53]. Treatment of the sexual partner is also important in the course of treating the infected person to avoid things such as re-infections or persistence of the C. trachomatis infection [54] [55]. Avoiding treatment or not following orders in taking medication may end up resulting in the serious sequelae such as ectopic pregnancy and pelvic inflammatory disease in women [56].
Class and Mode of action of treatment Antibiotics

**Azithromycin** belongs to azalides class of macrolides antibiotics, containing nitrogen in the macrolide ring [57]. It is one of the antibiotics used in bacterial infections such as sexually transmitted infections including chlamydia and gonorrhea infections. Typically, these infections are susceptible to azithromycin, but the drug is broadly used as combination therapy due to a low barrier to development of resistance[58]. Azithromycin has relatively broad but shallow antibacterial activity[59]. It inhibits some Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, and many uncommon bacteria. Azithromycin prevents bacterial from growing by distracting protein synthesis. It binds to the 50S subunit of the bacterial ribosome and therefore inhibits translation of mRNA[57].

**Erythromycin** as previously mentioned is an alternative for treatment of chlamydia infections in patients who cannot tolerate the preferred regime. It belongs to group of drugs known as macrolide antibiotics and also a pregnancy category B drug, meaning it is generally safe to use by pregnant women[25]. Erythromycin has less effective mechanism compared to azithromycin [25]. Erythromycin inhibits growth of bacteria, by binding to the 50s subunit of the bacterial rRNA complex, resulting in inhibition of protein synthesis and subsequent structure and function processes critical for life [60]. It interferes with aminoacyl translocation, preventing the transfer of the tRNA bound at the A site of the rRNA complex to the P site of the rRNA complex. Without this translocation, the A site remains occupied, thus the addition of an incoming tRNA and its attached amino acid to the emerging polypeptide chain is inhibited.

**Doxycycline** is an antibiotic that is used in the treatment of infections caused by bacteria such as chlamydial infections and presumptive Treatment of Chlamydial Infection in Gonorrhea Patients. It belongs to tetracycline group of drugs [61]. Doxycycline is not recommended in the second and third trimesters of pregnancy as it may cause serious consequence, therefore alternative drugs are prescribed. It inhibits the synthesis of bacterial proteins by binding to the 30S ribosomal subunit, which is only found in bacteria [62]. This prevents the binding of transfer RNA to messenger RNA at the ribosomal subunit therefore amino acids cannot be added to polypeptide chains and new proteins cannot be synthesized. This inhibits bacterial growth allowing the immune system time to kill and remove the bacteria [62].
**Amoxicillin** is in the beta-lactam family of antibiotics and is used to treat a variety of bacterial infections including chlamydial infection. It is no longer recommended for some infection due to development of resistance [63]. This medication is a penicillin-type antibiotic. It is a recommended antibiotic for pregnant women as per South African Health guidelines [63]. It stops the growth of bacteria by inhibits cross-linkage between the linear peptidoglycan polymer chains that make up a major component of the bacterial cell wall [64].

**Ofloxacin and levoflacin** are antibiotics belong to fluoroquinolone family of medications, a large group of broad-spectrum bactericides that share a bicyclic core structure related to the compound 4-quinolone [65]. These are alternative drugs other than effective azithromycin recommended by CDC for treatment of *C. trachomatis* [25]. works by interfering with the bacterium's DNA. Increasing emergence of fluoroquinolone resistance in bacteria resulted in the limits usefulness of the drugs for infections [66]. Quinolones apply their antibacterial effect by preventing bacterial DNA from unwinding and duplicating [67]. Precisely, they obstruct the ligase activity of the type II topoisomerases, gyrase and topoisomerase IV which cut DNA in order to introduce supercoiling and with their ligase activity disrupted release DNA with single and double strand breaks which lead to the bacterial cell death [67].

**General Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance**

The major public health threat affecting humans around the world is the emergence of resistance among the most important bacterial pathogens [68]. Multidrug-resistant organisms have emerged not only in the hospital environment but often identified in community settings too, signifying that pools of antibiotic-resistant bacteria are present outside the hospitals [69]. There are different mechanisms that are generally used by bacteria to escape antimicrobial attack to avoid death and encourages spread.

**Mutational Resistance**

Many bacterial cells derived from susceptible population develop mutations in the genes affecting the activity of antimicrobial drug, thus resulting in the cell being able to survive in the presence of drug [69] [70]. Once a resistant mutant emerges, the antibiotics eradicate the susceptible population, leaving the resistant bacteria to predominate [69]. In many cases, change in the gene resulting in mutation that leads to resistance costs the cell by decreasing its homeostasis.
**Horizontal Gene Transfer**

This is the transfer of genetic material between unicellular or multicellular organisms other than by the transmission of DNA from parent to offspring (reproduction) [71] [72]. This mechanism is one of the most important factors in evolution of bacteria and commonly accountable for development drug resistance [69]. Antimicrobial agents used in medical practice are mostly derived or found in the environment (mainly soil), there bacteria sharing the environment with these agents carry the intrinsic genetic elements of resistance[73]. There is strong evidence suggesting that such interaction is a prolific source for the acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes in clinically relatable bacteria [74] [73]

**Chemical alterations or destruction of the antibiotic molecule**

Both gram negative and positive bacteria can produce enzymes responsible for introducing chemical change to the microbial molecule thus causing antimicrobial resistance [75]. This is commonly known as mechanism of acquired antibiotic resistance [76]. It is remarkable that most of the antibiotics affected by these enzymatic adjustments exert their mechanism of action by obstructing protein synthesis at the ribosome level [69]. The main mechanism of β-lactam resistance is depend on the destruction of these compounds by the action of β- lactamases [77]. These enzymes work by destroying the amide bond of the β-lactam ring, resulting in the antimicrobial ineffective.

**Decreased permeability**

Many antimicrobial agents depend on the channels of the organism to get inside the cell [78] [79]. These channels are known as porins and they have different characteristics in terms of size, charge and number [78]. Hydrophilic antibiotics cross the outer membrane by diffusing through outer membrane porins. When the size of these porins reduce, antimicrobial agents have difficulties in gain access to the inside of the cell [74]. Reducing porin expression significantly, cause the reduction of so the concentration of antimicrobial in the cell thus contributing to resistance [80].

