

**PHYTOCHEMICAL, ELEMENTAL AND BIOLOGICAL  
STUDIES OF THREE *FICUS* SPECIES (MORACEAE)  
FOUND IN KWAZULU-NATAL, SOUTH AFRICA**



**By**

**OLUMUYIWA OLUFISAYO OGUNLAJA**

**2017**

**Phytochemical, Elemental and Biological studies of three *Ficus* species (Moraceae) found in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa**

**OGUNLAJA OLUMUYIWA OLUFISAYO**

2017

A thesis submitted to the school of Chemistry & Physics, College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville, for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

This Thesis has been prepared according to **Format 4** as outlined in the guidelines from the College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science which states:

This is a thesis in which chapters are written as a set of discrete research papers, with an Overall Introduction and Final Discussion. Where one (or all) of the chapters has already been published. Typically, these chapters will have been published in internationally- recognised, peer- reviewed journals.

As the candidate's supervisor, I have approved this thesis for submission.

Supervisor:

Signed: -----Name: -----Date:

Co-supervisor:

Signed: -----Name: -----Date:

## ABSTRACT

*Ficus* (Moraceae), with over 800 species, is one of the understudied genera in modern pharmacognosy. Rural households depend on their fruits for food while other plant parts such as leaves and bark are utilised for medicinal purposes. Phytochemical analyses and biological activities of different plant parts, as well as the nutritional value of the edible fruits of many of the species are yet to be investigated. This study aimed at investigating three *Ficus* species (*Ficus burtt-davyi*, *Ficus sur* Forssk and *Ficus sycomorous* Linn) that produce edible fruits and are indigenous to KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, as a source of secondary metabolites and essential dietary elements, due to their claimed medicinal and nutritional value. Plant material was subjected to chromatographic analyses and isolated compounds were identified using spectroscopic techniques and by comparison with previously reported data. Fruit and soil samples that were collected from sites within KwaZulu-Natal, were digested and analysed for macro, micro and toxic elements by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES).









The phytochemical investigation of *F. burtt-davyi* revealed the bark to be rich in pentacyclic triterpenoids (lupeol and lupeol acetate) and the known antioxidant flavonoid ((+)-catechin) whilst the leaves were rich in sterols ( $\beta$ -sitosterol, campesterol, and stigmasterol). Both leaves and fruits also contained phaeophytin **a**, lutein and  $\alpha$ -amyrin. This is the first phytochemical report on this species. The cytotoxic results indicated that lupeol and (+)-catechin, the most abundant bioactive compounds in the stem bark, were responsible for its synergistic cytotoxic effects against breast and colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines. This study supports the use of this plant species as a substitute for antioxidant supplements and as an alternative medicine for oxidative stress related


non-communicable chronic diseases in vulnerable communities. The phytochemical analysis of *F. sur* revealed two pharmacologically active triterpenoids (lupeol and sitosterol), one pheaphytin (pheaphytin **a**) and one flavonoid (epicatechin).

The analytical results indicated that the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi*, *F. sur* and *F. sycomorosa* are good sources of essential dietary elements and can contribute significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) to the recommended dietary allowances (RDAs) for most nutrients. The fruits of *F. sur* and *F. burtt-davyi* are good dietary sources of Se and Mn, respectively. The concentrations of As, Cd, and Pb were below the instrument detection limits in all three figs indicating that the species do not tend to accumulate these toxic elements. Data from this study showed that metal interactions in soil influenced their availability, but uptake was to a greater extent controlled by the plant. Statistical analyses revealed synergistic relationships in the plants, thereby confirming that uptake of elements is controlled to meet metabolic needs. Overall, this study validates the ethnomedicinal use of these figs and reveals the nutritional and medicinal benefits of consuming the indigenous edible fruits. It also addressed the need for analytical information on the elemental concentrations in indigenous edible fruits consumed in South Africa.

# SUMMARY OF COMPOUNDS ISOLATED

Compounds in subsequent chapters are not repeated





## ABBREVIATIONS

**Ac** - acetate

**ANOVA** - analysis of variance

**AOAC** - Association of analytical communities

**BAF**- bioaccumulation factor

**Br** - broad resonance

**CA**- cluster analysis

**cc** - column chromatography

**CEC**- cation exchange capacity

**<sup>13</sup>C-NMR** - C-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

**COSY** - correlated spectroscopy

**CRM**- certified reference material

**d** - doublet

**dd** - double doublet

**DEPT** - distortionless enhancement by polarisation transfer

**DRI** - dietary reference intake

**DPPH** - 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl

**EDTA**- ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

**EIMS** – electron impact-mass spectroscopy

**Ex** – exchangeable

**FRAP** – ferric reducing antioxidant potential

**GC-MS** - gas chromatography-mass spectrometry

**Hz** - Hertz

**<sup>1</sup>H-NMR** - proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy

**HMBC** - heteronuclear multiple bond coherence

**HRMS** – high resolution- mass spectrometry

**HSQC** - heteronuclear single quantum coherence

**ICP-OES** - inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry

**IR** - infrared

**m** - multiplet

**Me** - methyl

**MS** - mass spectrometry

**NOESY** - nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy

**RDA** – recommended dietary allowance

**RSA** - radical scavenging activity

**S** - singlet

**SD**- standard deviation

**SOM**- soil organic matter

**T** - triplet

**TLC** - thin-layer chromatography

**UL**- tolerable upper intake level

**UV** - ultraviolet

# DECLARATIONS

## *Declaration 1: Plagiarism*

I, Ogunlaja Olumuyiwa Olufisayo declare that:

1. The research reported in this thesis, except where otherwise indicated, is my original research.
2. This thesis has not been submitted for any degree or examination at any other university.
3. This thesis does not contain other persons' data, pictures, graphs or other information, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other persons.
4. This thesis does not contain other persons' writing, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other researchers. Where other written sources have been quoted, then:
  - a. Their words have been re-written but the general information attributed to them has been referenced
  - b. Where their exact words have been used, then their writing has been placed in italics and inside quotation marks, and referenced.
5. This thesis does not contain text, graphics or tables copied and pasted from the Internet, unless specifically acknowledged, and the source being detailed in the thesis and in the References sections.

Signed: .....

## ***Declaration 2: Publications and Conferences***

### ***Publication 1***

***Title:*** Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi*.

***Authors:*** Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda.

***Journal:*** Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica, **2016**, 73, 1593-1600.

### ***Publication 2***

***Title:*** Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *Ficus sur* (Moraceae).

***Authors:*** Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda.

***Journal:*** Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B, **2017**, 52(2): 84-91.

### ***Publication 3***

***Title:*** Cytotoxic activity of the bioactive principles from *Ficus burtt-davyi*.

***Authors:*** Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Moganavelli Singh, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda.

***Journal:*** Manuscript submitted to Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B on the 26<sup>th</sup> of June 2017 (Currently under review).

#### ***Publication 4***

**Title:** Antioxidant activity of bioactive principles from edible fruits and leaves of *Ficus sur* Forssk (Moraceae) found in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

**Authors:** Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda.

**Journal:** Manuscript submitted to Medicinal Chemistry Research on the 6<sup>th</sup> of June 2017 (Currently under review).

#### ***Conference contribution from this thesis***

1. **Title:** College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science Postgraduate Research Day, 29<sup>th</sup> November **2016**, UKZN Howard College Campus.

**Oral:** Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *Ficus sur* Forssk (Moraceae). (Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda)

2. **Title:** 20<sup>th</sup> International Conference of Functional Food Center (FFC) - 8<sup>th</sup> International Symposium of Academic Society of Functional Foods and Bioactive Compounds (ASFFBC) 22-23<sup>th</sup>, September **2016**, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.

**Poster:** Antioxidant and cytotoxicity activities of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi*. (Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Moganavelli Singh, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda)

3. **Title:** College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science Research Day 22<sup>nd</sup> September **2015**, UKZN Pietermaritzburg Campus.

**Poster:** Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and isolated compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi* (Moraceae). (*Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja*, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda)

4. **Title:** Royal Society of Chemistry's 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Natural Products Conference 23-25<sup>th</sup> September **2014**, Cape Town, South Africa.

**Poster:** Chemical constituents of leaves and stem bark of Uluzi (*Ficus burtt-davyi*). (*Olumuyiwa O. Ogunlaja*, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath, Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda)

In all of the publications, my role included carrying out all the experimental work and writing of the manuscripts. The co-authors contribution was that of an editorial nature and checking on the scientific content and correct interpretation.

Signed: .....

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to the loving memory of my beloved Dad, Mr. Taiwo Ogunlaja and  
Mum, Mrs Adebisi Ogunlaja

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge God, the Almighty, for giving me the strength, sound mind and health to pursue and realise this dream. I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. S.B. Jonnalagadda and Dr. R. Moodely. Thanks for your unshaken support and encouragement throughout my four years of study. I highly appreciate your support, passion, encouragement, guidance and above all, love during the duration of my PhD journey. My thanks also go to Prof. Himansu Baijnath for his professional expertise in the collection and identification of plant material for this study.

In addition, I wish to express my appreciation and gratitude to the many people who have in one way or another helped me over the course of study. In particular, I would like to thank the following:

- The technical team in the School of Chemistry & Physics at UKZN (Westville) for their assistance, in particular Anita Naidoo, Vashti Reddy, Unathi Bongoza, Greg Moodley, Edward Khathi and Dilip Jagjivan.
- Mr Lesly, School of Pharmacy at UKZN (Westville) for the grinding of plant materials.
- All the academic and administrative staff in the School of Chemistry & Physics.
- My colleagues in the Natural Products Research Group: Judie, Kimona, Bongie, Nomfoundo, Adele, Saba, Fiona, Esther, Kaalin, Samuel, Sola, Lamla, Suhas, Neal and Fidson, for the good working relationship in the laboratory and within the group.

My special thanks go to my friend Dr. (Mrs) Gbonjubola Awolola who has been very close to me back home and here in UKZN.

My special thanks also go to the “Chapel of Praise” The Redeemed Christian Church of God community in Durban, especially to Pastor and Pastor (Mrs.) Gabriel Adejimi, Dr and Mrs Oyesola, Dr. and Mrs Sogun and the Sunday school group. They have been my family in Durban, especially before my immediate family joined me in Durban.

My PhD dream would not have been attainable without the continued love, support, and encouragement of my wife, Dr. (Mrs) Aemere Ogunlaja, and my lovely sister, Mrs. Oluyemisi Beckley, who has been a strong influence in all of my accomplishments. Thank you for always being there for me.

To my children, Similoluwa, Oluwarotimi and Oluwawemimo, thank you for your patience and love all these years. I am grateful to God for keeping you and bringing you here in South Africa towards the completion of this journey, your presence at this time has been a source of strength and joy. I love you very much and I am looking forward to a blissful adventure together.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |      |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT.....   | iii  |
| SUMMARY OF COMPOUNDS ISOLATED .....                               | v    |
| ABBREVIATIONS .....   | vii  |
| DECLARATIONS .....  | x    |
| DEDICATION .....  | xiv  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....   | xv   |
| LIST OF TABLES .....  | xx   |
| LIST OF FIGURES .....   | xxii |
| CHAPTER 1 .....   | 1    |
| INTRODUCTION .....  | 1    |
| 1.1 Plant derived substances and traditional herbal medicine..... | 1    |
| 1.2 Rationale for the study .....                                 | 3    |
| 1.3 Aim and objectives of the study.....                          | 4    |
| REFERENCES.....   | 6    |
| CHAPTER 2 .....   | 8    |
| LITERATURE REVIEW .....   | 8    |
| 2.1 Nutrients.....  | 8    |
| 2.2 Soil .....  | 13   |
| 2.2.1 Soil formation and morphology .....                         | 14   |
| 2.2.2 Texture .....   | 16   |
| 2.2.3 Soil properties .....                                       | 17   |
| 2.3 Functional foods and plant based sources .....                | 21   |

|         |  |     |
|---------|--|-----|
| 2.4     | The genus <i>Ficus</i> .....   | 22  |
| 2.4.1   | Phylogeny of genus <i>Ficus</i> .....  | 22  |
| 2.4.2   | Ethnobotanical uses and biological activity of <i>Ficus</i> species .....  | 23  |
| 2.4.3   | A phytochemical review of the compounds from <i>Ficus</i> species .....  | 30  |
| 2.4.4   | Ethnobotanical review of the <i>Ficus</i> species selected for study.....  | 36  |
| 2.4.4.1 | <i>Ficus burtt-davyi</i> Hutchinson.....   | 36  |
| 2.4.4.2 | <i>Ficus sur</i> Forssk .....  | 37  |
| 2.4.4.3 | <i>Ficus sycomorus</i> Linn .....  | 39  |
|         | REFERENCES.....  | 41  |
|         | CHAPTER 3 .....  | 65  |
|         | Chemical constituents and <i>in vitro</i> antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of <i>Ficus burtt-davyi</i> Hutchinson..... | 65  |
|         | CHAPTER 4 .....  | 86  |
|         | Cytotoxic activity of the bioactive principles from <i>Ficus burtt-davyi</i> Hutchinson .....  | 86  |
|         | CHAPTER 5 .....  | 105 |
|         | Elemental composition of the edible fruits of <i>Ficus burtt-davyi</i> Hutchinson from different habitats in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. ....                       | 105 |
|         | <b>CHAPTER 6</b> .....   | 134 |
|         | <b>Antioxidant activity of the bioactive principles from the edible fruits and leaves of <i>Ficus sur</i> Forssk. (Moraceae)</b> .....                               | 134 |
|         | CHAPTER 7 .....  | 155 |
|         | Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of <i>Ficus sur</i> Forssk (Moraceae).....                     | 155 |
|         | CHAPTER 8 .....  | 180 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Elemental distribution and nutritional value of the edible fruits of <i>Ficus sycamorous</i> Linn ..... | 180 |
| CHAPTER 9 .....   | 204 |
| SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....  | 204 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 2-1: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) - Recommended Intakes for Individual. ....   | 8   |
| Table 2-2: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs): Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Ranges. ....  | 9   |
| Table 2-3: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs): Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (UL). ....  | 10  |
| Table 2-4: Chemical forms of metals in soil.....   | 12  |
| Table 2-5: Ethnobotanical uses and biological activities of <i>Ficus</i> species.....  | 25  |
| Table 3-1: Total phenolic content (TPC) and extraction yield (% , mg extract per g sample×100) of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> leaves and stem bark extracts. ....  | 75  |
| Table 3-2: IC <sub>50</sub> of different extracts and compounds from <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> for various antioxidant assays. ....  | 80  |
| Table 4-1: Cytotoxicity of the methanol (MeOH) extract of fruits (F) and stem bark (SB) and isolated compounds (lupeol, lupeol acetate, (+)-catechin and $\alpha$ --amyrin from <i>F.burtt-davyi</i> , and positive control (5-FU) against MCF-7, Caco-2 and HEK293 cell lines. .... | 96  |
| Table 5-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant (n = 8) and soil (n = 6) certified reference materials (CRM). ....  | 110 |
| Table 5-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (E) samples (Mean (standard deviation); 95% confidence interval, n = 3) and bioaccumulation factors (BAFs).....   | 115 |
| Table 5-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI). * (Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average concentration of elements (n = 8) in <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> fruits.....                        | 119 |
| Table 5-4: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).....  | 121 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Table 5-5: Inter-item correlation matrix for concentrations of elements in Fruits (F) and soil (S)<br>.....   | 124 |
| Table 7-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant and soil certified reference materials<br>(BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil). ....  | 165 |
| Table 7-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (Ex))<br>samples (Mean $\pm$ standard deviation; 95% confidence interval, n=3) and bioaccumulation factors<br>(BAFs).....                                | 166 |
| Table 7-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) (Recommended Dietary Allowance<br>(RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average<br>concentration of elements (n = 3) in <i>F. sur</i> fruits. ....           | 169 |
| Table 7-4: Discriminant analyses results for the studied sites. ....  | 171 |
| Table 7-5: Inter-item correlation matrix for concentration of elements in fruit (F) and soil (S). ....  | 172 |
| Table 7-6: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).....   | 173 |
| Table 8-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant (n = 8) and soil (n =6) certified reference<br>materials (CRMs).....   | 189 |
| Table 8-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (Ex))<br>samples (Mean $\pm$ standard deviation; 95% confidence interval, n = 3) and bioaccumulation factors<br>(BAFs).....                              | 190 |
| Table 8-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI). *(Recommended Dietary Allowance<br>(RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average<br>concentration of elements (n = 3) in <i>F. sycomorou</i> s fruits. .... | 194 |
| Table 8-4: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).....   | 195 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |               |
|---|---------------|
| Figure 1-1: Patterns of herbal utilisation. ....  | 2             |
| Figure 2-1: Cycling of chelated iron (Fe <sup>2+</sup> ) in soils. ....   | 133           |
| Figure 2-2: Average composition of soil. ....   | 144           |
| Figure 2-3: A general soil profile. ....  | 166           |
| Figure 2-4: Soil textural triangle. Note that a solid with 25% clay and 40% silt is loam. ....  | 177           |
| Figure 2-5: Simplified representation of exchange capacity. ....  | 2020          |
| Figure 2-6: Triterpenoids from <i>Ficus</i> species. ....   | 300           |
| Figure 2-7: Flavonoids from <i>Ficus</i> species. ....  | 322           |
| Figure 2-8: Alkaloids from <i>Ficus</i> species. ....   | 33            |
| Figure 2-9: Sterols from <i>Ficus</i> species. ....   | 34            |
| Figure 2-10: Coumarins from <i>Ficus</i> species. ....  | 35            |
| Figure 2-11: <i>Ficus burtt-davyi</i> Hutchinson. ....  | 36            |
| Figure 2-12: <i>Ficus sur</i> Forssk. ....  | 37            |
| Figure 2-13: <i>Ficus sycomorus</i> Linn. ....  | 39            |
| Figure 3-1: Chemical structures of compounds (A1-A8) isolated from <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> . ....   | <b>Error!</b> |
| <b>Bookmark not defined.6</b>   |               |
| Figure 3-2: DPPH radical scavenging activity of MeOH extracts of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> stem bark (B), leaves (L), phaeophytin a, (+)-catechin and ascorbic acid .....               | 777           |
| Figure 3-3: Reducing power of MeOH extracts of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> stem bark B(MeOH), leaves L(MeOH), isolated compounds (phaeophytin a and (+)-catechin) and ascorbic acid ..... | 788           |

|   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Figure 4-1: Chemical structures of compounds A-6 and A-9 isolated from the fruits of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> .....  | 944                                   |
| Figure 4-2: Cell viability by MTT assay after exposure of MCF-7 cells to different concentrations of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> methanol extract (stem bark) (MeOH (SB)), isolated compounds ((+)-catechin and lupeol), DMSO vehicle control (VC) and positive control, 5- fluorouracil (5-FU).....  | 977                                   |
| Figure 4-3: Cell viability by MTT assay after exposure of Caco-2 cells to different concentrations of <i>F. burtt-davyi</i> methanol extract (stem bark) (MeOH (SB)), isolated compounds ((+)-catechin and lupeol), DMSO vehicle control (VC) and positive control, 5- fluorouracil (5-FU)..... | 988                                   |
| Figure 5-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.....  | 1099                                  |
| Figure 5-2: Factor loading plot (showing the four distinct principal components and their significant relationship) for 12 element in soil (constructed for 8 sampling sites).....  | 1222                                  |
| Figure 5-3: Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram showing the relationship between the studied sites using Ward`s method by Euclidean distance. ....   | 1233                                  |
| Figure 6-1. Chemical structures of the compounds A-1 ( $\beta$ -sitosterol), A-4 (phaeophytin a), A-6 (lupeol), B-1 (epicatechin) isolated from <i>F. sur</i> . ....  | <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> 2 |
| Figure 6-2. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of MeOH extract of <i>F. sur</i> (fruits and leaves), epicatechin and ascorbic acid.....   | <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> 5 |
| Figure 6-3. Reducing power of the MeOH extract of <i>F. sur</i> (fruits and leaves), epicatechin and ascorbic acid. ....  | <b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b> 6 |
| Figure 7-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.....  | 1599                                  |
| Figure 7-2: PCA analysis loading 3-D plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs PC3) for 12 heavy metals. ....   | 1744                                  |
| Figure 8-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.....  | 1844                                  |
| Figure 8-2: 3-D PCA analysis loading plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs PC3) for 12 elements in soil. ....   | 1966                                  |

Figure 8-3: Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram for 12 elements in soil using Ward`s method  
by Euclidean distance . ..... 1977

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Plant derived substances and traditional herbal medicine

The World Health Organisation (WHO) defines medicinal plants as any plant which has one or more of its organs consisting of substances which are capable of being used for therapeutic purposes, or which are precursors for chemo-pharmaceutical semi synthesis (WHO, 1978). Plant-derived substances have recently become of great interest due to their versatile applications and, over the years, medicinal plants have demonstrated the potential to be the richest bio-resource of herbal drugs of traditional systems, modern medicine and chemical entities for synthetic drugs (Goldfrank *et al.*, 1982; Rates, 2001). Any part of the plant including the leaves, root, flowers, bark, seeds and fruits can be a source of natural plant constituents. In developing countries, more and more people rely on traditional herbal medicine for their healthcare needs (Cunningham, 1985; Shackleton *et al.*, 2001). The use of plants and plant concoctions in healthcare is predominant in areas with inadequate modern health services (Saeed *et al.*, 2004). Plants for therapeutic purposes, dates back several centuries; this is well documented by the Indians, the ancient Chinese, and North African civilisations (WHO, 1978). The pattern of medicinal plant utilisation is depicted in Figure 1-1.

Studies show that 70-80% of Africans utilise and rely on traditional medicine for their healthcare needs (Goggin *et al.*, 2009; Gqaleni *et al.*, 2007; Mahlangeni *et al.*, 2014; Olsen, 1998). In South Africa, approximately 700 indigenous plant species are used by 60% of the indigenes as traditional

medicine (Meyer and Afoloyan, 1995). It is also reported that approximately 20 000 tonnes of South African indigenous plant material are used each year with an average of 750 g being consumed by individual traditional medicine user per use, and at least 3 visits/uses annually (Mander, 1998). In addition, gathered wild fruits have been reported to make up to more than 50% of the fruits consumed by the local people in a study involving 150 rural households across three villages in the Northern province and KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa yearly (Herzog *et al.*, 1993; Shackleton *et al.*, 2002).




Figure 1-1: Patterns of herbal utilisation.  
(Riewpaiboon, 2004)

This dependence on wild edible fruits and indigenous plants as better alternatives for healthcare is enhanced since the use of most of the plants, aside from being quite affordable, incorporates practices which are based on religious beliefs and social-cultural norms. Regardless of the reasons for seeking out traditional medicine, there is little doubt that interest in this practice has grown, and will almost certainly continue to grow around the world. Although intensive research has been

carried out on the isolation of bioactive compounds from medicinal plants, many species remain unstudied due to the large plant biodiversity. A phytochemical investigation of such medicinal plants could lead to the isolation of novel bioactive compounds with pharmacological importance.

## **1.2 Rationale for the study**

In the rural areas of South Africa, people depend on trees growing in the wild for fruits due to accessibility and affordability, thereby inadvertently exploiting the therapeutic and nutraceutical potential of such fruits. In modern pharmacognosy, *Ficus* (Moraceae) is one of the understudied genera among more than 850 genera, despite the fruits being consumed by animals and humans and despite the leaves, bark and twigs being used as medicine. *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson, *Ficus sur* Forssk and *Ficus sycomorus* Linn are three medicinal species with edible fruits found in South Africa. Although biological studies of some of the *Ficus* species in South Africa have been conducted, phytochemical information on the parts used medicinally and nutritional information on the edible parts of most *Ficus* species has not been documented. Plant derived substances are used in both folk and modern medicine for the treatment and management of numerous human diseases. The phytochemical screening of new plants can lead to the isolation and identification of bioactive compounds that can serve as potential drug leads towards new therapies for the effective treatment and management of human diseases. In addition, heavy metals from the environment can bioaccumulate in the fruits of these indigenous figs and consumption of the fruits, if contaminated, can result in metal toxicities and adverse health effects. A nutritional evaluation through assessment of the levels of essential and toxic elements in edible fruits is imperative since human exposure to toxic metals through the agricultural food chain may occur especially when

levels of these elements are not phytotoxic. There is therefore a need to phytochemically and analytically investigate *Ficus* species to add to the base of knowledge on this genus.

### **1.3 Aim and objectives of the study**

This study aims to phytochemically and analytically investigate three medicinal *Ficus* species that produce edible fruits and that are indigenous to KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa namely *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson, *Ficus sur* Forssk and *Ficus sycomorus* Linn, as possible sources of secondary metabolites, hence validate their ethnomedicinal use, and to determine the nutritional value of the edible fruits.

The objectives of the study were:

- To extract and isolate the secondary metabolites from various parts of the *Ficus* species under investigation.
- To identify and characterise isolated secondary metabolites using various spectroscopic techniques such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), Infrared Spectroscopy (IR), Ultraviolet-Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis), and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy (GC-MS).
- To identify suitable bioassays, based on classification of the compounds isolated and to test the isolated compounds for their biological activities thereby promoting further use of the plants or validating their ethnomedicinal use.
- To determine the nutritional value of the fruits by comparing the elemental concentrations in the fruits to recommended dietary allowances (RDAs).

- To determine the effect of geographical location and soil quality on elemental content of fruits and hence determine their impact on elemental uptake.
- To determine and assess metal contamination in fruits and hence determine their safety for consumption.

## REFERENCES

- Cunningham, A.B. (1985). The resource value of indigenous plants to rural people in a low agricultural potential area. University of Cape Town, South Africa.
- Goggin, K., Pinkston, M., Gqaleni, N., Puoane, T., Wilson, D., Berkley-Patton, J., and Martinez, D.A. (2009). The role of South African health practitioners in HIV/AIDS prevention and treatment. In: Pope, C., White, R., Malow, R. (Eds.), *HIV/AIDS Global Frontiers in Prevention and Intervention*. Routledge Taylor and Francis, New York.
- Goldfrank, L., Lewin, N., Flomenbaum, N., and Howland, M.A. (1982). The Pernicious Panacea: Herbal Medicine. *Hospital Physician* 18, 64–69.
- Gqaleni, N., Moodley, I., Kruger, H., Ntuli, A., and McLeod, H. (2007). In: Harrison, S., Bhana, R., Ntuli, A. (Eds.), *Traditional and Complementary Medicine*. *South African Health Review*, 175–188.
- Herzog, F., Farah, Z., and Amado, R. (1993). Nutritive value of four wild leafy vegetables in Côte d’Ivoire. *International Journal for Vitamin and Nutritional Research* 63, 234-238.
- Mahlangeni, N.T., Moodley, R., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2014). Elemental composition of *Cyrtanthus obliquus* and *Lippia javanica* used in South African herbal tonic, Imbiza. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* 12, 28-34.
- Mander, M. (1998). Medicinal plant marketing in Bushbuckridge and Mpumalanga: A market survey and recommended strategies for sustaining the supply of plants in the region. Unpublished report. Darudec and DWAF, South Africa.
- Meyer, J.J.M., and Afoloyan, A.J. (1995). Antibacterial activity of *Helichrysum aureonitens* (Asteraceae). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 47, 109-111.

- Olsen, C.S. (1998). The trade in medicinal and aromatic plants from central Nepal to Northern India. *Journal of Economic Botany* 52, 279-292.
- Rates, S.M.K. (2001). Plants as source of drugs. *Toxicon* 39, 603-613.
- Riewpaiboon, A. (2004). Increasing herbal product consumption in Thailand during the period 2001–2003. *Second International Conference in Improving Use of Medicines*. Chiang Mai, Thailand.
- Saeed, M., Arshad, M., Ahmad, E., and Ishaque, M. (2004). Ethnophytotherapies for the treatment of various diseases by the local people of selected areas of NWFP. (Pakistan). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 7, 1104-1108.
- Shackleton, C.M., Shackleton, S.E., and Cousins, B. (2001). The role of land-based strategies in rural livelihoods: The contribution of arable production, animal husbandry and natural resource harvesting in communal areas in South Africa. *Development Southern Africa* 18, 581-604.
- Shackleton, S.E., Shackleton, C.M., Netshiluvhi, T.R., Geach, B.S, Ballance, A., and Fairbanks, D.H.K. (2002). Use patterns and value of Savannah resources in three rural villages in South Africa. *Economic Botany* 56, 130-146.
- World Health Organisation (WHO). (1978). The promotion and development of traditional medicine. World Health Organisation, Geneva. (Technical reports series no. 622).

## CHAPTER 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Nutrients

Vitamins and minerals are classified as micronutrients (minerals required in very small amounts) and macronutrients that include minerals required in larger amounts, carbohydrates, proteins and fats (McDowell, 2003; Walker, 1990). Minerals that are essential to man include Fe, Ca, Ni, Co, Cu, Mg, Cr, Mn, Zn, and Se and most of these are essential at low concentrations. Table 2-1 shows the recommended dietary allowances (RDAs) for essential elements set to be adequate for 97 to 98% of the individuals in the defined class (FNB, 2011).

**Table 2-1: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) - Recommended Intakes for Individual.**

| Life stage     | Ca<br>(mg/d) | Cr<br>(ug/d) | Cu<br>(ug/d) | Fe<br>(mg/d) | Mg<br>(mg/d) | Mn<br>(mg/d) | Se<br>(ug/d) | Zn<br>(mg/d) |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| <b>Males</b>   |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| 14-18yrs       | 1,300        | 35           | 890          | 11           | 410          | 2.2          | 55           | 11           |
| 19-50yrs       | 1,000        | 35           | 900          | 8            | 400          | 2.3          | 55           | 11           |
| >51yrs         | 1,200        | 30           | 900          | 8            | 420          | 2.3          | 55           | 11           |
| <b>Females</b> |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| 14-18yrs       | 1,300        | 24           | 890          | 15           | 360          | 1.6          | 55           | 9            |
| 19-50yrs       | 1,000        | 25           | 900          | 18           | 310          | 1.8          | 55           | 8            |
| >51yrs         | 1,200        | 20           | 900          | 8            | 320          | 1.8          | 55           | 8            |

Recommended intakes for individuals <sup>a, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA)-Daily intake adequate for 97 to 98% of healthy individuals each defined class. <sup>b</sup> Sourced from: Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academies, 2011.

In addition, Table 2-2 shows the Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Ranges towards the Dietary Reference Intakes for most individuals (FNB, 2011).

**Table 2-2: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs): Acceptable Macronutrient Distribution Ranges.**

| <b>Macronutrient</b> | <b>Children, 1–3 y</b> | <b>Children, 4–18 y</b> | <b>Adults</b> |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
|                      | <b>%</b>               | <b>%</b>                | <b>%</b>      |
| <b>Carbohydrate</b>  | 45–65                  | 45–65                   | 45–65         |
| <b>Protein</b>       | 5–20                   | 10–30                   | 10–35         |
| <b>Fat</b>           | 30–40                  | 25–35                   | 20–35         |

Sourced from: Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academies, 2011.

From a nutritional perspective, fruits are foods that are lower in energy but higher in phytochemicals, micronutrients and bioactive compounds compared to other food sources. Fruits are important to the human diet because they contribute towards the components that are essential for overall wellbeing such as trace elements, vitamins, carbohydrates, minerals and proteins (Itanna, 2002). Many investigations have reported a strong correlation between fruit consumption and reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, hypertension, chronic respiratory disease, hypercholesterolemia and non-communicable diseases (WHO/FAO, 2003; Dragsted *et al.*, 2006; Esmailzadeh *et al.*, 2006; Norman *et al.*, 2006; 2007; Heidemann *et al.*, 2008; Stea *et al.*, 2008; Mayosi *et al.*, 2009). Epidemiological reports have also suggested that a diet rich in antioxidant-rich fruits significantly reduced the risk of many cancers (Bradbury *et al.*, 2014;

Genkinger *et al.*, 2004; Rahman, 2001) including oesophageal, lung and gender specific breast / cervical cancer in adult females, and prostate cancer in adult males (Norman *et al.*, 2006). In children, the nutrition related conditions that fruit consumption can ameliorate include vitamin A deficiency, malnutrition and obesity (Bosman *et al.*, 2011; Mamabolo *et al.*, 2006).

Based on dose-response effect, WHO recommends consumption of 160 g and 240 g of fruits each day in children aged 0-4 years and 5-14 years, respectively (WHO/FAO, 2003; Lock *et al.*, 2004). It also recommends an intake of 600 g per day of fruit and vegetables in adults. Although the Department of Health, South Africa, focuses mainly on undernutrition, they have set strategic objectives for nutrition-related chronic diseases leading to the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines (FBDGs). These guidelines recommend the consumption of plenty of fruits every day.

**Table 2-3: Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs): Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (UL).**

| <b>Males/Females</b> | <b>As</b> | <b>Ca</b>    | <b>Cr</b> | <b>Cu</b>     | <b>Fe</b>     | <b>Mg</b>                 | <b>Mn</b>     | <b>Se</b>     | <b>Zn</b>     |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| <b>(Life Stages)</b> |           | <b>(g/d)</b> |           | <b>(ug/d)</b> | <b>(mg/d)</b> | <b>(mg/d)<sup>c</sup></b> | <b>(mg/d)</b> | <b>(ug/d)</b> | <b>(mg/d)</b> |
| <b>9-13yrs</b>       | ND        | 2.5          | ND        | 5,000         | 40            | 350                       | 6             | 280           | 23            |
| <b>14-18yrs</b>      | ND        | 2.5          | ND        | 8,000         | 45            | 350                       | 9             | 400           | 34            |
| <b>19-70yrs</b>      | ND        | 2.5          | ND        | 10,000        | 45            | 350                       | 11            | 400           | 40            |
| <b>&gt;70yrs</b>     | ND        | 2.5          | ND        | 10,000        | 45            | 350                       | 11            | 400           | 40            |

Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (UL)<sup>a b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>UL = Maximum level of daily nutrient intake that is indicative of no possible adverse effect.

<sup>b</sup> Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academies.

<sup>c</sup> Intake from pharmacological source only. ND = Not detected.

Table 2-3 provides the Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (ULs) for the essential elements. This is the maximum level of daily nutrient intake that is indicative of no possible adverse effects in humans. Elemental concentrations in food, whether essential or non-essential, is of global concern as industrialisation has negatively affected forests and agricultural land in most parts of the world which has led to elevated concentrations of nutrients in food that are proving to be toxic (Ajasa *et al.*, 2004; Al-Alawi and Mandiwana, 2007; Erdemoglu and Basgel, 2006; Maksimović *et al.*, 1999).

Minerals that are essential to plants include Mg, Ca, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Ni, Se and Zn however plants also contain toxic elements such as As, Cd and Pb. Essential and non-essential elements are found in soil, from which plants get their nutrients. The availability of metals in growth-soil, as well as the affinity of edible fruits for these metals can play a major role in their translocation and bioaccumulation. Generally, the first step in elemental bioaccumulation by plants is uptake from the soil and this depends on the absorption ability of the root surface. Elemental entry into the human food chain *via* the agricultural route by the root is governed by elemental mobility and availability (John and Leventhal, 1996). The element can either be moved through diffusion to the root or the root can grow to meet the element through a solution phase.

Factors that control elemental availability through soil-plant associations are solubility, complexation formation and chelation, and these are regulated by soil pH, organic matter content, ionic exchange and other biological processes (Violante *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, redox reactions can mobilise or immobilise metals, by controlling the oxidation state which is subject to metal species and this is of great importance in controlling their mobility and toxicity; such elements include Pb, Cr, Se, As, Ni, Co and Cu (Violante *et al.*, 2010). Elemental solubility in the soil–

liquid matrices determines its mobility and bioavailability. At a given time, only some of the total elemental concentration in the soil will be available in soil solution for uptake by the plant whilst the rest would be bound to the soil matrix. Table 2-4 shows the mobility of an element based on the fraction to which the element is complexed.

**Table 2-4: Chemical forms of metals in soil.**

|          | <b>FRACTION</b>                | <b>MOBILITY</b> |
|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| <b>T</b> | In pore water (dissolved)      | High            |
| <b>O</b> | Weakly adsorbed (exchangeable) | High            |
| <b>T</b> | Associated with carbonates     | High            |
| <b>A</b> | Associated with Fe, Mn oxides  | Moderate        |
| <b>L</b> | Complexed by organics          | Moderate        |
|          | Associated with sulfide        | Low             |
|          | In the mineral lattice         | Low             |

Source: Gunn *et al.*, 1988; Salomons, 1995.

Organic substances can form chelates (soluble compounds) which bind elements such as Mn, Fe, Zn and Cu thereby increasing their solubility and their availability to plants (Clemens *et al.*, 1990; Havlin *et al.*, 1999). This is achieved by keeping the cation from interacting with other inorganic compounds *via* the formation of a ring around the cation in the solution, so that it diffuses easily from the soil into the root (Brady and Weil, 1999). Figure 2-1 shows the dynamics of chelation for uptake of Fe by the root of the plant. The cation-chelate complex can be absorbed by the root into its membrane before releasing the elemental cation from the complex or the cation-chelate complex liberates the elemental cation prior to absorption by the root. The two mechanisms end

up with the absorption of the elemental cation by the root and the binding of chelates to other metals after its return to the soil solution.




Figure 2-1: Cycling of chelated iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) in soils.

Source: McCauley *et al.* (2009).

## 2.2 Soil

The Soil Science Society of America, defines soil as “the unconsolidated mineral or organic matter on the surface of the earth that has been subjected to and shows effects of inherent and environmental factors of climate (water and temperature effects), and macro and micro-organisms, conditioned by relief, acting on parent material over a period of time” (David, 2008). It serves as a natural medium for growth of land plants and as a source of nutrients for these land plants. Generally, soil consist of the following basic components: water, mineral particles, organic matter and air (Brady and Ray, 2002) and organic matter further consists of living organisms, humus, and roots (Brady and Ray, 2002). Humus is usually formed from decayed organic matter and can be described as semi-soluble organic substances having a dark colour. Figure 2-2 shows the average composition of soil in good condition for optimal plant growth (Pidwirny, 2006). Under ideal

conditions, good soil volume would be 50% soil pores and 50% solid material with the pores containing 50% air and 50% water for optimal plant moisture. (Pidwirny, 2006).




Figure 2-2: Average composition of soil.  
(Pidwirny, 2006).

### 2.2.1 Soil formation and morphology

Soil is weathered mineral materials of rock resulting from several thousand years of chemical and physical processes, influenced by environmental factors (water, temperature and wind abrasions) and biological agents (animals and plants) whose impact physically wear the rock and minerals. Weathering due to physical influences results in the exposure of larger surface area which will ultimately weather by chemical processes through different aqueous chemical reactions in the course soil formation. Carbon dioxide, a by-product of respiration and decay of living organisms could dissolve in pore water (found in pore spaces) forming carbonic acid, which is capable of dissolving minerals. The weathering processes, both chemical and physical, occur simultaneously, thereby enhancing each other and hastening soil-forming processes. The process of soil formation

produces five visible distinct layers called horizons (O, A, B, C and R) in the soil profile (Pidwirny, 2006).

Soil horizons are characterised by the texture, colour, structure and consistency of the soil and have varying chemical composition. The topmost layer is the O horizon consisting mainly of plant litter at various degrees of decay (Pidwirny, 2006). This layer is followed by the A horizon, where it is a layer with mixture of humus, mineral particles and other organic materials. It is characterised by greyish to dark-brown to black colour and it is usually porous and light in texture. The A horizon is also known to have maximum biological activity. This horizon has a darker upper layer indicating organic accumulation, with another layer below indicating loss of material (Pidwirny, 2006). The B horizon is a soil layer consisting mostly of minerals under strong influence of illuviation as it collects and accumulates most of the iron, clay, aluminium and silicates of the soil. The C horizon is composed of weathered parent rock material. The C horizon has a varied texture and varying particles sizes from clay to boulders. The influence of translocation, organic changes and pedogenic developments do not significantly affect the C horizon (McCauley *et al.*, 2009). The final layer in a typical soil profile, R horizon, if present, consists of unweathered bedrock (McCauley *et al.*, 2009). Figure 2-3 shows the basic soil horizons.




Figure 2-3: A general soil profile.  
(USDA-NRCS, 2011).

### 2.2.2 Texture

Mineral particle sizes are distributed differently and this determines the texture of any particular soil (Pidwirny, 2006). The coarseness or fineness of these mineral particles in the soil is called the texture of the soil. The different sizes of particles and their amount relative to each other determines the texture of soil. Soil texture determines the movement of water, air and plant root penetration. Sand (0.05 to 2 mm in diameter), silt (0.002 to 0.05 mm in diameter) and clay (< 0.002 mm in diameter) are the major classes of soil. Clay particles which have the largest surface area to volume ratio, are highly reactive and have affinity for or can hold positively charged nutrient ions. Figure 2-4 shows the 12 primary classes of soil texture based on the amount of the major mineral particles (clay, silt and sand) (Smith and Smith, 1990; USDA-NRCS, 2011).




Figure 2-4: Soil textural triangle. Note that a solid with 25% clay and 40% silt is loam. (USDA-NRCS, 2011).

### 2.2.3 Soil properties

For plants to take up nutrients they need to be in the right form, soluble or weakly bound in soil solution. Plants are primarily able to take up the ionic form of nutrients *via* their roots and many of these nutrients are taken up as cations. The main soil parameters that govern the processes of sorption, desorption and mobility of elements in the soil are water content, pH, soil organic matter (SOM) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) (Pongrac *et al.*, 2007). A change in these soil physical and chemical conditions directly influences the availability of elements or nutrients for plant uptake.

### 2.2.3.1 pH

The pH of soil is considered as the main soil property that controls the biological and chemical processes in the soil environment (Vangheluwe *et al.*, 2005). Soil pH affects how the nutrients react with each other, their solubility and hence the availability of nutrients and heavy metals in soil for uptake by plants (Smith, 1996). Theoretically, soil pH is defined as a measure of the hydrogen ion ( $H^+$ ) concentration in solution present in soil pores which is in dynamic equilibrium with the predominantly negative charged surfaces of the soil particles (Vangheluwe *et al.*, 2005). Consequently, the number of the binding sites for cations on the soil particles is mainly dependent on the soil pH. This is also known as the measure of acidity and alkalinity in soil solution. Generally, with acidic soils, there is increase in the trace metals mobility because there is a greater chance of cation exchange reactions occurring (Pakade *et al.*, 2013). As the soil pH increases, the bioavailability of heavy metal cations decreases (Alloy, 1995; Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 2001). When soils are alkaline, there is decreased solubility which hampers the cations availability; this can result in increased susceptibility to leaching or erosion losses in very acidic soils, the opposite holds for the availability of anions. The best soil pH levels for overall nutrient availability are levels near 7. These pH levels enhance soil microorganism activity and encourages crop tolerance. Chemical amendments change soil pH and they may only be effective for a relatively short period and generally lack economic viability.

At low pH, beneficial elements such as Ca and Mg become less available to plants with availability reaching highest within a pH range of 6.5 to 8 (McCauley *et al.*, 2009). The availability of other elements such as Mn, Cu, Zn and Fe increases in the 5 - 7 pH range (McCauley *et al.*, 2009) and increased Mn uptake may result in levels that are toxic to plants. In addition, there is decrease in

organic matter decomposers (bacterial population) and total hindrance of bacterial activity in highly acidic soil, thereby causing in build-up of organic matter and bound cation nutrients (Brady and Ray, 2008). Soil pH is greatly influenced by  $\text{NH}_4^+$  fertilisers, organic matter decomposition, weathering of minerals and parent material, land management practices and climate (Brady and Ray, 2008; Foth, 1990).