**Efflux Pumps**

Some of the bacteria escape antimicrobial drugs by producing of complex bacterial machineries capable of extruding the drugs out of the cell [81] [82]. This mechanism of resistance disturbs
variety of antimicrobial classes including protein synthesis inhibitors, fluoroquinolones, β-lactams, carbapenems and polymyxins [83]. These efflux systems may be substrate-specific or with broad substrate specificity[69].

Modification of the target site

This is the most common mechanism antibiotic resistance used by bacterial pathogens to escape nearly all antimicrobial agents [69] [81]. It also affecting almost all families of antimicrobial compounds. The target site is substituted or changes so that the normal organism functions continue as normal while the antimicrobial agent is unable to bind to and continue with its activity. As a result of antibiotic pressure, the target modification can also arise by a slow process of evolution. Another modification of the target site is methylation of the ribosome by certain enzymes [69]. These enzymes are responsible for erythromycin ribosomal methylation of V domain of 50S ribosomal unit of 23S rRNA, resulting in impairment of the target binding site.

Resistance Due to Global Cell Adaptations

In order to survive the most unreceptive environment such as human body the bacteria have established sophisticated coping mechanisms to withstand environmental pressure and stressors [84] [85]. Bacteria must contend for survival in terms of nutrients and avoiding the attack of molecules produced by other rival organisms, to successfully live [86]. Within the host, bacteria are continuously attacked by the host’s immune system, so it is important to adapt and cope with these stressful conditions[84]. Hence, they have developed a very complex mechanisms to escape the interruption of essential cellular process like membrane homeostasis and cell wall synthesis [69].

Drug resistance and Mutations of C. trachomatis

Resistance to antibiotics treating chlamydial infections has been described and it is often associated with mutations in ribosomal protein genes, principally in L4 and L22, and with mutations in the peptidyl transferase region of the 23S rRNA gene [87]. The macrolide resistant strains that had mutations in the 23s rRNA were primarily reported in 2004 [88].

Besides resistant genes of C. trachomatis, resistance to antibiotics is also associated with developmental cycle as it consists of two stages: extracellular elementary body (infectious non-
replicative phase) and intracellular reticulate body (non-infectious replication phase) [89] [90]. Elementary bodies enter epithelial cells of the host via the process called endocytosis and transform into reticulate bodies in a membrane bound compartment, which multiply rapidly [91] [92]. Reticulate bodies are expert in replication and providing nutrients, and they have high protein reservoirs that are involved in transportation of nutrients, synthesis of proteins and ATP generation [15]. During this stage the cross-linked complexes in the elementary bodies are reduced, providing the membrane with fluids which is essential for replication [93]. The Reticulate bodies redifferentiate back into elementary bodies and are released from the host cell, ready to infect neighboring cells [15] (figure 1). The epithelial mucosa is the initial site of infection of C. trachomatis [26]. This bacterium targets epithelia that are often composed of a single layer of columnar cells or those found in the transformation zone in closeness with a stratified nonkeratinizing squamous epithelium, which includes the genital tract, the anorectum, and non-genital sites [94].

The elementary bodies are capable of binding into receptors on host cells and prompt uptake by the infected cell [95] [96]. They have the nature of withstanding harsh extracellular environment because they possess a spore-like cell wall made of the network of proteins that are crosslinked by disulfide bonds, known as outer membrane complex [96]. This type of cell wall provides the C. trachomatis with resistance character towards osmotic stress and physical stress [15].

During treatment C. trachomatis may convert to elementary bodies which are non-replicative, infectious and antibiotic resistant, and that may result in persistent infection [97]. Even though the elementary bodies of C. trachomatis are known to be metabolically inactive, the studies have shown that they have high biosynthetic and metabolic processes and use D-glucose-phosphate as an energy source [98]. A quantitative proteomics show that the elementary bodies are rich in proteins which are required for glucose catabolism and central metabolism which maybe be used for a burst of metabolic activity during entry on host cell and initiate differentiation into reticulate bodies [99].
Figure 1: Life cycle of *C. trachomatis* in the Human body [19].

Not only is the resistance to macrolides affected by developmental stage of *C. trachomatis* but also associated with mutations in ribosomal protein genes, principally in L4 and L22, and with mutations in the peptidyl transferase region of the 23S rRNA gene [100]. According to Misyurina et al, they proved that mutation on the peptidyl transferase loop in the position 2611 and 2058 results in the isolate being resistant to azithromycin, with A2058 mutations, which supposedly confer the highest levels of resistance [45] [88]. Beside these two positions, 2057 and 2059 in the same 23S rRNA region are also associated with drug resistance against macrolides [88].

This region of the 23S rRNA gene plays a role in interaction of the macrolide and the *C. trachomatis* during treatment [88] [81]. 23S rRNA has two segments which are major elements for binding of the antibiotics i.e. loop of hairpin 25 in domain II and domain V [101] [102]. Macrolides binds to the domains of the 23S rRNA of the larger subunit (50S) of the *C. trachomatis* ribosome and therefore inhibiting the translation of mRNA [103]. Many macrolides have an extensive interaction in this rRNA region, which is unquestionably associated with the respective manner in which these antibiotics interfere with protein synthesis, as aforementioned. But the macrolides-resistant strains may not have mutation in the 23S rRNA gene, which means there may be some other mechanisms responsible for resistance [88].

Other resistant mechanisms may include endogenous efflux system and macrolides inactivation[104] and all the previously mentioned mechanisms. Point mutations in ribosomal
proteins genes L4 (rplD) and L22 (rplV) give rise to resistance of the bacteria against macrolides [105]. These proteins bind primarily to the domain I of 23s rRNA but mutation on them changes the conformation in the domains II, III, V thus affecting the action of the macrolides [88] [106]. LGV biovar requires extended antibiotic treatment, unlike other biovars that have a short treatment plan. In this case that is why, genotyping is imperative for laboratory diagnosis of LGV [107]. Due to asymptomatic nature of *C. trachomatis*, the need for sensitive and reliable laboratory methods is arising [108].

**Classification of *C. trachomatis***

In order to study *C. trachomatis*, it is better to work directly with the clinical samples because chlamydia is difficult to culture. This organism is an obligate cellular pathogen and required special cell lines (i.e. McCoy) for culture. Diagnosis of chlamydia relies mostly on nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) of specimens collected by vaginal or cervical swabs in women or as urine collection in men and women [13]. Many researchers have explored using short DNA sequencing for genotyping *C. trachomatis* using different methodological strategies. Recently, Kese et al used the pyrosequencing-based method which also targets the variable regions of the OMP1 gene [18].

In this study, we have used the genotyping method called High Resolution Melt Analysis (HRMA). It is one of the techniques, originally introduced by Wittwer et al 2003, and has been shown to be sensitive, simple with low cost closed-tube approach for DNA analysis [109]. This method has been used widely and successfully for genotyping clinically important bacteria and viruses [109]. It is a real time nested PCR method, targeting the variable segment 2 (VS2) region of OMP1 for genotyping of *C. trachomatis* [110]. The OMP1 is made up of 5 conserved regions and 4 variable regions (VS1, VS2, VS3 & VS4), and the variable regions are VS1 and VS2 are more distinct compare to other thus allowing construction of the specific synthetic oligonucleotides of the different serovars [110]. The OMP1 genotype examination is more sensitive [111] compared to other methods. The previous methods that have been used include RFLP, oligonucleotides array, sequencing, reverse line blot hybridization; and, fluorescence-labelled probe genotyping of *C. trachomatis* which only focuses on LGV serovars and excludes the other serovars of *C. trachomatis* [110]. Various techniques for classification of *C. trachomatis* have been used but they have disadvantages such as labor, easy contamination and time consumption.
Characterization of *C. trachomatis* strains can give imperative information regarding different serotypes circulating in the community and having better knowledge about the epidemiology of *C. trachomatis* may provide more effective efforts against its spread.

1.1 Rationale

There is little or no information on antibiotic resistance in our current local population. Since *C. trachomatis* is difficult to grow we adopted resistance gene sequencing as a surrogate marker of resistance. Also, different serovar types react differently to treatment outcomes and disease severity. To our knowledge this is the first study performed in this district to both look at serovars distributed, and mutations in L22 and L4. We also optimized an assay to sequence directly from patient samples.

1.2 Hypothesis

The genetic profile of different *C. trachomatis* isolates associates with antibiotic resistance markers.

1.3 Aim

- In this study we confirmed the presence of *C. trachomatis* in previously screened CT positive samples from the stored vaginal Eswabs samples.
- We performed genotyping of *C. trachomatis* with emphasis on OMP1 gene which codes for Major Outer Membrane Protein (MOMP) and compare the genetic profiles of the isolates amongst one another or/and with the reference strains to determine significant genetic variations (serovars) in community in KZN.
- We compared the bacterial load of each clinical sample and observe their differences based on their serovar types.
- We also amplified macrolides resistant genes: L4, and L22 and sequenced them to evaluate if there are any previously described mutations associated with drug resistance found in our cohort of samples.

1.4 Objectives

- Amplify the OMP1 (VS1-VS2) gene using conventional PCR
• Specifically amplify the OMP1 (VS2) gene for different serovars using Real-Time Nested PCR and evaluate High Resolution Melt curves (HRM) for detection of specific serovars

• Determine the association between serovar typing and bacterial load.

• To determine mutations in the following genes; Ribosomal protein L4 and L22; conferring drug resistance in *C trachomatis* using Sanger sequencing
2. METHODS

2.1 Strains and Clinical samples

Two hundred and sixty-five stored clinical samples collected by CAPRISA (083 Study) using Eswab™ from HIV negative women aged 18-40 presenting for STI care at Prince Cyril Zulu Communicable Diseases Clinic in Durban, KZN were used in this study. Ethical approval for the ‘parent’ study was from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (BFC410/15), and permission to perform the study was granted by the eThekwini Municipality. The ethical approval for this study was also obtained from Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (REF: BE526/16).

The collected samples were screened for *C. trachomatis* using real time PCR, Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection (Seegene). The OMP1 gene of the CT positive isolates were amplified using the conventional PCR and the products were ran on the electrophoresis gel to confirm all positive *C. trachomatis* samples. The reference strains A, B, C, C2, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L1, L2, L3, DNA lysate, were kindly donated by the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta.

2.2 Extraction of the genomic DNA

Bacterial genomic DNA from the clinical swabs was extracted using crude DNA extraction method [112]. Briefly; 200µl of the specimen from the transport media of Eswabs was aliquoted into the eppendorf tube, span down in the centrifuge at 12388 x g for 5 minutes. The supernatant was discarded, the pellet was resuspended into 200µl of dH20 and 10µl of internal control was added. The suspension was heated in the water bath at 55°C for 15 minutes and sonicated in the water bath sonicator for 15 minutes at 40Khz. It was then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 12388 x g and the supernatant was transferred into a new tube and store at -20°C. The concentration of the DNA was measured using a Nano-drop (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The reference strains from CDC were provided as DNA lysates.
2.3 Serovar Typing using HRMA

The HRMA method has been used widely and successfully for genotyping clinically important bacteria and viruses [109]. It is a real time nested PCR method, targeting the variable segment 2 (VS2) region of OMP1 for genotyping of C. trachomatis [111]. The OMP1 is made up of 5 conserved regions and 4 variable regions (VS1, VS2, VS3 & VS4), and the variable regions are VS1 and VS2 are more distinct compare to other thus allowing construction of the specific synthetic oligonucleotides of the different serovars [111].

2.3.1 Amplification of the OMP1 gene

The OMP1 gene of the positive C. trachomatis was amplified on a SimpliAmp™ Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using CT1 and CT2 primers (Table 1 & Figure 2). Briefly; two micro litres of genomic DNA were added into a 20µl reaction mixture consisting of 11.4µl H20, 4µl (5X) High Fidelity buffer, 0.16µl (25MM), Deoxynucleotide Triphosphate, 0.5µl of each forward and reverse primers (20 micromolar), 0.2µl (5U/ul) Phusion high fidelity Polymerase and 1.2µl MgCl2, (50MM), (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR conditions were as follows: 98°C for 30s, 98°C for 10s, 55°C for 1min and 72°C for 1 minute for 35 cycles followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5min. The presence and the size of the gene were confirmed by running the PCR product on the electrophoresis gel (1%) together with the DNA Molecular Weight Marker VIII 19 – 1114bp (Roche). Known controls for the serovars were also included, which were A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L1, L2 and L3.