### **2.2.3.2 Soil organic matter (SOM)**

Soil organic matter (SOM) consists of varying degrees of decaying animal and plant remains (Moodley *et al.*, 2012). It is the main source of energy and food for soil flora and fauna which provide them with metabolic energy, enables biological processes, provides macro- and micro-nutrients and ensures the storage and adequate release of nutrients and energy (McCauley, 2005; Pidwirny, 2006). Humus is a reactive and important part of SOM, contributing to the soil's ability to retain nutrients on the exchange sites (McCauley *et al.*, 2005; 2009). SOM consists of approximately 35-50% humus (Prasad and Power, 1997). It serves as a reservoir of nutrients, trace elements and water in the soil. SOM consists of negative charges due to dissociation of organic acids, which have affinity to adsorb metal cations, reduces leaching by water thereby increasing its availability to plants (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002; Vangheluwe *et al.*, 2005). In addition, SOM enhances the availability of nutrients by increasing the soil's cation exchange capacity (CEC), providing chelates, thus, increasing the solubility of some nutrients in the soil solution (McCauley, 2005). Consequently, as SOM increases, availability and uptake of nutrients increases. Soil texture, temperature, vegetation, rainfall, rate of decomposition, parent material and landscape are factors known to affect SOM (McCauley, 2009). Thus, soil organic matter increases with increasing decomposition.

### 2.2.3.3 Cation exchange capacity (CEC)

The cation exchange capacity (CEC) is the potential of the soil to interchange cations such as  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  and  $\text{K}^+$  between soil solution and clay mineral or organic complexes (Chapman, 1965). Clay mineral and SOM provide the negatively charged sites (exchange sites) that positively charged ions (cations) are attracted to and where they are retained. The exchange sites are formed because of the dissociation of  $\text{H}^+$  ions in phenolic groups and carboxylic acid found in the organic structures in the soil (Taiz and Zeiger, 2002). As soil pH increases, the number of negative charges on clay mineral and organic matter increases, which increases the CEC. Figure 2-5 shows a simplified representation of CEC based on a primarily negatively charged colloid attracting cations at the exchange sites.




Figure 2-5: Simplified representation of exchange capacity.

(Brady and Ray, 2002).

At elevated levels, heavy metals and trace elements have the potential to be toxic, therefore monitoring soil properties is vital. In some cases, the mineral and heavy metal concentrations could be because of geographical variations, plant's genetic factors, efficiency of mineral uptake, different growth conditions, irrigation with polluted water, soil fertility, farm practices, industrial

pollution and the analytical procedure employed (Ozcan and Akgul, 1998; Rehman *et al.*, 2008; Sial *et al.*, 2006). Constituents of wild medicinal plants have received much research investigation and reporting, according to a literature survey (Aloskar *et al.*, 1992). However, the influence of inorganic elements on the biosynthesis of the plants pharmacologically-active chemicals has been poorly investigated (Aloskar *et al.*, 1992).

### **2.3 Functional foods and plant based sources**

The idea of “functional foods” was first introduced around mid-1980s and by the 1990s, consumers began to view food from a radically different vantage point. Functional food is defined as any food or food component that may provide benefits beyond basic nutrition and may prevent disease or promote health (Hasler, 2000). Today, there is increasing demand for food that contain components with healing and protective ability. Among several factors driving this intense interest, is the unstable cost of healthcare for chronic diseases and recent scientific reports associating foods and/or food constituents to ideal health status. Such foods include fruits, grains, fortified foods, beverages, vegetables, and some dietary supplements. Currently, functional properties of many indigenous foods are undergoing studies and development (Hasler, 2000). Waltham (1998) estimated that the market value of functional foods in the United States of America was 28.9 billion USD, but more significantly, perhaps, is that there is the possibility of functional foods to improve health, alleviate diseases, and reduce costs for health care. Furthermore, there are emerging economic possibilities for most developing countries with more research findings on functional foods. This is because such countries have traditional know-how of the health effects of plant species indigenous to them and are naturally bestowed with species richness and abundance. For

instance, packaged functional foods in Japan in 2015 had a retail value of \$8.5 billion, an 8% increase from the \$7.8 billion retail value in 2010 (Acheson, 2016).

South Africa, with over 22 000 species, representing about 10% of the world's species in biodiversity, is considered as a hotspot in the nutraceutical (Functional foods) industry, but relatively few of these plants are utilised (Coetzee *et al.*, 1999; Williams *et al.*, 2006). Increasing evidence from epidemiological, *in vitro*, *in vivo* as well as clinical trial data indicate that the danger of chronic diseases, mostly cancer, can be reduced by consuming a plant-rich diet (Block, 1992; Block *et al.*, 1992; Bradbury *et al.*, 2014; Hung *et al.*, 2004; Key, 2011; Marmot, 2011; Rahman and Lowe, 2006; Takachi *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2014). The current burden of disease in South Africa, coupled with the cost of modern health services makes it important to investigate and determine the functional food properties of indigenous plant-based foods such as indigenous fruits.

## **2.4 The genus *Ficus***

### **2.4.1 Phylogeny of genus *Ficus***

The genus *Ficus* belongs to the Moraceae family (Mulberry family, comprising 40 known genera) (Woodland, 1997) and one of the most populous in number of species of all plant genera because of its remarkably large variation in the habitats of its species (Herre *et al.*, 2008). Moraceae is found mainly in the tropics and the semi-tropical regions. They include a variety of shrubs, herbs and trees, characterised by the presence of laticifier and milky sap in all parenchymatous tissues (Duncan and Duncan, 1988; Everett, 1968; Godfrey, 1988). The Moraceae, of the order Urticales, class Magnoliopsida and division Magnoliophyta are dicotyledons and flowering plants. Five

tribes of Moraceae are known: Artocarpeae, Moreae, Dorstenieae, Ficeae, and Castilleae (Rohwer *et al.*, 1993).

Ficeae has only one genus, *Ficus*, with more than 800 species of shrubs, woody trees, vines, epiphytes and hemi-epiphytes, largely distributed in the tropics and subtropics (Berg, 1989; Datwyler and Weiblen, 2004; Lansky and Paavilainen, 2011). They can be either monoecious with bisexual inflorescences or dioecious (Berg and Corner, 2005; Weiblen, 2000). The Asian-Australian region is the main habitat for most species where 500 species are known constituting 66% of the world's species while Central and South America (Neotropical region) is a habitat to approximately 132 species (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). Presently, there are 112 recognised species in Africa (south of the Sahara, including Madagascar) (Afrotropical region), of which 36 are found in Southern Africa (Botswana, Namibia, Mozambique south of the Zambezi River, Zimbabwe and South Africa) (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). There are twenty five *Ficus* species in South Africa, two of which are sub-endemic, *F. burtt-davyi* Hutchinson (found in the southern part of Mozambique) and *F. tettensis* Hutchinson (found in Botswana and Zimbabwe) and one which is endemic, *F. bizanae* Hutch. and Burtt-Davy (Burrows and Burrows, 2003).

Collectively, the *Ficus* genus is commonly referred to as fig trees or figs. Edible figs occupy a significant nutritional position globally, particularly in regions with climates that sustain them (Lansky and Paavilainen, 2011).

#### **2.4.2 Ethnobotanical uses and biological activity of *Ficus* species**

For many centuries, *Ficus* species, have been used in medicine with widespread and diverse applications. Generally, they are used as sources of fruit and for several purposes in traditional

medicine. A list of the more prevalent *Ficus* species, their uses in traditional medicine and their biological activities are presented in Table 2-5.

**Table 2-5: Ethnobotanical uses and biological activities of *Ficus* species**

| <b>Species</b>         | <b>Plant part</b>              | <b>Ethnobotanical uses</b>   | <b>Biological activity</b>   | <b>Reference</b>  |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| <i>F. asperifolia</i>  | Leaf, latex, fruits, stem bark | Cancers, tumours, colic, conjunctivitis, fever, gout, headache, haemorrhoids, abortifacient, menstruation, venereal diseases | Fibroblast stimulation, antioxidant, antimicrobial                           | Annan and Houghton, 2008; Ayensu, 1978; Burkill, 1985; Vasileva, 1969   |
| <i>F. awkeostang</i>   | Root                           | Liver disease  | Cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, inhibition of proliferation in leukaemia cells | Chang <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Yang <i>et al.</i> , 1987   |
| <i>F. benghalensis</i> | Stem bark, latex, fruits, root | Warts, asthma, boils, cough, diarrhoea   | Antioxidant, anthelmintic, anti-tumour                                       | Aswar <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Augusti <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Reddy <i>et al.</i> , 1989; Shukla <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| <i>F. benjamina</i>    | Leaves                         |  | Anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive, antipyretic                              | Farag, 2005   |

|                      |   |   |  |   |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| <i>F. carica</i>     | Fruit, root, stem bark leaves and latex | Colic, indigestion, loss of appetite, diarrhoea, sore throat, cough, bronchial problems, anti-inflammatory, bee sting, eczema, cardiovascular remedy, haemorrhoids, head wounds | Cytotoxicity, antiplatelet, antioxidant, anticancer, haemostatic, hypoglycaemic, hypolipidemic, anti- human herpes simplex virus (HSV) | Burkill, 1935; Canal <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Gilani <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Hemmatzadeh <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Perez <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 1999; Ponelope, 1997; Richter <i>et al.</i> , 2002; Rubnov <i>et al.</i> , 2001; Solomon <i>et al.</i> , 2006; Vinson <i>et al.</i> , 2005; Yazicioglu and Tuzlaci, 1996; Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2004 |
| <i>F. elastic</i>    | Latex, roots                            | Roundworms and tapeworms infections, ear oedema and arthritis   | Anti-inflammatory  | Nagaraju and Rao, 1990; Sackeyfio and Lugeleka, 1986  |
| <i>F. exasperate</i> | Leaves and stem bark                    | Hypertension, and arthritis, ulcers, diabetes, wound healing, venereal and infectious diseases  | Ant nociceptive effect, anti-inflammatory, anti-microbial, hypolipidaemic activity, antioxidant, anti-bacterial, hypotensive           | Akah <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Ayinde <i>et al.</i> 2007; Buniyamin <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Burkill, 1985; Nimenibo-Uadia, 2003; Odunbaku <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Umerie <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Woode <i>et al.</i> , 2009   |
| <i>F. fistulosa</i>  | Leaves                                  | Malaria   | Antimalarial   | Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2002  |

|                      |                                  |   |  |  |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| <i>F. glomerata</i>  | stem bark                        | Diabetes  | Antidiabetic, antioxidant activity, hepatoprotective, antiulcer, anti-HIV- 1 integrase | Bunluepuech and Lewtrakul, 2009; Channabasavaraj et al., 2008; Rahman et al., 1994; Rao et al., 2008       |
| <i>F. hispida</i>    | Stem bark, fruits                | Swellings, leprosy, anaemia, jaundice, diuretic, haemorrhage, lactation | Antidiarrheal activity<br>hypoglycaemic  | Acharya and Kumar, 1984; Alam, 1992; Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2004; Nyman <i>et al.</i> , 1998; Petelot, 1954 |
| <i>F. maxima</i>     | Leave, stem bark and wood, latex | Rheumatism, fever, gingivitis, anaemia, parasites                       |  | Barrett, 1994; Diaz <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Duke and Vasquez, 1994; Lentz, 1993; Lentz <i>et al.</i> , 1998  |
| <i>F. microcarpa</i> | Roots, stem bark                 | Typhoid   | Cytotoxicity, antioxidant, antimicrobial   | Anis and Iqbal, 1986; Ao <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Chiang <i>et al.</i> , 2005                                 |
| <i>F. polita</i>     | Leaves                           | Malaria   | Antimalarial   | Etkin, 1997; Gbeassor <i>et al.</i> , 1990   |

|                     |  |   |  |   |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---|
| <i>F. racemosa.</i> | Leaves,<br>fruits,<br>stem bark,<br>latex, root<br>sap | Boils, blisters and measles,<br>visceral obstruction,<br>diarrhoea and constipation,<br>diabetes, burns, swelling,<br>leucorrhoea dysentery,<br>haemorrhoids, stomach-ache,<br>heat stroke, chronic wounds<br>and malaria in cattle | Antidiabetic,<br>antihyperglycaemic,<br>hypoglycaemic, antioxidant,<br>radio-protective, anti-<br>inflammatory, anti-edemic,<br>antibacterial, antitussive,<br>antidiarrheal, wormicidal | Benny and Adithan, 2000; Bheemachari <i>et al.</i> ,<br>2007; Chandrashekhar <i>et al.</i> , 2008;<br>Deshmukh <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Forestieri <i>et al.</i> , 1996;<br>Ghimire <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Li <i>et al.</i> , 2003; 2004;<br>Mandal <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Nadkarni <i>et al.</i> , 1976;<br>Narender <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Patil <i>et al.</i> , 2006;<br>Paudyal, 2000; Rao <i>et al.</i> , 2003; Sharma and<br>Gupta, 2008; Siwakoti and Siwakoti, 2000;<br>Sophia and Manoharan, 2007; Thapa, 2001;<br>Tiwari, 2001; Yadav, 1999 |
| <i>F. reflexa</i>   | Stem bark,<br>leaves                                   | Gout, gastrointestinal ulcers   |  | Gurib-Fakim <i>et al.</i> , 1996  |
| <i>F. religiosa</i> | Stem bark,<br>fruits,<br>leaves,<br>roots,             | Abortifacient, epilepsy,<br>diarrhoea, dysentery, asthma,<br>cough, respiratory disorders,<br>malarial, ulcers, gonorrhoea,   | Antioxidant, antidiabetic,<br>anticonvulsant, anti-<br>inflammatory, analgesic<br>activities, anti-lipid,  | Anis <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Jain <i>et al.</i> , 2004; 2005;<br>Khanom <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Kirana <i>et al.</i> , 2009;<br>Kunwar and Bussmann, 2006; Mahishi <i>et al.</i> ,<br>2005; Mousa <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Panda, 2005; Pandit   |

|                      |                         |   |  |   |
|----------------------|-------------------------|---|--|---|
|                      | latex and seeds         | scabies, infertility treatment, leprosy, laxative and purgative, rheumatism, and tuberculosis   | peroxidative effect, antimicrobial and antiviral | <i>et al.</i> , 2010; Samy <i>et al.</i> , 2008; Sharma <i>et al.</i> 2001; Singh and Panda, 2005; Sreelekshmi <i>et al.</i> , 2007; Vyawahare <i>et al.</i> , 2007 |
| <i>F. septica</i>    | Leaves, fruits, roots   | Headache, gastroenteritis, gastralgia, cephalgia, somatic pains, bacterial and fungal diseases, cough, diarrhoea, fever, urinary tract infections | Antimicrobial                                    | Baumgartner <i>et al.</i> , 1990; Holdsworth, 1980; 1993; 1992; Holdsworth <i>et al.</i> , 1989; 1983   |
| <i>F. thonningii</i> | Leaves, stem bark, root | Back pain, dislocated limbs, lactation, diabetes, appetite suppressant, and renal conditions  | Cardioprotective, hypoglycaemic                  | Bhat <i>et al.</i> , 1990; Kokwaro, 1976; Musabayane <i>et al.</i> , 2006; 2007   |
| <i>F. thunbergii</i> | Leaves                  | Back pain, rheumatism   | None   | Kitajima <i>et al.</i> , 1994   |
| <i>F. toxicaria</i>  | Latex, leaves           | Toothache, gastroenteritis  |  | Grosvenor <i>et al.</i> , 1995; Mahyar <i>et al.</i> , 1991   |

## 2.4.3 A phytochemical review of the compounds from *Ficus* species

*Ficus* species are known to have a wide distribution of secondary metabolites in their different parts including triterpenoids, flavonoids, alkaloids sterols and coumarins.

### 2.4.3.1 Triterpenoids




Figure 2-6: Triterpenoids from *Ficus* species.

One of the most commonly isolated class of compounds from the genus *Ficus* are triterpenoids. Chiang *et al* (2005), isolated the tetracyclic triterpenoids, 3 $\beta$ -acetoxy-25-hydroxylanosta-8,23-diene (**1**) and 3 $\beta$ -acetoxy-25-methoxylanosta-8,23-diene (**2**) from the roots of *F. microcarpa*. A number of pentacyclic triterpenoids such as lupeol (**3**) and lupeol acetate (**4**) (Chiang and Kuo, 2002), betulonic acid (**5**), acetylbetulonic acid (**6**), acetylursolic acid (**7**), oleanonic acid (**8**), ursolic acid (**9**) and ursonic acid (**10**) have also been isolated from the aerial roots of *F. microcarpa* (Chiang *et al.*, 2005) (Figure 2-6).

#### **2.4.3.2 Flavonoids**

Another class of compounds commonly found in this genus are the flavonoids. The stem bark of *F. cordata* and the leaves of *F. septica* are reported to contain epiafzelechin (**11**), and genistein (**12**) respectively (Kuethe *et al.*, 2008; Wu *et al.*, 2002). Also, quercetin (**13**), rutin (**14**) and kaempferitrin (**15**) have been isolated from the leaves of *F. benjamina*, *F. carica* and *F. septica*, respectively (Almahyl *et al.*, 2003; El-Kholy and Shaban, 1966; Wu *et al.*, 2002). Ficusoflavone (**16**) and isolupinisoflavone E (**17**) have also been isolated from the stem bark of *F. microcarpa*. (Li and Kuo, 1997) (Figure 2-7).










Figure 2-7: Flavonoids from *Ficus* species.

### 2.4.3.3 Alkaloids

Alkaloids have been isolated from this genus. Phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids were isolated from *F. septica* and *F. hispida* (Damu *et al.*, 2005; Peraza-Sánchez *et al.*, 2002). Ficuseptine-A (**18**), antofine (**19**), tylophorine (**20**), tylocrebrine (**21**) and isotylocrebrine (**22**) were isolated from the leaves of *F. septica*; Ficuseptine-B (**23**), Ficuseptine-C (**24**) and Ficuseptine-D (**25**) were isolated from the stem bark (Baumgartner *et al.*, 1990; Damu *et al.*, 2005; Wu *et al.*, 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2006). Hispidine (**26**) was isolated from *F. hispida* (Venkatachalam and Mulchandani, 1982) (Figure 2-8).




Figure 2-8: Alkaloids from *Ficus* species.

### 2.4.3.4 Sterols

A number of sterols have been isolated from this genus such as  $\beta$ -sitosterol (**27**),  $\beta$ -sitosterol- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (**28**),  $\psi$ -taraxasterol ester (**29**), baurenol (**30**), 24-methylenecycloartanol (**31**) and stigmasterol (**32**) (El-Kholy and Shaban, 1966; Wu *et al.*, 2002) (Figure 2-9).




Figure 2-9: Sterols from *Ficus* species.

### 2.4.3.5 Coumarins

The prominent coumarins found in fig leaves are the furocoumarins such as bergapten (**33**) and psoralen (**34**) isolated from *F. carica* (Damjanić and Akačić, 1974; Meng *et al.*, 1996). In addition, marmesin (**35**) and umbelliferone (**36**) were obtained from the leaves of *F. carica* (Innocenti *et al.*, 1982; Wu *et al.*, 2002) (Figure 2-10).




Figure 2-10: Coumarins from *Ficus* species.

## 2.4.4 Ethnobotanical review of the *Ficus* species selected for study

### 2.4.4.1 *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson



Figure 2-11: *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson.

*Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson (Figure 2-11) is named after Joseph Burtt Davy, a South African botanist. It is a shrub, climber or small tree that grows up to about 5 m (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). They have somewhat oval shape, glossy green leaves with varying sizes ranging from approximately 2 to 10 cm in length based on its environment. The fruits are usually found paired in-between the leaves appearing green mottled with white, turning yellowish when ripe from March to November and they are approximately 10 mm in diameter. This shrub adapts easily to several habitats and can be epiphytic on trees as a strangler fig, as well as on rocks (epilithic) where their roots can split the rocks to find nutrients. The bark is pale, whitish-grey in appearance with

young velvety branches. Pollination of *F. burtt-davyi* is by *Elisabethiella bajnathi*, a tiny wasp (approximately 1.5 mm long). *Ficus burtt-davyi* is found in thicket, dune forests, coastal swamp forest, scrub, and on sand dunes, and in Savanna on rocky outcrops and cliffs normally in eastern part of Western Cape, Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, and north into Mozambique specifically along the coastal belt. The pounding and weaving of *Ficus burtt-davyi* bark for mat making has been known since early times. Fruits are eaten and used as a laxative in the Eastern Cape (Burrows and Burrows, 2003).

#### 2.4.4.2 *Ficus sur* Forssk



Figure 2-12: *Ficus sur* Forssk.

*Ficus sur* Forssk (formerly *F. capensis*) (Figure 2-12) is commonly referred to as the Cape fig, broom cluster fig, bush fig or Malabar tree. It is a large tree, with spreading canopy of approximately 12 m in height, but reaching 25 to 30 m in some other areas. Its leaves form a dense,

round/large canopy, they have shiny leaves and purplish figs carried in large clusters appearing attractive usually on the lower parts of the trunk. The green leaves are ovate to broadly elliptic in shape, up to 23 x 12.8 cm. The bark is smooth at first, grey to pale grey in appearance and produces latex appearing whitish and milky which exudes when any part of the plant is injured. The fruits (3 to 4 cm in diameter) are large, heavily branched clusters on the stem and low on the main branches becoming red mottled with cream or pink when ripe (September to March). The ripe figs have a pink colouration usually attractive to several fruit-eating birds, some having visiting birds, seen only when figs are ripe. *F. sur* can be found in Eastern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Western Cape, Limpopo, and Mpumalanga usually alongside rivers and waterways, riverine forests and drier woodlands. *F. sur*, together with *F. burtt-davyi*, are the two most southerly occurring figs (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). Lung illness has been treated with the latex from the stems as well as administered to cows for increased milk production. Root and bark decoctions are administered for pulmonary tuberculosis, influenza and skin diseases (Hutchings *et al.*, 1996; Watt and Breyer-Brandwijk, 1962). Anaemia, sexually transmitted diseases and diarrhoea are among other diseases treated with *F. sur* (Irvine, 1961; Malcom and Sofowora, 1969). The fruits of *F. sur* are edible and the most palatable of all indigenous figs in South Africa. It is much sought after by humans and all fruit-eating animals due to its large size, proportionately thick flesh and sweet taste when ripe, which can be eaten fresh or sun dried to make a sweet preserve if the insect-laden seeds are removed (Burrows and Burrows, 2003).

### 2.4.4.3 *Ficus sycomorus* Linn



Figure 2-13: *Ficus sycomorus* Linn.

*Ficus sycomorus* Linn (Figure 2-13) is a semi-deciduous savannah tree usually large forming canopy of height between 5 to 25 m and occasionally with buttress rooting system. The bark has a distinctive greenish-yellow colour with scattered grey scales usually appearing like pale brown patches at areas where scales have fallen and with heavy latex flow. The leaves are large, broadly oblong to almost circular up to 5 to 17 x 3.5 to 15 cm in size. It is dark green, rough and harsh to the touch. When considered together, the yellow bark and the harsh, rough leaves are diagnostic features. The fruits occur solitary or paired, with globose or ovoid shape and ripe ones having yellow-red to reddish-purple colouration, up to 3.5 x 5 cm, pubescent or almost glabrous. They grow on the axils of leaflets on old wood branches having about 10 cm of no leaf. Flowering / fruiting occurs all year, which peaks between July and December (Orwa *et al.*, 2009). *F. sycomorus* is found occurring southwards through eastern Africa as far as KwaZulu-Natal. It is found along river banks, forming a distinctive part of the riverine thicket and also mixed woodland. Medicinal use

of the bark includes treatment of coughs, scrofula, throat and chest diseases. Dysentery treatment and superficial application for inflamed areas are also known medicinal uses of the latex; bark and milky latex mixture are also used for ringworm treatment. The leaves are acclaimed to be effective antidote for snakebite as well as good for jaundice treatment. The roots are said to be good as laxative and for anthelmintic treatments (Orwa, *et al.*, 2009). Among the wild indigenous figs, few are as palatable as *F. sycamorous*. The fruits have a good flavour; the Tonga women dry them after which they acquire a rather sultana-like taste (FAO, 1988; Palmer and Pitman, 1972).

## REFERENCES

- Acharya, B.M., and Kumar, K.A. (1984). Chemical examination of the bark of *Ficus hispida* Linn. Current Science 53, 1034–1035.
- Acheson, J. (2016). Functional foods and beverages in Japan. Market access secretariat global analysis report. Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Global Analysis, ON Canada.
- Ajasa, A.U.O., Bello, M.O., Ibrahim, A.O., Ogunwarde, I.A., and Olawore, N.O. (2004). Heavy trace metals and macronutrients status in herbal plants of Nigeria. Food Chemistry 85, 67–71.
- Akah, P.A., Gamaliel, K.S., Wambebe, C.N., Shittu, A., Kappu, S. D., and Kunle, O.O. (1997). Studies on the gastrointestinal properties of *Ficus exasperata*. Fitoterapia 68, 17-20.
- Al-Alawi, M.M., and Mandiwana, K.L. (2007). The use of Aleppo pine needles as a bio-monitor of heavy metals in the atmosphere. Journal of Hazardous Materials 148, 43–46.
- Alam, M.K. (1992). Medical ethnobotany of the Marma tribe of Bangladesh. Economic Botany 46, 330–335.
- Alloy, B.J. (1995). Heavy metals in soils. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Blackie, London.
- Almahyl, H.A., Rabman, M., Sukari, M.A., and Ali, A.M. (2003). The Chemical Constituents of *Ficus benjamina* Linn and their Biological Activities. Pertanika Journal of Science. & Technology 11, 73 – 81.
- Aloskar, L.V., Kakkar, K.K., and Chakra, O.J. (1992). Second Supplement to Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants with Active Principles, Part-I (A-K), 1965- 1981, NISC, Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, 265-266.

- Anis, M., and Iqbal, M. (1986). Antipyretic utility of some Indian plants in traditional medicine. *Fitoterapia* 57, 52–55.
- Anis, M., Sharma, M.P., and Iqbal, M. (2000). Herbal ethnomedicine of the Gwalior forest division in Madhya Pradesh, India. *Pharmaceutical Biology* 38, 241–253.
- Annan, K., and Houghton, P.J. (2008). Antibacterial, antioxidant and fibroblast growth stimulation of aqueous extracts of *Ficus asperifolia* Miq. and *Gossypium arboreum* L., wound healing plants of Ghana. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 119, 141–144.
- Ao, C., Li, A., Elzaawely, A.A., Xuan, T.D., and Tawata, S. (2008). Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Ficus microcarpa* L. fil. *Food Control* 19, 940–948.
- Aswar, M., Aswar, U., Watkar, B., Vyas, M., Wagh, A., and Gujar, K.N. (2008). Anthelmintic activity of *Ficus bengalensis*, *International Journal of Green Pharmacy* 2, 170-172.
- Augusti, K.T., Anuradha Prabha, S.P., Smitha, K.B., Sudheesh, M., George, A., and Joseph, M.C. (2005). Nutraceutical effects of garlic oil, its nonpolar fraction and a *Ficus* flavonoid as compared to vitamin E in CCl<sub>4</sub> induced liver damage in rats. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 43, 437–444.
- Ayensu, E.S. (1978). *Medicinal Plants of West Africa*. Reference Publications, Inc., Algonac, Michigan, USA.
- Ayinde, B. A., Omogbai, E.K., and Amaechina, F.C. (2007). Pharmacognosy and hypotensive evaluation of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) leaf. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug Research* 64, 543-546.
- Barrett, B. (1994). Medicinal plants of Nicaragua's Atlantic coast. *Economic Botany* 48, 8–20.

- Baumgartner, B., Erdelmeier, C.A.J., Wright, A.D., Rali, T., and Sticher, O. (1990). An antimicrobial alkaloid from *Ficus septica*. *Phytochemistry* 29, 3327–3330.
- Benny, A.K, and Adithan, C. (2000). Review of endocrine pharmacology. *Indian Journal of Pharmacy* 32, 67–80.
- Berg, C.C. (1989). Classification and Distribution of *Ficus*. *Experientia* 45, 605-611.
- Berg, C.C., and Corner, E.J.H. (2005). *Moraceae - Ficus*. *Flora Malesiana Series I Seed Plants* 17, 1-730.
- Bhat, R.B., Eterjere, E.O., and Oladipo, V.T. (1990). Ethnobotanical studies from Central Nigeria. *Economic Botany* 44, 382–390.
- Bheemachari, J., Ashok, K., Joshi, N.H., Suresh, D.K, and Gupta, V.R.M. (2007). Antidiarrheal evaluation of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. latex. *Acta Pharmaceutica Scientia* 49, 133–138.
- Block G. (1992). The Data Support a role for antioxidants in reducing cancer risk. *Nutrition Reviews* 50, 207-213.
- Block, G., Patterson, B., and Subar, A. (1992). Fruit, vegetables, and cancer prevention: A review of the epidemiological evidence. *Nutrition and Cancer* 18, 1-29.
- Bosman, L., Herselman, M.G., Kruger, H.S, and Labadarios, D. (2011). Secondary analysis of anthropometric data from a South African national food consumption survey, using different growth reference standards. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 15, 1372-1380.
- Bradbury, K.E., Appleby, P. N., and Key, T.J. (2014). Fruit, vegetable, and fibre intake in relation to cancer risk: findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 100, 394-398.

- Brady, N.C., and Ray, R.W. (2008). *The Nature and Property of Soils*, 14<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Brady, N.C., and Ray, R.W. (2002). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. 13<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Brady, N.C., and Weil, R.R. (1999). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*, 12<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Buniyamin, A.A., Erick, I.O., and Fabain, C.A. (2007). Pharmacognosy and hypotensive evaluation of *Ficus exasperate* Vahl (Moraceae) leaf. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica – Drug Research* 64, 543-546.
- Bunluepuech, K., and Lewtrakul, S. (2009). Anti- HIV-1 integrase activity of Thai medicinal Plants. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology* 31, 289-292.
- Burkill, H.M. (1985). *The useful plants of tropical West Africa*. 43<sup>rd</sup> Edition Volume 4, Families M-R. Royal Botanic Garden, Kew, Richmond, United Kingdom.
- Burkill, I.H. (1935). *A Dictionary of the Economic Products of Malay Peninsula*. Ministry of Agriculture, Malaysia.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press Hatfield, South Africa.
- Canal, J.R., Torres, M.D., Romero, A., and Perez, C.A. (2000). Chloroform extract obtained from a decoction of *Ficus carica* leaves improves the cholesterolaemic status of rats with Streptozotocin-induced diabetes. *Acta Physiologica Hungarica* 87, 71–76.

- Chandrashekhar, C.H., Latha, K.P., Vagdevi, H.M, and Vaidya, V.P. (2008). Anthelmintic activity of the crude extracts of *Ficus racemosa*. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy* 2, 100–103.
- Chang, M.S., Yang, Y.C., Kuo, Y.C., Kuo, Y.H., Chang, C., Chen, C.M., and Lee, T.H. (2005). Furocoumarin glycosides from the leaves of *Ficus ruficaulis* Merr. var. *antaoensis*. *Journal of Natural Products* 68, 11–13 (Erratum 68, 634).
- Channabasavaraj, K.P., Badami, S., and Bhojraj, S. (2008). Hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity of methanol extract of *Ficus glomerata*. *Journal of Natural Medicines* 62, 379–383.
- Chapman, H.D. (1965) Cation-exchange capacity. In: C.A. Black (ed.). *Methods of soil analysis – Chemical and microbiological properties*. *Agronom* 9, 891-901.
- Chiang, Y.M., Chang, J.Y., Kuo, C.C., Chang, C.Y., and Kuo, Y.H. (2005). Cytotoxic triterpenes from the aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Phytochemistry* 66, 495–501.
- Chiang, Y.M., and Kuo, Y.H. (2002). Novel triterpenoids from the aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 67, 7656–7661.
- Clemens, D.F., Whitehurst, B.M., and Whitehurst. G.B. (1990). Chelates in agriculture. *Fertiliser Research* 25, 127-131.
- Coetzee, C., Jefthas, E., and Reintein, E. (1999). Indigenous plant genetic resources of South Africa, In J. Janick (ed), *Perspectives on new crops and new uses*. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA
- Damjanić, A., and Akačić, B. (1974). Furocoumarins in *Ficus carica*. *Planta Medica* 26, 119–123.

- Damu, A.G., Kuo, P.C., Shi, L.S., Li, C.Y., Kuoh, C.S., Wu, P.L., and Wu, T.S. (2005). Phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids from the stems of *Ficus septica*. *Journal of Natural Products* 68, 1071–1075.
- Datwyler, S. L., and Weiblen, G.D. (2004). On the origin of the Fig: Phylogenetic relationships of Moraceae from NDHF sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 91, 767-777.
- David, L.L. (2008). *Soil! Get the Inside Scoop*. American Society of Agronomy-Soil Science Society of America, Madison.
- Deshmukh, T.A, Yadav, B.V., Badole, S.L., Bodhankar, S.L., and Dhaneshwar, S.R. (2007). Antihyperglycaemic activity of petroleum ether extract of *Ficus racemosa* fruits in alloxan induced diabetic mice. *Pharmacology Online* 2, 504–515.
- Diaz, G., Arruda, A.C., Arruda, M.S.P., and Muller, A.H. (1997). Methoxyflavones from *Ficus maxima*. *Phytochemistry* 45, 1697–1699.
- Dragsted, L.O., Krath, B., Ravn-Haren, G., Vogel, U.B., Vinggaard, A.M., Bo Jensen, P., Loft, S., Rasmussen, S.E., Sandstrom, T.B., and Pedersen, A. (2006). Biological effects of fruit and vegetables. *The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* 65, 61–67.
- Duke, J.A., and Vasquez, R. (1994). *Amazonian Ethnobotanical Dictionary*. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- Duncan, W.H., and Duncan, M.B. (Eds.), (1988). *Trees of the Southeastern United States*. University of Georgia Press.
- El-Kholy, I.S., and Shaban, M.A. (1966). Constituents of the leaves of *Ficus carica*, L. Part II. Isolation of a  $\psi$ -taraxasteryl ester, rutin, and a new steroid sapogenin. *Journal of the Chemical Society. Perkin Transactions* 1 13, 1140-1142.

- Erdemoglu, S.B., Basgel, S. (2006). Determination of mineral and trace elements in some medicinal herbs and their infusions consumed in Turkey. *Science of the Total Environment* 359, 82–89.
- Esmailzadeh, A., Kimiagar, M., Mehrabi, Y., Azadbakht, L., Hu, F.B., and Willett, W.C. (2006). Fruit and vegetable intakes, C-reactive protein, and the metabolic syndrome. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 84, 1489–1497.
- Etkin, N.L. (1997). Antimalarial plants used by Hausa in Northern Nigeria. *Tropical Doctor* 27, 12–16.
- Everett, T.H. (1968). *Living Trees of the World*. (Ed.), Doubleday, New York.
- FAO, (1988). *Traditional food plants. A resource book for promoting the exploitation and consumption of food plants in arid, semi-arid and sub-humid lands of eastern Africa*. Food and Agricultural Organisation of United Nations. Food and Nutrition Paper No. 42. Rome Italy.
- Frag, S.F. (2005). Phytochemical and pharmacological studies of *Ficus benjamina* L. leaves. *Mansoura Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 21, 19–36.
- Food and Nutrition Board. (2011). *Dietary reference intakes: Tolerable upper intake levels and recommended dietary allowance of elements*. Institute of Medicine. National Academies.
- Forestieri, A.M., Monforte, M.T., Ragusa, S., Trovato, A., and Iauk, L. (1996). Anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic activity in rodents of plant extracts used in African medicine. *Phytotherapy Research* 10, 100-106.

- Foth, H.D. (1990). *Fundamentals of Soil Science*, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Gbeassor, M., Kedjagni, A.Y., Koumaglo, K., de Souza C., Agbo, K., Aklikokou, K., and Amegbo, K.A. (1990). *In vitro* antimalarial activity of six medicinal plants. *Phytotherapy Research*. 4, 115-117.
- Genkinger, J.M., Platz, E.A., Hoffman, S.C, Comstock, G.W., and Helzlsouer, K.J. (2004). Fruit, vegetable, and antioxidant intake and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality in a community-dwelling population in Washington County, Maryland. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 160, 1223–1233.
- Ghimire, S.K, Shrestha, A.K, Shrestha, K.K, and Jha, P.K. (2000). Plant resource use and human impact around RBNP. *Nepal Journal of Natural History Museum* 19, 3–26.
- Ghosh, R., Sharathchandra, K., Rita, S., and Thokchom, I.S. (2004). Hypoglycaemic activity of *Ficus hispida* (bark) in normal and diabetic albino rats, *Indian Journal of Pharmacology* 36, 222-225.
- Gilani, A. H., Mehmood, M.H., Janbaz, K.H., Khan, A., and Saeed, S.A. (2008). Ethnopharmacological studies on antispasmodic and antiplatelet activities of *Ficus carica*. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 119, 1–5.
- Godfrey, R.K. (1988). *Trees, Shrubs, and Woody Vines of Northern Florida and adjacent Georgia and Alabama*. University of Georgia Press, Athens, Georgia.
- Grosvenor, P.W., Gothard, P.K., McWilliam, N.C., Supriono, A., and Gray, D.O. (1995). Medicinal plants from Riau Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. Part 1. Uses. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 45, 75–95.

- Gunn, A.M., Winnard, D.A., and Hunt, D.T.E. (1988). Trace metal speciation in sediments and soils. In: Metal speciation: Theory, analysis and application. Kramer, JR, Allen, HE. (eds). Lewis Publications: Boca Raton.
- Gurib-Fakim, A., Sweraj, M.D., Gueho, J., and Dulloo, E. (1996). Medicinal plants of Rodrigues. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy* 34, 2–14.
- Hasler, C.M. (2000). The Changing Face of Functional Foods. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 19, 499–506.
- Havlin, J.L., Beaton, J.D., Tisdale, S.L., and Nelson, W.L. (1999). *Soil Fertility and Fertilisers*, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Upper Saddle River, N.J: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Heidemann, C., Schulze, M.B., Franco, O.H., van Dam, R.M., Mantzoros, C.S., and Hu, F.B. (2008). Dietary patterns and risk of mortality from cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all causes in a Prospective cohort of women. *Circulation* 118, 230–237.
- Hemmatzadeh, F., Fatemi, A., and Amini, F. (2003). Therapeutic effects of fig tree latex on bovine papillomatosis. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine, B: Infectious Diseases and Veterinary Public Health* 50, 473–476.
- Herre, E.A., Jander, K.C., and Machado, C.A. (2008). Evolutionary Ecology of Figs and Their Associates: Recent Progress and Outstanding Puzzles. *The Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 39, 439-458.
- Holdsworth, D. (1992). Medicinal plants of the Gazelle Peninsula, New Britain Island, Papua New Guinea. Part I. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy* 30, 85–190.
- Holdsworth, D. (1993). Medicinal plants of the Oro (Northern) Province of Papua New Guinea. *International Journal of Pharmacognosy* 31, 23–28.

- Holdsworth, D., Gideon, O., and Pilokos, B. (1989). Traditional medicine of New Ireland, Papua New Guinea. Part III. Konos, Central New Ireland. *International Journal of Crude Drug Research* 27, 55–61.
- Holdsworth, D.K. (1980). Traditional medicinal plants of the North Solomons Province Papua New Guinea. *Quarterly Journal of Crude Drug Research* 18, 33–44.
- Holdsworth, D., Pilokos, B., and Lambes, P. (1983). Traditional medicinal plants of New Ireland, Papua New Guinea. *International Journal of Crude Drug Research* 21, 161–168.
- Hung, H.C., Joshipura, K.J., Jiang, R., Hu, F.B., Hunter, D., Smith-Warner, S.A., Colditz, G.A., Rosner, B., Spiegelman, D., and Willet, W.C. (2004). Fruit and Vegetable Intake and Risk of Major Chronic Disease. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 96, 1577-1584.
- Hutchings, A., Scott, A.H., Lewis, G., and Cunningham, A.B. (1996). *Zulu Medicinal Plants. An Inventory*. University of Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg.
- Innocenti, G., Bettero, A., and Caporale, G. (1982). Determination of the coumarinic constituents of *Ficus carica* leaves by HPLC. *Il Farmaco Edizione Scientifica* 37, 475–485.
- Irvine, F.R. (1961). *Woody plants of Ghana with special reference to their uses*. Oxford University Press. London.
- Itanna, F. (2002). Metals in leafy vegetables grown in Adis Ababa and toxicological implications. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development* 16, 295–302.
- Jain, A., Katewa, S.S., Choudhary, B.L., and Galav, P. (2004). Folk herbal medicines used in birth control and sexual diseases by tribals of southern Rajasthan, India. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 90, 171–177.

- Jain, A., Katewa, S.S., Galav, P.K., and Sharma, P. (2005). Medicinal plant diversity of Sitamata wildlife sanctuary, Rajasthan, India. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 102, 43–157.
- John, D.A., and Leventhal, J.S. (1996). Bioavailability of metals. In Preliminary Compilation of Descriptive Geoenvironmental Mineral Deposit Models. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-0831.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., and Pendias, H. (2001). Trace elements in soil and plants. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. CRC press, London.
- Key, T.J. (2011). Fruit and vegetables and cancer risk. *British Journal of Cancer* 104, 6–11.
- Khanom, F., Kayahara, H., and Tadasa, K. (2000). Superoxide-scavenging and prolyl endopeptidase inhibitory activities of Bangladeshi indigenous medicinal plants. *Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry* 64, 837–840.
- Kirana, H., Agrawal, S.S., and Srinivasan, B.P. (2009). Aqueous extract of *Ficus religiosa* Linn. reduces oxidative stress in experimentally induced type 2 diabetic rats. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 47, 822–826.
- Kitajima, J., Arai, M., and Tanaka, Y. (1994). Triterpenoid constituents of *Ficus thunbergii*. *Chemical and Pharmaceutical Bulletin* 42, 608–610.
- Kokwaro, J.O. (1976). Medicinal Plants of East Africa. Nairobi: East African Literature Bureau.
- Kuete, V., Ngameni, B., Simo, C.C. F., Tankeu, R.K., Ngadjui, B.T., Meyer, J.J.M., Lall, N., and Kuate, J.R. (2008). Antimicrobial activity of the crude extracts and compounds from *Ficus chlamydocarpa* and *Ficus cordata* (Moraceae), *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 120, 17–24.

- Kunwar, R.M., and Bussmann, R.W. (2006). *Ficus* (Fig) species in Nepal: a review of diversity and indigenous uses. *Ficus* (Fig) species in Nepal: a review of diversity and indigenous uses. *Lyonia* 11, 85–97.
- Lansky, E.P., and Paavilainen, H.M. (2011). *Traditional Herbal Medicines for Modern Times: Figs, The Genus Ficus: Vol. 9* CRC Press. Taylor and Francis Group LLC. USA.
- Lentz, D.L. (1993). Medicinal and other economic plants of the Paya of Honduras. *Economic Botany* 47, 358–370.
- Lentz, D.L., Clark, A.M., Hufford, C.D., Meurer-Grimes, B., Passeiter, C.M., Cordero, J., Ibrahimi, O., and Okunade, A.L., (1998). Antimicrobial properties of Honduran medicinal plants. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 63, 253–263.
- Li, R.W., Leach, D.N, Myers, S.P., Lin, G.D., Leach, G.J., and Waterman, P.G. (2004). A new anti-inflammatory glucoside from *Ficus racemosa* L. *Planta Medica* 70, 421–426.
- Li, R.W., Myers, S.P., Leach, D.N., Lin, G.D., and Leach, G. (2003). A cross-cultural study: Anti-inflammatory activity of Australian and Chinese plants. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 85, 25–32.
- Li, Y.C., and Kuo, Y.H. (1997). Two new isoflavones from the bark of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Journal. Natural Products* 60, 292–293.
- Lock, K., Pomerleau, J., Causer, L., and Mckee, M. (2004). Global burden of disease due to low fruit and vegetable consumption. In: Ezzati, M., Lopez, A.D., Rodgers, A., Murray, C.J.L. editors. *Comparative quantification of health risks: global and regional burden of disease attributable to selected major risk factors*. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 597-728.