2.3.2 Genotyping of C. trachomatis by High Resolution Melt Analysis (HRMA)

Amplification for HRM genotyping was performed to identify the C. trachomatis serovars on a Quantstudio 5 qPCR instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and CDC controls were included in the analysis, using Meltdoctor™ HRM kit (4425557, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Two nested PCRs for (C3and C4) and (GP-L and GP-U) where performed from a 1:10 dilution original PCR product (C1 and C2). Briefly; 1µl of diluted PCR product was added into a 4µl reaction mixture consisting of 2.5µl HRM mix, 0.5µl (20pmol) of each primer (CT3 and CT4) or (GP-L and GP-U) and 1µl H20. The conditions were as follows: 98°C for 30s, 98°C for 10s, 55°C for 1min and 72°C for 1 minute for 35 cycles followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes.
Amplification was performed on a Quant Studio 5 real time PCR machine; (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The experiment data was analysed using High-Resolution Melt Software v3.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The unknown samples were matched to the control samples to determine the genotype. All assays were performed in duplicate. The primer sequences are listed below (Table 1).

**Table 1: Primer sets for the amplification of OMP1 and Serovar typing.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target genes</th>
<th>Primer sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set 1:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary primers for VS1 and VS2 PCR</td>
<td>CT1: TGAACCAAGCCTTATGATCGACGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT2: CGGAATTGTGCATTTACGTGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 2:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nested primers for VS1 and VS2, and primary primers for VS2 PCR for HRMA</td>
<td>CT3: ACTTTGTITTCGACCGTGTITTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT4: GATTGAGCGTATTGGAAAGAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set 3:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nested primers for VS2 PCR for HRMA</td>
<td>GP-U: TCHGCWTCYTCAAYTTAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GC-L: CAYTCCCARAGCCTGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primer sets for serovar typing were taken from J-H Li et al [109]

Figure 2: The primary and nested primer sets used for the whole OMP1 gene amplification and VS1&VS2 region for HRMA are CT1&CT2 and CT4&CT4, respectively. GP-U and GP-L as nested primers for nested VS2 PCR for HRMA [109].
2.3.3 Measurement of bacterial load of *C. trachomatis*

We also performed a TaqMan based real time PCR assay using Thermo Fisher Scientific Woman’s Health Panel Kit (Ba04646249_s1) to measure the bacterial concentration of each *C. trachomatis* positive sample to look for any association with serovar typing and observed the trend. Briefly; reagents for 1 reaction were as follows; nuclease free water 1.5µl, Probe Primer mix 0.25µl (20X) and TaqMan 1.25µl(4X), and then 2µl of the DNA was added into the tube. The conditions were as follows: 98°C for 30s, 98°C for 10s, 55°C for 1 minute and 72°C for 1 minute for 35 cycles followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes.

2.4 Mutation Detection

2.4.1 Amplification and sequencing of L4 and L22 genes

PCR amplification and sequencing were done to identify if there are any mutations on the genes which are associated with macrolides resistance when mutated. To detect changes on these genes; L4 & L22 primer sets (Table 3) were used to amplify L4 and L22 genes, respectively. All the PCRs were subjected to 98°C for 30s, 98°C for 10s, 65°C for 1 minute and final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes, for 35 cycles. The PCR products were ran on a 2% agarose gel to confirm the product size.

All the PCR products and the same primer sets were sent for sequencing by Inqaba Biotech. They confirmed the PCR products through electrophoresis gel followed by purification, sequencing, clean up and analysis, briefly:

2.4.2 Purification of the PCR products

Two volumes of Binding Buffer were added into 1 volume of PCR products and vortexed (i.e.: if 4 µl was run on the gel then add 92µl of Binding Buffer to each tube). The products were then applied into the column and centrifuged for 1 minute at 3824 x g. The flow was discarded, and the volume of PCR products was transferred into new tubes. Six hundred and fifty microlitres of Wash Buffer was added into the column and centrifuged for 60 seconds at 15040g flow discarded, and the column was moved into a new collection tube. Column was transferred into a new Eppendorf tube. Thirty microlitres of Elution buffer was added and incubated at room temperature for 1 minute. The tubes were centrifuged for 1 minute at 15040
x g to elute the DNA and the column was discarded. The yield of extracted PCR amplicons was quantified using the Nano Drop spectrophotometer and the concentrations ranged between 10- 15ng/µl.

2.4.3 Sequencing reaction preparation and conditions
Master Mix was prepared and aliquoted into the respective wells; 14µl of template (PCR product), primers (20pmol) and water, 4µl big dye terminator and 5X big dye terminator dilution buffer. The primer sets used and cycling conditions are outlined in Table 2 and 3, respectively.

Table 2: The Cycling Conditions for sequencing of L4 and L22 of *C. trachomatis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature and Time</th>
<th>Number of Cycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96°C for 2 min</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96°C for 10 secs</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50°C for 5 secs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°C for 4 mins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4°C</td>
<td>Hold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4.4 Sequence product Clean Up
After thermal cycling the plate was to equilibrate at room temperature and 75µL of 0.2mM MgSO4 Ethanol solution was added into each well with sequence products. This volume applies to sequencing reactions between 10 – 20µL in total volume. Mixed thoroughly by vortexing and allowed to sit at room temperature for 15 minutes to allow precipitation of the labelled products. Span at room temperature for a minimum of 15 minutes at a maximum speed (longer incubation and spinning times will increase the precipitation of labelled products but may also precipitate un-incorporated dyes). Products were removed from the centrifuge and the plate was gently inverted over the paper towel for 1-2 minutes and 100µL of 70% EtOH was added into each well to wash the pellet, and re-span for 15 minutes using the same conditions as the first spin. The plate was
removed from the centrifuge and gently inverted over paper towels for 1-2 minutes. They were allowed to air dry. Then, they were analyzed on ABI 3500XL Genetic Analyzer (Inqaba Biotec).

**Table 3: Primer sets for *C. trachomatis* L4 and L22 genes amplification and sequencing for mutation detection.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ribosomal genes</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ribosomal protein L4</td>
<td>L4-F 5’ACGTTCTTGCGGAGTAG 3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L4-R 5’GCCTTCTCGGTACATAATGTC3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ribosomal protein L22</td>
<td>l22-f, 5’ AGCTGCAGGATTGATGAGAAA3’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>l22-r, 5’ GTTAGATGACTCGTGCCTTC3’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primer sets for mutation detection were taken from Misyurina, O.Y., et al [113]

### 2.5 Sequence alignment

Sequences were aligned using ClustalW software using the default parameters. The aligned file was then imported into BioEdit and converted into the corresponding amino acid sequence. The amino acid sequence was used to generate a consensus neighbor joining phylogenetic tree using PAUP software. The tree was generated using 1000 bootstrap replications to create the consensus tree.

### 2.6 Statistical analysis

Graph Pad prism 5 was used for graphing and statistical analysis. Predictive value (p) less or equal to 0.05 was considered statistical significant.
3. RESULTS

As aforementioned, this was a subset study, where we used stored samples previously collected by CAPRISA for their main study (083 STUDY). The demographic information from which the samples were taken has been previously published by Garrett al, 2018 [37]. In summary 267 women, median age 23 (IQR 21–26), were recruited and 88.4% (236/267) reported genital symptoms. STI prevalence was CT 18.4% (95%CI 13.7–23.0), NG 5.2% (95%CI 2.6–7.9) and TV 3.0% (95%CI 1.0–5.0). Out of hundred and sixty-five clinical samples used in our study, a total of 34 were screened positive for *C. trachomatis* by Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection. All possessed an OMP1 gene, which is the unique gene for *C. trachomatis* isolates (Figure 3).

3.1 Amplification of OMP1 gene of *C. trachomatis*

The OMP1 gene is the unique gene in all *C. trachomatis*. It was amplified in all 34 (13%) samples that were found to be chlamydia positive during screening with Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection, and CDC reference genotypes. The omp1 gene, approximately 1020 bp in length, was PCR amplified with CT1 and CT2 primers.

![Figure 3: The amplification of OMP1 gene VS1-VS4 of *C. trachomatis*. M is a Molecular marker and Lane 1-5 represent the PCR products of the clinical strains and 6 is control, CDC laboratory strain (F).](image-url)
3.2 Typing of *C. trachomatis* positive samples

Out of 35 a total of 31 strains were successfully characterized into different serovars in comparison with the 15 CDC reference serovars. The isolates that belong to the same serovar-type have closer Melting temperature (Tm) as observe on the aligned melt curve (Figure 4). Different serovars (A-C, D-K and L1-L3) were clearly differentiated from each other since they had distinguishable melt peak and visualization (Figure 4D). The following serovars A, B, C, D, E, F, G, I, J and L3 were characterized and their prevalence were 3.2%, 6.4%, 3.2%, 9.7%, 16.1%, 29%, 9.7%, 12.9%, 3.2% and 6.4%, respectively (Figure 5). None of these serovars: H, K, L1, L2 were presented.
Figure 4: The HRMA strategy was employed to determine serovars within the OMP1 gene using a Real Time PCR. The combinations of C3 and C4, and GP-U and GC-L primers were used for this assay. QuantStudio™ Design & Analysis software v1.4.3 and High-Resolution Melt software v3.1 were used for designing and analysis of these graphs.

The raw melt curves(A): raw data showing the amplification of different samples. The OMP1 for clinical samples and respective controls were successfully amplified. The fluorescence of different colours representing different serovars was observed. The aligned melt curve(B): The isolates that share similar or closer melting temperature are grouped together, allowing for visualisation of different variants, the unknown samples were grouped according the melting peaks of the controls (A, B, C, C2, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L1, L2 and L3). The different colours exhibited represent the serovars of the same type. The derivative melt curves(C): different serovars distinguished from
each other by melt peak, again by visualization. Different serovars with similar or closer melt peak and same fluorescence are distinguishable from each other. The different plot curves (D): separate curves of the same variants from each other, this allow curves that could not be distinguished by melt peak to be now clearly separated from each other. This graph distinctly separate different serovars of the same type.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5: Graphic representation of prevalence of *C. trachomatis* serovars.**

Detection of *C. trachomatis* was performed using a set of primers. Primers were specifically designed from highly conserved regions of genetic sequences of *C. trachomatis*, OMP1. The following serovars were identified: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, I, J and L3 and their prevalence were 3.2%, 6.4%, 3.2%, 9.7%, 16.1%, 29%, 9.7%, 12.9%, 3.2% and 6.4%, respectively. Serovar H, K, L1 and L2 were absent in this cohort.
3.3 Association between bacterial load and *C. trachomatis* serovars

The aim of this was to evaluate if there is any association between serovar types and bacterial load. Bacterial concentrations of each *C. trachomatis* positive sample were measured and compared against different serovar groups. The bacterial loads of different serovars obtained during evaluation are represented in the graphs below (Figure 6 & 7).

![Graph showing bacterial load distribution among different serovars of C. trachomatis](image)

**Figure 6:** Graphic representation of *C. trachomatis* serovars found in our cohort and their association with bacterial load. Detection of *C. trachomatis* was performed using a set of primers and TaqMan probe that was specifically designed from highly conserved regions of genetic sequences of *C. trachomatis* for the following gene Translocated actin-recruiting phosphoprotein, (Ba04646249_s1) which is part of the Thermo Fisher from existing Woman’s Health panels that screen 33 pathogens.

The overall range of the bacterial load of the serovars was 1.214 - 51023900. The mean (SD) for the different serovars represented in figure 5B is as follows; A: 28.52, B: 20.04(26.62), C: 4.319, D: 5074(85102), E: 156.1(123), F: 6611000(17960000), G: 8594(9306), I: 8382(7257), J: 9790 and L3: 15.2. Varying bacterial loads were noticed for different serovars. The limit of detection for this assay was one copy.
Figure 7: The bacterial concentration of *C. trachomatis* in different serovars, i.e. D-K serovars, and A-C and L3 serovars. Unpaired t-test was performed to look at the different groups of serovars, a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

We grouped the serovar-groups based on the different expression of bacterial load i.e. highly (D-K) and least (A-C&L3) expressed, to evaluate the statistical significance. Differences between two groups of serovars, i.e. group D-K vs A-C and L3, was evaluated using an unpaired t-test. D-K group has significantly higher mean values i.e. mean(SD): 2793000(11690000) compare to A-C and L3 grouped together 17.62(15.98), p = 0.0045.
3.4 Amplification of chlamydial ribosomal protein L4 and L22 gene

The aim of this was to amplify L4 and L22 genes of *C. trachomatis* to get enough products for sequencing. Sequencing of these genes was done to detect changes in their sequences that maybe be associated with macrolides resistance. Amplification of these genes was done and confirmed on an electrophoresis gel with fragments: 769bp and 230bp, respectively (Figure 8 & 9).