- Mahishi, P., Srinivasa, B.H., and Shivanna, M.B. (2005). Medicinal plant wealth of local communities in some villages in Shimoga District of Karnataka, India. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 98, 307–312.
- Mahyar, U.W., Burley, I.S., Gyllenhaal, C., and Soejarto, D.D. (1991). Medicinal plants of Seberida (Riau Province, Sumatra, Indonesia). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 31, 17–237.
- Maksimović, S., Jakovljević, M., Radanović, D. (1999). Influence of agro ecological factors on chemical composition of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.), *In: Brkić, D. (ed.), Sage (Salvia officinalis* L.).
- Malcom, S.A., and Sofowora, E.A. (1969). Antimicrobial activity of selected Nigerian folk remedies and their constituent plants. *Lloydia* 32, 512-517.
- Mamabolo, R.L., Steyn, N.P., and Alberts, M. (2006). Can the high prevalence of micronutrient deficiencies, stunting and overweight in children at ages 1 and 3 years in the Central Region of Limpopo province be explained by diet? *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 19, 102-113.
- Mandal, S.C., Saha, B.P., and Pal, M. (2000). Studies on antibacterial activity of *Ficus racemosa* Linn Leaf extract. *Phytotherapy Research* 14, 278–280.
- Marmot, M. (2011). Fruit and vegetable intake reduces risk of fatal coronary heart disease. *European Heart Journal* 32, 1182–1183.
- Mayosi, B.M., Flisher, A.J., Lalloo, U.G, Sitas, F., Tollman, S.M., and Bradshaw, D. (2009). The burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa. *Lancet* 374, 934-947.

- McCauley, A., Jones, C., and Jacobsen, J. (2005). Soil and Water Management Module 1. Basic Soil properties [www.landresources.montana.edu/swm/documents/Final\\_proof\\_SW1.pdf](http://www.landresources.montana.edu/swm/documents/Final_proof_SW1.pdf) (Date accessed: 11 September 2016).
- McCauley, A., Jones, C., and Jacobsen, J. (2009). Soil pH and organic matter. Nutrient Management Module 8. May. [www.landresources.montana.edu/nm/documents/NM8.pdf](http://www.landresources.montana.edu/nm/documents/NM8.pdf) (Date accessed: 11 September 2016).
- McDowell, L.R. (2003). Minerals in Animals and Human Nutrition. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Elsevier Science B.V. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Meng, Z., Wang, Y., Ji, J., and Zhong, W. (1996). Studies of chemical constituents of *Ficus carica* L. *Zhongguo Yaoke Daxue Xuebao* 27, 202–204.
- Moodley, R., Koorbanally, N. and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2012). Elemental composition and nutritional value of the edible fruits of *Harpephyllum caffrum* and impact of soil quality on their chemical characteristics, *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B* 4, 539-547.
- Mousa, O., Vuorela, P., Kiviranta, J., Wahab, S.A., Hiltunen, R., and Vuorela, H. (1994). Bioactivity of certain Egyptian *Ficus* species. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 41, 71–76.
- Musabayane, C.T., Bwititi, P.T., and Ojewole, J.A.O. (2006). Effects of oral administration of some herbal extracts on food consumption and blood glucose levels in normal and Streptozotocin-treated diabetic rats. *Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology* 28, 223–228.

- Musabayane, C.T., Gondwe, M., Kamadyaapa, D.R., Chaturgoon, A.A., and Ojewole, J.A.O. (2007). Effects of *Ficus thonningii* (Blume) [Moraceae] stem-bark ethanolic extract on blood glucose, cardiovascular and kidney functions of rats, and on kidney cell lines of the proximal (LLC-PK1) and distal tubules (MDBK). *Renal Failure* 29, 389–397.
- Nadkarni, K.M., Nadkarni, A.K., and Chopra, R.N. (1976). *Indian Materia Medica*. Popular Prakashan, Bombay, 548–550.
- Nagaraju, N., and Rao, K.N. (1990). A survey of plant crude drugs of Rayalaseema, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 29, 137–58.
- Narender, T., Khaliq, T., Singh, A.B., Joshi, M.D., Mishra, P., Chaturvedi, J.P., Srivastava, A.K., Maurya, R., and Agarwal, S.C. (2009). Synthesis of alpha-amyrin derivatives and their *in vivo* antihyperglycemic activity. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 44, 1215–1222.
- Nimenibo-Uadia, R. (2003). *Ficus exasperata*: effects on diabetes mellitus in an experimental rat model. *Global journal of pure and applied science* 9, 529-532.
- Norman, R., Bradshaw, D., Schneider, M., Joubert, J., Groenewald, P., Lewin, S., Steyn, K., Vos, T., Laubscher, R., Nannan, N., Nojilana, B., and Pieterse, D. (2007). A comparative risk assessment for South Africa in 2000: towards promoting health and preventing disease. *South African Medical Journal* 97, 637-641.
- Norman, R., Bradshaw, D., Schneider, M., Pieterse, D., and Groenewald, P. (2006). Revised burden of disease estimates for the Comparative Risk Factor Assessment, South Africa 2000. Cape Town: South African Medical Research Council.

- Nyman, U., Joshi, P., Madsen, L.B., Pedersen, T.B., Pinstrup, M., Rajasekharan, S., George, V., and Pushpangadan, P. (1998). Ethnomedical information and *in vitro* screening for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition of plants utilised as traditional medicines in Gujarat, Rajasthan and Kerala (India). *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 60, 247–263.
- Odunbaku, O.A., Ilusanya, O.A., and Akasoro, K.S. (2008). Antibacterial activity of ethanolic leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus albus*. *Scientific Research and Essay* 3, 562-564.
- Ogunlaja, O.O., Moodley, R., Baijnath, H., and Sreekantha, B.J. (2016). Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi*. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica* 73, 1593-1600.
- Orwa, C., Mutua, A., Kindt, R., Jamnadass, R., and Simons, A. (2009). Agroforestry Database: a Tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. [www.worldagroforestry.org/af/treedb](http://www.worldagroforestry.org/af/treedb).
- Ozcan, M., and Akgul, A. (1998). Influence of species, harvest date and size on composition of capers (*Capparis* spp.) flower buds. *Food /Nahrung*, 42, 102–105.
- Pakade, V., Cukrowska, E., and Chimuka, L. (2013). Metal and flavonol contents of *Moringa oleifera* grown in South Africa. *South Africa Journal Science* 109, 1-7.
- Palmer, E., and Pitman, N. (1972). *Trees of Southern Africa*, Vol 1, Balkema, Cape Town.
- Panda, H. (2005). *Herbs Cultivation and Medicinal Uses*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. National Institute of Industrial Research, Delhi, India.
- Pandit, R., Phadke, A., and Jagtap, A. (2010). Antidiabetic effect of *Ficus religiosa* extract in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 128, 462–466.

- Patil, K.S, Warke, P.D., and Chaturvedi, S.C. (2006). Hypoglycemic properties of *Ficus glomerata* fruits in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of Natural Remedies* 6, 120–123.
- Paudyal, S. (2000). Ethnobotanical Study of the Tharus Living in Central Part of Dang, Midwest Nepal. Kathmandu, Nepal, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University.
- Peraza-Sánchez, S.R., Chai, H.B., Shin, Y.G., Santisuk, T., Reutrakul, V., Farnsworth, N.R., Cordell, G.A., Pezzuto, J.M., and Kinghorn, A.D. (2002). Constituents of the leaves and twigs of *Ficus hispida*. *Planta Medica* 68, 186–188.
- Perez, C., Canal, J.R., Campillo, J.E., Romero, A., and Torres, M.D. (1999). Hypotriglyceridaemic activity of *Ficus carica* leaves in experimental hypertriglyceridaemic rats. *Phytotherapy Research* 13, 188–191.
- Perez, C., Canal, J.R., and Torres, M.D. (2003). Experimental diabetes treated with *Ficus carica* extract: effect on oxidative stress parameters. *Acta Diabetologica* 40, 3–8.
- Petelot, A. (1954). Les plantes medicinales du Cambodge, du Laos et du Vietnam. Vols. 1–4. Archives des recherches agronomiques et pastorales au Vietnam, No. 23.
- Pidwirny, M. (2006). "Introduction to Soils". *Fundamentals of Physical Geography*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Date Viewed. <http://www.physicalgeography.net/fundamentals/10t.html>.
- Ponelope, O. (1997). *100 Great Natural Remedies*. Kyle Cathics Limited, New York, USA.
- Pongrac, P., Vogel-Mikus, K., Kump, P., Necemer, M., Tolra, R., Poschenrieder, C., Barcelo, J. and Regvar, M. (2007). Change in elemental uptake and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonisation during the life circle of *Thlaspi praecox* Wulfen. *Chemosphere* 69, 1602-1609.

- Prasad, R., and Power, J.F. (1997). Soil Fertility Management for Sustainable Agriculture. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida.
- Rahman, K. (2001). Historical perspective on garlic and cardiovascular disease. *Journal Nutrition* 131, 977–979.
- Rahman, K., and Lowe, G.M. (2006). Significance of garlic and its constituents in cancer and cardiovascular disease. *Journal of Nutrition* 136, 736-740.
- Rahman, N.N., Khan, M., and Hasan, R. (1994). Bioactive components from *Ficus glomerata*. *Pure and Applied Chemistry* 66, 2287–90.
- Rao, B.R, Murugesan, T., Pal, M., Saha, B.P., and Mandal, S.C. (2003). Antitussive potential of methanol extract of stem bark of *Ficus racemose* Linn. *Phototherapy Research* 17, 1117–1118.
- Rao, C.V., Verma, A.R., Vijayakumar, M., and Rastogi, S. (2008). Gastroprotective effect of standardised extract *Ficus glomerata* fruit on experimental gastric ulcers in rats. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 115, 323–326.
- Reddy, M.B., Reddy, K.R., and Reddy, M.N. (1989). A survey of plant crude drugs of Anantapur District, Andhra Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Crude Drug Research* 27, 145–155.
- Rehman, W., Zeb, A., Noor, N., and Nawaz, M. (2008). Heavy metal pollution assessment in various industries of Pakistan. *Environmental. Geology* 55, 353-358.
- Richter, G., Schwarz, H.P., Dorner, F., and Peter, L. (2002). Activation and inactivation of human factor X by proteases derived from *Ficus carica*. *British Journal of Haematology* 119, 1042–1051.

- Rohwer, J.G., Kubitzki, K. and Bittrich, V. (Eds.), (1993). The families and genera of vascular plants. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 438-453.
- Rubnov, S., Kashman, Y., Rabinowitz, R., Schlesinger, M., and Mechoulam, R. (2001). Suppressors of cancer cell proliferation from fig (*Ficus carica*) resin: isolation and structure elucidation. *Journal of Natural Products* 64, 993–996.
- Sackeyfio, A.C., and Lugeleka, O.M. (1986). The anti-inflammatory effect of a crude aqueous extract of the root bark of “*Ficus elastica*” in the rat. *Archives Internationales de Pharmacodynamie et de Therapie* 281, 169–176.
- Salomons, W. (1995). Environmental impact of metals derived from mining activities: Processes, predictions and prevention. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration* 52, 5-23.
- Samy, R.P., Pushparaj, P.N., and Gopalakrishnakone, P. (2008). A compilation of bioactive compounds from Ayurveda. *Bioinformation* 3, 100–110.
- Sharma, H.K., Chhangte, L., and Dolui, A.K. (2001). Traditional medicinal plants in Mizoram, India. *Fitoterapia* 72, 146–161.
- Sharma, S.K., and Gupta, V.K. (2008). *In vitro* antioxidant studies of *Ficus racemosa* Linn. root. *Pharmacognosy Magazine* 4, 70–73.
- Shukla, R., Gupta, S., Gambhir, J.K., Prabhu, K.M., and Murthy, P.S. (2004). Antioxidant effect of aqueous extract of the bark of *Ficus bengalensis* in hypercholesterolaemic rabbits. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 92, 47–51.
- Sial, R.A., Chaudhary, M.F., Abbas, S.T., Latif, M.I., and Khan, A.G. (2006). Quality of effluents from Hattar Industrial Estate. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B* 7, 974-980.

- Singh, M.P., and Panda, H. (2005). Medicinal Herbs with their Formulations. Daya publishing house, Delhi, India.
- Siwakoti, M., and Siwakoti, S. (2000). Ethnobotany and Medicinal Plants of Indian Subcontinent. Jodhpur, Scientific Publishers.
- Smith, R.L., and Smith, T.M. (1990). Ecology and Field Biology. Pearson Education, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Smith, S.R. (1996). Agricultural Recycling of Sewage Sludge and the Environment. Biddles Ltd: Guilford.
- Solomon, A., Golubowicz, S., Yablowicz, Z., Grossman, S., Bergman, M., Gottlieb, H.E., Altman, A., Kerem, Z., and Flaishman, M.A. (2006). Antioxidant activities and anthocyanin content of fresh fruits of common fig (*Ficus carica* L.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 20, 7717–7723.
- Sophia, D., and Manoharan, S. (2007). Hypolipidemic activities of *Ficus racemosa* Linn bark in alloxan induced diabetic rats. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative medicines 4, 279–288.
- Sreelekshmi, R., Latha, P.G., Arafat, M.M., Shyamal, S., Shine, V.J., Anuja, G.I., Suja, S.R., and Rajasekharan, S. (2007). Anti-inflammatory, analgesic and anti-lipid peroxidation studies on stem bark of *Ficus religiosa* Linn. Natural Product Radiance 6, 377–381.
- Stea, T.H., Mansoor, M.A., Wandel, M., Uglem, S., and Frølich, W. (2008). Changes in predictors and status of homocysteine in young male adults after a dietary intervention with vegetables, fruits and bread. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition 47, 201–209.
- Taiz, L., and Zeiger, E. (2002). Plant Physiology. Sinauer Associates: Sunderland.

- Takachi, R., Inoue, M., Ishihara, J., Kurahashi, N., Iwasaki, M., Sasazuki, S., Iso, H., Yoshitaka Tsubono, Y., and Tsugane, S. (2008). Fruit and vegetable intake and risk of total cancer and cardiovascular disease Japan public health center-based prospective study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 167, 59–70.
- Thapa, S. (2001). Documentation of Traditional Uses of Plants by Tharu Community Around Royal Sukla-Phanta Wildlife Reserve, Far West Nepal. Kathmandu, Nepal, Central Department of Botany, Tribhuvan University.
- Tiwari, R.D. (2001). Environment and Agriculture: Biodiversity, Agriculture and Pollution in South Asia. Ecological Society of Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Umerie, S.C., Ogbuagu, A.S., and Ogbuagu, J.O. (2004). Stabilisation of palm oils by using *Ficus exasperata* leaves in local processing methods. *Bioresource Technology* 94, 307–310.
- United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS). (2011). National Soil Survey Handbook, title 430-VI.
- Vangheluwe, M., Van-Sprang, P., Verdonck, F., Heijerick, D., Versonnen, B., Vandebroele, M., and Van-Hyfte, A. (2005). Metals Environmental risk assessment guidance. UK Government-Department for Environment Food and rural Affairs.
- Vasileva, B. (1969). *Plantes medicinales de Guinee*. Conakry.
- Venkatachalam, S.R., and Mulchandani, N.B. (1982). Isolation of phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids and a novel biphenylhexahydroindolizine alkaloid from *Ficus hispida*. *Naturwissenschaften* 69, 287–288.
- Vinson, J.A., Zubik, L., Bose, P., Samman, N., and Proch, J. (2005). Dried fruits: excellent *in vitro* and *in vivo* antioxidants. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* 24, 44–50.

- Violante, A., Cozzolino<sup>1</sup>, V., Perelomov, L., Caporale, A.G., and Pigna, M. (2010). Mobility and bioavailability of heavy metals and metalloids in soil environments. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 10, 268 – 292.
- Vyawahare, N.S., Khandelwal, A.R., Batra, V.R., and Nikam, A.P. (2007). Herbal anticonvulsants. *Journal of Herbal Medicine and Toxicology* 1, 9–14.
- Walker, A.F. (1990). *Human Nutrition*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- Waltham, M.S. (1998). *Roadmaps to Market: Commercialising Functional Foods and Nutraceuticals*. Decision Resources, Inc.,
- Wang, G., Wang, H., Song, Y., Jia, C., Wang, Z., and Xu, H. (2004). Studies on anti-HSV effect of *Ficus carica* leaves. *Zhong yao cai, Journal of Chinese Medicinal Materials*, 27, 754–756.
- Wang, X., Ouyang, Y., Liu, J., Zhu, M., Zhao, G., Bao, W., and Hu, F.B. (2014). Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Research*, 1-14.
- Watt, J.M., and Breyer-Brandwijk, M.G. (1962). *The Medicinal and Poisonous Plants of Southern and East Africa*. E and S Livingstone Ltd., London.
- Weiblen, G.D. (2000). Phylogenetic relationships of functionally dioecious *Ficus* (Moraceae) based on ribosomal DNA sequences and morphology. *American Journal of Botany* 87, 1342-1357.

- Williams, M., Pehu, E. and Ragasa, C. (2006). Functional foods: Opportunities and challenges for developing countries. *Agricultural and Rural Development Notes*. [www.worldbank.org/rural](http://www.worldbank.org/rural) (accessed 12 August 2016).
- Woode, E., Poku, R.A., Ainooson, G.K., Boakye-Gyasi, E., Abotsi, W.K.M., Mensah, T.L., and Amoh-Barimah, A.K. (2009). An evaluation of the anti-inflammatory, antipyretic and antinociceptive effects of *Ficus exasperata* (Vahl) leaf extract. *Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 4, 135-151.
- Woodland, D.W. (1997). *Contemporary plant systematics*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Andrews University Press, Berrien Springs, MI.
- World Health Organisation/Food and Agriculture Organisation (WHO/FAO). (2003). "Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases". Technical Report Series 916 available on [http://www.who.int/hpr/NPH/docs/who\\_fao\\_expert\\_report.pdf](http://www.who.int/hpr/NPH/docs/who_fao_expert_report.pdf).
- Wu, P.L., Rao, K.V., Su, C.-H., Kuoh, C.S., and Wu, T.S. (2002). Phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids and their cytotoxicity from the leaves of *Ficus septica*. *Heterocycles* 57, 2401–2408.
- Yadav, R.K.P. (1999). *Proceedings of the Third National Conference of Science and Technology*. Kathmandu, Nepal, Royal Nepal Academy of Science and Technology.
- Yang, C.W., Chen, W-L., Wu, P.L., Tseng, H.Y., and Lee, S.J. (2006). Anti-inflammatory mechanisms of phenanthroindolizidine alkaloids. *Molecular Pharmacology* 69, 749–758.
- Yang, L.L., Yen, K.Y., Kiso, Y., and Hikino, H. (1987). Antihepatotoxic actions of Formosan plant drugs. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 19, 103–110.

Yazicioglu, A., and Tuzlaci, E. (1996). Folk medicinal plants of Trabzon (Turkey). *Fitoterapia* 67, 307-318.

Zhang, H.J., Tamez, P.A., Aydogmus, Z., Tan, G.T., Saikawa, Y., Hashimoto, K., Nakata, M., Hung, N.V., Xuan, L.T., Cuong, N.M., Soejarto, D.D., Pezzuto, J.M., and Fong, H.H. (2002). Antimalarial agents from plants. III. Trichothecenes from *Ficus fistulosa* and *Rhaphidophora decursiva*. *Planta Medica* 68, 1088–1091.

## CHAPTER 3

### Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson

#### Abstract

*Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson (Moraceae) is an endemic species of South Africa. In this study, a phytochemical analysis of the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* resulted in the isolation of five triterpenes (lupeol, lupeol acetate,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, stigmasterol and campesterol), one carotenoid (lutein), a phaeophytin (phytyl-7-ethyl-25-(methoxycarbonyl)-3,8,13,17-tetramethyl-26-oxo-12-vinyl-17,18-dihydro-2,20 ethanoporphyrin-18-propanoate or phaeophytin **a**) and one flavonoid (+)-catechin). The *in vitro* antioxidant study of the methanol extracts of leaves and stem bark, (+)-catechin and phaeophytin **a** using the 1,1-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay, ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) assay showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) antioxidant activity for the methanol extract of the stem bark than the leaves, with IC<sub>50</sub> values (in  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) of  $58.28 \pm 5.05$  for DPPH,  $46.09 \pm 0.06$  for FRAP and  $151.03 \pm 1.60 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The results suggest that the plant can be used as a therapeutic agent in alternative medicine for oxidative stress related degenerative diseases.

**Key words:** phaeophytin; triterpenes; flavonoids; antioxidants.

### 3.1 Introduction

With over 850 species, *Ficus*, of the plant family Moraceae, is one of the oldest, most successful, but understudied genera in modern pharmacognosy and has extensive distribution of secondary metabolites such as triterpenoids, phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, coumarins and sterols (Chang *et al.*, 2005; Chiang and Kuo, 2002; Lansky and Paavilainen, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2002). *Ficus*, within their vasculatures, possesses and secrete a latex-like material, affording protection from harm and providing self-healing from physical injuries (Lansky *et al.*, 2008). For many centuries, animals and humans have depended on its fruit as a source of nourishment while other plant parts such as the leaves, bark, and roots have been utilised for medicinal purposes. The latex from *Ficus* species has been investigated for their anticancer activity as far back as the 1940`s (Lansky *et al.*, 2008) and extracts have demonstrated enhanced intracellular accumulation of daunomycin in K562/R7 leukemic cells as well as cytotoxic effects on the growth of multi-drug resistant human sarcoma MES-SA/Dx5 cells (Lansky *et al.*, 2008). Thus, the extracts play an adjunctive role in multiple cancer prevention and cancer chemotherapy (Lansky *et al.*, 2008; Simon *et al.*, 2001). The antioxidant potential of the plant has also been reported where the extracts have been shown to decrease lipid peroxidation and increase antioxidant enzymes (Shukla *et al.*, 2004). Although the medicinal benefits of *Ficus* in humans are based on historical and anecdotal reports, with few modern clinical trials, ethnomedicinal uses suggest anti-neoplastic and anti-inflammatory actions (Lansky *et al.*, 2008).

*Ficus burtt-davyi*, known as Uluzi by the Zulu people in KwaZulu-Natal, is highly adaptable to a wide variety of habitats and has even been known to grow on larger trees (epiphytic) as a strangler fig, as well as on rocks (epilithic) where the roots are able to split the rocks in their search for

nutrients (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). The fruits of the plant are edible and also used as a laxative by the locals in the Eastern Cape (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). To date, no information has been reported on the chemical composition and antioxidant activity of this plant. In this study, we report on the isolation and identification of compounds from the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi*. In addition, we report on the antioxidant activity of the methanol (MeOH) extracts of the leaves, stem bark and selected isolated compounds using a multi-method approach due to the complexity of the mechanisms of antioxidant activity (Dejian *et al.*, 2005).

## **3.2 Experimental**

### **3.2.1 General experimental procedures**

The  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$  and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a BrukerAvance<sup>III</sup> 400 MHz spectrometer at 400.22 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 100.63 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$ . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and coupling constants (J) in Hz. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts of the deuterated chloroform ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) were 7.24 and 77.0 respectively referenced to the internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS), respectively. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Universal ATR spectrometer. UV spectra were obtained on a Hewlett Packard UV-3600 Spectrophotometer. Column chromatography (CC) was performed with Merck silica gel 60, (0.040–0.063 mm). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck 20 cm $\times$ 20 cm silica gel 60, F<sub>254</sub> aluminium sheets. The spots were analysed under UV (254 nm and 366 nm), visualised using 10%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in MeOH followed by heating. Solvents (analytical grade) and other chemicals used were supplied by either Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma (St. Louis, USA) chemical companies.

### 3.2.2 Plant material

The leaves and bark from *F. burtt-davyi* were collected on the Westville Campus of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), Durban, South Africa, in June 2013. The plant was authenticated by Prof. Himansu Bajinath and a voucher specimen (Ogunlaja, O1) has been deposited in the Herbarium of the School of Life Sciences, UKZN, Westville. The stem bark and leaves of the plant were dried and ground using a mini-industrial grinder (Wiley Mill).

### 3.2.3 Extraction, fractionation and isolation

The air-dried, powdered leaves (970 g) of *F. burtt-davyi* was sequentially exhaustively extracted with (4 L × 2) of n-hexane, dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and MeOH for 24 h using an orbital shaker. The extracts were concentrated by evaporation under vacuum at controlled temperatures to obtain crude extracts of n-hexane (24.22 g), DCM (15.64 g), EtOAc (7.76 g) and MeOH (52.98 g). The n-hexane and DCM crude extracts of leaves were combined due to similar TLC profiles (similar  $R_f$  values). This combined extract (38.00 g) was subjected to CC using a gradient elution system of n-hexane: EtOAc starting with 100% n-hexane until 100% EtOAc was reached through 10% increments of EtOAc, collecting 100 mL fractions at each stage. Six main fractions (I-VI) were obtained and fraction II yielded compound A-1 (105 mg), which was eluted with n-hexane: EtOAc (8:2). Fraction III (1.23 g) was purified further to give compound A-2 (18.6 mg) and compound A-3 (20.1 mg), respectively. The EtOAc extract of the leaves (7.0 g) was separated using CC in a similar manner to yield seven major fractions (I-VII) based on similar TLC profiles. Fraction II and III afforded compound A-4 (30.5 mg) and compound A-5 (10.5 mg), respectively.

The n-hexane and DCM crude extracts of the stem bark were combined due to similar TLC profiles (similar  $R_f$  values). This extract (24.35 g) was subjected to CC in a similar manner to that of the leaves to yield nine major fractions based on TLC profiles. Fractions II and III gave compound **A-6** (4.5 g) and compound **A-7** (4 g), respectively which were eluted with 100% DCM and re-crystallised in MeOH. The MeOH extract from the stem bark was partitioned with EtOAc and the EtOAc fraction was concentrated to yield 4 g of extract. This was separated on a 1.5 cm diameter column using CC and a gradient of n-hexane: EtOAc (8:2) to 100% EtOAc (10% increments of EtOAc, 20 mL fractions) to afford compound **A-8** (23 mg).

### **3.2.4 Phenolic content and *in vitro* antioxidant assays**

#### **3.2.4.1 Estimation of total phenolic content (TPC)**

The total polyphenol content (TPC) of the extracts from *F. burtt-davyi* was determined as Gallic acid equivalent (GAE) according to the method described by McDonald *et al.* (2001) with slight modifications. Briefly, 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of the extract ( $240 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) was incubated with 1 mL of ten-fold diluted Folin Ciocalteu reagent and 800  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.7 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance values were determined at 765 nm on a Shimadzu UV mini 1240 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). All measurements were done in triplicate.

#### **3.2.4.2 DPPH free radical-scavenging activity assay**

The capacity to scavenge the “stable” free radical 1,1- diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was monitored according to the procedure describe by Ahmad *et al.* (2011) with some modifications.

Various concentrations (7.5-500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) of extracts and isolated compounds (3000  $\mu\text{L}$ ) made from a stock solution (10  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) were mixed with 2850  $\mu\text{L}$  MeOH solution containing DPPH radicals. The mixture was then vortexed, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The scavenging activity was evidenced by a change in color from purple to yellow, which was further measured by the decrease in absorbance at 517 nm using a Shimadzu UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Ascorbic acid was used as the standard while MeOH served as the blank. The assays were done in triplicate. The difference in absorbance between a test sample and the control (DPPH + MeOH) was expressed as percentage inhibition.

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = [(A_o - A_{\text{sample}} / A_o) \times 100]$$

Where,  $A_o$  (control) = Absorbance of DPPH + methanol and  $A_{\text{sample}}$  = Absorbance of the sample. The  $\text{IC}_{50}$  value which is the inhibitory concentration in  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of samples, or standard, necessary to reduce the initial DPPH by 50% as compared to the negative control was determined graphically by plotting the absorbance of DPPH as a function of sample concentration in  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  for the standard and samples.

#### **3.2.4.3 Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay**

The reducing power of the extracts and compounds were determined according to the method of Oyaizu (1986) as described by Behera *et al.* (2006) with some modifications. Various concentrations (7.5-500)  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  were mixed with 2.5 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of 0.1% potassium ferricyanide and the mixture was incubated at 50  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 min. After the addition of 2.5 mL of 10% TCA, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10

min. The upper layer (2.5 mL) was mixed with 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 0.1% ferric chloride, and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm. The methanolic extract without reagents was used as a negative control while ascorbic acid with the same concentrations was used as positive controls.

#### **3.2.4.4 Hydrogen peroxide-scavenging activity assay**

The ability of the extracts to scavenge hydrogen peroxide was determined according to the method of Ruch *et al.* (1989). A solution of hydrogen peroxide (2 mM) was prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Samples of various concentrations (7.5-500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) were transferred into the test tubes, and their volumes were made up to 0.4 mL with 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After addition of 0.6 mL hydrogen peroxide solution, tubes were vortexed and absorbance of the hydrogen peroxide at 230 nm was determined after 10 min, against a blank. Phosphate buffer (50 mM) without hydrogen peroxide was used as a blank and ascorbic acid was used as the positive control. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging ability was calculated using the following equation:

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity =  $(1 - \text{absorbance of sample} / \text{absorbance of control}) \times 100$

#### **3.2.5 Statistical analyses**

The experimental results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of three replicates and  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were calculated by linear regression. The data were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine significant differences between means ( $p < 0.05$ ) Tukey's test was used for post-hoc analyses. All the statistical tests were performed using graphpad prism 6.0.

### 3.3 Results and discussion

#### 3.3.1 Structure elucidation of compounds from *F. burtt-davyi*

Compound **A-1**, **A-2** and **A-3** were identified by using spectral data (IR, UV, GC-MS, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and 2D NMR) and by comparison of the NMR data with those reported in literature. Compound **A-1** was identified as sitosterol (Chaturvedula and Prakash, 2012), compound **A-2** as campesterol (Choi *et al.*, 2007) and compound **A-3** as stigmasterol (Chaturvedula and Prakash, 2012).

Compound **A-4** was isolated as a dark green amorphous solid. The mass spectrum of compound **A-4** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave *m/z* value at 893.5530 corresponding to the molecular formula (C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, (calc. C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> Na 894.1999). The IR spectrum of compound **A-4** showed absorption bands at 2922, 3388, 1618 and 1376 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to C(sp<sup>3</sup>), NH, CH=CH (vinyl group) and CN, respectively. The UV spectrum of compound **A-4** showed absorption bands at 414 and 669 nm. The data is consistent with the presence of a porphyrin nucleus. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of compound **A-4** showed characteristic peaks for chlorophyll derivatives. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum showed an upfield shift at (δ<sub>H</sub> -1.70) (the NH proton from the pyrrole ring), a resonance at δ<sub>H</sub> 6.1–7.9 (vinyl group), meso-proton signals down field at δ<sub>H</sub> 9.47 (H-10), 9.33 (H-5), and δ<sub>H</sub> 8.53 (H-20) and δ<sub>H</sub> 6.28 (dd, J= 1.6, 17.5 Hz, H-3a), δ<sub>H</sub> 6.16 (dd, J= 1.5, 11.55 Hz, H-3b) for a mono-substituted vinyl group corresponding to the structure of phaeophytin **a**. The characteristic phytyl side chain resonances at δ<sub>H</sub> 1.60 (CH), 1.25(CH<sub>2</sub>) and 0.97(CH<sub>3</sub>) were also observed. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, DEPT 90 and DEPT 135 spectra resolved fifty five carbon resonances corresponding to eleven methyl, fourteen methylene, eleven methine and

twenty-one quaternary carbons signals. These chemical shifts were in accordance with those reported in literature (Wang *et al.*, 2009), thus, compound **A-4** was established as phytyl-7-ethyl-25-(methoxycarbonyl)-3,8,13,17-tetramethyl-26-oxo-12-vinyl-17,18-dihydro-2,20-ethanoporphyrin-18-propanoate (phaeophytin **a**).

Compound **A-5** was obtained as a yellow colored compound and GC-MS data showed molecular ion peak [M<sup>+</sup>] at *m/z* 568.9 which corresponds to the molecular formula C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>56</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The UV spectrum of compound **A-5** showed absorption maxima at 454, 480 and 430. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum showed resonance in the olefinic region between δ<sub>H</sub> 5.09-6.62, methylene resonances of cyclohexene between δ<sub>H</sub> 1.33-1.44 with a strong OH resonance at δ<sub>H</sub> 4.23. Based on spectral data and those reported in literature, compound **A-5** was identified as lutein.

Compound **A-6** was isolated as a white solid. The IR spectrum exhibited characteristic absorption frequencies at 3326, 878 and 1637 cm<sup>-1</sup> typical of the O-H, unsaturated out plane C-H and C=C bond vibrations, respectively. The absorptions bands observed at 1379 and 1452 cm<sup>-1</sup> were due to the distortion vibrations of CH<sub>3</sub> groups and methylenic vibrations, respectively. The mass spectrum of compound **A-6** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave *m/z* value at 449.3756 corresponding to the molecular formula (C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O) [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, (calc. C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O Na 449.7174). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum for compound **A-6** revealed the presence of seven tertiary methyl singlets protons at δ<sub>H</sub> 0.73, 0.76, 0.80, 0.92, 0.94, 1.00 and 1.65; a multiplet at δ<sub>H</sub> 3.18 (H-3), and characteristic olefinic protons at δ<sub>H</sub> 4.66 (H-29 a) and 4.54 (H-29 b) and a sextet at δ<sub>H</sub> 2.39 (19β-H). The DEPT 90 and 135 experiments resolved ten methylene, five methine and five quaternary carbons. Based on spectral information and those reported in literature, compound **A-6** was

identified as lupeol. The presence of lupeol in *F. burtt-davyi* was not reported before the current study.

Compound **A-7** was isolated as white crystalline needles. EIMS for  $C_{32}H_{52}O_2$   $m/z$  (rel. int.): 468 [M<sup>+</sup>] (17.2%), 453 (2.9%), 425 (1.5%), 408 (1.7%), 365 (3.9%), 189 (75.4%), 109 (73.1%), 43 (100%). The diagnostic peaks occurred at  $m/z$  408 [M-AcOH], 249 [M-C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>27</sub>] and 189 [249-AcOH]. The IR spectrum of compound **A-7** exhibited characteristic absorption frequencies at 1732  $cm^{-1}$  (C=O), 3073  $cm^{-1}$  (exomethylene group), 2939  $cm^{-1}$  (C-H) and 1244  $cm^{-1}$  (C-O). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of compound **A-7** showed eight methyl resonances between  $\delta_H$  0.76-2.01. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of compound **A-7** was similar to compound **A-6** with addition of an acetate methyl resonance at  $\delta_H$  2.01 (H-32) and the proton resonance at  $\delta_H$  4.46 (H-3) which is further downfield due to presence of the acetoxy group. Based on spectral information and in comparison with that in literature (Mahato and Kundu, 1994) compound **A-7** was identified as lupeol acetate. Lupeol acetate has never been reported isolated before from *F. burtt-davyi*.

Compound **A-8** was isolated as a light brown powder. The mass spectrum of compound **A-8** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave  $m/z$  value at 313.0685 corresponding to the molecular formula (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub>) [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, (calc. C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>6</sub> Na 313.2596). The IR spectrum showed characteristic absorption bands for the O-H group (3215  $cm^{-1}$ ), C=C group (1623  $cm^{-1}$ ), and C-O group (1145–1019  $cm^{-1}$ ). The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of compound **A-8** showed characteristic resonances for flavonoids at  $\delta_H$  6.85 (H-2'), 6.76 (H-5') and 6.74 (H-6') from the B-ring catechol moiety as well as at  $\delta_H$  5.94 as H-8 and 5.87 as H-6 from the meta-coupled protons of the A-ring resorcinol moiety. The proton resonances at  $\delta_H$  4.59 (H-2), 3.99 (H-3), 2.87 (H-4) and 2.53 (H-4) were used to establish a flavanol skeleton for compound **A-8**. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum showed a

diagnostic peak at  $\delta_c$  82.8 (C-2) as well as a strong H-2/H-3 correlation from the COSY experiment, thus confirming the structure of compound **A-8** to be (+)-catechin (Es-Safi *et al.*, 2006). Catechin and its analogues have been shown to possess significant bioactivity, such as anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, anti-mutation, anti-aging, improving liver function and removal of free radicals (Liu and Chen, 2004). This is the first report of compounds **A1-A8** being reported in *F. burtt-davyi*.

### 3.3.2 Total phenolic content (TPC)

**Table 3-1: Total phenolic content (TPC) and extraction yield (% , mg extract per g sample×100) of *F. burtt-davyi* leaves and stem bark extracts.**

| Extracts  | Yield (%)         | TPC (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE) * |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Leaves    |                   |                                |
| EtOAc     | 0.51 <sup>c</sup> | 0.43 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup>       |
| MeOH      | 3.05 <sup>b</sup> | 1.14 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup>       |
| Stem bark |                   |                                |
| EtOAc     | 0.80 <sup>c</sup> | 1.05 ± 0.22 <sup>bc</sup>      |
| MeOH      | 5.46 <sup>a</sup> | 5.90 ± 0.45 <sup>a</sup>       |

Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). \*GAE (Gallic Acid Equivalent). Values with different superscripts letters along a column are significantly different from each other by Tukey's HSD multiple post hoc test, (p < 0.05).

The extraction yields indicated that the stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* produced the highest amount of extract. Furthermore, the total phenolic content (TPC) of the MeOH extract of the stem bark was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than that from other extracts (Table 3-1). Based on this result, the MeOH extracts from the leaves and stem barks were selected for *in vitro* antioxidant study.




Figure 3-1: Chemical structures of compounds (A1-A8) isolated from *F. burtt-davyi*

### 3.3.3 DPPH free radical-scavenging activity assay

Most antioxidants possess proton radical scavenging activity which may be monitored by discoloration of the purple 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals to yellow DPPH in a dose-dependent pattern. For this study, the DPPH assay was used to detect the antioxidant activity of the extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* as shown in Figure 2-1. The results indicated that at lower concentrations ( $< 25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), the activity of the extracts (stem bark & leaves) and compounds were comparable but were significantly lower than that of AA.




Figure 3-2: DPPH radical scavenging activity of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark (B), leaves (L), phaeophytin a, (+)-catechin and ascorbic acid (AA). Different letters for a concentration indicate significantly different means (Tukey's post hoc comparisons,  $p < 0.05$ ).

At higher concentrations ( $> 125 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), the activity of the MeOH extract from the bark, (+)-catechin and AA were not significantly different but higher than the other substances tested. The high activity of the MeOH extract of the bark could be attributed to the presence of (+)-catechin in the extract thereby suggesting that the activity of an extract may be as a result of the presence

of one bioactive compound, which, at lower concentrations, may be diminished by the antagonistic effects of other compounds or the presence of other non-active compounds.

### 3.3.4 Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP assay)

Numerous studies have linked the electron donation capacity (reduction) of an antioxidant to its anti-oxidative activity (Siddhuraju *et al.*, 2002). The presence of electron donating substances, such as antioxidant samples, causes the reduction of the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ /ferricyanide complex to the ferrous form ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ). The total reducing power of the extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* were compared to AA (Figure 3-3).




Figure 3-3: Reducing power of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark B(MeOH), leaves L(MeOH), isolated compounds (phaeophytin a and (+)-catechin) and ascorbic acid (AA). Values represented as Mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

Similar to the results produced by the DPPH radical scavenging activity, the results obtained from the FRAP assay showed the reducing power of the MeOH extract from the stem bark, (+)-

catechin and AA to be comparable and significantly higher than that of the MeOH extract from leaves and phaeophytin a.

### 3.3.5 Hydrogen peroxide-scavenging activity assay

Although hydrogen peroxide is a weak oxidising agent, it can cross cell membranes rapidly and while inside the cell, form hydroxyl radicals which are primarily responsible for its toxic effects. Therefore, removal of the hydroxyl free radical is necessary to ensure a good health status. The scavenging effects of the extracts and isolated compounds in *F. burtt-davyi* were evaluated against this free radical (Figure 3-4). The antioxidant activity of the extracts and compounds using hydrogen peroxide were similar to those produced by the DPPH and FRAP assays thereby confirming the activities of all the tested substances.




Figure 3-4: Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark B(MeOH), leaves L(MeOH), isolated compounds (phaeophytin a and (+)-catechin) and ascorbic acid (AA). Values represented as Mean  $\pm$  SD (n =3).

Table 3-2 shows the IC<sub>50</sub> values for the different antioxidant assays. Although compounds **A1-A8** were tested for anti-oxidant activity, the IC<sub>50</sub> values for sitosterol, campesterol, stigmasterol, lutein, lupeol and lupeol acetate were extremely high (> 1000 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) for all assays therefore, their results are not shown in Table 3-2. The results confirm that the MeOH extract and (+)-catechin have a significantly higher antioxidant activity (p < 0.05), with comparable IC<sub>50</sub> values for all three antioxidant assays. However, the IC<sub>50</sub> value for the standard AA was significantly lower than the plant extracts and compounds. From the TPC and antioxidant activity results, it is clear that higher TPC indicates higher antioxidant activity. This is in agreement with previous reports (Gorinstein *et al.*, 2003; Maisuthisakul *et al.*, 2007).

**Table 3-2: IC<sub>50</sub> of different extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* for various antioxidant assays.**

| Extracts/compound    | DPPH*( µg mL <sup>-1</sup> ) | FRAP*( µg mL <sup>-1</sup> ) | H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> scavenging*( µg mL <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| L(MeOH)              | 405.39 ± 20.58 <sup>c</sup>  | 420.95 ± 8.46 <sup>c</sup>   | 449.56 ± 6.66 <sup>c</sup>                                       |
| B(MeOH)              | 58.28 ± 5.05 <sup>b</sup>    | 46.09 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>    | 151.03 ± 1.6 <sup>b</sup>  |
| phaeophytin <b>a</b> | 611.15 ± 10.06 <sup>d</sup>  | 777.80 ± 1.60 <sup>d</sup>   | >1000 <sup>d</sup>   |
| (+)-catechin         | 61.19 ± 0.68 <sup>b</sup>    | 46.89 ± 0.33 <sup>b</sup>    | 125.15 ± 1.16 <sup>b</sup>                                       |
| Ascorbic acid (AA)   | 1.14 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>     | 39.32 ± 0.017 <sup>a</sup>   | 81.56 ± 0.63 <sup>a</sup>  |

Each value is represented as Mean ± SD (n = 3). <sup>a-d</sup> Means in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05). \*No significant difference between assays (p < 0.05).