**Figure 8:** Gel electrophoresis of L4 gene of *C. trachomatis* (Size 769bp).

The genes were amplified using conventional PCR and the size was confirmed by running the samples on a electrophoresis gel, and sent for sequencing at Iqaba biotech to scan for any kind of mutation present. M is a Molecular marker and Lane 1-16 represent the PCR product of the amplified gene.
Figure 9: Gel electrophoresis of L22 gene of *C. trachomatis* (Size 230 bp)

The genes were amplified using conventional PCR. The size was confirmed by running the samples on a electrophoresis gel, and sent for sequencing at Iqaba biotech to scan for any kind of mutation present. M is a Molecular marker and Lane 1-11 represent the PCR products of the amplified L22 gene of the clinical isolates.

3.5 Sequencing results of chlamydial ribosomal protein L4 and L22 genes

Successfully amplified L4 and L22 genes (28) were sequenced by Inqaba Biotech. Ribosomal protein L4 had mutations was observed in 7 different positions and significant mutations associated with macrolides resistance were observed at amino acid number 109 and 151 (Figure 10). Table 4 shows the change in the amino acids of the wildtype giving rise to mutants in both ribosomal protein genes; L4 and L22.

Ribosomal protein L22 had 21 samples with mutation at amino acid number 24 (Figure 11) but it is not associated with mutations that were previous identified as the cause of macrolides resistance in *C. trachomatis*. Phylogenetic trees for L4 and L22 genes were generated using a PAUP software to compare relatedness of samples with the reference strain’s sequence (Figure 12 and 13).
Table 4: Amino Acids change in the wild type resulting in the mutants in both ribosomal protein L4 and L22 after amplification and sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes (Amino acid Positions)</th>
<th>Wild Type (Amino Acid)</th>
<th>Mutants (Amino Acid)</th>
<th>Wild Type Percentage</th>
<th>Mutant Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ribosomal Protein L4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>27 (96.4%)</td>
<td>1 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1 (3.7%)</td>
<td>26 (96.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>8 (29.6%)</td>
<td>19 (70.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>24 (85.7%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>9 (32.1)</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>27 (96.4%)</td>
<td>1 (3.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>24 (85.7%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ribosomal Protein L22</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>21 (84%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: L4 - total number of amino acid 87 and 109 is 27 (1 amino acid excluded), and L22 - total number of amino acids 24 is 25 (3 excluded).
Figure 10: Graphical representation of amino acid alignment of L4 gene sequences. Sequences were aligned using ClustalW software using the default parameters. The aligned file was then imported into BioEdit and converted into the corresponding amino acid sequence.

Significant mutations associated with macrolides resistance were observed at amino acid number 109 and 151, proline (P) to leucine (L) and proline (P) to Adenine (A), respectively. These two mutations were observed in 22 samples and they co-exist, and besides these mutations there were additional that were present, but these have not been associated with susceptibility of *C. trachomatis* to antimicrobials.
Figure 11: Graphic view of amino acid alignment of L22 gene sequences. Sequences were aligned using ClustalW software using the default parameters. The aligned file was then imported into BioEdit and converted into the corresponding amino acid sequence.

Mutation at amino acid number 24 is observed but it is not associated with mutation that were previous identified as the cause of macrolides resistance in *C. trachomatis*. No mutation was present in amino acid number 77 and 55 known to cause resistance.
Figure 12: Phylogenetic analysis of the study samples for L4 gene of *C. trachomatis*. The amino acid sequence was used to generate a consensus neighbor joining phylogenetic tree using PAUP software. The tree was generated using 1000 bootstrap replications to create the consensus tree.

Sample 10 and L3 cluster together with the reference strain sequence. The rest of the samples were clustered in different groups hence showing their distant relatedness to the reference sequence. Majority of the samples belonged to one distinct group.
Figure 13: Phylogenetic analysis of the study samples for L22 gene of *C. trachomatis*. The amino acid sequence was used to generate a consensus neighbor joining phylogenetic tree using PAUP software. The tree was generated using 1000 bootstrap replications to create the consensus tree.

Sample 17 aligned together with the reference strain sequence. The rest of the samples were clustered in different groups hence showing their distant relatedness to the reference sequence. Sample number 38, 229 and 181 have a closer relationship to reference sequence.
4. DISCUSSION

To our knowledge there is little or no information on antibiotic resistance of *C. trachomatis* infection in our current local population. Since *C. trachomatis* is difficult to grow we adopted resistance gene sequencing as a surrogate marker of resistance. The aim of the study was to characterize *C. trachomatis* isolates within our cohort and look at their resistance pattern by sequencing genes associated with macrolides resistance. *C. trachomatis* is one the problematic sexual transmitted infection in the world [114]. If left untreated it may cause serious sequelae in infected people [115]. Majority of people infected with *C. trachomatis* are asymptomatic, hence diagnosis and treatment are difficult [116]. This enhances transmission of STIs among their sexual partners, unknowingly. In this study we successfully applied HRMA for genotyping of *C. trachomatis* based on genetic variation of OMP1 gene. The amplification of OMP1 gene was successful directly from material obtained from vaginal swabs of clinical samples in all the chlamydia positive samples screened. The gene is made up of the 4 variable regions (VS1-4) interspaced by 5 highly conserved regions [117] [118]. The Variable regions of OMP1 gene allow characterization of different genotypes of *C. trachomatis* [118]. Genotyping of *C. trachomatis* is significant globally in epidemiological studies, and in local cases when questions of infection transmission or recurrence arise [18]. We selected VS2 as the target region of HRMA because it is shorter, and it has greater sequence variation thus giving distinct identification of each serovar present in a sample.

As part of our aim to screen for *C. trachomatis* positive samples from the stored vaginal samples, we successfully screened our samples, using Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection. The OMP1 gene of the positive *C. trachomatis* was successfully amplified in all samples (Figure 3). Out of 265 samples, 34 (12.8%) were screened positive for *C trachomatis*, the leading score compared to other STIs found in our sample size - which is in concordance with the studies that found out *C. trachomatis* is the most common bacterial STI in humans, worldwide [1]. Studies done in India showed a CT prevalence ranging from 2.7% to 23% [16–19] with variation largely explained by age and the highest rates reported among younger women [2]. Prevalence of genital *C. trachomatis* in parts of Africa differs significantly ranging from 3.78% in Cameroon to as high as 68.25% in female sex workers in Niger Republic [22]. Data from African countries suggest that prevalence is on the rise and may exceed that reported in developed countries [22].
Another possible method to use for screening is GeneXpertR CT/NG assay, this is one of the methods that was use in the recent study by Garrett et al where women visiting the STI clinic were screened on site in Durban, South Africa [37]. This assay screens for both C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoea. The results found were comparable with the ours, found through screening with the Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection, since we were using the same cohort. Anyplex™ II STI-7 Detection detects 7 major STI causative pathogens including C. trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Trichomonas vaginalis, Mycoplasma hominis, Mycoplasma genitalium, Ureaplasma urealyticum, and Ureaplasma parvum in a single real-time PCR reaction [119]. The results they found correlate well with ours. Other studies used different approach for screening of C. trachomatis such as serological diagnostic assays which they found not reliable since lack specificity and sensitivity, and cross-reactivity of antibodies with other chlamydia species is also the problem [46]. Brabin et al used another laboratory method known as Roche COBAS TaqMan CT test for screening of C. trachomatis samples and they confirmed positive patients using Artus C. trachomatis plus PCR kit [47]. The above-mentioned methods are molecular based indicating that the Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) approach for diagnosis of C. trachomatis is the current diagnostic compared to cell culture [48]. Thus, clinics and healthcare facilities need to consider molecular based diagnostic methods not only for C. trachomatis but also the other major STIs causative agents.

Thirty-one positive C. trachomatis clinical samples were successfully classified to different genotypes (Figure 4). Three samples were excluded from the characterization because amplification failed, and this was most likely due to low DNA concentration. Serovars from D-K had 80.6% prevalence with F being the most prevalent (29%) amongst all followed by E (16.1%) (Figure 5). All other detected serovars had low frequency and none of these serovars; H, K, L1, L2 were present. Based on the Zheng et al study, they found serovar H to be amongst the most prevalent serovars [110] but prevalence of this serovar is found to be very low compared to other [120], however in our study none of the sample was associated with this serovar.

According to Motamedi et al, the most prevalent serovars globally are D, E and F [121] which corresponds with our findings, except they find serovar E to be most prevalent. We found F to be the most prevalent serovar and this is in concordance with the study by Bandea et al. (2001) on pregnant Thai women, serovar F was found to be dominant by 25% and E was only the fifth most
common genotype at 9.3%. Despite the difference in the most prevalent genotype found in a
different study group, all studies including our own agree that serovars E, and F are the most
common genotypes in urogenital chlamydial infections. Serovars from D-K are associated with
urogenital infection, which is the most common infection of *C. trachomatis*, worldwide[122]. We
also observed the presence of A-C serovars which belongs to trachoma biovars, this was also
reported by Dean et al, where there was a Ba strain in the genital tract [110]. The trachoma serovars
(A-C) can and do cause genital infections but it is rare [123]. With serovar typing, a patient can be
co-infected with either two or more serovars [120] but that was not observed in this study.

Using only short DNA sequences for genotyping *C. trachomatis* has been possibly explored by
other authors, using different methodological strategies. As previously mentioned the methods
include Reverse Line Blot analysis, Oligonucleotide arrays, real-time PCR with genotype specific
taqman probe, Microsphere Suspension arrays, and Multiplex Broad-Spectrum PCR-DNA
Enzyme Immunoassay coupled with Reverse Hybridization assay [18]. They used only portions
of the OMP1 gene to type *C. trachomatis* which increases specificity. Although new techniques
for the discrimination of *C. trachomatis* isolates have been successfully developed, the OMP1-
based genotyping is still the most widely used method for obtaining information on *C. trachomatis*
genetic variations [120]. Classification of *C. trachomatis* is epidemiological important since some
of the serovars requires prolonged treatment plan. LGV requires treatment plan of 21 days while
others (Trachoma and Urogenital infections) are treated with single dose [124]. No correlation
between OMP1 variation and disease severity has been established but despite that previous
researches have shown that there is a clear differentiation across the globe with ethnically groups
including Africa, Europe, Russia and America [125]. Many techniques for classification of *C.
trachomatis* have been used but they have their own disadvantages such as labor, easy
contamination and time consumption thus HRMA was the method of choice for this study, because
of several advantages such as cost, sensitivity and ease of use, which has direct implications on
clinical samples.

More studies should extend this investigation by relating trends in a larger population, both inside
and outside of the Durban region to clarify some aspects for the definite application of *C.
trachomatis* genotype analysis for disease control, using both male and female cohorts.
Association between serovars and bacterial load was observed. Serovars from D-K had high bacterial load compared to A-C and L3 serovars which had low bacterial load (figure 6). A p-value ≤ 0.05 (p = 0.0045) showed a significant difference between the bacterial concentration of *C. trachomatis* serovars (figure 7) and this could be associated with the fact that D-K serovars are the most common and prevalent [24]. Walker et al reported higher bacterial load for serovar D followed by E, which is within the D-K serovar-group [126]. Other studies reported bacterial load observed in different serovars but had no comment on statistical significance of bacterial load [127] [128]. Interconnection of serovars with bacterial load in diagnostic samples has been investigated to evaluate infection severeness [24].

Despite the correlation between the *C. trachomatis* typing the relationship based on the virulence has also been looked at by other studies where they found that the serovars E, F and D (belonging to D-K group) are the most abundant among the urogenital strains [129]. Compared to L serovars, these serovars were found to be less [130] but they are highly prevalent and therefore a substantial factor in human health [129]. The number of chlamydia organisms present may also be associated with transmission and clinical manifestation (determined by the type of serovar present) [131]. There is no much information about determinant of *C. trachomatis* bacterial load and how it improves during an infection [132]. It has been reported that *C. trachomatis* bacterial load differs greatly based on the immunological status, age, hormonal status of the patient, type of the sample and quantification methodology [132] [133].

From the genes that we screened and sequenced we observed some mutations. Some of the mutations found are linked to the mutations identified before and known to cause macrolides resistance of *C. trachomatis*. Mutations at positions 2057, 2058, 2059 and 2611 (E. coli numbering) in the peptidyl transferase region of 23S rRNA are considered to be important in the development of drug resistance against macrolides [88]. Many macrolides have an extensive interaction in this rRNA region, which is undeniably associated with the respective way these antibiotics interfere with protein synthesis, as aforementioned. Point mutations in ribosomal proteins genes L4 (rplD) and L22 (rplV) may result to resistance of the bacteria against macrolides [105]. These proteins bind mainly to domain I of 23s rRNA but mutation on them changes the conformation in the domains II, III, V thus affecting the action of the macrolides [88]. 23s rRNA
is one of the components of the large 50S subunit of bacterial ribosome[134]. The activity of the ribosomal peptidyl transferase is in the V domain of the 23s rRNA. The V domain of 23S rRNA is the most common site for binding of the antibiotics that inhibits translation, thus disturbing the whole process of protein synthesis[135]. Reports of clinical failures associated with true genotypic resistance due to chromosomal mutations are infrequent. Regardless of appropriate drug therapy, chlamydial infections are mostly likely to occur again [88]. Furthermore, clinical failures occur because of reinfection or relapse following phenotype alteration of the bacteria to persistent, non-replicating types that are antibiotic resistant but can return to the typical reticulate body phenotype when treatment is complete [136] (Figure 1).