Thus, the present study has shown that the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* possess moderate to significantly good antioxidant activity and may contribute to the retardation of the inflammatory process mediated by reactive oxygen metabolites from phagocytic leukocytes that invade the tissues and cause injury to essential cellular components (Parfenov and Zaikov, 2000). In addition,

previous studies have shown that plant-derived sterols exhibit anti-carcinogenic effects on different types of cancers (Awad *et al.*, 1998; De Stefani *et al.*, 2000; La Vecchia *et al.*, 1994; Von Holtz *et al.*, 1998). *F. burtt-davyi* could therefore be said to possess anti-cancer potential having isolated several sterols from the stem bark.

### **3.4 Conclusion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the phytochemical constituents of *F. burtt-davyi*, resulting in the isolation of a carotenoid which has been documented to exhibit moderate antibacterial activity and phaeophytin **a** with strong anti-HCV-NS3 protease activity with little cytotoxicity. The data from this study suggests that *F. burtt-davyi* possessed moderate to good anti-oxidative activity and can be used as a potential alternative medicine for oxidative stress related non-communicable chronic diseases.

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to the College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, UKZN for support.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, R., Hashi, H.M., Noor, Z.M., Ismail, N.H., Salim, F., and Lajis, N.H. (2011). Antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of Malaysian *Uncaria*. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plant* 5, 587-595.
- Awad, A.B., von Holtz, R.L., Cone, J.P., Fink, C.S., and Chen, Y.C. (1998). Beta-Sitosterol inhibits growth of HT-29 human colon cancer cells by activating the sphingomyelin cycle. *Anticancer Research* 18, 471–473.
- Behera, B.C., Verma, N., Sonone, A., and Makhija, U. (2006). Determination of antioxidative potential of lichen *Usnea ghattensis in vitro*. *Food Science and Technol-Leb* 39, 80-85.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press Hatfield, South Africa.
- Chang, M.S., Yang, Y.C., Kuo, Y.C., Kuo, Y.H., Chang, C., Chen, C.M., and Lee, T.H. (2005). Furocoumarin glycosides from the leaves of *Ficus ruficaulis* Merr. var. *antaoensis*. *Journal of Natural Products* 68, 11–13.
- Chaturvedula, V.S.P., and Prakash, I. (2012). Isolation of stigmasterol and  $\beta$  sitosterol from the dichloromethane extract of *Rubus suavissimus*. *International Current Pharmaceutical Journal* 1, 239-242.
- Chiang, Y.M., Kuo, Y.H. (2002). Novel triterpenoids from the aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 67, 7656–7661.
- Choi, J.M., Lee, E.O., Lee, H.J., Kim, K.H., Ahn, K.S., Shim, B.S., Kim, N.I., Song, M.C., Baek, N.I and Kim, S.H. (2007). Identification of campesterol from *Chrysanthemum coronarium* L. and its Antiangiogenic Activities. *Phytotherapy Research* 21, 954–959.

- Dejian, H., Boxin O., and Ronald L.P. (2005). The chemistry behind antioxidant capacity assays. *Journal Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53, 1841–1856.
- De Stefani, E., Boffetta, P., Ronco, A.L., Brennan, P., Deneo-Pellegrini, H., Carzoglio, J.C., and Mendilaharsu, M. (2000). Plant sterols and risk of stomach cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay. *Nutrition and Cancer* 37, 140-144.
- Es-Safi, N., Guyot, S., and Ducrot, P. (2006). NMR, ESI/MS, and MALDITOF/MS analysis of pear juice polymeric proanthocyanidins with potent free radical scavenging activity. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 54, 6969–6977.
- Gorinstein, S., Martin-Belloso, O., Katrich, E., Lojek, A., Ciz, M., and Gligelmo-Miguel, N. (2003). Comparison of the contents of the main biochemical compounds and the antioxidant activity of some Spanish olive oils as determined by four different radical scavenging tests. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* 14, 154–159.
- Lansky, E.P., and Paavilainen, H.M. (2011). *Traditional Herbal Medicines for Modern Times: Figs, the Genus Ficus*: CRC Press. Taylor and Francis Group LLC, New York.
- Lansky, E.P., Pavilainen, H.M., Pawlus, A.D., and Newman, R.A. (2008). *Ficus* spp. (fig): Ethnobotany and potential as anti-cancer and anti-inflammatory agents, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 119, 195-213.
- La Vecchia, C., Ferraroni, M., D’Avanzo, B., Decarli, A., and Franceschi, S. (1994). Selected Micronutrient Intake and the Risk of Gastric Cancer, *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 3, 393-398.

- Lee, T.H., Kuo, Y.C., Wang, G.J., Kuo, Y.H., Chang, C.I., Lu, C.K., and Lee, C.K. (2002). Five new phenolics from the roots of *Ficus beecheyana*. *Journal of Natural Products* 65, 1497–1500.
- Liu, C., and Chen, R.Y. (2004). Advance of chemistry and bioactivities of catechin and its analogues. *China Journal of Chinese materia medica* 10, 1017–1020.
- Mahato, S.B., and Kundu, A.P. (1994). <sup>13</sup>C NMR Spectra of pentacyclic triterpenoids-A compilation and some salient features. *Phytochemistry* 37, 1517-1575.
- Maisuthisakul, P., Suttajit, M., and Pongsawatmanit, R. (2007). Assessment of phenolic content and free radical-scavenging capacity of some Thai indigenous plants. *Food Chemistry* 100, 1409–1418.
- McDonald, S., Prenzler, P.D., Antolovich, M., Robards, K. (2001). Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts. *Food Chemistry* 73, 73-84
- Parfenov, E. A., and Zaikov, G. E. (2000). Biotic type antioxidants: The prospective search area for novel chemical drugs. Hardcover ed. VSP Books, Boston.
- Ruch, R.J., Cheng, S.J., and Klaunig, J.E. (1989). Prevention of cytotoxicity and inhibition of intercellular communication by antioxidant catechin isolated from Chinese green tea. *Carcinogenesis* 10, 1003–1008.
- Shukla, R., Gupta, S., Gambhir, J. K., Prabhu, K. M., and Murthy, P.S. (2004). Antioxidant effect of aqueous extract of the bark of *Ficus bengalensis* in hypercholesterolaemic rabbits. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 92, 47–51.

- Siddhuraju, P., Mohan, P. S., and Becker, K. (2002). Studies on the antioxidant activity of Indian Laburnum (*Cassia fistula* L.): A preliminary assessment of crude extracts from stem bark, leaves, flowers and fruit pulp. *Food Chemistry* 79, 61–67.
- Simon, P.N., Chaboud, A., Darbour, N., Pietro, A.D., Dumontet, C., Level, F., Raynaud, J., and Barron, D. (2001). Modulation of cancer cell multidrug resistance by an extract of *Ficus citrifolia*. *Anticancer Research* 21, 1023-1027.
- Von Holtz, R.L., Fink, C.S., and Awad, A.B. (1998). Beta-Sitosterol activates the sphingomyelin cycle and induces apoptosis in LNCaP human prostate cancer cells. *Nutrition and Cancer* 32, 8–12.
- Wang, S.Y., Tseng, C.P., Tsai, K.C., Lin, C.F., Wen, C.Y., Tsay, H.S., Sakamoto, N., Tseng, C.H., and Cheng, J.C. (2009). Bioactivity-guided screening identifies pheophytin a as a potent anti-hepatitis C virus compound from *Lonicera hypoglauca* Miq. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 385, 230–23.

## CHAPTER 4

### Cytotoxic activity of the bioactive principles from *Ficus burtt-davyi*

Hutchinson

#### Abstract

*Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson (Moraceae) is a medicinal plant species indigenous to Southern Africa. In this study, a phytochemical and cytotoxic investigation on *F. burtt-davyi* was conducted to evaluate its ethnomedicinal use. The phytochemical study of the fruits yielded triterpenoids (lupeol and  $\alpha$ -amyrin). The cytotoxic evaluation was done on the MeOH extracts from fruits and stem bark and selected compounds isolated from *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark and fruits (lupeol,  $\alpha$ -amyrin, lupeol acetate and (+)-catechin) against two human cancer cell lines (breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) and colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2)) and normal human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293) using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cell viability assay. The methanol extract from the stem bark was significantly cytotoxic to MCF-7 and Caco-2 cell lines ( $p < 0.05$ ) in a concentration-dependent manner with  $IC_{50}$  values of 6.6 and 8.1  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively relative to the control. Lupeol and (+)-catechin showed cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values of 22.6 and 29.8  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively and greater cytotoxic activity against Caco-2 cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values of 10.7 and 9.0  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively. Data from this study suggests that *F. burtt-davyi* exhibits cytotoxicity with no significant inhibitory effects against HEK293. The results also indicate that (+)-catechin and lupeol, the most abundant bioactive principles in the stem bark, are responsible for the synergistic

cytotoxic effects against tested human cancer cell lines. This study provides evidence on the pharmaceutical potential of the medicinal plant, *F. burtt-davyi*, as a chemotherapeutic agent against cancer.

**Keywords:** breast adenocarcinoma, colorectal adenocarcinoma, catechin, lupeol, anti-cancer.

## 4.1 Introduction

Cancer is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality throughout the world. Its prevalence in South Africa is also well documented and has been attributed to different factors such as smoking, occupational exposure, infections, lifestyle, and environmental pollutants (NCR, 2010). Current statistics show an average of 8.2 million deaths per year due to cancer with 12.7 million new cases each year, most of which are from developing countries (Ferlay *et al.*, 2010; Stewart and Wild, 2014). It is also estimated that new cancer cases across the world will increase to 21.4 million by 2030 (Ferlay *et al.*, 2010). A recent survey of underlying causes of death in South Africa showed cancer to be the second most frequent cause of death, with the first one being tuberculosis (SSA, 2014). This survey highlights the need for more effective management and treatment of cancer in South Africa and it also confirms that the search for a cure should form part of national health initiatives of all nations.

Generally, plant derived bioactive principles have gained attention in alternative therapeutic strategies to fight against different diseases, primarily because of their high therapeutic index and since medicinal plants have been used effectively in traditional medicine for centuries (Nisa *et al.*,

2011; Sharma *et al.*, 2011; Shoeb, 2006). Plant-derived substances have a long history in cancer treatment with approximately 60% of the anticancer drugs currently in use being isolated from natural products sourced from plants (Conforti *et al.*, 2008; Gonzales and Valerio, 2006; Jain and Jain, 2011; Khakdan and Khosro, 2013). This indicates a high possibility of indigenous medicinal plants serving as potential sources in the development of more effective anticancer agents (Caamal-Fuentes *et al.*, 2011).

Previous reports have shown that many *Ficus* species possess anticancer potential (Lansky *et al.*, 2008; Pratumvinit *et al.*, 2009; Simon *et al.*, 2001; Sirisha *et al.*, 2010; Zeng *et al.*, 2012). Free radical can cause oxidative stress, and it has been implicated in a number of studies as the factor responsible for a number of chronic non-communicable diseases, including cancer *via* DNA damage (Chandra *et al.*, 2000). The potential anticancer activity of *Ficus* species has been credited in some reports to the secondary metabolites, such as, terpenoids and flavonoids that are capable of preventing oxidative stress-related diseases such as cancer (Elsharkawy, 2013; Sirisha *et al.*, 2010). In a number of studies, the antioxidant activity has been linked to anticancer effects (Li *et al.*, 2007; Sirisha *et al.*, 2010). We also showed significantly high antioxidant activity of the bioactive principles from the stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016).

*Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutch. (Moraceae) is a medicinal plant species indigenous to Southern Africa (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). *F. burtt-davyi* is highly adaptable, and can survive in wide variety of habitats and its fruits are used in traditional medicine as a laxative (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). Previously, we reported on the isolation of triterpenes, pigments and a flavonoid from the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, we report on the secondary metabolites isolated from the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi*. In addition, a cytotoxic

evaluation of the methanol extracts from the fruits and stem bark, as well as the isolated compounds from the fruits and stem bark against two human tumour cell lines (breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) and colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2)), relative to normal human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cell, using the MTT cell viability assay was carried out.

## **4.2 Materials and Methods**

### **4.2.1 Experimental**

#### **4.2.1.1 General experimental procedures**

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra ( $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$ , 1D and 2D) were recorded in deuterated chloroform ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ), referenced to the internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) on a 400 MHz spectrometer (AVANCEIII, Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) at 400.22 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 100.63 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$ . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and coupling constants (J) in Hz. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts of  $\text{CDCl}_3$  were 7.24 and 77.0, respectively. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Universal ATR spectrometer. UV-Vis spectra were obtained on a UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer (UV-3600, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan). Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 0.040–0.063mm, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum sheets (Merck silica gel 60, 20 × 20 cm, F<sub>254</sub>, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The spots were analysed under UV (254 nm and 366 nm), visualised using 10%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in methanol (MeOH) followed by heating. Mass spectral data was obtained using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS QP2010SE Series, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) with LabSolution Software

and equipped with a DB-5SIL MS (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) fused silica capillary column. Helium (2 mL/min) was used as a carrier gas and MeOH or dichloromethane (DCM) was used to dissolve the samples. The injector was kept at 250 °C whilst the transfer line was at 280 °C. The column temperature was held at 50 °C for 2 min, and then ramped to 280 °C at 20°C/min where it was held for 15 min.

#### **4.2.2 Chemicals and Reagents**

All cell culture reagents and media were obtained from Lonza BioWhittaker, Walkersville, USA. 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was supplied by Hyclone, GE Healthcare, Utah, USA. MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, solvents (analytical grade) and other chemicals used were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

#### **4.2.3 Plant material**

Fresh plant material was collected between January to August 2016 from the University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), Westville campus, South Africa and was authenticated by a botanist, Prof. H. Baijnath. A voucher specimen (Ogunlaja, O1) was deposited in the WARD Herbarium of the School of Life Sciences, UKZN, Westville.

#### 4.2.4 Extraction, isolation and preparation of test samples

The air-dried, powdered fruit (820 g) of *F. burtt-davyi* was sequentially extracted exhaustively with hexane ( $3 \times 2000$  mL), DCM ( $3 \times 2000$  mL) and MeOH ( $3 \times 2000$  mL) on an orbital shaker at room temperature for two days each. The DCM extract (13.2 g) was subjected to column chromatography on a 4 cm diameter column using a gradient elution system of n-hexane: ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (v/v) starting with 100% n-hexane until 100% EtOAc was reached through 10% increments of EtOAc. Fractions of 100 mL were collected for each eluent step and fractions with similar retention factors ( $R_f$ ) on TLC plates (similar TLC profiles) were combined and concentrated using a rotatory evaporator to give five major fractions (I-V). Compounds **A-6** (20.5 mg) and **A-9** (10.9 mg) were obtained from Fractions I and III, respectively.

#### 4.2.5 Cell viability and cytotoxicity testing

Human cancer cell lines ((breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7) and colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2)) were used and normal human embryonic kidney cell lines (HEK293) were used as the control. All cell lines were originally obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, Virginia, USA). Cells were grown as monolayers in Minimum Essential Medium, supplemented with 10% FBS and an antibiotic mixture of penicillin ( $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) and streptomycin ( $100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). A volume of 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of medium containing  $2.0 \times 10^4$  cells were seeded in a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, with 100% relative humidity. The MeOH extract from the stem bark and fruits and the four isolated compounds were subjected to an *in vitro* cytotoxicity bioassay by the mitochondrial dependent reduction of yellow MTT to purple formazan (Mosmann, 1983) against MCF-7, Caco-

2 and HEK293 cell lines. Stock solutions ( $20 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) of test samples (extracts and compounds) and positive control (5-FU) were prepared by dissolution in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The final concentrations of the test samples in culture were 1, 5, 25, and  $50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ . After 24 h of incubation and attachment, the cells were treated with fresh media containing the various concentrations of crude extract, compounds and positive control or DMSO vehicle control. This was incubated for 48 h, thereafter, the old medium was replaced with fresh medium containing  $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of MTT in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for 4 h at  $37^\circ\text{C}$ . The supernatant was aspirated, MTT-formazan crystals were dissolved in  $100 \mu\text{L}$  of DMSO and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm by a microplate reader (MR-96A, Mindray, Shenzhen, China). Assays were conducted in triplicate. Cell survival (growth) was calculated by comparing the absorbance of treated and untreated cells. The percentage cell inhibition was determined. The percentage viability was plotted against the extract concentrations and the 50% cell viability value ( $\text{IC}_{50}$ ) was calculated from the curve (Hensen *et al.*, 1989).

#### **4.2.6 Statistical analysis**

The experimental results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of three replicates. The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine significant differences between means. Tukey`s test was used for post-hoc analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Results with  $p < 0.05$  were regarded as statistically significant.

## 4.3 Results and discussion

### 4.3.1 Structure elucidation

Previously, we reported on the phytochemical investigation, resulting in the isolation of compounds from the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016). These compounds were lupeol, lupeol acetate and (+)-catechin. In this study, compound **A-6** was identified using spectral data (IR, UV, GC-MS, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR and 2D NMR) and by comparison of the NMR data with those reported in literature. Compound **A-6** was identified as lupeol (Figure 4-1) (Mohato and Kundu, 1994) which was previously isolated from the stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi*.

Compound **A-9** was isolated as a white powder. GC-MS data showed molecular ion peak [M<sup>+</sup>] at *m/z* 426 which corresponds to the molecular formula C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>50</sub>O. The IR spectrum showed a broad absorption band at 3337 cm<sup>-1</sup> (OH group) and a band at 1555 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C). The UV spectrum of compound **A-9** gave maximum wavelength (λ<sub>max</sub>) at 229 nm. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum showed characteristic resonances for the oleanane-type pentacyclic triterpene at δ<sub>H</sub> 5.09 (t, J = 3.41 Hz, H-12), 3.18 (dd, J = 5.17; 10.89 Hz, H-3) and eight methyl resonances. The <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectrum showed diagnostic peaks at δ<sub>C</sub> 79.08 (C-3), 124.4 (C-12) and 139.5 (C-13) indicating the presence of a Δ<sup>12</sup>-double bond of the olean-12-ene-type. Based on spectral information and comparison with the literature (Dias *et al.*, 2011; Fingolo *et al.*, 2013; Hassan *et al.*, 2015), compound **A-9** was identified as α-amyrin (Figure 4-1). This is the first report of α-amyrin from *F. burtt-davyi*.




Figure 4-1: Chemical structures of compounds A-6 and A-9 isolated from the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi*.

#### 4.3.2 *In-vitro* cytotoxicity

The cytotoxic activity of the MeOH extracts from fruits and stem bark and selected compounds previously isolated from *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark (lupeol, lupeol acetate and (+)-catechin) and fruits (lupeol and  $\alpha$ -amyrin) was determined using the MTT cell viability assay using different cancer cell lines at various concentrations (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016). The  $IC_{50}$  value estimated by nonlinear regression analysis was used as a parameter for cytotoxicity. The results are summarised in Table 4-1. The results showed that the MeOH extract of the stem bark (MeOH (SB)) of *F. burtt-davyi* significantly reduced cell viability in both MCF-7 and Caco-2 cell lines, with  $IC_{50}$  values of 6.6 and 8.1  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively. These values are significantly lower than the cytotoxic activity for crude extracts of ( $IC_{50} \leq 30 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) recommended by the American National Cancer Institute (Suffness and Pezzuto, 1990). Furthermore, the extracts showed a significantly low cytotoxicity ( $p < 0.05$ ) towards normal human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293), relative to the control (5-FU). This result shows the selectivity of the extract towards the cancer cells.

Lupeol and (+)-catechin showed cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values of 22.6 and 29.8  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively and greater cytotoxicity against Caco-2 cell lines with  $IC_{50}$  values of 10.7 and 9.0  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively. The potential of lupeol to inhibit the growth of cancer cells was also observed in previous studies (Aratanechemuge *et al.*, 2004; Moriarty *et al.*, 1998; Nana *et al.*, 2012). Cmoch *et al.* (2008) reported the  $IC_{50}$  value for lupeol against MCF-7 to be low ( $< 15 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), which is corroborated by this study (22.6  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ). In addition, the observed synergistic cytotoxic effects by MeOH (SB) against tested human cancer cell lines is in agreement with a previous report which suggested that lupeol, in combination with other bioactive principles, could be beneficial in the treatment of cancer (Lee *et al.*, 2007). Lupeol showed strong, 2-fold cytotoxic activity against Caco-2 cell lines compared to 5-FU. Similarly, MeOH (SB) and (+)-catechin showed significantly stronger cytotoxic activity ( $p < 0.05$ ) against Caco-2 cells by nearly 3-fold compared to 5-FU (Table 4-1). Conversely, lupeol acetate and  $\alpha$ -amyrin exhibited low cytotoxic activity ( $IC_{50} > 50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) against all the tested cell lines, similar to previous reports (Hassan *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 4-1: Cytotoxicity of the methanol (MeOH) extract of fruits (F) and stem bark (SB) and isolated compounds (lupeol, lupeol acetate, (+)-catechin and  $\alpha$ -amyryn from *F.burtt-davyi*, and positive control (5-FU) against MCF-7, Caco-2 and HEK293 cell lines.**

| Plant extracts/Compounds | IC <sub>50</sub> $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ |                   |                    |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
|                          | MCF-7                                  | Caco-2            | HEK293             |
| MeOH (F)                 | >50 <sup>c</sup>                       | >50 <sup>c</sup>  | 189.5 <sup>c</sup> |
| MeOH (SB)                | 6.6 <sup>a</sup>                       | 8.1 <sup>a</sup>  | 207.5 <sup>d</sup> |
| Lupeol                   | 22.6 <sup>b</sup>                      | 10.7 <sup>a</sup> | 179.1 <sup>c</sup> |
| Lupeol acetate           | >50 <sup>c</sup>                       | >50 <sup>c</sup>  | 162.0 <sup>c</sup> |
| (+)-catechin             | 29.8 <sup>b</sup>                      | 9.0 <sup>a</sup>  | 120.2 <sup>b</sup> |
| $\alpha$ -amyryn         | >50 <sup>c</sup>                       | >50 <sup>c</sup>  | 121.3 <sup>b</sup> |
| 5-FU (Control)           | 26.2 <sup>b</sup>                      | 23.1 <sup>b</sup> | 102.0 <sup>a</sup> |

Values with different superscript letters along a column are significantly different from each other by Tukey's HSD multiple post hoc test, ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Figure 4-2 and 4-3 show cell viability after exposure of MCF-7 and Caco-2 cells, respectively to different concentrations of test samples. The MeOH extract of the fruits (MeOH (F)), lupeol acetate and  $\alpha$ -amyryn showed very weak cytotoxic effects against the tested cell lines (Table 4-1) and are therefore omitted from the results. The addition of 1–50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  of MeOH (SB) and test compounds ((+)-catechin and lupeol) to both cell lines (MCF-7 and Caco-2) resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of cell proliferation. The results of the post-hoc analyses (Tukey's test) showed mean percentage cell viability values for MeOH (SB) and compounds (lupeol and (+)-catechin) to be significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ) across all concentrations relative to the vehicle




Figure 4-2: Cell viability by MTT assay after exposure of MCF-7 cells to different concentrations of *F. burtt-davyi* methanol extract (stem bark) (MeOH (SB)), isolated compounds ((+)-catechin and lupeol), DMSO vehicle control (VC) and positive control, 5- fluorouracil (5-FU). \* -  $p < 0.05$  from DMSO; \*\* -  $p < 0.05$  from DMSO and 5-FU.

control (DMSO). Lupeol displayed significant cytotoxicity against MCF-7 cells at 25 and 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  and significant cytotoxicity against Caco-2 cells across all tested concentrations markedly reducing cell viability compared to 5-FU. MeOH (SB) also showed significant cytotoxicity relative to 5-FU, at 25 and 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  against both MCF-7 and Caco-2 cells. (+)-Catechin exhibited selectivity towards Caco-2 cells compared to MCF-7 with significant cytotoxicity at 25 and 50  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  thereby reducing cell viability compared to 5-FU.

The higher cytotoxic activity of MeOH (SB) of *F. burtt-davyi* could be attributed to the presence and synergistic effect of the more active secondary metabolites, (+)-catechin and lupeol. Similarly, the lower cytotoxic activity of MeOH (F) could be as a result of the presence and of the less active

compounds, lupeol acetate and  $\alpha$ -amyrin. This report highlights the cytotoxic activity of the extracts and pure compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* which, to the best of our knowledge, is the first such report.




Figure 4-3: Cell viability by MTT assay after exposure of Caco-2 cells to different concentrations of *F. burtt-davyi* methanol extract (stem bark) (MeOH (SB)), isolated compounds ((+)-catechin and lupeol), DMSO vehicle control (VC) and positive control, 5- fluorouracil (5-FU). \* -  $p < 0.05$  from DMSO; \*\* -  $p < 0.05$  from DMSO and 5-FU

#### 4.4 Conclusion

The present study established the cytotoxic potential of the methanol extracts of the stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* against MCF-7 and Caco-2 cells with no significant adverse effect on normal HEK293 cells, thereby validating its ethnomedicinal use. This selectivity to cancer cells *in vitro* warrants further *in vivo* studies. Data from this study suggest that (+)-catechin and lupeol, the most

abundant bioactive compounds in the stem bark, are responsible for the synergistic cytotoxic effect of this extract against breast and colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines. In addition, data from this study provides evidence on the potential of *F. burtt-davyi* as a chemotherapeutic agent against cancer.

### **Acknowledgements**

Research funding from UKZN and the National Research Foundation is gratefully acknowledged

## REFERENCES

- Aratanechemuge, Y., Hibasami, H., Sanpin, K., Katsuzaki, H., Imai, K., and Komia, T. (2004). Induction of apoptosis by lupeol isolated from mokumen (*Gossampinus malabarica* L. Merr) in human promyelotic leukemia HL-60 cells. *Oncology Reports* 11, 289-292.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press Hatfield, South Africa.
- Caamal-Fuentes, E., Torres-Tapia, L.W., Simá-Polanco, P., Peraza-Sánchez, S.R., and Moo-Puc, R. (2011). Screening of plants used in Mayan traditional medicine to treat cancer-like symptoms. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 135, 719–724.
- Chandra, J.A., Samali, A., and Orrenius, S. (2000). Triggering and modulation of apoptosis by oxidative stress. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 29, 323-333.
- Cmoch, P., Pakulski, Z., Swaczynova, J., and Strnad, M. (2008). Synthesis of lupane-type saponins bearing mannosyl and 3, 6-branched trimannosyl residues and their evaluation as anticancer agents. *Carbohydrate Research* 343, 995–1003.
- Conforti, F., Ioele, G., Statti, G.A., Marrelli, M., Ragno, G., and Menichini, F. (2008). Antiproliferative activity against human tumor cell lines and toxicity test on Mediterranean dietary plants. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46, 3325–3332.
- Dias, M., Hamerski, L., and Pinto, A. (2011). Separacao semipreparative de  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ -amyryn a por cromatografia líquida de alta eficiencia, *Qumica Nova* 34, 704-706.
- Elsharkawy, E.A. (2013). Anticancer activity of *Lactuca steriollagrowing* under dry desert condition of Northern Region in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 3, 5-18.

- Ferlay, J., Shin, H.R., Bray, F., Forman, D., Mathers, C., and Parkin, M.D. (2010). Estimates of worldwide burden of Cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *International Journal of cancer* 127, 2893-917.
- Fingolo, C.E., Santos, T.S., Filho, M.D., and Kaplan, M.A. (2013). Triterpene Esters: Natural Products from *Dorstenia arifolia* (Moraceae). *Molecules* 18, 4247-4256.
- Gonzales, G.F., and Valerio, L.G., (2006). “Medicinal plants from Peru: a review of plants as potential agents against cancer,” *Anti-Cancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry* 6, 429–444.
- Hansen, M. B., Nielsen, S. E., and Berg, K. (1989). Re-examination and further development of a precise and rapid dye method for measuring cell growth/cell kill. *Journal of Immunological Methods* 119, 203-210.
- Hassan, R.A., Hassan, E.M., Ibrahim, N.A., and Nazif, N.M. (2015). Triterpenes and Cytotoxic Activity of *Acokanthera oblongifolia* Hochst. Growing in Egypt. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences* 6, 1677-1686.
- Jain, R., and Jain, S.K. (2011). Screening of *in vitro* cytotoxic activity of some medicinal plants used traditionally to treat cancer in Chhattisgarh state, India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 1, S147–S150.
- Khakdan, F., and Khosro, P. (2013). *In vitro* Cytotoxic Activity of Aqueous Root Extract of *Althea kurdica* against Endothelial Human Bone Marrow Cells (line k562) and Human Lymphocytes. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences* 2, 23-29.
- Lansky, E.P., Pavilainen, H.M., Pawlus, A.D., and Newman, R.A. (2008). *Ficus* spp. (fig): Ethnobotany and potential as anticancer and anti-inflammatory agents. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 119, 195-213.

- Lee, T.K., Poon, R.T., Wo, J.Y., Ma, S., Guan, X.Y., and Myers, J.N. (2007). Lupeol suppresses cisplatin-induced nuclear factor-kappaB activation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and inhibits local invasion and nodal metastasis in an orthotopic nude mouse model. *Cancer Research* 67, 8800–8809.
- Li, W., Chan, S., Guo, D., and Yu, P.H. (2007). Correlation between antioxidative power and anticancer activity in herbs from traditional Chinese medicine formulae with anticancer therapeutic effect. *Pharmaceutical Biology* 45, 541-546
- Mahato, S.B., and Kundu, A.P. (1994). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of pentacyclic triterpenoids – A compilation and some salient features. *Phytochemistry* 37, 1517-1575.
- Moriarty, D.M, Huang, J., Yancey, C.A., Zhang, P., Setzer, W.N., Lawton, R.O., Bates, R.B., and Caldera, S. (1998). Lupeol is the cytotoxic principle in the leaf extract of *Dendropanax* c.f. *querceti*. *Planta Medica* 64, 370-372.
- Mosmann, T. (1983). Rapid colorimetric assays for cellular growth and survival: Application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. *Journal of immunological methods* 65, 55-65.
- Nana, F., Sandjo, L.S., Keumedjio, F., Ambassa, P., Malik, R., Kuete, V., Rincheval, V., Muhammad Iqbal Choudhary, M.I., and Ngadjui, B.T. (2012). Ceramides and cytotoxic constituents from *Ficus glumosa* Del. (Moraceae). *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society* 23, 482-487.
- Nisa, S., Bibi, Y., Waheed, A., Zia, M., Sarwar, S., Ahmed, S., and Chaudhary, M.F. (2011). Evaluation of anticancer activity of *Debregeasia Salicifolia* extract against estrogen receptor positive cell line. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 10, 990-995.

- Ogunlaja, O.O., Moodley, R., Baijnath, H., and Sreekantha, B.J. (2016). Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi*. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica* 73, 1593-1600.
- Pratumvinit, B., Srisapoomi, T., Worawattananon, P., Opartkiattikul, N., Jiratchariyakul, K., and Kummalue, T. (2009). *In vitro* antineoplastic effect of *Ficus hispida* L. plant against breast cancer cell lines. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 3, 255-261.
- Sharma, J.V.C., Pitchaiah, G., Satyavati, D., Rao, J.V., and Sanjay, H. (2011). *In vitro* anticancer activity of methanolic extract of roots of *Glochidion zeylanicum* (Gaertn.). *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical* 2, 760–764.
- Shoeb, M. (2006). Minireview anticancer agents from medicinal plants. *Bangladesh Journal of Pharmacology* 1, 35–41.
- Simon, P.N., Chaboud, A., Darbour, N., Pietro, A.D., Dumontet, C., Level, F., Raynaud, J., and Barron, D. (2001). Modulation of cancer cell multidrug resistance by an extract of *Ficus citrifolia*. *Anticancer Research* 21, 1023-1027.
- Sirisha, N., Sreenivasulu, M., Sangeeta, K., and Madhusudhana, C. (2010). Antioxidant Properties of *Ficus* Species –A Review. *International Journal of PharmTech Research* 2, 2174-2182.
- Statistics South Africa. (2014). Mortality and Causes of Death in South Africa, 2013: Findings from Death Notification. Statistical release P03039.3. Pretoria: Statistics South Africa.
- Stewart, B.W., and Wild, C.P, eds. (2014). *World Cancer Report*. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- Suffness, M., and Pezzuto, J.M. (1990). “Assays related to cancer drug discovery,” in *Methods in Plant Biochemistry: Assays for Bioactivity*, K. Hostettmann, Ed., Academic Press, London, UK.

The National Cancer Registry of South Africa (NCR): Cancer in South Africa, (2010).

Johannesburg.

Zeng, Y.W., and Lin, X. (2012). Effects of *Ficus hirta* Vahl. (Wuzhimaotao) extracts on growth inhibition of HeLa cells. *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology* 64, 743-749.

## CHAPTER 5

### Elemental composition of the edible fruits of *Ficus burtt-davyi*

#### Hutchinson from different habitats in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

##### Abstract

Many figs are known to have medicinal value and their fruits are often consumed as food by the rural communities. *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson is an indigenous medicinal fig used as a laxative by the locals in southern Africa. In this study, the concentrations of various elements and the proximate chemical composition was determined in the edible fruit of *F. burtt-davyi* from eight different sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. The elemental distribution of essential elements in the fruits varied significantly with site ( $p < 0.05$ ) and the concentrations were found to be in decreasing order of  $\text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Mn} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cu}$ . The results of the proximate composition indicated that the fruit contained  $5.0 \pm 0.3\%$  protein,  $8.4 \pm 0.4\%$  lipids,  $78.9 \pm 0.6\%$  carbohydrates,  $4.0 \pm 0.7\%$  crude fibre and  $3.7 \pm 0.1\%$  ash. Hierarchical cluster analysis showed variation in elemental distribution between the two distinct habitats (coastal and inland forests) representing the sampling sites. This study suggests that the fruits can serve as an alternative source of energy and may contribute positively to the diet without posing the risk of adverse health effects due to low concentrations of toxic elements. The consumption of about 20.0 g of *F. burtt-davyi* fruit can contribute up to 43.8% towards the Recommended Dietary Allowance for Mn.

**Keywords:** Recommended Dietary Allowance; elemental distribution; essential elements; toxicity.

## 5.1 Introduction

Trees have been an essential part of human survival from the earliest time, providing basic needs such as shelter, firewood, medicine and food. The use of indigenous plants as food and to treat medical conditions in humans' dates back to time immemorial. Many Southern African trees have edible and often delicious fruits, but many of them are yet to be domesticated and developed into commercial crops (Van Wyk *et al.*, 2000). Wild fruit trees are important to rural people, especially rural children, because they add important nutrients to the diet and are freely available when other food may be inadequate. In addition, several epidemiological studies have shown an inverse correlation between the consumption of fruits and the incidence of several chronic diseases such as cancer (Block, 1992; Block *et al.*, 1992), diabetes (Ford and Mokdid, 2001) and heart disease (Rimm *et al.*, 1996).

Generally, there is renewed awareness for food safety due to several reports associated with consumption of contaminated food products and their adverse effects on humans (D'Mello, 2003). This has motivated research concerning the risk associated with consumption of plant-based food products. Soil to plant transfer is a major route of contamination, thus, the occurrence of heavy metals in soils (natural and polluted) and plant-based foods have been the focus of a number of studies due to contamination issues (Gebrekidan *et al.*, 2013; Nabuloa *et al.*, 2010a,b; Wang *et al.*, 2003). Heavy metals are among the major contaminants of plant-based food products (Zaidi *et al.*, 2005). They are often linked with toxicity associated with environmental pollution due to them being non-biodegradable, having high residence time and their potential to accumulate in different organs of plants (Nabulo *et al.*, 2011; Sathawara *et al.*, 2004; Singh *et al.*, 2010). They can also accumulate in the different organs of humans, thereby leading to undesirable and harmful effects

(Jarup, 2003). Although some metals are required by humans in very small amounts for growth and optimum performance, others such as As, Cd and Pb are toxic even at very low concentrations. These heavy metals are not only cytotoxic, but also carcinogenic and mutagenic in nature (Ivanova *et al.*, 2008). Generally, metal toxicity results from alteration in the conformational structure of nucleic acids, protein or by interference with oxidative phosphorylation and osmotic balance (Yaoa *et al.*, 2008).

Figs have been shown to be over 11 000 years in existence (Kislev *et al.*, 2006). Edible figs have been cultivated in Asia since ancient times and featured predominantly in the diet of animals, humans and other close relatives for many centuries. *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutch is a fig that is endemic to South Africa and belongs to the mulberry family (Moraceae) (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). It is found in a wide variety of habitats, as a shrub in coastal scrublands, scrambling amongst the surrounding vegetation (Berg, 1990). It may also occur along the beach margins. *F. burtt-davyi* also grows as a hemi-epiphyte on the stems of large forest trees. Additionally, it may grow as a rock-splitter against rock surfaces or cliffs and it is distributed from the Gouritz River in Western Cape Province of South Africa to the Escourt and Piet Retief areas of KwaZulu-Natal (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). Its fruits are reported to be eaten by the Transkeian people of the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa (Burrows and Burrows, 2003; Fox and Young 1983; Rose and Guillardmod, 1974).

Previously, we reported on the nutritional value, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in the edible fruits of *Ficus sur* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). Although the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi* are eaten and used as a laxative by the locals in South Africa, information about the nutritive as well as mineral content is lacking, hence the need for this study. In this study, we

examine the edible fruits of *F. burtt-davyi* for proximate nutrient and mineral content. This work would provide necessary baseline information on the edible fruit and also provide the basis for their wider utilisation and application.

## **5.2 Materials and methods**

### **5.2.1 Sample collection and Preparation**

The soil and fruit samples were collected from eight different sampling sites, representing two distinct habitats (coastal and inland forests) in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (Figure 5-1), between February and March, 2014. Composite soil samples from six points along the drip line of each tree were collected randomly from eight designated sampling points with a plastic hand shovel at 15-20 cm depth. A thoroughly mixed representative soil sample was taken from each site and was dried overnight in an oven at 40 °C then passed through a 2 mm mesh sieve to remove organic matter and gravel. About 10 g of this soil was crushed with a mortar and pestle to reduce the particle size for microwave digestion. Samples were stored in sealed plastic bags and kept in a refrigerator until analysed.

Samples of tree-ripened fruits were randomly picked from trees, placed in sealed plastic bags and taken to the laboratory for further analysis. The average temperature during the sampling period was 27 °C with no rain or wind but sunshine. All fruit samples were washed thoroughly with double distilled water. Thereafter, fruit samples were oven-dried at 50 °C, overnight. Dried fruit samples were crushed using a food processor (Kenwood Compact Blender, BL380) and the resultant powder samples were stored in a refrigerator in sealed polyethylene bags until analysed.

## 5.2.2 Reagents and chemicals

All chemicals used were supplied by Merck (Kenilworth, USA) and Sigma (St. Louis, USA) Chemical Companies and were of analytical-reagent grade. Elemental calibration standards were prepared from spectroscopic grade stock standard solutions of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland).




Figure 5-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

### 5.2.3 Analytical quality assurance and instruments

All plastic containers were washed with laboratory liquid detergent and then soaked in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub>, overnight. Glassware and other equipment were cleaned with 6M HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed off with Millipore™ water (Billerica, MA, USA) to minimise the risk of contamination before usage. Millipore™ water was used throughout the experiments. Working standards were made up with Millipore™ water and 10 mL of 70% HNO<sub>3</sub> to match the sample matrix. The blank reagents and certified reference material (CRMs) for plant (BCR-402, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurement, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Belgium) and soil (D081-540, ERA, A waters Company, Milford, MA, USA) were used to verify the accuracy, precision and efficiency of the analytical method. All digested samples were analysed within a week after digestion.

**Table 5-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant (n = 8) and soil (n = 6) certified reference materials (CRM).**

| Metals    | BCR-402  |       |                   |       | D081-540 |       |           |      |
|-----------|----------|-------|-------------------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|------|
|           | Measured | SD    | Certified         | SD    | Measured | SD    | Certified |      |
|           | Mean     |       | Mean              |       | Mean     |       | Mean      | SD   |
| <b>As</b> | 0.091    | 0.011 | 0.093             | 0.010 | 100.5    | 1.20  | 101       | 5.92 |
| <b>Cd</b> | -        | -     | -                 | -     | 139.9    | 1.3   | 143       | 5.6  |
| <b>Co</b> | 0.175    | 0.004 | 0.178             | 0.008 | 200.6    | 6.1   | 199       | 4.1  |
| <b>Cr</b> | 5.18     | 0.065 | 5.19 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 90.3     | 2.6   | 86.8      | 6.1  |
| <b>Fe</b> | 245      |       | 244 <sup>a</sup>  | -     | 12823    | 20.4  | 12800     | 18.0 |
| <b>Mn</b> | -        | -     | -                 | -     | 431.7    | 9.4   | 425       | 9.7  |
| <b>Se</b> | 6.72     | 0.210 | 6.70              | 0.25  | 129.8    | 2.2   | 127       | 4.5  |
| <b>Ni</b> | 8.25     | 0.591 | 8.25 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 241.2    | 10.10 | 236       | 4.17 |
| <b>Zn</b> | 25.3     | 0.002 | 25.2 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 128.9    | 5.6   | 130       | 11.5 |

\*Values are in µg g<sup>-1</sup> dry mass (95% confidence interval).

<sup>a</sup> Indicative values (without uncertainty). CRM - BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil.

The measured mean values were compared with the corresponding certified values (Table 5-1). Experimental results compared well with certified values ( $p < 0.05$ ) with recovery percentages being within acceptable limits.

## **5.2.4 Metal extractions**

### **5.2.4.1 Exchangeable metals**

Exchangeable metals were extracted using the extracting solution prepared by diluting 38.542 g of ammonium acetate ( $\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 25 mL of acetic acid ( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ , 96%) and 37.225 g of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to 1L in double distilled water. Exactly 50 mL of extracting solution was added to 5.0 g of dry soil samples in 250 mL polyethylene bottles and shaken in a laboratory shaker for 2 h. Thereafter, solutions were filtered on Whatman No. 1 filter papers and then Millipore 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filter membranes to permit analysis of extracted metals. All samples were stored in plastic bottles and kept in a refrigerator until analysed.

### **5.2.4.2 Total metals**

Samples of dried, powdered fruit and soil (0.25 g each) were accurately weighed and digested by applying the optimised procedure as described by Endalamaw and Chandravanshi, (2015) with some modifications. Fruit and soil digests were transferred to 25 mL volumetric flasks, diluted to the mark with Millipore<sup>TM</sup> water and stored in polyethylene bottles prior to elemental analysis.

### **5.2.5 Soil pH, Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Soil Organic Matter (SOM)**

The soil pH was determined in a soil: water suspension of 1 g in 2.5 mL of deionised water using a pH meter (Aqualytica, Model pH 17). Soil organic matter (SOM) was measured using the potassium dichromate wet oxidation by titration method as described by Walkley and Black, (1934) and was expressed as percent carbon. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soil was determined using the pH 7.0 ammonium acetate method (Chapman, 1965).

### **5.2.6 Determination of chemical composition**

Fruit samples were analysed for proximate chemical composition (moisture, crude fat, fibre and protein) according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists methods (AOAC, 2000). The carbohydrate content was obtained by difference.

### **5.2.7. Analytical procedure**

Standard solutions of 13 elements (As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se, Co, and Zn) were prepared by diluting their corresponding 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> stock standard solutions. Elemental analysis was by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) due to its high dynamic linear range and sensitivity. Analytical wavelengths were selected based on minimum spectral interferences and maximum analytical performance.

## 5.2.8 Data analysis

### 5.2.8.1 Bioaccumulation factor (BAF)

Generally, elements are persistent in the environment and tend to accumulate in plant tissues. In this study elemental bioaccumulation was evaluated by comparing their concentration in the fruit against that in the growth soil.

$$\text{BAF} = [\text{Fruit}] / [\text{Soil}]_{\text{Exchangeable}}$$

### 5.2.8.2 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, (PASW version 23, IBM Corporation, Cornell, NY, USA).

## 5.3 Results and Discussion

### 5.3.1 Elemental analysis

The fruit and the growth soil samples were analysed for thirteen elements comprising of macro-elements (Ca and Mg), micro-elements (Cu, Co, Fe, Mn, Ni, Cr, Se and Zn), and toxic elements (As, Cd, and Pb). Although, total metal concentration in the soil is a valuable indicator of soil contamination, the risk from metals to a large extent is governed by their bioavailability (Prokop *et al.*, 2003; Tokalioglu *et al.*, 2000; Van Gestel, 2008; Xiao *et al.*, 2011). The heavy metal concentration in soil (total and exchangeable) and fruit samples of *F. burtt-davyi* from eight different sites in KwaZulu-Natal are summarised in Table 5-2 for those elements in fruits that were above the instrument detection limits. The exchangeable percent (% Ex) was also calculated to

measure the percent of elements from the soil that are available for plant uptake. Arsenic, Cd, and Pd in soil and in fruit were below the detection limit of the instrument therefore they were excluded from the discussion.

Plants, through various physiological processes are able to regulate metals through storage and detoxification, in order to adapt to varied metal concentration conditions (Ciszewski *et al.*, 2013; Fairbrother *et al.*, 2007; Peto, 2010). Calcium in soil (total and exchangeable) ranged from 496 to 8405  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and 245 to 4399  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively with highest concentrations of 14530  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  in the fruit observed at site S8 where the exchangeable Ca was 490  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (BAF = 29.2). Calcium in fruits is believed to delay ripening and can contribute about 2% towards body total weight of many fruits (Valvi and Rathod, 2011). Likewise, there was accumulation of Mg at all sites with Mg in soil (total and exchangeable) and fruits ranging from 214 to 1035  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , 199 to 340  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and 2461 to 3728  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively. For Ca and Mg, soil concentrations were lower than fruit concentrations, indicating the ability of the fruits to bioconcentrate and bioaccumulate these metals.

**Table 5-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (E) samples (Mean (standard deviation); 95% confidence interval, n = 3) and bioaccumulation factors (BAFs).**

| Site | Element | Fruit       | Soil(T)     | Soil (E)    | [Fruit]/[Soil]T | [Fruit]/[Soil]E | Ex%  |
|------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| 1    | Ca      | 13540 (380) | 4928 (1429) | 3388 (2433) | 2.7             | 4.0             | 68.7 |
| 2    |         | 9363 (462)  | 5549 (671)  | 4399 (116)  | 1.7             | 2.1             | 79.3 |
| 3    |         | 7921 (159)  | 975 (102)   | 245 (12)    | 8.1             | 32.3            | 25.1 |
| 4    |         | 12713 (840) | 724 (464)   | 7171 (9)    | 17.6            | 17.7            | 99.0 |
| 5    |         | 8738 (145)  | 8405 (1756) | 2115 (421)  | 1.0             | 4.1             | 25.2 |
| 6    |         | 13807 (862) | 865 (80)    | 799 (78)    | 16.0            | 17.3            | 92.3 |
| 7    |         | 8649 (237)  | 863 (83)    | 409 (23)    | 10.0            | 21.2            | 47.4 |
| 8    |         | 14530 (750) | 496 (322)   | 490 (27)    | 29.3            | 29.7            | 98.6 |
| 1    | Cu      | 11.3 (4.50) | 13.3 (1.98) | 8.89 (0.92) | 0.8             | 1.3             | 66.7 |
| 2    |         | 9.83 (5.83) | 13.1 (8.05) | 11.9 (1.10) | 0.7             | 0.8             | 90.4 |
| 3    |         | 11.5 (2.04) | 12.0 (0.90) | 9.00 (0.97) | 1.0             | 1.3             | 75.2 |
| 4    |         | 13.2 (1.33) | 6.06 (1.55) | 5.98 (0.99) | 2.2             | 2.2             | 98.7 |
| 5    |         | 13.7 (2.58) | 25.7 (5.37) | 13.0 (1.22) | 0.5             | 1.1             | 50.6 |
| 6    |         | 14.4 (0.59) | 6.09 (0.97) | 5.77 (0.78) | 2.4             | 2.5             | 94.7 |
| 7    |         | 11.9 (5.55) | 5.38 (1.41) | 5.12 (1.02) | 2.2             | 2.3             | 95.2 |
| 8    |         | 12.7 (1.29) | 4.60 (3.77) | 4.14 (0.65) | 2.8             | 3.1             | 90.1 |
| 1    | Fe      | 34.8 (7.04) | 7254 (1151) | 379 (11)    | 0               | 0.1             | 5.2  |
| 2    |         | 63.2 (5.23) | 7679 (1558) | 590 (41)    | 0               | 0.1             | 7.7  |
| 3    |         | 78.6 (33)   | 7556 (484)  | 476 (24)    | 0               | 0.2             | 6.3  |
| 4    |         | 15.9 (1.50) | 7804 (3602) | 246 3(4)    | 0               | 0.1             | 3.1  |
| 5    |         | 50.3 (2.88) | 5908 (1589) | 429 (17)    | 0               | 0.1             | 7.3  |
| 6    |         | 19.0 (8.37) | 11680 (421) | 308 (94)    | 0               | 0.1             | 2.6  |
| 7    |         | 18.0 (7.70) | 9438 (207)  | 246 (28)    | 0               | 0.1             | 2.6  |
| 8    |         | 103 (14)    | 5075 (549)  | 395 (29)    | 0               | 0.3             | 7.8  |
| 1    | Mg      | 3014 (62)   | 779 (191)   | 376 (35)    | 3.9             | 8.0             | 48.3 |
| 2    |         | 2673 (75)   | 681 (109)   | 265 (21)    | 3.9             | 10.1            | 39.0 |
| 3    |         | 2461 (123)  | 483 (75)    | 289 (41)    | 5.1             | 8.5             | 59.9 |
| 4    |         | 2993 (120)  | 296 (130)   | 205 (19)    | 10.1            | 14.6            | 69.3 |
| 5    |         | 3328 (86)   | 1035 (255)  | 399 (10)    | 3.2             | 8.3             | 38.5 |
| 6    |         | 3096 (313)  | 411 (16)    | 306 (21)    | 7.5             | 10.1            | 74.3 |
| 7    |         | 3728 (158)  | 572 (36)    | 400 (27)    | 6.5             | 9.3             | 70.0 |

|          |    |             |             |          |      |      |      |
|----------|----|-------------|-------------|----------|------|------|------|
| <b>8</b> |    | 3644 (111)  | 215 (47)    | 199 (51) | 17.0 | 18.3 | 92.6 |
| <b>1</b> | Mn | 24.9 (1.10) | 147 (19)    | 127 (31) | 0.2  | 0.2  | 86.7 |
| <b>2</b> |    | 20.4 (1.73) | 140 (30)    | 129      | 0.1  | 0.2  | 92.1 |
| <b>3</b> |    | 43.6 (2.86) | 173 (7.84)  | 140      | 0.3  | 0.3  | 81.0 |
| <b>4</b> |    | 53.8 (3.21) | 85.1 (44)   | 59.9     | 0.6  | 0.9  | 70.4 |
| <b>5</b> |    | 9.51 (0.20) | 137 (37)    | 121      | 0.1  | 0.1  | 88.5 |
| <b>6</b> |    | 54.8 (1.92) | 123 (5.77)  | 62.9     | 0.4  | 0.9  | 51.2 |
| <b>7</b> |    | 28.9 (1.36) | 246 (50)    | 125      | 0.1  | 0.2  | 50.8 |
| <b>8</b> |    | 46.0 (2.55) | 37.4 (3.82) | 37.0     | 1.2  | 1.2  | 98.8 |
| <b>1</b> | Zn | 49.0 (3.0)  | 34.2 (11)   | 30.0     | 1.4  | 1.6  | 87.6 |
| <b>2</b> |    | 27.8 (7.41) | 41.8 (4.97) | 20.8     | 0.7  | 1.3  | 49.6 |
| <b>3</b> |    | 27.9 (2.80) | 24.0 (2.11) | 9.89     | 1.2  | 2.8  | 41.2 |
| <b>4</b> |    | 25.3 (1.32) | 18.5 (13)   | 10.0     | 1.4  | 2.5  | 54.2 |
| <b>5</b> |    | 42.3 (2.54) | 79.2 (23)   | 20.0     | 0.5  | 2.1  | 25.2 |
| <b>6</b> |    | 25.9 (1.48) | 20.2 (1.84) | 19.0     | 1.3  | 1.4  | 93.9 |
| <b>7</b> |    | 25.4 (0.68) | 27.4 (5.74) | 15.3     | 0.9  | 1.7  | 55.7 |
| <b>8</b> |    | 28.4 (2.41) | 45.0 (11)   | 21.6     | 0.6  | 1.3  | 48.0 |

\*  $[F]/[S]_T - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Total}$  \*\*  $[F]/[S]_A - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Exchangeable}$  \*\*\*  $Ex\% - [Soil]_{Exchangeable}/[Soil]_{Total}$

Iron is an essential element to humans and a very important constituent of haemoglobin. It promotes the breakdown (*via* oxidation) of carbohydrates, protein and fat in order to control body weight which is a very important risk factor in diabetes. Table 5-2 revealed that the maximum concentration of Fe in the fruit of *F. burtt-davyi* was  $103 \pm 14 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  which was observed at site 8. The BAFs (exchangeable) of the fruit for Fe were relatively low ( $< 0.3$  at all sites), suggesting that Fe uptake is controlled by the fruit. This observation is similar to our previous report on the fruits of *F. sur* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). Overall, about 5.3% of total soil Fe was in exchangeable form. About 77.4% of total soil Mn was in exchangeable form but the BAFs (exchangeable) ranged from 0.1 to 1.2 with the highest occurring only at one site (S8). This may be due to the lowering of the rate of Mn uptake by plant by Mg (Kies, 1994; Maas *et al.*, 1969). The fruit tends to regulate the

uptake of Mn based on metabolic requirements. The concentration of Mn in fruits ranged from 9.51 to 54.8  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  which are below the maximum limits of 2000  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1989).

Total soil Cu ranged from 4.60 to 25.7  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  while the exchangeable concentration ranged from 4.14 to 13.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  with an average of 82.7% in exchangeable form. The high exchangeable value for Cu may be due to the high stability of the Cu complex formed with EDTA (Madrid *et al.*, 2008). Copper concentrations in the fruits were all above the WHO permissible limit of 10  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (WHO, 2005) for plants. Elevated concentrations of Cu are known to cause anaemia (*via* Mn depletion, which leads to iron deficiency anemia), liver and kidney damage, stomach and intestinal irritation in humans (Raymond and Felix, 2011).

Generally, Zn concentration in fruits (25.3 to 49.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) was higher than total and exchangeable soil concentrations. Although, about 56.9% of Zn was available for uptake by the fruit, the BAF was less than 1 for the studied sites. The concentration of Zn in the fruits at sites S1 (49.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and S5 (42.3  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) were above the maximum levels for plants set by the Department of Health, South Africa, which is 40  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (DoH, 2004).

Although the total concentration of the trace essential elements (Co, Cr, and Se) in the soil ranged from 1.12 to 4.77  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , 1.8 to 50.2  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and less than 16.5  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively, the concentration in the fruits were below the instrument detection limits, hence they were excluded from Table 5-2. Ni concentrations in the fruits were also found to be below the instrument detection limits. Total soil Ni was also below 0.56  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ . This result showed that *F. burtt-davyi* fruits tend to exclude these metals.

Data from this study showed that the elemental concentration in the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi* was the highest for Ca, followed by Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu. In addition, the concentrations of trace essential elements (Co, Cr, Ni and Se) and toxic metals (As, Cd and Pb) were found to be below the instrument's detection limits.

### **5.3.2 Estimated contribution of metals in fruits to the diet**

Indigenes of South Africa often rely on fruits among other natural resources to meet their basic nutritional needs, but often, they are faced with dietary and nutrient deficiency diseases. It is therefore paramount to determine the levels of micronutrients in such indigenous fruits and estimate their contribution to the diet. In this study, the elemental concentration in the fruits of *F. burtt-davyi* were compared to Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) (Table 5-3). The results show that the fruit can contribute significantly to the health and nutrition of most individuals for most elements.

Calcium contributes between 17-22% towards the RDA for the element. Generally, malnourished children are often faced with dietary diseases due to deficiencies in Zn, Fe and Cu (Maharaj *et al.*, 2003). Zinc is an essential trace element to humans and it is required for a healthy immune system; its deficiency will result in recurrent infections due to an under-performing immune system (Moscow and Jothivenkatachalam, 2012; Prasad, 1982). Iron and Cu are essential to human health and their deficiency normally occur simultaneously leading to anemia, glucose intolerance, likelihood of infections and nervous system problems (Davis *et al.*, 1987; Labadarios, 2007). Consumption of about 20.0 g of fruit contributes 5.7-7.9% towards the RDA for Zn, 5.3-12.0% for Fe and 27.8% for Cu in most individuals (Table 5-3).

**Table 5-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI). \* (Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average concentration of elements (n = 8) in *F. burtt-davyi* fruits.**

| Element | Average concentration (mg / 20 g DM) | DRI (mg/ day) |      | Estimated contribution to RDA (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----------------------------------|
|         |                                      | RDA           | UL   |                                   |
| Ca      | 223.15                               | 1000-1300     | 2500 | 17.2-22.3                         |
| Cu      | 0.25                                 | 0.9           | 8    | 27.8                              |
| Fe      | 0.96                                 | 8-18          | 45   | 5.3-12.0                          |
| Mg      | 62.34                                | 310-320       | 350  | 19.5-20.1                         |
| Mn      | 0.70                                 | 1.6-2.3       | 9    | 30.4-43.8                         |
| Zn      | 0.63                                 | 8-11          | 34   | 5.7-7.9                           |

\* Sourced from: Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academies, 2011.

Manganese rich, plant-based foods include pineapple, spinach, peanuts, sweet potato, brown rice and pecan nuts (USDA, 2009). Manganese is a component of the powerful antioxidant manganese super oxide dismutase (MnSOD) enzyme, which neutralises free radicals in the human body (Ademuyiwa *et al.*, 2007; Mistry and Williams, 2011; Paynter, 1980). A diet rich in Mn may prevent cancer and other devastating diseases like arthritis, osteoporosis, diabetes and epilepsy (Ekmekcioglu *et al.*, 2001; Osterode *et al.*, 1996). Data from this study showed that *F. burtt davyi* fruits can serve as an alternative and cheap source of Mn, contributing 30.4-43.8% towards RDA if 20.0 g is consumed. A deficiency of Mn can lead to iron-deficiency anaemia, due to the role of Mn in Fe utilisation (Balch and Balch, 1990). Other deficiency symptoms include nausea, vomiting, impaired glucose tolerance, high cholesterol levels, skin rash, loss of hair colour, dizziness, hearing loss, and impaired reproductive function (Balch and Balch, 1990; Friedman *et*

*al.*, 1987; Ulene, 2000). Extreme lack of Mn in infants may result in convulsions, paralysis, blindness and deafness (Lupines, 2001; Ulene, 2000).

### **5.3.3 Proximate chemical composition**

The moisture content of the fresh fruit of *F. burtt-davyi* was 23.7%. On a dry weight basis, the protein content was  $5.0 \pm 0.30\%$ , lipid  $8.4 \pm 0.40\%$ , carbohydrates  $78.9 \pm 0.55\%$ , crude fibre  $4.0 \pm 0.70\%$  and ash  $3.7 \pm 0.10\%$ . The results show the fruits to be high in energy and low in fats.

### **5.3.4 Soil quality parameters analysis**

Soil pH ranged from 6.5 to 7.4 while SOM ranged from 3.4 to 8.2%. The CEC also ranged from 2.2 to 18.8 meq/100.

### **5.3.5 Statistical analysis**

Principal component analysis (PCA) permitted a reduction of 12 variables (elements in soil) to four principal components (PC1, PC2, PC3 and PC4), which were extracted, based on the eigenvalue  $> 1$ . The retained principal components were interpreted using varimax rotation with Kaiser Normalisation. These four PCs described over 80% of the total variability which was enough to describe the overall elemental pattern, signifying different sources (Table 5-4).

**Table 5-4: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).**

| Element          | Component   |             |             |             |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | 1           | 2           | 3           | 4           |
| CoT              | <b>0.97</b> | -0.03       | 0.05        | 0.12        |
| CdT              | <b>0.92</b> | -0.08       | 0.09        | 0.29        |
| CrT              | <b>0.91</b> | 0.02        | 0.11        | -0.26       |
| FeT              | <b>0.90</b> | -0.16       | -0.04       | 0.32        |
| CaT              | -0.08       | <b>0.90</b> | 0.35        | 0.05        |
| CuT              | -0.10       | <b>0.90</b> | -0.04       | 0.03        |
| MgT              | 0.11        | <b>0.87</b> | 0.27        | 0.37        |
| ZnT              | -0.14       | <b>0.74</b> | 0.07        | -0.06       |
| SeT              | 0.28        | 0.55        | -0.54       | -0.41       |
| AsT              | -0.03       | 0.32        | <b>0.87</b> | 0.01        |
| NiT              | 0.28        | 0.11        | <b>0.84</b> | 0.01        |
| MnT              | 0.31        | 0.17        | 0.03        | <b>0.90</b> |
| Eigenvalues      | 3.95        | 3.69        | 1.73        | 1.12        |
| % Total variance | 32.93       | 30.76       | 14.42       | 9.31        |
| Cumulative %     | 32.93       | 63.69       | 78.12       | 87.43       |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalisation. Bold figures indicate values > 0.7

The first component explained 32.9% of the total variance; high loadings (> 0.7) in PC1 were obtained for Cd, Co, Cr and Fe (Table 5-4). The high positive loadings and close association of heavy metals Co, Cd, Cr and Fe could suggest their common anthropogenic sources (vehicular emissions). Elevated levels of these trace metals have been reported in areas with high traffic density in South Africa (Olowoyo *et al.*, 2012). PC2 was strongly represented by Ca, Cu, Mg and Zn, contributing 30.8% of the total variance. A quasi-independent behaviour was also observed within the group due to Se having a loading of 0.55, which was further corroborated by a large

distance in the 3-D PCA loading plot (Figure 5-2), indicative of poor correlation and different sources (Table 5-4). PC3 contributed 14.4% to the total variance with a high loading on As and Ni, suggesting a common origin, while PC4 was dominated by Mn (0.90), accounting for 9.3% of the total variance. This was confirmed by Figure 5-2, suggesting that it came from a different source. Similar occurrence was also reported by Mahlangeni *et al.* (2016).




Figure 5-2: Factor loading plot (showing the four distinct principal components and their significant relationship) for 12 element in soil (constructed for 8 sampling sites).

Hierarchical cluster analysis (CA) measuring distribution patterns, based on the Euclidean distance between mean elemental concentrations of studied elements, was evaluated in *F. burtt-davyi* fruits. Figure 5-3 shows the CA results for the elemental distribution pattern as a dendrogram in the studied area. Generally, the CA revealed varied elemental distribution in the two distinct habitats (coastal and inland). Four clusters are observed (1) S3–S4–S7; (2) S6; (3) S8; (4) S1–S2–S5. However, clusters 1 and 3 are joined together at a relatively higher level implying perhaps similarity in elemental distribution. The proximity in the dendrogram between S1 and S2, and S3

and S4 show similarity in elemental distribution at the studied sites. Although, S6 and S8 were joined at a relatively higher distance, their proximity in the dendrogram show similarity in elemental distribution at sites representing the inland habitat. Hierarchical cluster analysis revealed similar distribution of elements between the inland habitats which was dissimilar to that of coastal habitat (Figure 5-3).




Figure 5-3: Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram showing the relationship between the studied sites using Ward's method by Euclidean distance.

Sites - (S1-Bluff, S2-Treasure Beach, S3-Marine Drive. S4-Umhlanga, S5-Brighton Beach, S6-UKZN, Westville, S7-River palace and S8-Foreshore.)

Table 5-5 summarised the significant correlations between elements in the soil and fruits of *F. burtt-davyi* that have been extracted from an inter-item correlation matrix. Only the strong correlations are presented. There was a three-way synergy between Ca, Cu and Mg in the soil, indicating that these elements have a common origin as observed by PCA (Figure 5-2). There was a significantly positive correlation between total soil Ca with exchangeable Cu ( $r = 0.9$ ) and total soil Mg with exchangeable Mn ( $r = 0.9$ ) indicating a synergistic effect between these metals in soil. Although there was a significant correlation between exchangeable Fe with Fe in the fruit ( $r = 0.7$ ), thereby indicating that uptake of Fe was dependent on soil concentrations, there was no significant correlation between soil concentrations (total and exchangeable) and fruit concentrations for the other metals studied, thereby indicating that uptake was regulated by the plant to meet physiological needs.

**Table 5-5: Inter-item correlation matrix for concentrations of elements in Fruits (F) and soil (S)**

|     | CaF           | CaE          | CaT           | CuE          | CuT          | FeF         | MgE          | MgT           | MnF          |
|-----|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| CaT | ns            | <b>0.8**</b> | 1             |              |              |             |              |               |              |
| CuE | ns            | <b>0.7*</b>  | <b>0.9**</b>  | 1            |              |             |              |               |              |
| CuT | ns            | ns           | <b>0.8**</b>  | <b>0.8**</b> | 1            |             |              |               |              |
| FeE | ns            | ns           | <b>0.7*</b>   | <b>0.7*</b>  | ns           | <b>0.7*</b> |              |               |              |
| MgT | ns            | <b>0.7*</b>  | <b>0.9**</b>  | <b>0.9**</b> | <b>0.8**</b> | ns          | ns           | 1             |              |
| MnF | ns            | ns           | <b>-0.9**</b> | <b>-0.7*</b> | <b>-0.7*</b> | ns          | <b>-0.7*</b> | <b>-0.8**</b> | 1            |
| MnE | <b>-0.8**</b> | ns           | ns            | <b>0.7*</b>  | ns           | ns          | <b>0.7*</b>  | <b>0.9**</b>  | <b>-0.7*</b> |

\*, \*\* - significant at  $p \leq 0.05$  and  $p \leq 0.01$ , respectively. a. XF-[X]<sub>Fruit</sub> where X = the various elements. b. XT-[X]<sub>Soil Total</sub> where X = the various elements. c. XE-[X]<sub>Soil Exchangeable</sub> where X = the various elements.

## **5.4. Conclusion**

Data from this study showed accumulation of Ca and Mg in comparison to other essential metals, indicating that the plant required more of these elements to meet its metabolic needs. The concentration of toxic metals (As, Cd and Pb) in the fruits were below the instrument detection limits, which showed that it is safe for human consumption. The fruits were also found to be rich in Mn which may be beneficial in maintaining a healthy immune system, especially in the poor and vulnerable communities of South Africa that rely on these figs for nutrition. Hierarchical cluster analysis showed variation in elemental distribution among dissimilar sites.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to the College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, UKZN for financial support.

## REFERENCES

- Ademuyiwa, O., Odusoga, O.L., Adebawo, O.O., and Ugbaja, R.N. (2007). Endogenous antioxidant defences in plasma and erythrocytes of pregnant women during different trimesters of pregnancy. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 86, 1175–1180.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. (2000). *Official methods of analysis*, 17th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists; AOAC; Gaithersburg, MD.
- Balch, J.F., and Balch, P.A. (1990). *Prescription for nutritional healing: A practical A-Z reference to drug-free remedies using vitamins, minerals, herbs and food supplements*. Avery Publishing, Garden City Park, New York.
- Berg, C.C. (1990). Annotated check-list of the *Ficus* species of the African floristic region, with special reference and a key to the taxa of southern Africa. *Kirkia* 13, 253-291.
- Block, G. (1992). A role for antioxidants in reducing cancer risk. *Nutrition. Reviews* 50, 207-213.
- Block, G., Patterson, B. and Subar, A. (1992). Fruit, vegetables, and cancer prevention: A review of the epidemiological evidence. *Nutrition and Cancer* 18, 1-29.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press: Hatfield, South Africa.
- Chapman, H.D. (1965). Cation Exchange capacity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 - Chemical and Microbiological Properties*; Black, C.A., Ed.; American Society of Agronomy: Madison, Wisconsin.
- Ciszewski, D., Aleksander-Kwaterczak, U., Pocięcha, A., Szarek-Gwiazda, E., Waloszek, A., and Wilk-Woźniak, E. (2013). Small effects of a large sediment contamination with heavy metals on aquatic organisms in the vicinity of an abandoned lead and zinc mine. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 185, 9825–9842.

- Davis, G.K., and Mertz, W. (1987). Copper. In Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition; Mertz, W., Ed.; Academic Press, Orlando.
- Department of Health (2004). Regulations relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs: Amendment to Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act 54 of 1972. Government Gazette 26279. South Africa.
- D'Mello, J.P.F. (2003). Food safety: Contaminants and Toxins. 480. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, Oxon, UK, Cambridge, MA.
- Ekmekcioglu, C., Prohaska, C., Pomazal, K., Steffan, I., Schernthaner, G., and Marktl, W. (2001) Concentrations of seven trace elements in different hematological matrices in patients with type 2 diabetes as compared to healthy controls. *Biological Trace Element Research* 79, 205-219.
- Endalamaw, F.D., and Chandravanshi B.S. (2015). Levels of major and trace elements in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgari* Mill.) fruits cultivated in Ethiopia. *SpringerPlus*, 4:5. Doi:10.1186/2193-1801-4-5.
- Fairbrother, A., Wenstel, R., Sappington, K., and Wood, W. (2007). Framework for metals risk assessment. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental. Safety* 68, 145–227
- Food and Nutrition Board. (2011). Dietary reference intakes: Tolerable upper intake levels and recommended dietary allowance of elements. Institute of Medicine. National Academies.
- Ford, E.S., and Mokdid, A.H. (2001). Fruit and vegetable consumption and diabetes mellitus incidence among U.S adults. *Preventive medicine* 3, 33-39.
- Fox, E.W., and Young, M.EN. (1983). Food from the Veld; Edible wild plants of Southern Africa botanically identified. Delta Books, Johannesburg.

- Friedman, B.J., Freeland-Graves, J.H., and Bales, C.W. (1987). Manganese balance and clinical observations in young men fed a manganese-deficient diet. *Journal of Nutrition* 117, 133-143.
- Gebrekidan, A., Weldegebriel, Y., Hadera, A., and Bruggen, B.V. (2013). Toxicological assessment of heavy metals accumulated in vegetables and fruits grown in Ginfel river near Sheba Tannery, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 95, 171–178.
- Ivanova, E., Staykova, T., and Velcheva, I. (2008). Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of heavy metal and cyanide-contaminated waters in some regions for production and processing of ore in Bulgaria *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science* 14, 262-268.
- Jarup, L. (2003). Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68 167–182.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., and Pendias, H. (1989). *Trace Elements in the Soil and Plants*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL.
- Kies, C. (1994). Bioavailability of manganese. In: Klimis-Tavantzis DL, ed. *Manganese in health and disease*. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton.
- Kislev, M.E., Hartmann, A., and Bar-Yosef, O. (2006). Early domesticated fig in the Jordan Valley. *Science* 312, 1372–1374.
- Labadarios, D. (2007). *The National Food Consumption Survey – Fortification Baseline (NFCS-FB): The Knowledge, Attitude, Behaviour and Procurement Regarding Fortified Foods, a Measure of Hunger and the Anthropometric and Selected Micronutrient Status of Children Aged 1-9 years and Women of Child Bearing Age: South Africa, 2005*. Department of Health, Nutrition Directorate, Pretoria, South Africa.

- Lupines, D.P. (2001). Manganese, and devil-sickness: an Anglo-Saxon medical response to epilepsy. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* 75, 91-101.
- Madrid, F., Biasioli, M., and Ajmone-Marsan, F. (2008). Availability and bioaccessibility of metals in fine particles of some Urban soil. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 55, 21-32.
- Maharaj, K.B, Bhandari, N., and Bahl, R. (2003). Management of the severely malnourished child: perspective from developing countries. *British Medical Journal* 326, 146-151.
- Mahlangeni, N.T., Moodley, R., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2016). The distribution of macronutrients, anti-nutrients and essential elements in nettles, *Laportea peduncularis* susp. *peduncularis* (River nettle) and *Urtica dioica* (Stinging nettle). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B* 51, 160–169.
- Maas, E.V., Moore, D.P., and Mason, B.J. (1969). Influence of Calcium and Magnesium on Manganese Absorption. *Plant Physiology* 44, 796-800.
- Mistry, H.D., and Williams, P.J. (2011). The Importance of Antioxidant Micronutrients in Pregnancy. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity*, 1-12 doi:10.1155/2011/841749.
- Moscow, S., Jothivenkatachalam, K. (2012). Study on Mineral content of Some Ayurvedic Indian Medicinal Plants. *International Journal of Pharma Sciences and Research* 3. 294-299.
- Nabulo, G., Black, C.R., and Young, S.D. (2011). Trace metal uptake by tropical vegetables grown on soil amended with urban sewage sludge. *Environmental Pollution* 159, 368–376.

- Nabuloa, G., Oryem-Origab, H., and Diamond, M. (2010). Assessment of lead, cadmium, and zinc contamination of roadside soils, surface films, and vegetables in Kampala City, Uganda. *Environmental Research* 101, 42–52.
- Nabulo, G., Young, S.D., and Black, C.R. (2010). Assessing risk to human health from tropical leafy vegetables grown on contaminated urban soils. *Science of the Total Environment* 408, 5338–5351.
- Ogunlaja, O.O., Moodley, R., Baijnath, H., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2017). Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *Ficus sur* (Moraceae). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, part B* 52, 84-91
- Olowoyo, J.O., van Heerden, E., and Fischer, J.L. (2012). Concentrations of Trace Metals from Soils in Pretoria, South Africa. *J Ecosystem and Ecography* 2, 1-5 doi: 10.4172/2157-7625.1000113.
- Osterode, W., Holler, C., and Ulberth, F. (1996). Nutritional antioxidants, red cell membrane fluidity and blood viscosity in type 1 (insulin dependent) diabetes mellitus, *Diabetic Medicine*.13, 1044–1050.
- Paynter, D.J. (1980). Changes in activity of the manganese superoxide dismutase enzyme in tissues of the rat with changes in dietary manganese, *Journal of Nutrition* 110, 437-447.
- Peto, M.V. 2010. Aluminium and iron in humans: bioaccumulation, pathology, and removal. *Rejuvenation Research* 13, 589–598.
- Prasad, A.S. (1982). *Clinical, Biochemical and Nutritional Aspects of Trace Elements*. Alan R. Liss, Inc, New York.

- Prokop, Z., Cupr, P., Zlevorova-Zlamalikova, V., Komarek, J., Dusek, L., and Holoubek, I. (2003). Mobility, bioavailability, and toxic effects of cadmium in soil samples. *Environmental Research* 91, 119–126.
- Raymond, A.W., and Felix, E.O. (2011). Heavy Metals in Contaminated Soils: A Review of Sources, Chemistry, Risks and Best Available Strategies for Remediation. *International Scholarly Research Network Ecology* 2011, 1–20. ID 402647, doi:10.5402/2011/ 402647.
- Rimm, E.B., Ascherio, A., Giovanucci, E., Speigelman, D., Stampfer, M.J., and Willett, W.C. (1996). Vegetable, fruit and cereal fibre intake and risk of coronary heart diseases among men. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 275, 447-451.
- Rose, E.F., and Guillarmod, A.J. (1974). Plants gathered as Foodstuffs by the Transkeian people', *South Africa Medical journal* 48, 1688-1690.
- Sathawara, N.G., Parikh, D.J., and Agarwal, Y.K. (2004). Essential heavy metals in environmental samples from Western India. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 73, 756–761.
- Singh, A., Sharma, R.K., Agrawal, M., and Marshall, F.M. (2010). Risk assessment of heavy metal toxicity through contaminated vegetables from waste water irrigated area of Varanasi, India. *Tropical Ecology* 51, (2S), 375–387.
- Tokalioglu, S., Kartal, S., and Elçi, L. (2000). Determination of heavy metals and their speciation in lake sediments by flame atomic absorption spectrometry after a four-stage sequential extraction procedure. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 413, 33–40.
- Ulene, A. (2000). *Dr. Art Ulene's complete guide to vitamins, minerals and herbs*. Avery Publishing. New York, NY.

- US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. (2009). USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 22. Available at: <http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/>. Accessed 6/15/2017.
- Valvi, S.R., and Rathod, V.S. (2011). Mineral composition of some wild edible fruits from kolhapur district. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology* 2, 392-396.
- Van Gestel, C.A.M. (2008). Physico-chemical and biological parameters determine metal bioavailability in soils. *Science of the Total Environment* 406, 385–395.
- Van Wyk, B., Van Wyk, P., and Van Wyk, B-E. (2000). *Photographic guide to trees of South Africa* 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, Briza Publications, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Walkley, A., and Black, I.A. (1934). An Examination of the Degjareff Method for Determining SOM and a Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. *Soil Science* 37, 29–38.
- Wang, Q-R., Cui, Y-S., Liu, X-M., Dong, Y-T., and Christie, P. (2003). Soil Contamination and Plant Uptake of Heavy Metals at Polluted Sites in China. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A* 38, 823–838.
- World Health Organisation (WHO), (2005). *Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials*, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Xiao, R., Bai, J.H., Wang, Q.G., Gao, H.F., Huang, L.B., and Liu, X.H. (2011). Assessment of heavy metal contamination of wetland soils from a typical aquatic–terrestrial ecotone in Haihe River Basin, North China. *CLEAN-Soil, Air and Water* 39, 612–618.

- Yaoa, J., Tiana, L., Wanga, Y., Djaha, A., Wanga, F., Chena, H., Sua, C., Zhuanga, R., Zhoua, Y., Choib, M.M.F., and Bramantic, E. (2008). Microcalorimetric study the toxic effect of hexavalent chromium on microbial activity of Wuhan brown sandy soil: an in vitro approach. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety* 69, 89-95.
- Zaidi, M.I., Asrar, A., Mansoor, A., and Farooqui, M.A. (2005). The heavy metal concentrations along roadside trees of Quetta and its effects on public health. *Journal Applied Science* 5, 708–711.

## CHAPTER 6

### **Antioxidant activity of the bioactive principles from the edible fruits and leaves of *Ficus sur* Forssk. (Moraceae)**

#### **Abstract**

*Ficus sur* Forssk. (Moraceae) is a medicinal plant species found in Africa. It is used to treat anaemia, diarrhea and sexually transmitted diseases. In this study, a phytochemical investigation of *F. sur* was conducted and the antioxidant properties of the isolates and extracts were evaluated using the ferric ion ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assays. Two pharmacologically active triterpenoids (lupeol and  $\beta$ -sitosterol), one pheaophytin (pheaophytin **a**) and one flavonoid (epicatechin) were isolated from the fruits and leaves. The structures of the isolated compounds were characterized by spectroscopic techniques and by comparison of the spectroscopic data with the literature values. The findings reveal significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) antioxidant activity for the methanol extract of the fruits ( $\text{IC}_{50}$  9.06  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) which may be accounted for by the higher phenolic content and presence of epicatechin. The results show the species to be rich in pharmacologically active compounds that are documented to exhibit therapeutic and chemo-preventive beneficial effects. Therefore, their consumption would have a profound influence on nutrition and health, especially among the indigenous people of Africa.

**Keywords:** Flavonoid, Triterpenes, Epicatechin, Figs, Antioxidants

## 6.1 Introduction

Free radical and reactive oxygen species (ROS) from normal metabolic processes and from environmental pollution may cause protein and DNA damage within cells via chemical chain reactions, leading to oxidative stress (Aqil *et al.*, 2006; Stohs and Bagchi, 1995; Tiwari 2001). Oxidative stress is a major risk factor leading to a variety of chronic and degenerative disorders such as cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases, aging and cancer (Kao *et al.*, 2013; Kiem *et al.*, 2011; Pham-Huy *et al.*, 2008; Willcox *et al.*, 2004). Plant based antioxidants are well known for their anticancer, anti-inflammatory and anti-aging properties (Matés *et al.*, 1999; Mayne 2003; Noguchi and Niki, 2000; Pinnell 2003). These activities are largely attributed to the presence of compounds such as flavonoids, tannins, steroids, glycosides, coumarins and pentacyclic triterpenes (Ragasa *et al.*, 2009; Sirisha *et al.*, 2010).

The Southern African region of the world contains a vast source of natural products, with South Africa accounting for over 22 000 plant species, representing about 10% of the world's botanical richness (Coetzee *et al.*, 1999; Williams *et al.*, 2006). The genus *Ficus* (Moraceae) has more than 850 species growing all over the world, with 25 of the 36 species being indigenous to Southern Africa (Namibia, Botswana, Zimbabwe, Mozambique south of the Zambezi River and South Africa) (Burrows and Burrows, 2003).

Some *Ficus* species that have received extensive phytochemical and pharmacological investigation include *F. carica* (Gilani *et al.*, 2008; Rubnov *et al.*, 2001), *F. racemosa* (Chandrashekhar *et al.*, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2004), *F. religiosa* (Pandit *et al.*, 2013; Samy *et al.*, 2008), *F. microcarpa* (Ao *et al.*, 2008; Chang *et al.*, 2005), *F. exasperata* (Ayinde *et al.*, 2007; Odunbaku *et al.*, 2008), *F. glomerata* (Patil *et al.*, 2006; Rahman *et al.*, 1994), *F. benghalensis* (Shukla *et al.*, 2004) and *F.*

*benjamina* (Farag, 2005). Although a wide variety of compounds including phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, coumarins and sterols have reportedly been isolated from the genus *Ficus*, members of this genus are particularly known for their high content of triterpenoids (Chang *et al.*, 2005; Chiang and Kuo, 2002; Lansky and Paavilainen, 2011; Lee *et al.*, 2002).

*Ficus sur* is commonly referred to as the Cape fig, broom cluster fig, bush fig or Malabar tree. It is a large spreading tree, usually about 12 m high, but reaching 25 to 30 m in some areas. The fruits of the plant are edible. The root and bark decoctions from the plant are used in traditional medicine to treat a variety of ailments including pulmonary tuberculosis, influenza and skin diseases (Eldeen *et al.*, 2005; Hutchings *et al.*, 1996). Previously, we reported on the antioxidant activity of *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutch and the cytotoxicity of the bioactive principles from *F. burtt-davyi* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016). In this study, we report on the isolation and identification of the bioactive principles from the fruits and leaves of *F. sur*. Additionally, we report on the antioxidant activity of selected crude extracts and isolated compounds from this plant species.

## 6.2 Experimental

### 6.2.1 General experimental procedures

The  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$  and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded using a 400 MHz spectrometer (Avance III Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany) at 400.22 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 100.63 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$ . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and coupling constants (J) in Hz. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts of the deuterated chloroform ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) were 7.24 and 77.0, respectively, referenced to the internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Universal ATR spectrometer. UV spectra were obtained on a Hewlett Packard UV-3600

spectrophotometer. Column chromatography was performed with Merck silica gel 60 (0.040 - 0.063 mm). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck 20 x 20 cm silica gel 60, F254 aluminum sheets. The spots were analyzed under UV (254 nm and 366 nm), visualized using 10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in MeOH followed by heating. Solvents (analytical grade) and other chemicals used were supplied by either Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma (St. Louis, USA) chemical companies.

### **6.2.2 Plant material**

The plant was collected in August 2015 from the University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), Westville campus, South Africa. The identity was confirmed by examining material in the WARD herbarium, School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, where a species is lodged (Ogunlaja, 2).

### **6.2.3 Extraction and isolation**

Dried, powdered fruits (800 g) and leaves (950 g) were subjected to sequential extraction with hexane (Hex), dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and methanol (MeOH) by continuous shaking on an orbital shaker for 48 h at room temperature for each solvent. All extracts were concentrated by evaporation under vacuum at controlled temperatures and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until analysed.

The crude DCM extract of fruits (9.19 g) was subjected to column chromatography using 100% n-hexane that was stepwise increased by 10% to 100% EtOAc at a flow rate of approximately 50 mL/min, collecting eight 100 mL fractions for each eluent step. Fractions 20-23 were combined

and further purification with hexane: EtOAc (1:1) to afford compound **A-1** (150.5 mg). Compound **A-6** was eluted with hexane: EtOAc (8:2), and re-crystallized in MeOH to give a white powder.

The MeOH extract of fruits (13.7 g) was subjected to partitioning with an equal volume of EtOAc and DCM. The EtOAc fraction was dried with anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the resultant concentrated extract subjected to column chromatography with fractions 9-12 yielding yellow crystals of compound **B-1** (41.0 mg).

The Hex extract of leaves (14.07 g) was separated similar to the DCM extract of fruits and yielded compound **A-1** (105 mg) with hexane: EtOAc (8:2). Similarly, the crude EtOAc extract of leaves (10.44 g) was subjected to column chromatography with a hexane: EtOAc step gradient (with 10% increments every 100 mL). Compound **A-4** (41.87 mg) was eluted with hexane: EtOAc (8:2) as a dark green amorphous solid. The other extracts from the fruits and leaves did not yield compounds that could be elucidated.

#### **6.2.4 Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)**

The total polyphenol content (TPC) of *F. sur* extracts (fruits and leaves) was determined as gallic acid equivalent (GAE) according to the method as described by McDonald *et al.* (2001) with slight modification. Briefly, 200 µL of the extract (240 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was incubated with 1 mL of ten-fold diluted Folin Ciocalteu reagent and 800 µL of 0.7 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance values were determined at 765 nm on a Shimadzu UV mini 1240 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). All measurements were done in triplicate.

### 6.2.5 Determination of total flavonoid content

The total flavonoid content of the *F. sur* extracts (fruits and leaves) was determined according to the procedure as described by Chang *et al.* (2002) with some modification. Briefly, 500  $\mu\text{L}$  (240  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) of each extract was mixed with 500  $\mu\text{L}$  of MeOH, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of 10%  $\text{AlCl}_3$ , 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1 mol/L potassium acetate and 1.4 mL water, and allowed to incubate at room temperature for 30 min. Thereafter, the absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 415 nm on a Shimadzu UV mini 1240 spectrophotometer. The total flavonoid content was calculated as quercetin equivalent (QE) in  $\mu\text{g}$  per mg dry extract.

### 6.2.6 Ferric ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) assay

The total reducing power of the MeOH extracts (fruits and leaves) and isolated compounds from *F. sur* was determined according to the Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) method as described by Behera *et al.* (2006) with some modifications. Various concentrations (7.5-500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) in DCM or MeOH were mixed with 2.5 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of 0.1% potassium ferricyanide and the mixture was incubated at 50  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. After the addition of 2.5 mL of 10% TCA, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The upper layer (2.5 mL) was mixed with 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 0.1% ferric chloride, and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm. In this assay, the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ /ferricyanide complex is reduced to the ferrous form ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ), and the test solution colour changes from yellow to pale green or blue, depending on the reducing power of the antioxidant. MeOH without reagents was used as a negative control while ascorbic acid and butylated hydroxyanisole (E320) (BHA) with the same concentrations were used as positive controls. All procedures were performed in triplicate.