Ribosomal proteins L4 and L22 were successfully amplified and ran on an electrophoresis gel to confirm the sizes: 769bp and 230bp, respectively (Figure 8 and 9). Out of the 28 samples that were amplified and sent for sequencing 7 were observed to have mutation for the ribosomal protein L4, resulting in the change of the amino acids (Table 2). Mutation in the L4 gene that have been previously identified are 109 CCG (proline) → CTG (leucine) and 151 CCG (proline) →GCC (alanine) [87] and these are positions at which mutations are significant for developing drug resistance to macrolides. These changes were also observed in our study with about 70.4% and 67.9% (Table 4) of C. trachomatis isolates had double mutation on the amino acid 109 and 151, respectively (Figure 10). The mutations in the conserved regions of protein L4 affect the conformational change of the 23S rRNA in domains II, III and V, resulting into disruption of translational activity of ribosomes and, thus, deteriorated action of the antibiotic in the peptidyl transferase center [137]. Despite, these mutations being present it doesn’t imply that all these isolates are resistant to macrolide because the mutation maybe be present without altering the drug susceptibility. This has also been observed on the study done by Jiang et al where they found out patients with persistent infection had isolates with mutation and again there were other wild-type resistant strains that had no mutation [88], hence other molecular mechanisms are responsible for resistance. Most samples (96.3%) had mutation at the amino acid 87 where aspartic acid (D) changed to asparagine (N). The ribosomal protein L22 had one mutation at amino acid number 24 where aspartic acid (D) changed to glycine G (84% of the isolates) and these mutations are not associated with any of the previously defined macrolides resistance in C. trachomatis (Figure 11). Mutations associated with macrolides resistance in L22 are GGC (gly) → AGC (ser), CGT (arg)
$\rightarrow$ TGT (cys) and GTC (val) $\rightarrow$ GCC (ala)[113]. CAPRISA (083 study) did a follow up on the same cohort after conservative time intervals (2nd & 3rd visits), 2nd visit was after 6 weeks and the 3rd visit was at week 12. On the second visit four patients were C. trachomatis positive and on the third visit 2 reinfections were observed [37]. One patient was C. trachomatis positive on the first and third visit, second visit she was negative, and this could be that the infection was present but undetectable. Speculations are these isolates are resistant to macrolides hence the infection did not clear out.

Resistance of C. trachomatis to macrolides is not only due to mutation on the certain gene but also the biphasic development cycle it undergoes characterized by an infectious cell type known as an elementary body (EB) and an intracellular replicative form called a reticulate body (RB) [138]. EB is an electron- dense, infectious structure that, following host cell infection, differentiates into a non-infectious replicative form known as RB [139]. Because of rigid outer membrane of the elementary body of C. trachomatis, the chlamydia prevents the fusion of the lysosome and the endosome, hence resist intracellular killing [140].

We also compared the correlation of the samples through phylogenic analysis of both L4 and L22 genes, in relation to the reference sequence strain (Figure 12 and 13). For L4 gene: Sample 10 and L3 were clustered together with the reference sequence while the rest of the samples were gathered in different groups, thus, showing their distant relatedness to the reference sequence. Majority of the samples fitted into one distinct group. Sample 17 aligned together with the reference sequence and sample number 38, 229 and 181 showed a closer relationship to reference sequence. The rest of the samples were clustered in different groups hence showing their distant relatedness to the reference sequence.

To improve these data, culture and susceptibility testing on live culture should be considered to link any found changes on the bases or amino acids to the MICs (sensitivity and resistance) but this approach difficult to apply on routine bases [141], this was one of our limitation in this study. Another limitation was the small number of the C. trachomatis isolates available for classification and bacterial load analysis. C. trachomatis is not easy to culture and requires cell line hence cell culture and manual drug susceptibility could not be performed. That is why more reliable molecular based assays for detection of mutations and drug susceptibility are so much needed. Furthermore, whole genome sequencing of C. trachomatis can be added to future work. This
molecular assay determines the complete sequence of the DNA within the organism all at once [142]. It has been used mostly as a research technique but currently established for clinical use [143]. For future purposes genome sequencing data may an imperative tool to control medical intervention [144]. It may also lay the foundation for foreseeing disease drug response and susceptibility [144].
5. CONCLUSION

In our population there is a scanty information regarding different serovars. Therefore, we decided to perform serovar typing from the 35 (12%) specimens screened positive for *C. trachomatis* and grouped them into different serovars based on their OMP1 gene. Serovars from D-K group were dominant (80.6%) compared to A-C and L1-L3 groups, with F being the most prevalent followed by E. After serovar typing, we then looked at the association of these serovars with bacterial load and found that D-K serovars had high bacterial load (P = 0.0045) compared to other serovars.

Our method of choice, HRMA showed the practicability of typing *C. trachomatis* directly from the clinical specimen which is advantageous since this organism is hard, costly and labor to culture. From the same specimens; we amplified and sequenced L4 and L22 genes that have been previously associated with macrolides resistance of *C. trachomatis* when they are mutated. Mutations were observed on the L4 gene, resulting in the change of the amino acids: 109 CCG (proline) → CTG (leucine) (70.4%) and 151 CCG (proline) → GCC (alanine) (67.9%). These mutations were also observed to co-exist in our study.

The ribosomal protein L22 had one mutation at amino acid number 24 where aspartic acid (D) changed to glycine G (84%) and these mutations are not significantly linked with any of the previously defined macrolides resistance of *C. trachomatis*. Even though our data represent only the cohort in Durban region, but we observed *C. trachomatis* prevalence and its relative serovars which may extend these findings by looking at the trends in larger population, both inside and outside region of Durban, KZN and to clarify some features for the concrete application of *C. trachomatis* genotype analysis for disease control and prevention. Regarding mutation, macrolide resistance of *C. trachomatis* is not only influenced by the change in amino acids, but other mechanisms may contribute too, and to understand this requires further studies.
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