### 6.2.7 DPPH radical scavenging activity assay

The antioxidant activity of the extracts (fruits and leaves) and isolated compounds was measured in terms of radical scavenging ability, using the DPPH method as describe by Ahmad *et al.* (2011) with some modifications. Various concentrations (7.5-500  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) of extracts and isolated compounds (150  $\mu\text{L}$ ) made from stock solutions (10  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) were mixed with 2850  $\mu\text{L}$  MeOH solution containing DPPH radicals. The mixture was then vortexed, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Thereafter, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm against MeOH as a blank using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The scavenging activity was evidenced by a change in colour from purple to yellow, due to proton transfer to the DPPH<sup>•</sup> free radical by a scavenger which was further measured by the decrease in absorbance at 517 nm using a Shimadzu UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Ascorbic acid and BHA were used as standards and the procedure was done in triplicate. The difference in absorbance between the test sample and the negative control (DPPH + MeOH) was expressed as percentage inhibition. The percentage free radical scavenging activity was calculated according to the following equation:

$$\% \text{ scavenging activity} = \% \text{ inhibition} = [(A_o - A_{\text{sample}} / A_o) \times 100]$$

Where  $A_o$  = Absorbance of the negative control and  $A_{\text{sample}}$  = Absorbance of sample.

### 6.2.8 Statistical analyses

The experimental results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of three replicates and  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were calculated by linear regression. The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine significant differences between means ( $p < 0.05$ ). Tukey`s test was used for post-hoc analyses. All the statistical tests were performed using graphpad prism 6.0.

## 6.3 Results and discussion

### 6.3.1 Structure elucidation of compounds from *F. sur*

The DCM extract from the fruits of *F. sur* afforded two compounds **A-1** and **A-6** (Figure 6-1) which were identified as  $\beta$ -sitosterol and lupeol, respectively (Chaturvedula and Prakash, 2012; Mahato and Kundu, 1994).  $\beta$ -sitosterol was also isolated from the leaves. Previously, these triterpenes were isolated from the edible fruits of *Harpephyllum caffrum* (Moodley *et al.*, 2014).

The MeOH extract of the fruits yielded compound **B-1**. The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum for compound **B-1** showed characteristic resonances for flavonoids at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.88 (H-2', d,  $J=1.60$  Hz),  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.65 (H-5', d,  $J=8.2$  Hz) and  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.64 (H-6', dd,  $J=1.60, 8.2$  Hz) from the B-ring catechol moiety as well as at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  5.88 (H-6, d,  $J=2.24$  Hz) and  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  5.70 (H-8,  $J=2.24$  Hz) from the meta-coupled protons of the A-ring resorcinol moiety. The isomers catechin and epicatechin may be differentiated by the chemical shift of C-2 in the  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  spectrum which is approximately  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  78.0 for epicatechin and  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  82.2 for catechin, and by correlations between H-2 and H-3 in the COSY experiment which is strong for catechin and weak for epicatechin because of the difference in the dihedral angle (Es-Safi *et al.*, 2006). Based on the resonance for C-2 at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  78.0, a weak H-2/H-3 correlation in the COSY experiment,  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ , and data in literature (Kiem *et al.*, 2011; Ragab *et al.*, 2013) compound **B-1** was identified as epicatechin. This was further confirmed by GC-MS data, IR and UV-Vis spectroscopy. Epicatechin has previously been isolated from other *Ficus* species (Awolola *et al.*, 2014; Kiem *et al.*, 2011; Ragab *et al.*, 2013).

The EtOAc extract from the leaves afforded compound **A-4**, which was a dark green amorphous pigment (phaeophytin **a**). The spectral data for compound **A-4** compared well with our data on

phaeophytin **a** which was previously isolated from *F. burtt-davyi* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016), confirming compound **A-4** to be phaeophytin **a**.




Figure 6-1. Chemical structures of the compounds A-1 ( $\beta$ -sitosterol), A-4 (phaeophytin a), A-6 (lupeol), B-1 (epicatechin) isolated from *F. sur*.

### 6.3.2 Phenolic content, total flavonoid content and *in vitro* antioxidant assays

Preliminary testing was done on the extracts of fruits and leaves to determine the different classes of compounds present. Table 6-1 shows the percentage yield, total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content of various parts of *F. sur*. TPC and total flavonoid content of the Hex and DCM extracts of both fruits and leaves were extremely low and are therefore omitted from Table

6-1. The MeOH extract from both fruits and leaves showed a higher yield compared to the other extracts. In addition, the EtOAc extract of fruits was the least recovered. The MeOH extract of the fruits showed a significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) TPC, and flavonoids were only detected in the fruits.

**Table 6-1. Percentage yield (mg extract per g sample  $\times$  100), total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content of the extracts of *F. sur* (fruits and leaves).**

| Extracts      | Yield (%) | TPC (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE) * | Total flavonoids content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> QE)** |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>Fruit</b>  |           |                                |  |
| Ethyl acetate | 0.63      | 0.43 $\pm$ 0.13 <sup>bc</sup>  | 0.11 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>b</sup>                       |
| Methanol      | 1.72      | 1.20 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.59 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>a</sup>                       |
| <b>Leaf</b>   |           |                                |  |
| Ethyl acetate | 1.10      | 0.38 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>c</sup>   | ND   |
| Methanol      | 2.16      | 0.51 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>b</sup>   | ND   |

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). \*GAE (gallic acid equivalent) and \*\*QE (quercetin equivalent). Values with different superscript letters along a column are significantly different from each other by Tukey's HSD multiple post hoc test, ( $p < 0.05$ ). ND – Not detected.

### 6.3.3 *In vitro* antioxidant assays

The functional component in food is widely assessed by their antioxidant capacity, hence, in this study, the extracts of fruits and leaves and isolated compounds were evaluated for their *in vitro* antioxidant potential using the FRAP and DPPH assays, relative to the positive controls (ascorbic

acid and BHA). Except for epicatechin and the MeOH extracts from fruits and leaves, other isolated compounds and extracts showed very weak antioxidant activity for both assays and are therefore omitted from the results.

Both the DPPH and FRAP assays show the antioxidant activity of the extracts and tested compounds to be concentration dependent (Figure 6-2 and Figure 6-3). The MeOH extracts of the fruits displayed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) radical scavenging activity than other extracts especially at higher concentrations ( $50\text{-}500\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), and the difference was not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) with the standard antioxidants in some cases ( $250$  and  $500\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ).




Figure 6-2. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of MeOH extract of *F. sur* (fruits and leaves), epicatechin and positive controls (ascorbic acid and BHA). Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n=3$ . <sup>a-d</sup> Values with different letters over the bars for a given concentration of each extract and compound are significantly different from each other (Tukey's-HSD multiple range post hoc test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Similarly, the results produced by the FRAP assay showed the reducing power of the MeOH extract of fruits to be comparable to that of the positive controls (Figure 6-3). The antioxidant activity of the MeOH extract of leaves is significantly lower than the other tested samples. The DPPH radical scavenging activity was found to be in the order of BHA > ascorbic acid > MeOH (fruits) > epicatechin > MeOH (leaves). The ferric reducing antioxidant power was found to be in the order of BHA > ascorbic acid > epicatechin > MeOH (fruits) > MeOH (leaves).




Figure 6-3. Reducing power of the MeOH extract of *F. sur* Forssk. (fruits and leaves), epicatechin, ascorbic acid and BHA. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD of triplicate determinations. <sup>a-e</sup> Values with different letters over the lines for a given concentration of each extract and compound are significantly different from each other (Tukey's-HSD multiple range post hoc test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Table 6-2 compares the IC<sub>50</sub> values obtained for the extracts and compounds for the DPPH assay. The results showed that the extract of the fruits had an IC<sub>50</sub> value comparable to the controls which was significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the leaves. As polyphenolic compounds, epicatechin and catechin have the ability to act as antioxidants via a free radical scavenging mechanism with the formation of the less reactive flavonoid phenoxyl radical. The high potential of flavonoid compounds to scavenge free radicals may be explained by their ability to donate a hydrogen atom from their hydroxyl group and thereby scavenge the free radicals. Data from this study and our previous report (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2016), suggests that the antioxidant activity of the MeOH extract of the stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* and MeOH extracts of fruits of *F. sur* is due to the presence of catechin and epicatechin, respectively.

**Table 6-2. IC<sub>50</sub> of different extracts and compounds from *F. sur* for the DPPH assay.**

| Extracts/compound | DPPH*( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ )  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| MeOH (leaves)     | 369.19 $\pm$ 12.04 <sup>d</sup> |
| MeOH (fruits)     | 9.06 $\pm$ 2.21 <sup>b</sup>    |
| Epicatechin       | 26.75 $\pm$ 4.11 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Ascorbic acid     | 2.03 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>a</sup>    |
| BHA               | 1.93 $\pm$ 0.11 <sup>a</sup>    |

Each value is represented as Mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). <sup>a-d</sup> Means in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different (p < 0.05). \*No significant difference between assays (p < 0.05).

## 6.4 Conclusion

The phytochemical investigation shows *F. sur* fruits to be rich in  $\beta$ -sitosterol, lupeol and epicatechin and leaves to be rich in pheophytin **a** and  $\beta$ -sitosterol. The MeOH extracts of the fruits show significant antioxidant activity, which may be accounted for by the higher phenolic content and presence of epicatechin. These results highlight the medicinal benefits associated with consumption of the edible fruits of *F. sur*, emphasizing its importance in South Africa, where reliance on medicinal wild foods (fruits) is on the rise due to availability and accessibility. This study lends scientific credence and validity to the ethnomedicinal use of *F. sur* whilst underpinning the benefits of consuming the indigenous edible fruits.

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors are grateful to the College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, UKZN for support.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad, R., Hashi, H.M., Noor, Z.M., Ismail, N.H., Salim, F., and Lajis, N.H. (2011). Antioxidant and antidiabetic potential of Malaysian Uncaria. *Research Journal of Medicinal Plant* 5, 587-595.
- Ao, C., Li, A., Elzaawely, A.A., Xuan, T.D., and Tawata, S. (2008). Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Ficus microcarpa* L. fil. *Food Control* 19, 940–948.
- Aqil, F., Ahmad, I. and Mehmood, Z. (2006). Antioxidant and free radical scavenging properties of twelve traditionally used Indian medicinal plants. *Turkish Journal of Biology* 30, 177-183.
- Awolola, G.V., Koorbanally, N.A., Chenia, H., Shode, F.O., and Baijnath, H. (2014). Antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity of flavonoids and triterpenes isolated from the extracts of *Ficus sansibarica* warb. subsp. *sansibarica* (Moraceae) extracts. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 11, 124-131
- Ayinde, B.A., Omogbai, E.K., and Amaechina, F.C. (2007). Pharmacognosy and hypotensive evaluation of *Ficus exasperata* Vahl (Moraceae) leaf. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica-Drug Research* 64, 543-546.
- Behera, B.C., Verma, N., Sonone, A., and Makhija, U. (2006). Determination of antioxidative potential of lichen *Usnea ghattensis in vitro*. *Food Science and Technol-Leb* 39, 80-85.
- Burrows, J., and Burrows, S. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press, Hatfield, South Africa.

- Chang, C.C., Yang, M.H., Wen, H.M., and Chern, J.C. (2002). Estimation of total flavonoid content in *Propolis* by two complementary colorimetric methods. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis* 10, 178-182
- Chandrashekhar, C.H., Latha, K.P., Vagdevi, H.M, and Vaidya, V.P. (2008). Anthelmintic activity of the crude extracts of *Ficus racemosa*. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy* 2, 100–103.
- Chang, M.S., Yang, Y.C., Kuo, Y.C., Kuo, Y.H., Chang, C., Chen, C.M., and Lee, T.H. (2005). Furocoumarin glycosides from the leaves of *Ficus ruficaulis* Merr. var. *antaoensis*. *Journal of Natural Products* 68, 11–13 (Erratum 68, 634).
- Chaturvedula, V.S.P., and Prakash, I. (2012). Isolation of stigmasterol and  $\beta$  sitosterol from the dichloromethane extract of *Rubus suavissimus*. *International Current Pharmaceutical Journal* 1, 239-242.
- Chiang, Y.M., and Kuo, Y.H. (2002). Novel triterpenoids from the aerial roots of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 67, 7656–7661.
- Coetzee, C., Jefthas, E., and Reintein, E. (1999). Indigenous plant genetic resources of South Africa, p 160-163. In J. Janick (ed), *Perspectives on new crops and new uses*. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA.
- Eldeen, I.M.S., Elgorashi, E.E., and Staden, J.V. (2005). Antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-cholinesterase and mutagenic effects of extracts obtained from some trees used in South African traditional medicine *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 102, 457–464.

- Es-Safi, N., Guyot, S., and Ducrot, P. (2006). NMR, ESI/MS, and MALDITOF/MS analysis of pear juice polymeric proanthocyanidins with potent free radical scavenging activity. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 54, 6969–6977.
- Farag, S.F. (2005). Phytochemical and pharmacological studies of *Ficus benjamina* L. leaves. *Mansoura Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* 21, 19–36.
- Gilani, A.H., Mehmood, M.H., Janbaz, K.H., Khan, A., and Saeed, S.A. (2008). Ethnopharmacological studies on antispasmodic and antiplatelet activities of *Ficus carica*. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 119, 1–5.
- Hutchings, A., Scott, A.H., Lewis, G., Cunningham, A.B. (1996). *Zulu Medicinal Plants. An Inventory*. University of Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg.
- Kao, C.H., Jesuthasan, A.C., Bishop, K.S., Glucina, M.P., and Ferguson, L.R. (2013). Anti-cancer activities of *Ganoderma lucidum*: active ingredients and pathways. *Functional Foods in Health and Disease* 3, 48-65.
- Kiem, P.V., Cuong, N.X., Nhiem, N.X., Thu, V.K., Ban, N.K., Minh, C.V., Tai, B.H., Hai, T.N., Lee, S.H., Jang, H.D., and Kim, Y.H. (2011). Antioxidant activity of a new C-glycosylflavone from the leaves of *Ficus microcarpa*. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 21, 633–637.
- Lansky, E.P., and Paavilainen, H.M. (2011). *Traditional Herbal Medicines for Modern Times: Figs, the Genus Ficus*: CRC Press. Taylor and Francis Group LLC, New York.
- Lee, T.H., Kuo, Y.C., Wang, G.J., Kuo, Y.H., Chang, C.I., Lu, C.K., and Lee, C.K. (2002). Five new phenolics from the roots of *Ficus beecheyana*. *Journal of Natural Products* 65, 1497–1500.

- Li, R.W., Leach, D.N, Myers, S.P., Lin, G.D., Leach, G.J., and Waterman, P.G. (2004). A new anti-inflammatory glucoside from *Ficus racemosa* L. *Planta Medica* 70, 421–426.
- Mahato, S.B., and Kundu, A.P. (1994). <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of pentacyclic triterpenoids-A compilation and some salient features. *Phytochemistry* 37, 1517-1575
- Matés, J.M., Pérez-Gómez., C., and Núñez de Castro, I. (1999). Antioxidant enzymes and human diseases. *Clinical Biochemistry* 32, 595-603.
- Mayne, S.T. (2003). Antioxidant nutrients and chronic disease: use of biomarkers of exposure and oxidative stress status in epidemiologic research. *Journal of Nutrition* 133, 933S-940S.
- McDonald, S., Prenzier, P.D., Autokiwich, M. and Robards, K. (2001). Phenolics content and antioxidant activity of olive oil extracts. *Food Chemistry* 73, 73-84.
- Moodley, R., Koorbanally, N.A., Shahidul Islam, M., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2014). Structure and antioxidant activity of phenolic compounds isolated from the edible fruits and stem bark of *Harpephyllum caffrum*. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B* 49, 938-944.
- Noguchi, N., and Niki, E. (2000). Phenolic antioxidants: A rationale for design and evaluation of novel antioxidant drug for atherosclerosis. *Free Radical Biology & Medicine* 28, 1538-1546.
- Odunbaku, O.A., Ilusanya, O.A., and Akasoro, K.S. (2008). Antibacterial activity of ethanolic leaf extract of *Ficus exasperata* on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus albus*. *Scientific Research and Essay* 3, 562-564.
- Ogunlaja, O.O., Moodley, R., Baijnath, H., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2016). Chemical constituents and *in vitro* antioxidant activity of crude extracts and compounds from leaves and stem bark of *Ficus burtt-davyi*. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica* 73, 1593-1600.

- Patil, K.S, Warke, P.D., and Chaturvedi, S.C. (2006). Hypoglycemic properties of *Ficus glomerata* fruits in alloxan-induced diabetic rats. *Journal of Natural Remedies* 6, 120–123.
- Pham-Huy, L.A., He, H., and Pham-Huyc, C. (2008). Free radicals, antioxidants in disease and health. *International Journal of Biomedical Science* 4, 89-96.
- Pinnell, S.R. (2003). Cutaneous photodamage, oxidative stress, and topical antioxidant protection. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 48, 1-19.
- Ragab, E.A., Mohammed, A.E-S.I., Abbass, H.S., and Kotb, S.I. (2013). A new flavan-3-ol dimer from *Ficus spragueana* leaves and its cytotoxic activity. *Pharmacognosy Magazine* 9, 144–148.
- Ragasa, C.Y., Tsai, P., and Shen, C.C. (2009). Terpenoids and sterols from the endemic and Endangered Philippine trees, *Ficus pseudopalma* and *Ficus ulmifolia*. *Philippine Journal of Science* 132, 205-209.
- Rahman, N.N., Khan, M., and Hasan, R. (1994). Bioactive components from *Ficus glomerata*. *Pure and Applied Chemistry* 66, 2287–90.
- Rubnov, S., Kashman, Y., Rabinowitz, R., Schlesinger, M., and Mechoulam, R. (2001). Suppressors of cancer cell proliferation from fig (*Ficus carica*) resin: isolation and structure elucidation. *Journal of Natural Products* 64, 993–996.
- Samy, R.P., Pushparaj, P.N., and Gopalakrishnakone, P. (2008). A compilation of bioactive compounds from Ayurveda. *Bioinformation* 3, 100–110.
- Shukla, R., Gupta, S., Gambhir, J.K., Prabhu, K.M., and Murthy, P.S. (2004). Antioxidant effect of aqueous extract of the bark of *Ficus bengalensis* in hypercholesterolaemic rabbits. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 92, 47–51.

- Sirisha, N., Sreenivasulu, M., Sangeeta, K., and Chetty, M.C. (2010). Antioxidant Properties of *Ficus* Species – A Review. *International Journal of PharmTech Research* 2, 2174-2182.
- Stohs, S., and Bagchi, D. (1995). Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 18, 321–336.
- Tiwari, A. (2001). Imbalance in antioxidant defence and human diseases: Multiple approach of natural antioxidants therapy. *Curriculum of Science* 81, 1179-1187.
- Willcox, J.K., Ash, S.L., and Catignani, G.L. (2004). Antioxidants and prevention of chronic disease. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 44, 275-295.
- Williams, M., Pehu, E. and Ragasa, C. (2006). Functional foods: Opportunities and challenges for developing countries. *Agricultural and Rural Development Notes*.  
[www.worldbank.org/rural](http://www.worldbank.org/rural) (accessed 12 August 2016).

## CHAPTER 7

### **Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *Ficus sur* Forssk (Moraceae)**

#### **Abstract**

*Ficus sur* Forssk (Moraceae) is an indigenous medicinal plant with a wide distribution in Africa. In this study, the nutritional potential of this indigenous plant to meet domestic food demands and reduce food insecurity in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, was investigated. The proximate composition and concentrations of metals in the edible fruits collected from eight different sites in KwaZulu-Natal were determined to assess for nutritional value and the concentrations of metals in the growth soil was determined to evaluate the impact of soil quality on elemental uptake. The fruits contained high levels of moisture (88.8%) and carbohydrates (65.6%). The concentrations of elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of Ca >Mg >Fe >Zn>Cu >Mn >Se with low levels of toxic metals (As, Cd, Co and Pb). This study shows that the consumption of the fruits of *F. sur* can contribute positively to the nutritional needs of rural communities in South Africa for most essential nutrients without posing the risk of adverse health effects.

**Keywords:** Elemental distribution, nutrition, toxicity, soil quality.

## 7.1 Introduction

Undernutrition is a common health problem amongst rural South Africans, and its effects on growth and development has detrimental outcomes, the most serious one being its ability to potentiate the development of non-communicable diseases such as cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes (Mayosi *et al.*, 2009; Norman *et al.*, 2007). Evidence from scientific health reports have indicated that the consumption of fruits can reduce the risk of many of the nutrition-related diseases and risk factors that contribute substantially to the burden of disease in South Africa (Bosman *et al.*, 2011). Indigenous plants have been identified as having the potential to meet domestic food demands thereby reducing food insecurity, especially in rural societies and this has increased interest in the exploitation, quantification and utilisation of such food plants (Guinand and Dechassa, 2000; Kebu and Fassil, 2006).

In the rural areas of many African countries, people depend on trees growing in the wild for fruit due to accessibility and affordability, thereby inadvertently exploiting the therapeutic and nutraceutical potential of such fruits. In some cases, indigenous fruits with very little or no documentation of their chemical composition are the only fruits consumed (Mahapatra *et al.*, 2012). On average, indigenous fruits contribute 42% towards the food basket for most rural people in Southern Africa (Akinnifesi *et al.*, 2006). A daily consumption of indigenous food plants in sufficient quantities can help prevent numerous diseases, improve the nutrition and health of children and the elderly and boost the immune system of HIV/AIDS patients (Barany *et al.*, 2001; WHO, 2005a). Although rural households in South Africa frequently turn to indigenous fruits for food, they are often faced with dietary and nutrient deficiency diseases, a situation which children

and lactating women are most vulnerable to (Herzog *et al.*, 1993; Moodley *et al.*, 2012; Shackleton *et al.*, 2004).

The total wellbeing of humanity depends largely on the regular daily intake of macro and micro-elements in the diet, soil being the primary source. Generally, plants depend directly on soil for support and nutrients and metals are mobilised from soil to different parts of plants depending on the nature and quality of the soil matrix (Moodley *et al.*, 2012). Excessive levels of heavy metals and metalloids may occur in soil as a result of normal geological occurrences and anthropogenic inputs such as the application of pesticides, waste disposal (industrial, agricultural and domestic), waste incineration, urban effluent and vehicle exhausts (Cui *et al.*, 2004; Pakade *et al.*, 2013). In addition, the affinity of different plant parts for these metals can also play a major role in the transfer and eventual bioaccumulation of heavy metals. Heavy metals are known to have long biological half-lives and may act as cumulative slow poisons, directly influencing public health because humans do not have an effective mechanism for their removal from the body (Cui *et al.*, 2004). In recent years, studies on the impact of heavy metals have increased significantly, especially in the areas of toxicology, due to their non-biodegradable nature and implication in abnormal cell functioning which is linked to certain types of cancers (Banas *et al.*, 2010; Mathee *et al.*, 2002; Tu'rkdog'an *et al.*, 2002).

*Ficus sur* Forssk, of the plant family Moraceae, is an indigenous medicinal plant found in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). It occurs from the Southern Cape northwards throughout eastern South Africa, to tropical Africa, to Senegal and Cape Verde Islands in the west, Ethiopia in the north and Yemen in the east (Burrows and Burrows, 2003). It is known as Umkhiwane in isiZulu and serves as an immediate source of food both to animals and humans,

hence playing a key role in their everyday survival. An evaluation of the nutritional benefits and concentration of essential and toxic heavy metals in the edible fruits is imperative since metal toxicity in humans, through the agricultural food chain, at plant tissue concentrations are not necessarily phytotoxic.

Previously, the nutritional value of the fruit of indigenous medicinal plants and the impact of soil quality on elemental uptake was reported (Mlambo *et al.*, 2016; Moodley *et al.*, 2012; 2013). This study aimed to investigate the elemental distribution and concentration of 13 elements (As, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se and Zn) in the edible fruits of *F. sur* and to assess for nutritional value and potential metal toxicity. Soil quality parameters were also investigated to evaluate their impact on elemental uptake.

## **7.2 Materials and Methods**

### **7.2.1 Sample collection and preparation**

*F. sur* fruit and soil samples from below the tree were collected from eight different sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa; 1-Reservior Hills, 2 - Overport, 3 – Burman Bush, 4 – Pigeon Valley, 5 – UKZN, Howard Campus, S6 - Hibberdene, 7 – Pietermaritzburg and 8 -Byrne (Figure 7-1) between February and March, 2014. Sites were flat with varied yet verdant climate (humid and subtropical). The topography was diverse with loamy soil type and an annual rainfall of 1009 mm. The average daily temperature ranged from 20.6 to 28.6 °C. Samples of tree-ripened fruits were randomly picked from trees, placed in sealed plastic bags and taken to the laboratory for further analyses. The plant was identified and classified by a taxonomist at the School of Life

Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa and a voucher specimen deposited in the ward Herbarium. Fruit samples were washed thoroughly with double distilled water to remove extraneous matter and then chopped into smaller pieces with a stainless steel knife. Thereafter, fruit samples were oven-dried at 50 °C overnight to ensure complete removal of moisture. Dried fruit samples were crushed using a food processor (Kenwood Compact Blender, BL380) then stored in a refrigerator in sealed plastic bags until analysed.




Figure 7-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

Soil samples were collected from six random points along the drip line of each tree, at a depth of 15-20 cm, with the use of a plastic hand shovel. These were thoroughly mixed in a clean plastic bucket to achieve homogeneity, thus forming the composite sample. A representative soil sample was taken from each site and was dried overnight in an oven at 40 °C then passed through a 2 mm mesh sieve to remove organic matter and gravel. Some of this soil (10 g) was crushed with a mortar

and pestle to reduce the particle size for microwave digestion. Samples were stored in sealed plastic bags and kept in a refrigerator until analysed within 2 weeks.

### **7.2.2 Analytical quality assurance, chemicals and instruments**

All plastic containers were washed with double distilled water and then soaked overnight in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Glassware and other equipment were cleaned with 6M HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed off with double distilled water to prevent contamination before usage. Millipore<sup>TM</sup> water (Billerica, MA, USA) was used throughout the experiments. All chemicals used were supplied by Merck (Kenilworth, USA) and Sigma (St. Louis, USA) Chemical Companies and were of analytical-reagent grade. Elemental calibration standards were prepared from spectroscopic grade stock standard solutions of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. All digested samples were analysed within a week after digestion.

The Microwave Accelerated Reaction System (MARS 6, CEM Corporation, Matthews, NC, USA) with patented Xpress technology that consists of MARSXpress<sup>TM</sup> vessels and IR temperature sensors, was used for digestion. Each digestion vessel comprises liners (Teflon PFA, Dupont, Wilmington, DE, USA), caps, and composite sleeves that have a self-regulating pressure control.

Fruit and soil samples (0.25 g each) were weighed into the 50 mL liners, to which, 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> was added. Fruit samples were pre-digested for 1 h prior to microwave digestion. Liners were capped, placed into the sleeves, loaded onto the 40-place carousel, and placed into the microwave. The appropriate method was loaded and the system started. The power was set to 100% at 1600 W and the temperature was ramped to 180 °C (for fruit samples) and 200 °C (for soil samples) for 15 min where it was held for 15 min. Fruit and soil digests were transferred to 50 mL volumetric

flasks, diluted to the mark with double distilled water and stored in polyethylene bottles prior to elemental analysis.

### **7.2.3 Extraction of exchangeable metals**

The extracting solution was prepared by diluting 38.542 g ammonium acetate ( $\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 25 mL acetic acid ( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ , 96%) and 37.225 g ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to 1L in double distilled water. Exactly 50 mL of extracting solution was added to 5.0 g of dry soil samples in 250 mL polyethylene bottles and shaken in a laboratory shaker for 2 h. Thereafter, solutions were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter papers and then Millipore 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filter membranes to permit analysis of extracted metals. All samples were stored in plastic bottles and kept in a refrigerator until analysed.

### **7.2.4 Soil pH, Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Soil Organic Matter (SOM)**

The pH of soil was determined by measuring the pH of the solution, 1:2.5, dry wt/v using a Fisher Scientific™ FE150 pH meter, fitted with a glass electrode (Khan *et al.*, 2008). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soil was determined using the pH 7.0 ammonium acetate method (Chapman, 1965) while soil organic matter (SOM) was measured according to the procedure adopted from Walkley and Black, (1934).

### **7.2.5 Proximate composition determination**

Moisture, crude protein, fat, ash and crude fibre content of *F. sur* fruits were determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists method (AOAC, 2000). Total carbohydrate content was estimated by difference.

### **7.2.6 Elemental analysis**

All extracted and digested samples (soil and fruit) were analysed for As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se, Co, and Zn by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) due to its multi-element determination capability, high dynamic linear range and sensitivity (Perkin Elmer, 5300DV). Analytical wavelengths were selected based on minimum spectral interferences and maximum analytical performance. Initially, the three most sensitive lines were chosen. From these lines, the line with no interfering elements was selected. The accuracy of analytical procedures was checked by analysing certified reference materials (CRMs).

### **7.2.7 Bioaccumulation factor (BAF)**

The Bioaccumulation factor (BAF) expresses the ability of a metal species in its different forms to migrate from the soil through the plant parts and make itself available for consumption (Cui *et al.*, 2004).

$$\text{BAF} = [\text{Fruit}] / [\text{Soil}]_{\text{Exchangeable}}$$

### **7.2.8 Statistical analysis**

The difference in heavy metal concentrations between the eight sites was investigated using discriminant analysis. The results of this analysis were assessed by examining the canonical correlation statistics, the Wilk's lambda statistics, the significance levels and the percentage of original group cases correctly classified. In addition, a Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to the dataset to quantitatively analyse and confirm the relationship between soil quality parameters (pH, SOM and CEC) and heavy metal concentrations. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to identify patterns in the elemental data by identifying the different groups of metals that correlate and thus can be considered as having a similar behaviour and common origin. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, (PASW version 23, IBM Corporation, Cornell, NY, USA).

## **7.3 Results and Discussion**

### **7.3.1 Proximate chemical composition**

The results of the proximate analysis showed *F. sur* fruits to contain  $88.8 \pm 0.2\%$  moisture. On dry mass basis, the carbohydrate content was  $65.6 \pm 0.03\%$ , protein  $5.2 \pm 0.1\%$ , fat  $4.7 \pm 0.2\%$ , crude fibre  $7.7 \pm 0.02\%$  and ash  $17.67 \pm 1.3\%$ . The high level of carbohydrates in the fruits indicates that they can serve as an immediate and alternative source of energy. These values were similar to those reported by Wilson and Downs (2012).

### **7.3.2 Soil quality parameters analysis**

Soil pH ranged from 5.3 to 8.2 while SOM ranged from 11.0 to 22.3%. Higher pH values were observed at site 4 ( $7.6 \pm 0.09$ ), site 6 ( $7.3 \pm 0.03$ ) and site 7 ( $8.2 \pm 0.08$ ) and may be connected with the higher concentration of Ca in the soil compared with other studied sites. The CEC ranged from 2.30 to 3.70 meq/100.

### **7.3.3 Elemental analysis**

Method validation for the analytical procedure was carried out by measuring CRMs, BCR-402 (Institute for Reference Materials and Measurement, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Belgium) for fruits samples and D081-540 (ERA, A waters Company, USA) for soil samples. Experimental results were compared with certified results (Table 7-1). Measured values compared well to the certified values ( $p < 0.05$ ) with recovery percentages being within acceptable limits.

**Table 7-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant and soil certified reference materials (BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil).**

| Element | Concentration in BCR-402<br>( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |                    | Concentration in D081-540<br>( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |                  |                  |
|---------|--|--------------------|---|------------------|------------------|
|         | Measured*  | Certified          | Measured  | Certified        | Acceptable limit |
| As      | $0.10 \pm 0.014$                                     | $0.093 \pm 0.010$  | $83.2 \pm 3.36$                                       | $101 \pm 5.92$   | 61.0 - 116       |
| Cd      | -  | -                  | $156.0 \pm 4.6$                                       | $143 \pm 5.6$    | 116 - 159        |
| Co      | $0.174 \pm 0.006$                                    | $0.178 \pm 0.008$  | $238.5 \pm 7.8$                                       | $199 \pm 4.1$    | 166 - 233        |
| Cr      | $4.98 \pm 0.248$                                     | 5.19 <sup>a</sup>  | $102.6 \pm 2.3$                                       | $86.8 \pm 6.1$   | 69.3 - 104       |
| Fe      | $240 \pm 6.75$                                       | 244 <sup>a</sup>   | $13119 \pm 608$                                       | $12800 \pm 18.0$ | 5380 - 20100     |
| Mn      | -  | -                  | $448.5 \pm 18.7$                                      | $425 \pm 9.7$    | 347 - 502        |
| Se      | $6.80 \pm 0.16$                                      | $6.70 \pm 0.25$    | $161.5 \pm 5.9$                                       | $127 \pm 4.5$    | 98.4 - 156       |
| Ni      | $8.23 \pm 0.46$                                      | 8.25 <sup>a</sup>  | $212 \pm 21.70$                                       | $236 \pm 4.17$   | 175 - 302        |
| Zn      | $25.40 \pm 0.68$                                     | 25.02 <sup>a</sup> | $136.9 \pm 7.9$                                       | $130 \pm 11.5$   | 113 - 184        |

\*Values are in  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  dry mass (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, 95% confidence interval, n = 3).

<sup>a</sup> Indicative values (without uncertainty). BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil.

The concentrations of heavy metals in soil (total and exchangeable) and fruits are summarised in Table 7-2 for those elements in fruits that were above the instrument detection limits. Although, total soil Pb ( $21.5\text{-}78.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) was above the South African maximum permissible level of  $6.6 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  in soils at all sites, the concentrations of Pb in all fruit samples were found to be below the instrument detection limit. In addition, concentrations of the other toxic elements, As, Cd and Co in all fruit samples were below the instrument detection limit. Selenium was found in fruit samples from site 1 ( $0.86 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and site 2 ( $2.16 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) only and Ni was found in the fruit sample from site 8 ( $10.30 \pm 1.44 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) only.

**Table 7-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (Ex)) samples (Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; 95% confidence interval, n=3) and bioaccumulation factors (BAFs).**

|   | Element | Concentration ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |                 |                 | BAF                               |                                     |                    |
|---|---------|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
|   |         | Fruit                                  | Soil (T)        | Soil (Ex)       | [F]/[S] <sub>T</sub> <sup>*</sup> | [F]/[S] <sub>Ex</sub> <sup>**</sup> | Ex% <sup>***</sup> |
| 1 | Ca      | 3313 $\pm$ 21                          | 630 $\pm$ 37    | 454 $\pm$ 19    | 5.3                               | 7.3                                 | 72.1               |
| 2 |         | 2270 $\pm$ 152                         | 1114 $\pm$ 29   | 1043 $\pm$ 34   | 2                                 | 2.2                                 | 93.7               |
| 3 |         | 2355 $\pm$ 40                          | 394 $\pm$ 5.58  | 250 $\pm$ 3.91  | 6                                 | 9.4                                 | 63.5               |
| 4 |         | 2613 $\pm$ 24                          | 2528 $\pm$ 333  | 2085 $\pm$ 7 1  | 1                                 | 1.3                                 | 82.5               |
| 5 |         | 19467 $\pm$ 35                         | 1180 $\pm$ 41   | 1033 $\pm$ 27   | 1.7                               | 1.9                                 | 87.5               |
| 6 |         | 3310 $\pm$ 74                          | 1476 $\pm$ 75   | 1433 $\pm$ 31   | 2.2                               | 2.3                                 | 97.1               |
| 7 |         | 2792 $\pm$ 49                          | 4671 $\pm$ 9.9  | 4089 $\pm$ 3 7  | 0.6                               | 0.7                                 | 87.6               |
| 8 |         | 7746 $\pm$ 232                         | 2173 $\pm$ 182  | 786 $\pm$ 50    | 3.6                               | 9.9                                 | 36.2               |
| 1 | Cu      | 4.31 $\pm$ 0.30                        | 7.78 $\pm$ 0.52 | 2.36 $\pm$ 0.30 | 0.6                               | 1.8                                 | 30.3               |
| 2 |         | 4.31 $\pm$ 0.97                        | 7.76 $\pm$ 0.71 | 6.90 $\pm$ 0.30 | 0.6                               | 0.6                                 | 88.9               |
| 3 |         | 5.01 $\pm$ 1.37                        | 3.06 $\pm$ 1.32 | 2.78 $\pm$ 0.12 | 1.6                               | 1.8                                 | 90.6               |
| 4 |         | 2.49 $\pm$ 0.39                        | 18.2 $\pm$ 2.51 | 10.7 $\pm$ 0.30 | 0.1                               | 0.2                                 | 58.9               |
| 5 |         | 3.41 $\pm$ 0.28                        | 8.54 $\pm$ 0.60 | 6.15 $\pm$ 0.12 | 0.4                               | 0.6                                 | 72                 |
| 6 |         | 2.23 $\pm$ 0.55                        | 6.79 $\pm$ 1.05 | 6.25 $\pm$ 0.33 | 0.3                               | 0.4                                 | 92                 |
| 7 |         | 5.11 $\pm$ 0.68                        | 23.6 $\pm$ 1.20 | 7.65 $\pm$ 0.16 | 0.2                               | 0.7                                 | 32.4               |
| 8 |         | 17.4 $\pm$ 2.07                        | 8.82 $\pm$ 1.02 | 1.63 $\pm$ 0.26 | 2                                 | 10.6                                | 18.5               |
| 1 | Fe      | 61.5 $\pm$ 2.56                        | 31536 $\pm$ 95  | 327 $\pm$ 28    | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 1                  |
| 2 |         | 28.0 $\pm$ 1.56                        | 20279 $\pm$ 104 | 261 $\pm$ 8.59  | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 1.3                |
| 3 |         | 21.6 $\pm$ 1.61                        | 18391 $\pm$ 601 | 186 $\pm$ 4.73  | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 1                  |
| 4 |         | 29.9 $\pm$ 2.95                        | 18534 $\pm$ 33  | 475 $\pm$ 18    | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 2.6                |
| 5 |         | 62.7 $\pm$ 1.80                        | 15302 $\pm$ 118 | 710 $\pm$ 13    | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 4.6                |
| 6 |         | 35.4 $\pm$ 5.10                        | 17534 $\pm$ 394 | 182 $\pm$ 4.13  | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 1                  |
| 7 |         | 105 $\pm$ 9.56                         | 67040 $\pm$ 352 | 447 $\pm$ 2.51  | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 0.7                |
| 8 |         | 129 $\pm$ 3.90                         | 15171 $\pm$ 553 | 96.9 $\pm$ 7.58 | 0                                 | 1.3                                 | 0.6                |
| 1 | Mg      | 1798 $\pm$ 162                         | 2534 $\pm$ 84   | 19.6 $\pm$ 2.45 | 0.7                               | 91.5                                | 7.8                |
| 2 |         | 1233 $\pm$ 40                          | 550 $\pm$ 31    | 73.1 $\pm$ 26   | 2.2                               | 16.9                                | 13.3               |
| 3 |         | 1255 $\pm$ 4.89                        | 251 $\pm$ 6.71  | 73.2 $\pm$ 0.63 | 5                                 | 17.2                                | 29.17              |
| 4 |         | 1022 $\pm$ 6.56                        | 1189 $\pm$ 73   | 48.7 $\pm$ 1.64 | 0.9                               | 21                                  | 4.09               |
| 5 |         | 1487 $\pm$ 24                          | 874 $\pm$ 11    | 27.6 $\pm$ 3.08 | 1.7                               | 53.8                                | 3.16               |
| 6 |         | 1284 $\pm$ 36                          | 492 $\pm$ 32    | 33.3 $\pm$ 2.23 | 2.61                              | 38.5                                | 6.77               |
| 7 |         | 1613 $\pm$ 34                          | 1549 $\pm$ 62   | 26.3 $\pm$ 3.01 | 1.04                              | 61.3                                | 1.7                |
| 8 |         | 1766 $\pm$ 179                         | 23880 $\pm$ 341 | 83.8 $\pm$ 22   | 0.07                              | 21.1                                | 0.35               |
| 1 | Mn      | 0.47 $\pm$ 0.01                        | 16.3 $\pm$ 0.74 | 4.09 $\pm$ 0.26 | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 0.3                |

|   |    |             |             |             |     |     |      |
|---|----|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----|------|
| 2 |    | 0.52 ± 0.05 | 18.1 ± 1.39 | 14.0 ± 0.42 | 0   | 0   | 0.8  |
| 3 |    | 1.83 ± 0.02 | 37.5 ± 1.07 | 32.5 ± 0.71 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.9  |
| 4 |    | 0.14 ± 0.01 | 23.0 ± 2.08 | 12.6 ± 0.32 | 0   | 0   | 0.6  |
| 5 |    | 0.04 ± 0.01 | 16.1 ± 0.48 | 9.90 ± 0.22 | 0   | 0   | 0.6  |
| 6 |    | 1.17 ± 0.03 | 19.9 ± 0.50 | 13.8 ± 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7  |
| 7 |    | 0.92 ± 0.24 | 237 ± 26    | 75.0 ± 1.10 | 0   | 0   | 0.3  |
| 8 |    | 20.2 ± 1.25 | 35.3 ± 0.40 | 11.5 ± 1.36 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 0.3  |
| 1 | Zn | 13.7 ± 4.63 | 27.3 ± 2.77 | 6.22 ± 0.73 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 22.8 |
| 2 |    | 14.6 ± 1.91 | 24.7 ± 2.51 | 18.1 ± 0.87 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 73.4 |
| 3 |    | 13.5 ± 1.89 | 9.42 ± 0.33 | 5.81 ± 0.13 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 61.7 |
| 4 |    | 6.90 ± 1.17 | 54.2 ± 3.60 | 39.1 ± 5.14 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 72.2 |
| 5 |    | 13.4 ± 1.03 | 23.5 ± 1.19 | 15.1 ± 0.40 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 64.3 |
| 6 |    | 4.35 ± 0.29 | 21.9 ± 0.48 | 19.4 ± 0.34 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 88.8 |
| 7 |    | 18.1 ± 4.89 | 37.8 ± 1.36 | 15.3 ± 0.52 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 40.5 |
| 8 |    | 22.8 ± 2.17 | 22.8 ± 0.70 | 4.58 ± 0.61 | 0.1 | 5   | 20.1 |

\*  $[F]/[S]_T - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Total}$

\*\*  $[F]/[S]_A - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Exchangeable}$

\*\*\*  $Ex\% - [Soil]_{Exchangeable}/[Soil]_{Total}$

The fruit tended to accumulate Mg producing BAFs (Exchangeable) between 16.9 and 91.5 even though, Mg mobility was low (0.4 to 29.2%). This is seen at site 1, where Mg in the fruit was more than ninety times that which was exchangeable (Table 7-2). Calcium concentrations in fruits ranged from 2270 to 7746  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  with BAFs (Total) between 0.6 and 6.0 indicating the tendency of the plant to accumulate this metal. Copper is an essential micronutrient in humans for the production of blood hemoglobin. High doses of Cu can result in anemia, liver and kidney damage, and stomach and intestinal irritation (Raymond and Felix, 2011). Total soil Cu was relatively low ( $< 24 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and on average 56% was in exchangeable form. Copper concentrations in the fruits were within a small range of variation (1.43-17.4  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) however at site 7, Cu in the fruits exceeded the WHO permissible limit of 10  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for plants (WHO, 2005b).

Zinc is a trace element that is essential for human health, its shortages can cause birth defects. Zinc at elevated levels in soil can negatively interrupt the activity of microorganisms and earthworms, thus retarding the breakdown of organic matter (Raymond and Felix, 2011). The concentration of Zn in the fruit ranged from 4.35 to 18.1  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ . More than 50% of the sites tended to accumulate Zn in the fruits with BAFs (Exchangeable)  $> 1$ . The concentrations of Cu and Zn in the fruits exhibited safe levels relative to the maximum levels for fruit set by the Department of Health, South Africa, which is 30 and 40  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively (DOH, 2004).

Site 8 was observed to have the lowest exchangeable Fe (96.9  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) but the highest concentration of Cu (17.4  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), Mn (20.2  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and Zn (22.8  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in the fruits. This three-way synergy between Cu, Zn and Mn is as a result of the reduction of soil retention capacity of one metal due to increase in concentration of a contending metal ion. This observation is in agreement with reports from literature (Moodley *et al.*, 2012). Although, total soil Fe was relatively high at all sites (15171 to 67040  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), BAFs (Exchangeable) were relatively low suggesting the plants control on uptake.

Manganese is an essential trace metal. Its deficiency produces severe skeletal and reproductive abnormalities in mammals and its toxicity symptoms are lung and brain damage (Takeda, 2003). The concentration of Mn in fruits ranged from 0.04 to 20.17  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  which are below the maximum limits of 2000  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992). This study shows that for the essential elements Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn, the fruit tends to exclude the element when soil concentrations are high but accumulated them when soil concentrations are low, in accordance with metabolic requirements.

### 7.3.4 Estimated contribution of metals in fruits to the diet

The elemental distribution in the edible fruits of *F. sur* was compared to Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) (Table 7-3) (IMFNB, 2001).

**Table 7-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) (Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average concentration of elements (n = 3) in *F. sur* fruits.**

| Element | Average concentration (mg / 20 g DM) | DRI (mg/ day) |      | Estimated contribution to RDA (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----------------------------------|
|         |                                      | RDA           | UL   |                                   |
| Ca      | 109.67                               | 1000-1300     | 2500 | 8.4-11.0                          |
| Cu      | 0.11425                              | 0.9           | 8    | 12.7                              |
| Fe      | 1.18275                              | 8-18          | 45   | 6.6-14.8                          |
| Mg      | 28.645                               | 310-320       | 350  | 9.0-9.2                           |
| Mn      | 0.06315                              | 1.6-2.3       | 9    | 2.7-3.9                           |
| Se      | 0.0302                               | 0.055         | 0.4  | 54.9                              |
| Zn      | 0.268375                             | 8-11          | 34   | 2.4-3.4                           |

In South Africa, fortification of wheat and maize flour has improved the Fe status of children in the country but 24% of children still remain anaemic (Faber *et al.*, 2005; WHO, 2008). The consumption of 20.0 g of fruit (which is the average weight of a handful of fruits) contributes between 6.6-14.8% towards the RDA for Fe for most adults. Copper deficiency is normally due to decreased Cu at birth, insufficient dietary Cu intake and poor absorption. Consumption of 20.0 g of fruit contributes about 12.7% towards the RDA for Cu for most adults. Selenium is reported to help with the prevention of heart disease and cancer, however if in excess, it can produce toxicity effects such as depression, gastrointestinal disturbances and excessive tooth decay (Tank and

Strovick, 1960). About 20 g of *F. sur* fruits may contribute more than 54% towards the RDA for Se; however, this amount does not exceed the UL so it would be considered safe.

Calcium and Mg are macro-elements required for bone formation, the development of strong teeth, muscle regulation and control of blood pressure. A common nutritional problem among South Africans is hypocalcemia which is due to a deficiency in Ca. In a study done on a randomly chosen rural South African community, 13.2% of children were found to have abnormally low levels of Ca in their blood (Pettifor *et al.*, 1979). Consumption of 20.0 g of *F. sur* fruits may contribute between 8.4 -11.0% towards the RDA for Ca. A report by WHO showed that an average adult in South Africa consumes only half their RDA for Mg (WHO, 2009). An intake of 310-320 mg of Mg per day is recommended and the consumption of approximately 20.0 g of *F. sur* fruits, may contribute approximately 28.6 mg (9.0-9.2%) towards its RDA.

### **7.3.5 Statistical analysis**

The result of discriminant analysis showed that heavy metal concentrations exhibited discrimination between studied sites (Table 7-4). Generally, the larger the canonical correlation statistic, the greater is the between groups variation as a proportion of the total variation, and the larger the Wilk's lambda statistic, the greater is the within-group variation as a proportion of the total variation. There was a high degree of between site variations for As, Ca, Cr, Cu, Co, Mg, Mn, Pb, Se and Zn; canonical correlation values ranging from 0.699 to 0.997 and a few lower degrees of within-group site variations; Wilk's lambda statistic ranged from 0.005 to 0.511. The statistically significant results also demonstrate that the originally grouped cases have high percentage (28.6% to 88.6%).

**Table 7-4: Discriminant analyses results for the studied sites.**

| Variation | Canonical correlation | Wilk`s Lambda statistic | Chi-squares | D.F | Sign. | % of grouped cases correctly classified |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|---|
| As        | 0.777                 | 0.396                   | 27.824      | 6   | 0     | 28.6                                    |
| Ca        | 0.699                 | 0.511                   | 20.154      | 6   | 0.003 | 40.0                                    |
| Cr        | 0.988                 | 0.024                   | 112.346     | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Cu        | 0.967                 | 0.065                   | 81.967      | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Co        | 0.985                 | 0.029                   | 106.087     | 6   | 0     | 45.7                                    |
| Mg        | 0.997                 | 0.005                   | 157.781     | 6   | 0     | 88.6                                    |
| Mn        | 0.992                 | 0.015                   | 125.429     | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Pb        | 0.922                 | 0.151                   | 56.761      | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Se        | 0.821                 | 0.326                   | 33.657      | 6   | 0     | 31.4                                    |
| Zn        | 0.759                 | 0.423                   | 25.795      | 6   | 0     | 57.1                                    |

D.F- Degree of freedom; Sign-Significance.

The main soil parameters that govern the processes of sorption and desorption of trace elements are pH, CEC and SOM. Table 7-5 shows the inter-item correlation matrix for significant correlations in the soil and fruits of *F. sur*. Soil pH correlated significantly to exchangeable Cu ( $r = 0.8$ ) and Ca ( $r = 0.9$ ) indicating that pH was a significant parameter for controlling availability of these metals and not SOM and CEC. There was a significant 3-way positive correlation between Fe, Mn and Ni in the soil which is an indication of the same geological parent material. Exchangeable Zn and Mn correlated positively to their total soil concentrations, suggesting that total soil concentrations may be used to predict the availability of these elements, similar to previous studies (Sauv`ie *et al.*, 2000). For concentrations in the fruit, there was a significant 4-way positive correlation between Ca, Cr, Cu and Mn suggesting that the plant requires proportional amounts of these elements for metabolic processes.

**Table 7-5: Inter-item correlation matrix for concentration of elements in fruit (F) and soil (S).**

|     | CaF   | CrF   | CuF   | CuT  | FeT   | MgT  | MnF  | MnT   | MnE  | NiT | ZnT  | ZnE |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|
| CaF |       |       |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CrF | 1.0** |       |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CuF | 0.9*  | 1.0** |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CuT | -0.1  | -0.1  | -0.1  |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| FeT | -0.2  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0.7* |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MgT | 1.0** | 1.0** | 1.0** | -0.1 | -0.2  |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnF | 1.0** | 1.0** | 1.0** | -0.1 | -0.2  | 1.0* |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnT | -0.1  | -0.1  | 0.0   | 0.7* | 0.9** | -0.1 | -0.1 |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnE | -0.2  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0.6  | 0.9** | -0.2 | -0.1 | 1.0** |      |     |      |     |
| NiT | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.1   | 0.7* | 0.8*  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.9** | 0.7* |     |      |     |
| ZnT | -0.1  | -0.1  | -0.2  | 0.8* | 0.3   | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.3   | 0.1  | 0.3 |      |     |
| ZnE | -0.4  | -0.4  | -0.5  | 0.5  | -0.1  | -0.4 | -0.4 | 0.0   | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8* |     |

\*, \*\* - significant at  $p \leq 0.05$  and  $p \leq 0.01$ , respectively.

XF-[X]<sub>Fruit</sub>, XT-[X]<sub>Soil Total</sub>, XE-[X]<sub>SoilExchangeable</sub> where X = the various elements.

In order to further identify the relationships between different metals in soil and their corresponding origins, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted (Table 7-6). Figure 7-2 represents the component plot in rotated space (3-D plot) showing the relationships among the twelve heavy metals. Table 7-6 shows that the elements are dominated by three principal components which accounted for 73.1% of the total variance. Factor 1 was best represented by As, Cr, Co, Mn, Ni and Se, accounting for 49.0% of the total variance. The Cu loading (0.58) is not as high as that of other elements of the group, suggesting a quasi-independent behaviour within the group.

**Table 7-6: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).**

| Element          | Component   |             |             |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | 1           | 2           | 3           |
| As               | <b>0.77</b> | 0.28        | 0.11        |
| Ca               | 0.34        | <b>0.62</b> | 0.37        |
| Cr               | <b>0.92</b> | 0.20        | 0.17        |
| Cu               | 0.58        | <b>0.77</b> | 0.09        |
| Co               | <b>0.88</b> | 0.24        | 0.31        |
| Fe               | 0.20        | 0.01        | <b>0.87</b> |
| Mg               | 0.02        | 0.49        | 0.28        |
| Mn               | <b>0.87</b> | 0.23        | 0.32        |
| Ni               | <b>0.64</b> | 0.09        | 0.12        |
| Pb               | 0.40        | <b>0.80</b> | -0.11       |
| Se               | <b>0.71</b> | -0.10       | -0.25       |
| Zn               | -0.10       | <b>0.90</b> | -0.13       |
| Eigenvalues      | 5.89        | 1.85        | 1.03        |
| % Total variance | 49.04       | 15.43       | 8.61        |
| Cumulative %     | 49.04       | 64.47       | 73.08       |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalisation. Bold figures indicate values  $\geq 0.5$ .

Factor 2 contributed 15.4% to the total variance with a high loading on Ca, Cu, Pb and Zn. Factor 3 is dominated by Fe (0.87), accounting for 8.6% of the total variance. These associations strongly suggest that the elements clustered together have a similar source (Li *et al.*, 2000; Niu *et al.*, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2007).




Figure 7-2: PCA analysis loading 3-D plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs PC3) for 12 heavy metals.

## 7.4 Conclusion

The concentrations of elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of  $\text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Mn} > \text{Se}$  while the concentrations of toxic metals (As, Cd, Co, and Pb) were found to be below the instrument's detection limits. This study shows that the fruits of *F. sur* conform to the RDAs for the elements in focus and that their consumption can contribute significantly towards a balanced diet without posing the risk of adverse health effects. Statistical analysis revealed synergistic relationships in the plant thereby confirming that uptake of elements is controlled to meet metabolic needs.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal for the research facilities.

## REFERENCES

- Akinnifesi, F.K., Kwesiga, F., Mhango, J., Chilanga, T., Mkonda, A., Kadu, C.A.C., Kadzere, I., Mithöfer, D., Saka, J.D.K., Sileshi, G., Ramadhani, T., and Dhliwayo, P. (2006). Towards the development of miombo fruit trees as commercial tree crops in southern Africa. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods* 16, 103–121.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), (2000). 17<sup>th</sup> edition. Gaithersburg, MD.
- Banas, A., Kwiatek, W.M., Banas, K., Gajda, M., Pawlicki, B., and Cichocki, T. (2010). Correlation of concentrations of selected trace elements with Gleason grade of prostate tissues. *Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry* 15, 1147–1155.
- Barany, M., Hammett, A.L., Sene, A., and Amichev, B. (2001). Non-timber forest benefits and HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Forestry* 99, 36–41.
- Bosman, L., Herselman, M.G., Kruger, H.S., and Labadarios, D. (2011). Secondary analysis of anthropometric data from a South African national food consumption survey, using different growth reference standards. *Maternal and Child Health Journal* 15, 1372-1380.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). *Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umdaus Press Hatfield, South Africa.
- Chapman, H.D. (1965). Cation Exchange capacity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 - Chemical And Microbiological Properties*; Black, C.A., Ed.; American Society of Agronomy. Madison, WI, 891–901.
- Cui, Y.J., Zhu, Y.G., and Zhai, R.H. (2004). Transfer of metals from near a smelter in Nanning, China. *Environment International* 30, 785–791.

- Department of Health (DoH) (2004). Regulations relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs: Amendment to Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act 54 of 1972. Government Gazette 26279.
- Faber, M., Kvalsvig, J.D., Lombard, C.J., and Benade, A.J. (2005). Effect of a fortified maize-meal porridge on anemia, micronutrient status, and motor development of infants. *American Journal Clinical Nutrition* 82, 1032–1039.
- Guinand, Y., and Dechassa, L. (2000). Indigenous Food Plants in Southern Ethiopia: Reflections on the Role of ‘Famine Foods’ at the Time of Drought. United Nations Emergencies Unit for Ethiopia (UNEUE), Addis Ababa.
- Herzog, F., Farah, Z., and Amado, R. (1993). Nutritive value of four wild leafy vegetables in Cote d'Ivoire. *International Journal for Vitamin and Nutrition Research* 63, 234–238
- Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board (IMFNB), (2001). Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium and Zinc; National Academy Press: Washington, DC.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., and Pendias, H. (1992). Trace elements in soils and plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. CRC Press. Baton Raton, FL.
- Kebu, B., and Fassil, K. (2006). Ethnobotanical study of wild edible plants in Derashe and Kucha Districts. South Ethiopia. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 2, 1-9.
- Khan, S., Cao, Q., Zheng, Y.M., Huang, Y.Z., and Zhu, Y.G. (2008). Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environmental Pollution* 152, 686-692.

- Li, X.D., Wai, O.W.H., Li, Y.S., Coles, B.J., Ramsey, M.H., and Thornton, I. (2000). Heavy metal distribution in sediment profiles of the Pearl River estuary, South China. *Applied Geochemistry* 15, 567–581.
- Mahapatra, A.K., Satarupa, M., Basak, U.C., and Panda, P.C. (2012). Nutrient analysis of some selected edible fruits of deciduous forests of India: an explorative study towards nonconventional bio-nutrient. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* 4, 15-21.
- Mathee, A., von Schirnding, Y.E.R., Levine, J., Ismail, A., Huntley, R., and Cantrell, A. (2002). A survey of blood lead levels amongst young Johannesburg school children. *Environmental Research* 90, 181–84.
- Mayosi, B.M., Flisher, A.J., and Lalloo, U.G. (2009). The burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa. *Lancet* 374, 934-947.
- Mlambo, L., Koorbanally, N., and Moodley, R. (2016). Elemental composition of the fruits of Baboon grape (*Rhoicissus digitata*) and impact of soil quality on chemical characteristics. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Research* 4, 6-11.
- Moodley, R., Koorbanally, N., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2012). Elemental composition and fatty acid profile of the edible fruits of Amatungula (*Carissa macrocarpa*) and impact of soil quality on chemical characteristics. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 730, 33– 41.
- Moodley, R., Koorbanally, N., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2013). Elemental composition and nutritional value of the edible fruits of *Harpephyllum caffrum* and impact of soil quality on their chemical characteristics. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B* 48, 539-547.

- Niu, H.Y., Deng, W.J., Wu, Q.H., and Chen, X.G. (2009). Potential toxic risk of heavy metals from sediment of the Pearl River in South China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences (China)* 21, 1053–1058.
- Norman, R., Bradshaw, D., and Schneider, M. (2007). A comparative risk assessment for South Africa in 2000: towards promoting health and preventing disease. *South African Medical Journal* 97, 637-641.
- Pakade, V., Cukrowska, E., and Chimuka, L. (2013). Metal and flavonol contents of *Moringa oleifera* grown in South Africa. *South Africa Journal of Science* 109, 1-7
- Pettifor, J.M., Ross, P., Moodley, G., and Shuenyane, E. (1979). Calcium deficiency in rural black children in South Africa-a comparison between rural and urban communities. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 32, 2477-2483.
- Raymond, A.W, and Felix, E.O. (2011). Heavy Metals in Contaminated Soils: A Review of Sources, Chemistry, Risks and Best Available Strategies for Remediation. *International Scholarly Research Network ISRN Ecology*, Article ID 402647, 20 pages  
doi:10.5402/2011/402647.
- Sauv`ie, S., Hendershot, W., and Allen, H.E. (2000). Solid-solution portioning of metals in contaminated soils: Dependence on pH, Total Metal Burden, and Organic Matter *Environmental Science &Technology* 34, 1125-1131.
- Shackleton, S.E., Shackleton, C.M., Netshiluvhi, T.R., Geach, B.S., Ballance, A., and Fairbanks, D.H.K. (2004). Use patterns and value of Savannah resources in three rural villages in South Africa. *Economic. Botany* 56, 130–146.
- Takeda, A. (2003). Manganese action in brain function. *Brain Research Review* 41, 79–87.

- Tank, G., and Strovick, C.A. (1960). Effect of naturally occurring selenium and vanadium on dental caries. *Journal of Dental Research* 39, 473–488.
- Türkdoğan, M.K., Kilicel, F., Kara, K., Tuncer, I., and Uygan, I. (2002). Heavy metals in soil, vegetables and fruits in the endemic upper gastrointestinal cancer region of Turkey. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* 13, 175-179.
- Walkley, A., and Black, I.A. (1934). An Examination of the Degjareff Method for Determining SOM and a Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. *Soil Science* 37, 29–38.
- Wilson, A.L., and Downs, C.T. (2012). Fruit nutritional composition and non-nutritive traits of indigenous South African tree species. *South African Journal of Botany* 78, 30–36.
- World Health Organisation (2005a). Fruit and Vegetables for Health. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Workshop, 1–3 September, Kobe, Japan.
- World Health Organisation (WHO) (2005b). Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials, Geneva, Switzerland.
- World Health Organisation. (WHO) (2008). Worldwide Prevalence of Anemia 1993-2005: WHO Global Database on Anemia. Geneva.
- World Health Organisation (WHO) (2009). Calcium and Magnesium in drinking water: Public health significance. WHO press: Geneva, Switzerland.
- Zhou, F., Guo, H., and Hao, Z. (2007). Spatial distribution of heavy metals in Hong Kong's marine sediments and their human impacts: A GIS-based chemometric approach. *Marine Pollution. Bulletin* 54, 1372–1384.

## CHAPTER 8

### Elemental distribution and nutritional value of the edible fruits of

#### *Ficus sycomorous* Linn

##### Abstract

*Ficus sycomorous* Linn is a medicinal plant commonly distributed in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Its fruits are usually consumed by the indigenous communities. Elemental concentrations in the edible fruits of *F. sycomorous* was investigated to assess for nutritional value by comparison with recommended dietary allowances (RDAs) and the possible risk associated with its consumption. The elemental concentrations in the fruits, as well as the growth soil acquired from eight different sites were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) so as to assess the impact of soil quality on the distribution of element and their uptake. Proximate chemical composition results showed high levels of moisture ( $55.8 \pm 0.3\%$ ), carbohydrates ( $25.3 \pm 1.1\%$ ), protein ( $5.6 \pm 0.2\%$ ), fat ( $8.9 \pm 0.5\%$ ), crude fibre ( $55.8 \pm 0.9\%$ ) and ash ( $4.4 \pm 0.4\%$ ). The elemental concentrations in the fruits conformed with the RDAs and decreased in the order of  $\text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Cr}$  with concentrations of toxic metals (As, Cd, Co and Pb) being below the instrument detection limits. The intake of the fruits by humans can improve the health, and meet the nutritional demands of indigenous people without posing the risk of adverse effects. Statistical analyses of soil showed similarity for Cd, Co, Cr, Ni and Pb, suggesting the same source whilst As, Cu and Zn have a common origin. Data from this study indicated that elemental interactions in soil significantly influenced their availability, but uptake was to a greater extent controlled by the plant.

**Keywords:** Elemental uptake; edible fruit; soil quality; recommended dietary allowances.

## 8.1 Introduction

The burden of disease related to non-communicable diseases is becoming increasingly harmful and it is projected to increase significantly in South Africa over the next decades, if necessary measures are not put in place to curtail the trend (Abegunde *et al.*, 2007). An insight into the magnitude, and risk factors for non-communicable diseases in South Africa is important for effective action. Among the risk factors associated with non-communicable diseases is unhealthy diet, with a potential to translate to cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer (Mayosi *et al.*, 2009).

Nutrition plays a critical function in wellness. It provides not only essential nutrients, but also promotes good health and prevention of diseases (Willet, 1994). Every individual requires food for proper development and sound health, but the quality as well as the quantity of food is also important. The quality of food depends on the relative composition of various nutrients such as proteins, fat, carbohydrate, vitamins and mineral. Fruits are vital to the human diet and are known to contain components with several types of health promoting action, such as vitamins, essential minerals, antioxidants and prebiotics (fibres) (Itanna, 2002).

Heavy metals are ever-present in the environment as a result of both natural geological occurrences and anthropogenic inputs, and humans are exposed to them through various pathways (Wilson and Pyatt, 2007). At elevated concentrations, essential elements such as chromium, copper, iron, manganese and zinc may lead to metal toxicity symptoms. Other elements such as arsenic, lead, cadmium and nickel are most often found to be responsible for harmful damage to humans. In

South Africa, rural people traditionally harvest a wide range of fruits from the wild because of its taste, and as food supplements to tide over food shortage. Indigenous wild fruits form a major portion of the diet of low-income and middle-income people of South Africa, hence their nutritive value is important. Although the efficacy of medicinal plants as a therapeutic agent is often accounted for by the phytochemical constituents, prolonged ingestion of medicinal plants can result in elemental accumulation at harmful levels in humans (Sharma *et al.*, 2009; WHO, 1992). Based on this, elemental screening of medicinal plants is paramount for quality control and safety (Arceusz *et al.*, 2010; Liang *et al.*, 2004).

*Ficus sycomorous* Linn, (Moraceae), also called the sycamore fig is native to South Africa and it is commonly found in the province of KwaZulu-Natal. Its dried fruits are taken orally by adults in Venda (Vhavenda) for the management of tuberculosis (Arnold and Gulumian, 1984). The Vhavenda people also enjoy eating the ripe fruits, especially the smaller, pinkish ones (thole) which are sweeter than the larger ones (mahuyu) (Burrows and Burrows, 2003; Netshiungani, 1981). In addition, fresh fruits are boiled and used as a pressing for the teats of cattle and goats to encourage milk production (lactation) (Arnold and Gulumian, 1984; Mabogo, 1990). Although biological and phytochemical studies of *F. sycomorous* are documented (Al-Matani *et al.*, 2015; El-Sayed *et al.*, 2010; Ramde-TIendrebeogo *et al.*, 2012; Romeh, 2013), information on the nutritional value of the edible fruits are not reported, hence the need to analytically investigate the fruits. In addition, heavy metals from the soil may bioaccumulate in the fruits and eating such fruits can result in adverse health effects and metal toxicities. The evaluation of the nutritional benefits and concentration of essential and toxic heavy metals in the edible fruits is imperative since harmful metal reactions in humans, occur at concentrations that are not necessarily phytotoxic.

Previously, we reported on the nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in the indigenous medicinal fruits of *F. sur* (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). This study investigated the elemental concentrations of As, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se and Zn in the fruits as well as the growth soil in order to assess the nutritional value and evaluate the elemental uptake by edible fruits of *F. sycomorous*.

## **8.2 Materials and methods**

### **8.2.1 Sampling**

Tree-ripened fruit samples, randomly picked from eight different sampling locations within KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa was used for this investigation (Figure 8-1). Samples of fruits were placed in different sealed plastic bags based on location, and taken to the laboratory for further analyses. The plant was identified and classified by a taxonomist at the School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa and a voucher specimen was deposited in the Herbarium. Soil samples at a depth of 15-20 cm were collected beneath the trees from the eight different sampling sites from which the fruits were picked.

### **8.2.2 Reagents, Analytical quality assurance and Standards**

All the chemicals used for this investigation were supplied by Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) and Merck (Kenilworth, USA) chemical companies. Analytical reagent grade chemicals were used for samples and spectroscopic grade were used for standards. Elemental calibration standards were prepared from spectroscopic grade stock standard solutions of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Glassware and other

equipment were cleaned with 6M HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed off with double distilled water to prevent contamination before usage. Millipore™ water (Billerica, MA, USA) was used throughout the experiments. All plastic containers were washed with double distilled water and then soaked overnight in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub>. All digested samples were analysed within a week.



Figure 8-1: Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

### **8.2.3 Sample Preparation**

Fruits were washed with double distilled water, and dried in an oven at 50 °C, for 72 h. Dried fruits were then finely grounded using a mill and stored in plastic bags until analysed. A representative soil sample from each site was dried overnight in an oven at 40 °C then passed through a 2 mm mesh sieve to remove organic matter and gravel. Thereafter, soil samples (10 g) was crushed with a mortar and pestle to reduce the particle size for digestion. Samples were stored in sealed plastic bags and preserved in a refrigerator until analysed.

### **8.2.4 Digestion and elemental analysis of samples**

Samples of dried, powdered fruit and soil were accurately weighed and digested by applying the optimised procedure as described by Endalamaw and Chandravanshi, (2015) with some modification. Approximately 0.25 g each of certified reference material (CRM), dried fruit and soil samples were placed in the 50 mL beakers to which 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> (70%) was added and allowed to pre-digest for 1 h (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). The samples were properly wetted and digested on the hot plate for a minimum of 45 min at 160 °C, while swirling. It was removed from the hot plate before becoming dry and cooled. The fruit and soil digests were transferred into a 25 mL volumetric flask, diluted to the mark with Millipore<sup>TM</sup> water and stored in polyethylene bottles prior to elemental analysis.

### **8.2.5 Extraction of exchangeable metals**

The extracting solution was prepared by mixing 38.542 g of ammonium acetate ( $\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3$ ), 25 mL of acetic acid ( $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ , 96%) and 37.225 g of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to 1 L in double distilled water. Exactly 50 mL of extracting solution was added to 5.0 g of dry soil samples in 250 mL polyethylene bottles and shaken in a laboratory shaker for 2 h. Thereafter, solutions were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter papers and then Millipore 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filter membranes to permit analysis of extracted metals. All samples were stored in plastic bottles and kept in a refrigerator until analysed.

### **8.2.6 Soil pH, Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) and Soil Organic Matter (SOM)**

The pH of soil was determined by measuring the pH of the solution, 1:2.5, dry wt/v using a pH meter (Aqualytica, Model pH 17) fitted with a glass electrode. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soil was determined using the pH 7.0 ammonium acetate method (Chapman, 1965) while soil organic matter (SOM) was measured according to the procedure adopted from Walkley and Black (Walkley and Black, 1934).

### **8.2.7 Determination of proximate chemical composition**

The proximate chemical composition of *F. sycomorus* fruits (moisture, crude protein, fat, fibre and crude ash) was determined in triplicate according to the standard methods of analysis, as described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2000). Total carbohydrate content was obtained by difference.

### **8.2.8 Elemental analysis**

All extracted and digested samples (soil and fruit) were analysed for As, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se, and Zn by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) due to its multi-element determination capability, dynamic linear range and low detection limits. Measurements were carried out using the Perkin Elmer® Optima™ 5300 Dual View ICP-OES (Billerica, Massachusetts, USA) with axial plasma observation. Analytical wavelengths were selected based on minimum spectral interferences and maximum analytical performance. Initially, the three most sensitive lines were chosen. From these lines, the line with no interfering elements was selected. The accuracy of analytical procedures was checked by analysing certified reference materials (CRMs) for plant (BCR-402, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurement, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Belgium) and soil (D081-540, ERA, A waters Company, Milford, MA, USA). The experimental means were compared with the corresponding certified values. The elemental composition of the certified reference materials, CRMs (BCR 402 for plant and D081-540 for soil) was used to ensure accuracy of the method of determination and the results are represented in Table 8-1. The values for As, Co and Se are certified whilst those for Cr, Fe, Ni and Zn are indicative so no uncertainties were ascribed to them. The measured values compared well with certified results at 95% confidence interval.

### **8.2.9 Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, (PASW version 24, IBM Corporation, Cornell, NY, USA). Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to the dataset to quantitatively analyse and confirm the relationship between soil quality

parameters (pH, SOM and CEC) and heavy metal concentrations. In addition, principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis (CA) the commonly used multivariate statistical methods in environmental studies (Díaz *et al.*, 2002) were carried out. PCA was used to reduce data and extract a small number of principal components for analysing relationships among the elemental soil concentrations. Furthermore, hierarchical CA was carried out to classify the elements on the basis of the similarities of their chemical properties. A dendrogram was also created to assess the cohesiveness of the clusters formed, in which correlations among elements can readily be detected.

## **8.3 Results and Discussion**

### **8.3.1 Proximate and elemental analyses**

The proximate chemical composition of *F. sycomorus* fruits showed high levels of moisture ( $55.8 \pm 0.3\%$ ) and carbohydrates ( $25.3 \pm 1.1\%$ ) yet lower than that of *Ficus sur* fruits with 88.8% moisture and 65.6% carbohydrates (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). The fruits also contained  $5.6 \pm 0.2\%$  protein,  $8.9 \pm 0.5\%$  fat,  $55.8 \pm 0.9\%$  crude fibre and  $4.4 \pm 0.4\%$  ash. The values for protein and fat were similar to those reported by Wilson and Downs, (2012). The fruits of *F. sycomorus* contained higher crude fibre content compared to *F. sur* fruits (7.7%) (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017). Based on the intake level observed to protect against coronary heart disease, the American Heart Association (AHA) set the Adequate Intake (AI) for crude fibre in foodstuffs at 38 and 25 g per day for young men and women, respectively (AHA, 1983). Fibres from the diet have been reported as a significant modifier of the immune system, thereby, reducing the risk factors that leads to cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, and obesity (Cho *et al.*, 2013; Chuang *et al.*, 2012;

Streppel *et al.*, 2008). The proximate chemical data showed that the fruits of *F. sycomorosa* can contribute significantly towards the AI for crude fibre.

Soil pH ranged from 5.6 to 7.3 while SOM ranged from 10.8 to 21.9%. The CEC also ranged from 2.0 to 3.8 meq/100.

**Table 8-1: Validation of the analytical method using plant (n = 8) and soil (n =6) certified reference materials (CRMs).**

| Metals | BCR-402       |       |                   |       | D081-540      |      |                |      |
|--------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|---------------|------|----------------|------|
|        | Measured Mean | SD    | Certified Mean    | SD    | Measured Mean | SD   | Certified Mean | SD   |
| As     | 0.091         | 0.011 | 0.093             | 0.010 | 100.5         | 1.2  | 101            | 5.92 |
| Cd     | -             | -     | -                 | -     | 139.9         | 1.3  | 143            | 5.6  |
| Co     | 0.175         | 0.004 | 0.178             | 0.008 | 200.6         | 6.1  | 199            | 4.1  |
| Cr     | 5.18          | 0.065 | 5.19 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 90.3          | 2.6  | 86.8           | 6.1  |
| Fe     | 245           |       | 244 <sup>a</sup>  | -     | 12823         | 20.4 | 12800          | 18.0 |
| Mn     | -             | -     | -                 | -     | 431.7         | 9.4  | 425            | 9.7  |
| Se     | 6.72          | 0.210 | 6.70              | 0.25  | 129.8         | 2.2  | 127            | 4.5  |
| Ni     | 8.25          | 0.591 | 8.25 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 241.2         | 10.1 | 236            | 4.17 |
| Zn     | 25.3          | 0.002 | 25.2 <sup>a</sup> | -     | 128.9         | 5.6  | 130            | 11.5 |

\*Values are in  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  dry mass (95% confidence interval).

<sup>a</sup> Indicative values (without uncertainty). CRM- BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil.

The elemental concentrations in the soil (total and exchangeable) and fruits samples is summarised in Table 8-2. Lead is a non-essential, toxic metal. Continuous low intake in humans causes Pb accumulation in the body and can damage nervous system and kidney. The mean total soil concentrations for Pb ranged from 4.8-44.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  across the study sites. This elevated concentration is above the South African maximum permissible level of 6.6  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for agricultural soil at most sites (WRC, 1997). Previously, Ogunlaja *et al.* (2017) also reported similar results for

soil Pb, which may be due to vehicular emissions. At all study sites, the concentration of Pb in the fruit samples were below the instrument detection limits. Although, total soil As, Cd, Co and Ni ranged from 7.4-8.9  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , 0.9-6.7  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , 1.8-27.6  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and 4.5-12.1  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively, concentrations in the fruits were found to be below the instrument detection limits and are therefore omitted from Table 8-2. This showed that the fruits of *F. sycamorous* does not accumulate these toxic metals.

The bioaccumulation factor (BAFs exchangeable) for the major elements Ca and Mg ranged from 6.7-49.0 and 3.5-23.4, respectively, indicating the tendency of the plant to accumulate these metals. Total soil Cu concentration ranged from 5.4-44.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  which exceeded the South African maximum permissible level of 6.6  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for agricultural soil at most sites (WRC, 1997). Except for sites **1**, **4** and **5**, Cu concentration in the fruits exceeded the WHO permissible limit of 10  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for plants (WHO, 2005), although the fruits did not tend to bioaccumulate Cu (BAF < 1.0 for most sites) (Table 8-2). Copper is essential for humans and it is necessary for the formation of haemoglobin and red blood cells (Davis and Mertz, 1987). Elevated levels in the food chain can result in diarrhoea, vomiting, liver damage, fatigue and depression.

**Table 8-2: Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (Ex)) samples (Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; 95% confidence interval, n = 3) and bioaccumulation factors (BAFs).**

| Site | Element | Fruit          | Soil(T)        | Soil (E)     | [F]/[S] <sub>T</sub> <sup>*</sup> | [F]/[S] <sub>Ex</sub> <sup>**</sup> | Ex% <sup>***</sup> |
|------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1    | Ca      | 6963 $\pm$ 227 | 2944 $\pm$ 57  | 299 $\pm$ 38 | 2.4                               | 23.3                                | 10.2               |
| 2    |         | 6089 $\pm$ 197 | 741 $\pm$ 35   | 124 $\pm$ 27 | 8.2                               | 49                                  | 16.8               |
| 3    |         | 6882 $\pm$ 115 | 6205 $\pm$ 115 | 410 $\pm$ 19 | 1.1                               | 16.8                                | 6.6                |
| 4    |         | 6076 $\pm$ 817 | 7579 $\pm$ 385 | 446 $\pm$ 32 | 0.8                               | 13.6                                | 5.9                |

|   |    |              |              |              |      |      |      |
|---|----|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5 |    | 6917 ± 615   | 12155 ± 771  | 465 ± 18     | 0.6  | 14.9 | 3.8  |
| 6 |    | 5446 ± 519   | 5387 ± 262   | 510 ± 19     | 1    | 10.7 | 9.5  |
| 7 |    | 4447 ± 77    | 2288 ± 49    | 566 ± 22     | 1.9  | 7.9  | 24.7 |
| 8 |    | 5092 ± 87    | 2214 ± 154   | 757 ± 53     | 2.3  | 6.7  | 34.2 |
| 1 | Cr | 0.10 ± 0.13  | 49.7 ± 0.39  | 0.76 ± 0.11  | 0    | 0.1  | 1.5  |
| 2 |    | 0.33 ± 0.26  | 44.2 ± 6.54  | 0.39 ± 0.12  | 0.01 | 0.8  | 0.9  |
| 3 |    | 0.17 ± 0.04  | 110 ± 25.27  | 0.52 ± 0.01  | 0    | 0.3  | 0.5  |
| 4 |    | 0.39 ± 0.01  | 35.9 ± 4.48  | 0.55 ± 0.01  | 0.01 | 0.7  | 1.5  |
| 5 |    | 0.20 ± 0.10  | 40.5 ± 3.78  | 0.57 ± 0.01  | 0    | 0.3  | 1.4  |
| 6 |    | 0.12 ± 0.08  | 36.0 ± 3.42  | 0.59 ± 0.01  | 0    | 0.2  | 1.6  |
| 7 |    | 0.04 ± 0.03  | 31.8 ± 2.25  | 0.61 ± 0.01  | 0    | 0.07 | 1.9  |
| 8 |    | 0.35 ± 0.05  | 57.7 ± 5.42  | 0.63 ± 0.01  | 0.01 | 0.6  | 1    |
| 1 | Cu | 8.1 ± 0.59   | 12.4 ± 0.19  | 11.8 ± 0.16  | 0.6  | 0.7  | 95.2 |
| 2 |    | 10.7 ± 1.31  | 5.4 ± 0.48   | 5.1 ± 0.05   | 2    | 2.1  | 94.1 |
| 3 |    | 10.2 ± 0.26  | 40.5 ± 1.00  | 15.4 ± 0.32  | 0.3  | 0.7  | 38.1 |
| 4 |    | 9.3 ± 1.17   | 44.0 ± 4.62  | 16.0 ± 0.24  | 0.2  | 0.6  | 36.4 |
| 5 |    | 9.9 ± 0.63   | 19.2 ± 1.90  | 16.4 ± 0.15  | 0.5  | 0.6  | 85.5 |
| 6 |    | 10.5 ± 0.80  | 22.7 ± 0.87  | 16.8 ± 0.20  | 0.5  | 0.6  | 74.0 |
| 7 |    | 10.8 ± 1.75  | 15.1 ± 0.58  | 12.4 ± 2.23  | 0.7  | 0.9  | 82.6 |
| 8 |    | 10.8 ± 0.11  | 12.3 ± 1.82  | 11.7 ± 0.26  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 95   |
| 1 | Fe | 18.6 ± 14.95 | 11695 ± 121  | 234 ± 26.74  | 0    | 0.08 | 2    |
| 2 |    | 65.4 ± 16.19 | 8849 ± 844   | 418 ± 359    | 0.01 | 0.2  | 4.7  |
| 3 |    | 45.1 ± 8.44  | 36700 ± 1900 | 437 ± 53.42  | 0.   | 0.1  | 1.2  |
| 4 |    | 55.7 ± 9.97  | 9194 ± 1319  | 438 ± 64.91  | 0.01 | 0.1  | 4.8  |
| 5 |    | 64.1 ± 17.74 | 12159 ± 1046 | 436 ± 17.46  | 0.01 | 0.1  | 3.6  |
| 6 |    | 13.3 ± 3.25  | 14416 ± 1322 | 399 ± 58.49  | 0    | 0.03 | 2.8  |
| 7 |    | 22.0 ± 12.56 | 9302 ± 310   | 298 ± 92.88  | 0    | 0.07 | 3.2  |
| 8 |    | 9.4 ± 1.15   | 13715 ± 1980 | 324 ± 146    | 0    | 0.03 | 2.4  |
| 1 | Mg | 1766 ± 42.43 | 575 ± 11.18  | 131 ± 29.22  | 3.1  | 13.5 | 22.7 |
| 2 |    | 1998 ± 48.86 | 277 ± 28.97  | 85.2 ± 26.79 | 7.2  | 23.4 | 30.8 |

|       |    |              |              |              |      |      |      |
|-------|----|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 3     |    | 2018 ± 81.29 | 1381 ± 8.22  | 162 ± 29.90  | 1.5  | 12.5 | 11.7 |
| 4     |    | 1982 ± 122   | 1650 ± 189   | 161 ± 17.76  | 1.2  | 12.3 | 9.7  |
| 5     |    | 2060 ± 30.78 | 2672 ± 366   | 133 ± 27.39  | 0.8  | 15.4 | 5.0  |
| 6     |    | 2676 ± 141   | 2748 ± 281   | 118 ± 15.04  | 1.0  | 22.6 | 4.3  |
| 7     |    | 2199 ± 22.23 | 1053 ± 39.93 | 574 ± 56.87  | 2.1  | 3.8  | 54.5 |
| 8     |    | 1815 ± 26.71 | 602 ± 107    | 517 ± 70     | 3.0  | 3.5  | 85.9 |
| <hr/> |    |              |              |              |      |      |      |
| 1     | Mn | 15.4 ± 0.41  | 213 ± 4.35   | 184 ± 21.52  | 0.01 | 0.08 | 86   |
| 2     |    | 65.7 ± 1.94  | 55.8 ± 5.98  | 33.9 ± 3.02  | 1.2  | 1.9  | 60.7 |
| 3     |    | 14.4 ± 0.53  | 1615 ± 114   | 207 ± 3.45   | 0.01 | 0.07 | 12.8 |
| 4     |    | 6.0 ± 0.33   | 293 ± 44.33  | 20.8 ± 0.33  | 0.02 | 0.3  | 7.1  |
| 5     |    | 6.5 ± 0.16   | 278 ± 27.14  | 20.8 ± 0.12  | 0.02 | 0.3  | 7.5  |
| 6     |    | 14.9 ± 0.98  | 346 ± 36.14  | 20.6 ± 0.14  | 0.04 | 0.7  | 6.0  |
| 7     |    | 3.3 ± 0.10   | 179 ± 12.29  | 20.1 ± 0.50  | 0.02 | 0.2  | 11.2 |
| 8     |    | 9.7 ± 0.23   | 198 ± 29.20  | 16.3 ± 0.44  | 0.05 | 0.6  | 8.2  |
| <hr/> |    |              |              |              |      |      |      |
| 1     | Zn | 42.5 ± 21.11 | 62.7 ± 12    | 36.7 ± 0.31  | 0.7  | 1.2  | 58.5 |
| 2     |    | 17.7 ± 1.71  | 54.6 ± 58.28 | 29.6 ± 26.33 | 0.3  | 0.6  | 54.1 |
| 3     |    | 41.6 ± 8.93  | 134 ± 38.68  | 57.4 ± 7.07  | 0.3  | 0.7  | 42.9 |
| 4     |    | 35.5 ± 12.48 | 170 ± 28.95  | 36.2 ± 11.23 | 0.2  | 1.0  | 21.3 |
| 5     |    | 24.7 ± 3.18  | 90.4 ± 43.17 | 22.9 ± 4.93  | 0.3  | 1.1  | 25.4 |
| 6     |    | 35.5 ± 13.72 | 62.6 ± 19.35 | 16.7 ± 4.60  | 0.6  | 2.1  | 26.7 |
| 7     |    | 44.8 ± 9.32  | 80.1 ± 30.80 | 19.3 ± 7.93  | 0.6  | 2.3  | 24.1 |
| 8     |    | 35.2 ± 0.11  | 55.2 ± 14.50 | 15.2 ± 1.04  | 0.6  | 2.3  | 27.5 |

\*  $[F]/[S]_T - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Total}$

\*\*  $[F]/[S]_A - [Fruit]/[Soil]_{Exchangeable}$

\*\*\*  $Ex\% - [Soil]_{Exchangeable}/[Soil]_{Total}$

Total soil Cr ranged from 31.8-110  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  and < 1.9% was in mobile form. The concentration in the fruits were within a small range of variation (0.04-0.39  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) suggesting the plants control Cr uptake. This is in agreement with other reports (Adriano, 2001; Golovatyj & Bogatyreva, 1999).

The available Cr ranged from 0.39-0.76  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , which is less than the phytotoxicity range of 1-5

$\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for available Cr in soil (Adriano, 2001). Chromium is an essential element to humans and its deficiency includes impaired glucose tolerance, elevation in serum insulin, glycosuria, impaired growth and altered immune function. In this study, the elemental concentrations in the fruits were in the decreasing order of  $\text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Zn} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Cr}$  and the toxic metals (As, Cd, Co and Pb) were below the instrument detection limits.

### **8.3.2 Estimated contribution of metals to the diet**

Fruits play a very important role in the diet of humans, as they provide micronutrients which can contribute beneficially to Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs), and may help meet the nutritional needs of impoverished rural communities where nutritionally deficient diseases are common. Table 8-3 shows the comparison of the mean elemental concentrations in 20.0 g of *F. sycamorous* fruits with the Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) for most individuals. The results showed that the fruits contained most essential elements, and intake of 20.0 g can adequately contribute to the diet without exceeding the tolerable upper intake levels (ULs) for most elements (Table 8-3). Depletion of Ca and Mg in the growth soil are often linked to deficiencies in diet, which can be managed by the use of Ca and Mg supplements. These enhancement drugs may be taken to strengthen bones, regulate muscle and nerve function and control blood pressure. The consumption of 20.0 g of fruits may contribute between 9-12% and 13% to the RDA for Ca and Mg, respectively. Consumption of 20.0 g of fruits would contribute about 4.5-10.1% towards the RDA for Fe and >22.0% towards the RDAs for Cu and Mn. *F. sycamorous* fruits were richer in the nutrients Ca, Mg, Mn and Zn compared to fruits of *F. sur* (11%, 9%, 4% and 3%, respectively, towards the RDA) (Ogunlaja *et al.*, 2017).

**Table 8-3: Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI). \*(Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average concentration of elements (n = 3) in *F. sycomorou*s fruits.**

| Element | Average concentration (mg / 20 g DM) | DRI (mg/ day) |      | Estimated contribution to RDA (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------|-----------------------------------|
|         |                                      | RDA           | UL   |                                   |
| Ca      | 122.35                               | 1000-1300     | 2500 | 12                                |
| Cr      | 0.0043                               | 0.024-0.035   | ND   | 20                                |
| Cu      | 0.20                                 | 0.9           | 8    | 22                                |
| Fe      | 0.81                                 | 8-18          | 45   | 10                                |
| Mg      | 42.00                                | 310-320       | 350  | 14                                |
| Mn      | 0.36                                 | 1.6-2.3       | 9    | 23                                |
| Zn      | 0.69                                 | 8-11          | 34   | 9                                 |

\*Sourced from: Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academies, 2011.

Chromium is known to improve the efficiency of insulin and it is needed in the metabolism of protein, fat and carbohydrate (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2003). Its deficiency includes diabetes and cardiovascular disease. For Cr, a daily intake range of 0.024-0.035 mg is endorsed and eating of approximately 20.0 g of *F. sycomorou*s fruits, may contribute approximately 0.0043 mg (12.3-19.9%) towards its RDA.

### 8.3.3 Statistical result

To further identify the elemental association in the soil, principal component analysis (PCA) was used for elemental source identification. Table 8-4 shows the factor loadings with a VARIMAX rotation, as well as the eigenvalues. Three principal components (factors) were obtained describing the 86.3% of the total variance. Factor 1 is strongly dominated by Cd (0.98), Co (0.95), Cr (0.95),

Fe (0.97), Mn (0.96), Ni (0.90) and Pb (0.81) accounting for 56.6% of the total variance. The high loadings and close association suggest their common anthropogenic inputs. Previously, we reported similar patterns in soils from KwaZulu-Natal (Mahlangeni *et al.*, 2016).

**Table 8-4: Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40)**

| Element          | Component |       |       |
|------------------|-----------|-------|-------|
|                  | 1         | 2     | 3     |
| Cd               | 0.98      |       |       |
| Fe               | 0.97      |       |       |
| Mn               | 0.96      | 0.17  | 0.16  |
| Co               | 0.95      | 0.17  | 0.12  |
| Cr               | 0.95      | -0.12 |       |
| Ni               | 0.90      | 0.31  | -0.11 |
| Pb               | 0.81      | -0.11 |       |
| Ca               | 0.12      | 0.94  | 0.14  |
| Mg               |           | 0.91  | 0.19  |
| As               | -0.31     |       | 0.81  |
| Cu               | 0.48      | 0.41  | 0.71  |
| Zn               | 0.29      | 0.32  | 0.64  |
| Eigenvalues      | 6.79      | 2.48  | 1.08  |
| % Total variance | 56.62     | 20.66 | 8.99  |
| Cumulative %     | 56.62     | 77.28 | 86.28 |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalisation.

The strong association between Ca and Mg in Factor 2 accounts for 20.7% of the total variance indicating that the element originated from soil mineral forming processes. Factor 3 is represented by As, Cu, and Zn accounting for 9.0% of the total variance. Figure 8-2 shows a 3-D plot of the PCA loadings, and the relationships among the twelve metals are distinct.




Figure 8-2: 3-D PCA analysis loading plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs PC3) for 12 elements in soil.

As expected, Cd, Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and Pb show a more significant positive association than other members of the PC, suggesting that they have a similar source (Figure 8-2). Similarly, a strong association existed between Ca and Mg, confirming their natural geological origin.

Furthermore, hierarchical cluster analysis (CA) was applied to standardised elemental concentrations and identify homogenous groups by Ward's method with Euclidean distance as the criterion for forming clusters of elements. Figure 8-3 shows that the elements were clustered into two main clusters (A and B).




Figure 8-3: Hierarchical cluster analysis dendrogram for 12 elements in soil using Ward's method by Euclidean distance (the distances reflect the degree of correlation between different elements).

Cluster A contains two lower clusters, A1 (Cd, Ni, As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Cr) and a stand-alone cluster A2 (Mg). Cluster B consisted of Ca and Fe. However, cluster A and B are joined at a relatively higher level, signifying a common source. The proximity in the dendrogram between Mg and cluster A suggests a form of similarity in distribution patterns in the soil. This association is stronger than the association between Mg and cluster B, occurring at a higher level. In addition, the PCA and CA produced similar results for heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Ni and Pb), indicating a common source. PCA and CA also showed significant correlation between As, Cu and Zn,

suggesting another common source. The inter-item correlation matrix for concentrations in the soil and fruits of *F. sycamorous* showed no significant correlation between soil concentrations (total and available) and plant concentrations. This indicates that elemental uptake by the fruits was not dependent on soil concentrations, but the plant controlled uptake to meet metabolic needs. In soil, significant synergistic relationships existed between Mg and Ca ( $r = 0.8$ ) and Zn and Cu ( $r = 0.8$ ). Also, a three-way synergy existed between Mn, Fe and Cr ( $r \geq 0.9$ ). These relationships were also seen in PCA and CA.

## 8.4 Conclusion

Data from this study provides information on the nutritional significance of the fruits of *F. sycamorous* which suggested that it is good for health and does not have a tendency to accumulate toxic elements (As, Cd, and Pb). The consumption of 20.0 g of fruits may contribute 22% and 23% towards the RDA for Cu and Mn, respectively. The elemental concentrations in the fruits were in decreasing order of  $Ca > Mg > Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Cr$ . Although, sites had effect on elemental distribution, statistical analyses showed that uptake of elements by the fruits is controlled to meet physiological needs as evidenced by the bioaccumulation factors.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal for the research facilities.

## REFERENCES

- Abegunde, D.O., Mathers, C.D., Adam, T., Ortegon, M., and Strong, K. (2007). The burden and costs of chronic diseases in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet* 370, 1929–1938.
- Adriano, D.C. (2001). Trace elements in terrestrial environments: Biochemistry, bioavailable and risk of metals 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Al-Matani, S.K., Al-Wahaibi, R.N.S., and Hossain, M.A. (2015). Total flavonoids content and antimicrobial activity of crude extract from leaves of *Ficus sycomorus* native to Sultanate of Oman. *Karbala International Journal of Modern Science* 1, 166-171.
- American Heart Association (AHA). (1983). AHA committee report. Diet in the healthy child. Task force committee of the nutrition committee and cardiovascular disease in the young council of American Heart Association. *Circulation* 67, 1411-1414.
- Arceusz, A., Radecka, I., and Wesolowski, M. (2010). Identification of diversity in elements content in medicinal plants belonging to different plant families. *Food Chemistry* 120, 52-58.
- Arnold, H.J., and Gulumian, M. (1984). Pharmacopoeia of traditional medicine in Venda. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 12, 35 – 74.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. (2000). Official methods of analysis, 17<sup>th</sup> edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists; AOAC; Gaithersburg, MD.
- Burrows, J.E., and Burrows, S.M. (2003). Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa. Umdaus Press Hatfield, South Africa.

- Chapman, H.D. (1965). Cation Exchange capacity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 - Chemical And Microbiological Properties*; Black, C.A., Ed.; American Society of Agronomy. Madison, WI, 891–901.
- Cho, S.S., Qi, L., Fahey Jr, G.C., and Klurfeld, D.M. (2013). Consumption of cereal fibre, mixtures of whole grains and bran, and whole grains and risk reduction in type 2 diabetes, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.067629. 1-26.
- Chowdhury, S., Pandit, K., Roychowdury, P., and Bhattacharya, B. (2003). Role of chromium in human metabolism, with special reference to Type 2 diabetes. *Journal of the Association of Physicians of India* 51, 701-705.
- Chuang, S.C, Norat, T., Murphy, N., Olsen, A., Tjønneland, A., Overvad, K., Boutron-Ruault, M.C., Perquier, F., Dartois, L., and Kaaks, L. (2012). Fibre intake and total and cause-specific mortality in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition cohort. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 96, 164–174.
- Davis, G.K., and Mertz, W. (1987). Copper. In *Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition*; Mertz, W., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL.
- Díaz, R.V., Aldape, J., and Flores, M. (2002). Identification of airborne particulate sources, of samples collected in Ticomán, Mexico, using PIXE and multivariate analysis. *Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res, B Beam Interact Mater Atoms* 189, 249–253.
- El-Sayed, M.M., Mahmoud, M.A-A., ElNahas, H.A-K., El-Toumy, S.A-H., El-Wakil, E.A., and Ghareeb, M.A. (2010). Bio-guided isolation and structure elucidation of antioxidant compounds from the leaves of *Ficus sycomorus*. *Pharmacologyonline* 3, 317-332.

- Endalamaw, F.D., and Chandravanshi B.S. (2015). Levels of major and trace elements in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgari* Mill.) fruits cultivated in Ethiopia. SpringerPlus, 4:5.  
Doi:10.1186/2193-1801-4-5.
- Food and Nutrition Board (FNB). (2011). Dietary reference intakes: Tolerable upper intake levels and recommended dietary allowance of elements. Institute of Medicine. National Academies.
- Golovatyj, S.E, and Bogatyreva, E.N. (1999). Effect of levels of chromium content in a soil on its distribution in organs of corn plants. *In* Soil Research and Use of Fertilisers; Bodevich, I.M.' Lapa, V.V., Levitan, T.V.' Eds.; BRISSA, Minsk, Belarus.
- Itanna, F. (2002). Metals in leafy vegetables grown in Adis Ababa and toxicological implications. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development* 16, 295–302.
- Liang, J., Wang, Q.Q., and Huang, B.L. (2004). Concentration of hazardous heavy metals in environmental samples collected in Xiaman, China as determined by vapor generation non-dispersive atomic fluorescence spectrometry. *Analytical. Sciences* 20, 85-88.
- Mabogo, D.E.N. (1990). The Ethnobotany of the Vhavenda. M.Sc. Thesis. University of Pretoria.
- Mahlangeni, N.T., Moodley, R., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2016). The distribution of macronutrients, anti-nutrients and essential elements in nettles, *Laportea peduncularis* susp. *peduncularis* (River nettle) and *Urtica dioica* (Stinging nettle). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B* 51, 160–169.
- Mayosi, B.M., Flisher, A.J., Lalloo, U.G., Sitas, F., Tollman, S.M., and Bradshaw, D. (2009). The burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa. *Lancet* 374, 934–947.
- Netshiungani, E.N. (1981). Notes on the uses of indigenous trees in Venda. *Journal of Dendrology* 1, 12-17.

- Ogunlaja, O.O., Moodley, R., Baijnath, H., and Jonnalagadda, S.B. (2017). Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *Ficus sur* (Moraceae). *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, part B* 52, 84-91.
- Ramde-TIendrebeogo, A., Tibiri, A., Hilou, A., Lompo, M., Millogo-Kone, H., Nacoulma, O.G., and Guissou, I.P. (2012). Antioxidative and antibacterial activities of phenolic compounds from *Ficus sur* Forssk and *Ficus sycomorus* L. (Moraceae): potential for sickle cell disease treatment in Burkina Faso. *International Journal Biological and Chemical Sciences* 6, 328-336.
- Romeh, A.A. (2013). Phytochemicals from *Ficus sycomorus* L. leaves act as insecticides and acaricides. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 8, 3571-3579.
- Sharma, K.R., Agrawal, M., and Marshall, M.F. (2009). Heavy metals in vegetables collected from production and market sites of a tropical urban area of India. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 47, 583-591.
- Streppel, M.T., Ocke, M.C., Boshuizen, H.C., Kok, F.J., and Kromhout, D. (2008). Dietary fibre intake in relation to coronary heart disease and all-cause mortality over 40 y: the Zutphen Study. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 88, 1119–11125.
- Walkley, A., and Black, I.A. (1934). An Examination of the Degjareff Method for Determining SOM and a Proposed Modification of the Chromic Acid Titration Method. *Soil Science* 37, 29–38.
- Water Research Commission (WRC), (1997). Permissible Utilisation and Disposal of sewage sludge. 1<sup>st</sup> Edition TT85-97. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- Willet, W.C. (1994). Diet and health: what should we eat? *Science*, 254, 532 -535.

- Wilson, A.L., and Downs, C.T. (2012). Fruit nutritional composition and non-nutritive traits of indigenous South African tree species. *South African Journal of Botany* 78, 30–36.
- Wilson, B., and Pyatt, F.B. (2007). Heavy metal dispersion, persistence, and bioaccumulation around an ancient copper mine situated in Anglesey. UK. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 66, 224-231.
- World Health Organisation (WHO), (1992). Cadmium. *Environmental Health Criteria*. Vol. 134, Geneva.
- World Health Organisation (WHO), (2005). *Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials*, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.

## CHAPTER 9

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

#### 9.1 Summary

This work focused on three *Ficus* (Moraceae) species which are indigenous to South Africa and produce edible fruits namely *Ficus burtt-davyi* Hutchinson, *Ficus sur* Forssk and *Ficus sycomorus* Linn. For many centuries, indigenous communities in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa have used their edible fruits for food, however, other parts such as leaves, bark, and roots are utilised as medicine, in the management, treatment and control of different human diseases such as sore throat, diarrhoea, anaemia, infertility, chest infections, coughs, respiratory and liver problems, ring worms, toothache, scrofula, pulmonary tuberculosis, influenza, skin diseases, dysentery as well as sexually transmitted diseases. Numerous studies have shown that *Ficus* species are a good source of bioactive compounds and essential nutrients. Previous studies on the *Ficus* genus also indicated moderate to good antioxidant and cytotoxic activities, but information on the nutritional and medicinal potential of some *Ficus* species found in KwaZulu-Natal is still lacking. This study described the phytochemistry and biological activities of *F. burtt-davyi* and *F. sur*. In addition, it focused on the proximate chemical composition (carbohydrate, protein, lipid, crude fibre and ash content), as well as the elemental distribution in the edible fruits of *F. burtt-davyi*, *F. sur* and *F. sycomorus* in order to assess for potential toxicities. This was done by the determination of the elemental concentrations in corresponding growth soil, sampled from eight different sites in KwaZulu-Natal. The nutritional value of the three edibles figs was also determined by comparing their elemental concentrations to recommended dietary allowances (RDAs).

## 9.2 Findings from *F. burtt-davyi* Hutchinson

Previously, *F. burtt-davyi* was only reported in the treatment and management of stomach-aches. A phytochemical investigation of the leaves, fruits and stem bark resulted in the isolation of six triterpenes (lupeol, lupeol acetate,  $\alpha$ -amyrin,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, stigmasterol and campesterol), one carotenoid (lutein), a phaeophytin (phaeophytin **a**) and one flavonoid (+)-catechin. The compound, (+)-catechin demonstrated antioxidant as well as cytotoxic activities. Phaeophytin **a** was previously shown to possess activity against the hepatitis C virus as well as antioxidant, antibacterial and anticancer activities. Lutein has also been documented to exhibit moderate antibacterial activity. The data from this study suggests that *F. burtt-davyi* possessed moderate to good anti-oxidative activity and can be used in alternative medicine for oxidative stress related non-communicable chronic diseases. The phytochemical, antioxidant activity and cytotoxic results from this study also indicated that (+)-catechin and lupeol, the most abundant bioactive compounds in the stem bark are responsible for the synergistic cytotoxic effect of this extract against breast and colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines.

The elemental distribution of essential elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of  $\text{Ca} > \text{Mg} > \text{Fe} > \text{Mn} > \text{Zn} > \text{Cu}$ . The fruits were found to be rich in Mn which make them a cheaper alternative to Mn supplements for boosting the immune system. The result of the proximate composition indicated that the fruits are rich in carbohydrates and low in fat content. This study shows that consumption of the fruits can contribute positively towards a balanced diet, and hence ensure food and health safety. This study showed that the edible fruits and stem bark are rich sources of triterpenes. It also demonstrated the immune boosting properties of these bioactive principles from the edible fruits and stem bark, which are especially important in rural

areas of South Africa where immune system enhancing drugs are very expensive, and generally beyond the purchasing power of most people with burden of high incidences of HIV and hepatitis.

### **9.3 Findings from *F. sur* Forssk**

Our study revealed the leaves to contain a dark green amorphous pigment, phaeophytin **a**. In addition, two triterpenoids ( $\beta$ -sitosterol and lupeol) were isolated from the leaves and fruit, respectively. These compounds have been reported to show anti-inflammatory activity, as well as cholesterol-lowering effects. The fruit also afforded a strong antioxidant flavonoid, epicatechin, which has been shown to have cardio-protective benefits. Data from the antioxidant study of the crude extracts and compounds showed that *F.sur* can be used in traditional medicine, as an immune system enhancing alternative, for the improvement of the immunity of HIV and hepatitis patients in South Africa. Epicatechin exhibited a significantly stronger antioxidant activity than the known antioxidant, ascorbic acid.

The elemental investigation showed that the fruits conform to the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) for most elements investigated, and when eaten, may considerably improve the diet. Consumption of the fruits may lead to significant beneficial increase in Se, an essential trace element, known for its strong antioxidant and immune boosting activities which can in turn help to prevent chronic non-communicable diseases and boost the immune system of patients suffering from diseases such as HIV which is predominant in rural communities in South Africa. The results showed that fruits tended to exclude toxic metals such as As, Cd, Co and Pb and accumulated essential macro elements such as Ca and Mg. Data from this study indicates that the

fruits can serve as an immediate and alternative source of energy due to high level of carbohydrates.

This study shows that the consumption of the fruits of *F. sur* which are freely and readily available can help to prevent chronic non-communicable diseases, improve the diet as well as the health of rural communities in South Africa for most essential nutrients without posing the risk of adverse health effects.

#### **9.4 Findings from *F. sycomorus* Linn**

Proximate chemical composition showed *F. sycomorus* to be rich in fibre. The concentrations of elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of Ca > Mg > Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Cr and toxic metals (As, Cd, Co and Pb) were below the instrument detection limit indicating that the intake of the can beneficially improve the health and nutritional needs of indigenous communities in South Africa. The Principal component (PCA) and Hierarchical cluster analyses (CA) showed that Cd, Co, Cr, Ni and Pb in the soil came from the same source whilst As, Cu and Zn have a common origin. Data from this study showed that elemental availability was dependant on the metal interactions in soil, but uptake was considerably controlled by the plant.

#### **9.5 Overall conclusion**

In general, we have successfully carried out the phytochemical investigation of some *Ficus* species and some of the isolated compounds exhibited antioxidant and cytotoxicity activities which supported their use in traditional medicine in South Africa. This study also showed that the edible

fruits are rich in phytochemicals with immune boosting properties, which are needed by most indigenous communities in South Africa. In addition, elemental analysis showed that the consumption of the fruits (*F. burtt-davyi*, *F. sur* and *F. sycomorus*) can to a great extent improve the health and nutrition of humans for the elements investigated. Data from this study lends scientific credence and validates the ethnomedicinal use of the plants. It also gives insight into the nutritional and medicinal benefits of consuming the indigenous edible fruits.

## **9.6 Recommendation and further work**

In vitro activity was carried out in all the bioassays undertaken in this work. *In vivo* experiments need to be carried out on the bioactive extracts and compounds to further determine whether or not they have the potential to be developed into drugs.

Although the MTT assay used for cytotoxicity evaluation is fast and reliable, it does not differentiate whether these compounds kill cells or merely inhibit their growth. The mode of action of these compounds could be determined by clonogenic assays.

Isolation and identification of the phytochemicals in the other parts such as roots and twigs of the investigated plants is also recommended.


**Phytochemical, Elemental and Biological studies of three *Ficus*  
Species (Moraceae) found in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa**

**Appendix 1**


**(Supporting information includes NMR, IR, UV, and MS spectra)**

**OLUMUYIWA OLUFISAYO OGUNLAJA**


**2017**




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of sitosterol A-1




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of sitosterol A-1




DEPT spectrum of sitosterol A-1




COSY spectrum of sitosterol A-1




NOESY spectrum of sitosterol A-1




HSQC spectrum of sitosterol A-1



HMBC spectrum of sitosterol A-1



IR spectrum of sitosterol A-1



UV spectrum of sitosterol A-1


Spectrum

Line#1 R\_Time:24.600(Scan#4321)


MassPeak:524

RawMode:Averaged 24.595-24.605(4320-4322) BasePeak:414(39761)


BG Mode:Calc. from Peak Group 1 - Event 1 Scan




Mass spectrum of sitosterol A-1




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of campesterol A-2




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of campesterol A-2




Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of campesterol A-2




DEPT spectrum of campesterol A-2



Expanded DEPT spectrum of campesterol A-2




IR spectrum of campesterol A-2




UV spectrum of campesterol A-2


Library Searched : C:\Database\NIST05a.L  
Quality : 99  
ID : Campesterol




Mass spectrum of campesterol A-2




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




DEPT spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




Expanded DEPT spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




IR spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




UV spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




Mass spectrum of stigmasterol A-3




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




Expanded <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of phaeophytin a-4




Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




DEPT spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




Expanded DEPT spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




Expanded DEPT spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




COSY spectrum of phaeophytin a-A-4




NOESY spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4



HSQC spectrum of phaeophytin a-A-4



HMBC spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4



IR spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4

## Elemental Composition Report

### Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions



13 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 20 closest results for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 50-55 H: 70-75 N: 0-5 O: 0-5 Na: 0-1

05-3-B-29-42 53 (1.755) Cm (1:61)

TOF MS ES+



Minimum:

-1.5


Maximum:

5.0 5.0 100.0


| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) | Formula |
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|

|          |          |      |      |      |       |     |                  |
|----------|----------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------------------|
| 893.5530 | 893.5557 | -2.7 | -3.0 | 20.5 | 361.3 | 0.0 | C55 H74 N4 O5 Na |
|----------|----------|------|------|------|-------|-----|------------------|


Mass spectrum of phaeophytin a A-4




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of lutein A-5




$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of lutein A-5




DEPT spectrum of lutein A-5




Expanded DEPT spectrum of lutein A-5




COSY spectrum of lutein A-5




NOESY spectrum of lutein A-5




HSQC spectrum of lutein A-5



Expanded HSQC spectrum of lutein A-5



HMBC spectrum of lutein A-5




IR spectrum of lutein A-5


Peak Table  
Peak Style  
Peak Threshold  
Range


Maximum Peak  
0.0100  
500.00nm to 190.00nm

| Wavelength (nm) | Abs    |
|-----------------|--------|
| 454.00          | 0.2372 |




UV spectrum of lutein A-5







<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6




Expanded  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6




Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6




DEPT NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6



Expanded DEPT NMR spectrum of lupeol A-6




COSY spectrum of lupeol A-6




NOESY spectrum of lupeol A-6







Expanded HSQC spectrum of lupeol A-6



Expanded HMBC spectrum of lupeol A-6



IR spectrum of lupeol A-6



UV spectrum of lupeol A-6

## Elemental Composition Report

### Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions



8 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 20 closest results for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 20-35 H: 40-55 O: 0-5 Na: 1-1

05-8-17-18 31 (0.512) Cm (1:60)

TOF MS ES+



9.72e+003

Minimum:


Maximum:

5.0 5.0 -1.5  
100.0


| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) | Formula |
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|

|          |          |      |      |     |       |     |              |
|----------|----------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|
| 449.3756 | 449.3759 | -0.3 | -0.7 | 5.5 | 462.3 | 0.0 | C30 H50 O Na |
|----------|----------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|--------------|


Mass spectrum of lupeol A-6




<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




Expanded <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




Expanded <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




DEPT NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




Expanded DEPT NMR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




COSY spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




NOESY spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




HSQC spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7



Expanded HMBC spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




IR spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




UV spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7


File : C:\MSDCHEM\1\DATA\VICTORIA\GV20\_(FR51-55) 2\_ \_24092012.D  
Operator : VICTORIA  
Acquired : 24 Sep 2012 20:29 using AcqMethod NATPRODUCTS MANUAL  
Instrument : 5973N  
Sample Name :  
Misc Info :  
Vial Number: 1




Mass spectrum of lupeol acetate A-7




$^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of catechin A-8




$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of (+)-catechin A-8




DEPT spectrum of (+)-catechin A-8




COSY spectrum of (+)-catechin A-8






HSQC spectrum of (+)-catechin A-8



HMBC spectrum of (+)-catechin A-8

# IR Assistant Report

Time: 03:35 PM South Africa 2015-06-11 12:26





Analyst: Analyst

Description:

Spectrum Pathname: C:\pel\_data\spectra\MO 28 11-13.002

IR spectrum of catehin A-8





UV spectrum of catehin A-8

## Elemental Composition Report

### Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions



6 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 20 closest results for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 10-15 H: 10-15 O: 5-10 Na: 0-1

02-2-91 28 (0.911) Cm (1.61)

TOF MS ES+



Minimum:

-1.5

Maximum:

5.0


5.0

100.0


| Mass | Calc. Mass | mDa | PPM | DBE | i-FIT | i-FIT (Norm) | Formula |
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|
|------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------------|---------|

|          |          |      |      |     |       |     |               |
|----------|----------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|---------------|
| 313.0685 | 313.0688 | -0.3 | -1.0 | 8.5 | 617.3 | 0.0 | C15 H14 O6 Na |
|----------|----------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|---------------|


Mass spectrum of catechin A-8





<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of α-amyrin A-9








COSY spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




NOESY spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




HSQC spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9







HMBC spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




Expanded HMBC spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




IR spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




UV spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




Mass spectrum of  $\alpha$ -amyrin A-9




$^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of epicatechin B-1




$^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectrum of epicatechin B-1




DEPT spectrum of epicatechin B-1




COSY spectrum of epicatechin B-1




NOESY spectrum of epicatechin B-1




HSQC spectrum of epicatechin B-1



HMBC spectrum of epicatechin B-1



IR spectrum of epicatechin B-1



UV spectrum of epicatechin B-1

## Display Report - Selected Window Selected

Analysis Name SV59FR71790000

Instrume LC-MSD-Trap-VL

Print Da 2014/09/03:34:38

Method: FIA3D


Operator: Operator

Acq. Date 2/06/2014

Sample Na Default

04:12:07 PM

Analysis Info no column BLANK NO INJECTION



Mass spectrum of epicatechin B-1

**Phytochemical, Elemental and Biological studies of three *Ficus*  
Species (Moraceae) found in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa**

**Appendix 2**

**(Published articles in internationally recognised peer- reviewed journals)**

**OLUMUYIWA OLUFISAYO OGUNLAJA**

**2017**



## CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS AND *IN VITRO* ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF CRUDE EXTRACTS AND COMPOUNDS FROM LEAVES AND STEM BARK OF *FICUS BURTT-DAVYI*

OLUMUYIWA O. OGUNLAJA<sup>1</sup>, ROSHILA MOODLEY<sup>1</sup>, HIMANSU BAIJNATH<sup>2</sup>  
and SREEKANTHA B. JONNALAGADDA<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Chemistry and Physics, <sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal,  
Private Bag X54001, Durban, 4000, South Africa

**Abstract:** *Ficus burtt-davyi*, (Moraceae), is an endemic species of South Africa. In this study, a phytochemical analysis of the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* resulted in the isolation of five triterpenes (lupeol, lupeol acetate,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, stigmasterol and campesterol), one carotenoid (lutein), a pheophytin (phytyl-7-ethyl-25-(methoxycarbonyl)-3,8,13,17-tetramethyl-26-oxo-12-vinyl-17,18-dihydro-2,20-ethanoporphyrin-18-propanoate or pheophytin **a**) and one flavonoid (+)-catechin). The *in vitro* antioxidant study of the methanol extracts of leaves and stem bark, (+)-catechin and pheophytin **a** using the 1,1-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging assay, ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) assay showed significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) antioxidant activity for the methanol extract of the stem bark than the leaves, with IC<sub>50</sub> values (in  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) of  $58.28 \pm 5.05$  for DPPH,  $46.09 \pm 0.06$  for FRAP and  $151.03 \pm 1.60$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The results suggest that the plant can be used as a therapeutic agent in alternative medicine for oxidative stress related degenerative diseases.

**Keywords:** pheophytin, triterpenes, flavonoids, antioxidants

With over 850 species, *Ficus*, of the plant family Moraceae, is one of the oldest, most successful, but understudied genera in modern pharmacognosy and has extensive distribution of secondary metabolites such as triterpenoids, phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, coumarins and sterols (1-4). *Ficus*, within their vasculatures, possess and secrete a latex-like material, affording protection from harm and providing self-healing from physical injuries (5). For many centuries, animals and humans have depended on its fruit as a source of nourishment while other plant parts such as the leaves, bark, and roots has been utilized for medicinal purposes. The latex from *Ficus* species have been investigated for their anti-cancer activity as far back as the 1940's (5) and extracts have demonstrated enhanced intracellular accumulation of daunomycin in K562/R7 leukemic cells as well as cytotoxic effects on the growth of multi-drug resistant human sarcoma MES-SA/Dx5 cells (5). Thus, the extracts play an adjunctive role in multiple cancer prevention and cancer chemotherapy (5, 6). The antioxidant potential of the plant has also been reported where the extracts have been

shown to decrease lipid peroxidation and increase antioxidant enzymes (7). Although the medicinal benefits of *Ficus* in humans are based on historical and anecdotal reports, with few modern clinical trials, ethno-medicinal uses suggest anti-neoplastic and anti-inflammatory actions (5).

*Ficus burtt-davyi*, known as Uluzi by the Zulu people in KwaZulu-Natal, is highly adaptable to a wide variety of habitats and has even been known to grow on larger trees (epiphytic) as a strangler fig, as well as on rocks (epilithic) where the roots are able to split the rocks in their search for nutrients (8). The fruits of the plant are edible and also used as a laxative by the locals in the Eastern Cape (8). To date, no information has been reported on the chemical composition and antioxidant activity of this plant. In this study, we report on the isolation and identification of compounds from the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi*. In addition, we report on the antioxidant activity of the methanol (MeOH) extracts of the leaves, stem bark and selected isolated compounds using a multi-method approach due to the complexity of the mechanisms of antioxidant activity (9).

\* Corresponding author: e-mail: jonnalagaddas@ukzn.ac.za

## EXPERIMENTAL

### General experimental procedures

The  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$  and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance<sup>III</sup> 400 MHz spectrometer at 400.22 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$  and 100.63 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$ . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and coupling constants ( $J$ ) in Hz. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  chemical shifts of the deuterated chloroform ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) were 7.24 and 77.0, respectively, referenced to the internal standard, tetramethylsilane (TMS). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using a Perkin-Elmer Universal ATR spectrometer. UV spectra were obtained on a Hewlett Packard UV-3600 spectrophotometer. Column chromatography (CC) was performed with Merck silica gel 60, (0.040–0.063 mm). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck 20  $\times$  20 cm silica gel 60,  $F_{254}$  aluminum sheets. The spots were analyzed under UV (254 nm and 366 nm), visualized using 10%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in MeOH followed by heating. Solvents (analytical grade) and other chemicals used were supplied by either Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma (St. Louis, USA) chemical companies.

### Plant material

The leaves and bark from *F. burtt-davyi* were collected on the Westville Campus of the University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN), Durban, South Africa, in June 2013. The plant was authenticated by Prof. Himansu Baijnath and a voucher specimen (Ogunlaja, O1) has been deposited in the Herbarium of the School of Life Sciences, UKZN, Westville. The stem bark and leaves of the plant were dried and ground using a mini-industrial grinder (Wiley Mill).

### Extraction, fractionation and isolation

The air-dried, powdered leaves (970 g) of *F. burtt-davyi* were sequentially exhaustively extracted with (4 L  $\times$  2) of n-hexane, dichloromethane (DCM), ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and MeOH for 24 h using an orbital shaker. The extracts were concentrated by evaporation under vacuum at controlled temperatures to obtain crude extracts of n-hexane (24.22 g), DCM (15.64 g), EtOAc (7.76 g) and MeOH (52.98 g). The n-hexane and DCM crude extracts of leaves were combined due to similar TLC profiles (similar  $R_f$  values). This combined extract (38.00 g) was subjected to column chromatography (CC) using a gradient elution system of n-hexane : EtOAc starting with 100% n-hexane until 100% EtOAc was reached through 10% increments of EtOAc, collecting 100 mL fractions at each stage. Six main fractions (I–VI) were obtained and fraction

II yielded compound **1** (105 mg), which was eluted with n-hexane: EtOAc (8 : 2, v/v). Fraction III (1.23 g) was purified further to give compound **2** (18.6 mg) and compound **3** (20.1 mg), respectively. The EtOAc extract of the leaves (7.0 g) was separated using CC in a similar manner to yield seven major fractions (I–VII) based on similar TLC profiles. Fraction II and III afforded compound **4** (30.5 mg) and compound **5** (10.5 mg), respectively.

The n-hexane and DCM crude extracts of the stem bark were combined due to similar TLC profiles (similar  $R_f$  values). This extract (24.35 g) was subjected to CC in a similar manner to that of the leaves to yield nine major fractions based on TLC profiles. Fractions II and III gave compound **6** (4.5 g) and compound **7** (4 g), respectively, which were eluted with 100% DCM and recrystallized in MeOH. The MeOH extract from the stem bark was partitioned with EtOAc and the EtOAc fraction was concentrated to yield 4 g of extract. This was separated on a 1.5 cm diameter column using CC and a gradient of n-hexane: EtOAc (8 : 2, v/v) to 100% EtOAc (10% increments of EtOAc, 20 mL fractions) to afford compound **8** (23 mg).

### Phenolic content and *in vitro* antioxidant assays

#### Estimation of total phenolic content (TPC)

The total polyphenol content (TPC) of the extracts from *F. burtt-davyi* was determined as gallic acid equivalent (GAE) according to the method described by McDonald et al. (10) with slight modifications. Briefly, 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of the extract (240  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) was incubated with 1 mL of ten-fold diluted Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and 800  $\mu\text{L}$  of 0.7 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance values were determined at 765 nm on a Shimadzu UV mini 1240 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). All measurements were done in triplicate.

#### DPPH free radical-scavenging activity assay

The capacity to scavenge the ‘‘stable’’ free radical 1,1-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) was monitored according to the procedure described by Ahmad et al. (11) with some modifications. Various concentrations (7.5–500  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) of extracts and isolated compounds (3000  $\mu\text{L}$ ) made from a stock solution (10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ ) were mixed with 2850  $\mu\text{L}$  MeOH solution containing DPPH radicals. The mixture was then vortexed, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The scavenging activity was evidenced by a change in color from purple to yellow, which was further measured by the decrease in absorbance at 517 nm using a Shimadzu UV–Vis spectrophoto-

tometer. Ascorbic acid was used as the standard while MeOH served as the blank. The assays were done in triplicate. The difference in absorbance between a test sample and the control (DPPH + MeOH) was expressed as percentage inhibition.

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = [(A_o - A_{\text{sample}}) / A_o] \times 100]$$

where,  $A_o$  (control) = Absorbance of DPPH + methanol.

The  $IC_{50}$  value which is the inhibitory concentration in  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  of samples, or standard, necessary to reduce the initial DPPH by 50% as compared to the negative control was determined graphically by plotting the absorbance of DPPH as a function of sample concentration in  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for the standard and samples.

#### **Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay**

The reducing power of the extracts and compounds were determined according to the method of Oyaizu (1986) as described by Behera et al. (12) with some modifications. Various concentrations (7.5-500)  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  were mixed with 2.5 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL of 0.1% potassium ferricyanide and the mixture was incubated at 50°C for 30 min. After the addition of 2.5 mL of 10% TCA, the mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The upper layer (2.5 mL) was mixed with 2.5 mL of distilled water and 0.5 mL of 0.1% ferric chloride, and the absorbance was measured at 700 nm. The methanolic extract without reagents was used as a negative control while ascorbic acid with the same concentrations was used as positive controls.

#### **Hydrogen peroxide-scavenging activity assay**

The ability of the extracts to scavenge hydrogen peroxide was determined according to the method of Ruch et al. (13). A solution of hydrogen peroxide (2 mM) was prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Samples of various concentrations (7.5-500  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) were transferred into the test tubes, and their volumes were made up to 0.4 mL with 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). After addition of 0.6 mL hydrogen peroxide solution, tubes were vortexed and absorbance of the hydrogen peroxide at 230 nm was determined after 10 min, against a blank. Phosphate buffer (50 mM) without hydrogen peroxide was used as a blank and ascorbic acid was used as the positive control. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging ability was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity} = (1 - \text{absorbance of sample/absorbance of control}) \times 100$$

#### **Statistical analyses**

The experimental results were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of three replicates and  $IC_{50}$  values were calculated by linear regression. The data were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine significant differences between means ( $p < 0.05$ ) Tukey's test was used for *post-hoc* analyses. All the statistical tests were performed using Graphpad prism 6.0.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Structure elucidation of compounds from *F. burtt-davyi***

Compound **1**, **2** and **3** were identified by using spectral data (IR, UV, GC-MS,  $^1\text{H-NMR}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  and 2D NMR) and by comparison of the NMR data with those reported in the literature. Compound **1** was identified as sitosterol (14), compound **2** as campesterol (15) and compound **3** as stigmasterol (14).

Compound **4** was isolated as a dark green amorphous solid. The mass spectrum of compound **4** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave  $m/z$  value at 893.5530 corresponding to the molecular formula ( $\text{C}_{55}\text{H}_{74}\text{N}_4\text{O}_5$ )  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ , (calc.  $\text{C}_{55}\text{H}_{74}\text{N}_4\text{O}_5$  Na 894.1999). The IR spectrum of compound **4** showed absorption bands at 2922, 3388, 1618 and 1376  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponding to  $\text{C}(\text{sp}^3)$ , NH,  $\text{CH}=\text{CH}$  (vinyl group) and CN, respectively. The UV spectrum of compound **4** showed absorption bands at 414 and 669 nm. The data are consistent with the presence of a porphyrin nucleus. The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of compound **4** showed characteristic peaks for chlorophyll derivatives. The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum showed an upfield shift at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  -1.70 ppm (the NH proton from the pyrrole ring), a resonance at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.1–7.9 ppm (vinyl group), meso-proton signals downfield at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  9.47 (H-10), 9.33 (H-5) and  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  8.53 (H-20) ppm and  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.28 (dd,  $J = 1.6, 17.5$  Hz, H-3a),  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.16 (dd,  $J = 1.5, 11.55$  Hz, H-3b) ppm for a mono-substituted vinyl group corresponding to the structure of pheophytin **a**. The characteristic phytin side chain resonances at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  1.60 (CH), 1.25 ( $\text{CH}_2$ ) and 0.97 ( $\text{CH}_3$ ) ppm were also observed. The  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ , DEPT 90 and DEPT 135 spectra resolved fifty five carbon resonances corresponding to eleven methyl, fourteen methylene, eleven methine and twenty-one quaternary carbons signals. These chemical shifts were in accordance with those reported in the literature (16), thus, compound **4** was established as phytyl-7-ethyl-25-(methoxycarbonyl)-3,8,13,17-tetramethyl-26-oxo-12-vinyl-17,18-dihydro-2,20-ethanoporphyrin-18-propanoate (pheophytin **a**).

Compound **5** was obtained as a yellow colored compound and GC-MS data showed molecular ion peak  $[M^+]$  at  $m/z$  568.9 which corresponds to the molecular formula  $C_{40}H_{56}O_2$ . The UV spectrum of compound **5** showed absorption maxima at 454, 480 and 430 nm. The  $^1H$ -NMR spectrum showed resonance in the olefinic region between  $\delta_H$  5.09-6.62 ppm, methylene resonances of cyclohexene between

$\delta_H$  1.33-1.44 ppm with a strong OH resonance at  $\delta_H$  4.23 ppm. Based on spectral data and those reported in the literature, compound **5** was identified as lutein.

Compound **6** was isolated as a white solid. The IR spectrum exhibited characteristic absorption frequencies at 3326, 878 and 1637  $cm^{-1}$  typical of the O-H, unsaturated out of plane C-H and C=C bond




Table 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) and extraction yield (%; mg extract per g sample  $\times$  100) of *F. burtt-davyi* leaves and stem bark extracts.

| Extracts  | Yield (%)         | TPC (mg/g GAE) *              |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Leaves    |                   |                               |
| EtOAc     | 0.51 <sup>c</sup> | 0.43 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>c</sup>  |
| MeOH      | 3.05 <sup>b</sup> | 1.14 $\pm$ 0.12 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Stem bark |                   |                               |
| EtOAc     | 0.80 <sup>c</sup> | 1.05 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>bc</sup> |
| MeOH      | 5.46 <sup>a</sup> | 5.90 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>a</sup>  |

Data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3). \*GAE (gallic acid equivalent). Values with different superscript letters along a column are significantly different from each other by Tukey's HSD multiple post *hoc test*. (p < 0.05).

vibrations, respectively. The absorptions bands observed at 1379 and 1452  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  were due to the distortion vibrations of  $\text{CH}_3$  groups and methylenic vibrations, respectively. The mass spectrum of compound **6** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave *m/z* value at 449.3756 corresponding to the molecular formula ( $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{50}\text{O}$ )  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ , (calc.  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{50}\text{O Na}$  449.7174). The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum for compound **6** revealed the presence of seven tertiary methyl singlets protons at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  0.73, 0.76, 0.80, 0.92, 0.94, 1.00 and 1.65 ppm; a multiplet at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  3.18 ppm (H-3), and characteristic olefinic protons at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.66 (H-29 a) and 4.54 (H-29 b) ppm and a sextet at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  2.39 ppm (19 $\beta$ -H). The DEPT 90 and 135 experiments resolved ten methylene, five methine and five quaternary carbons. Based on spectral information and those reported in the literature, compound **6** was identified as lupeol. The presence of lupeol in *F. burtt-davyi* was not reported before the current study.

Compound **7** was isolated as white crystalline needles. EIMS for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{52}\text{O}_2$  *m/z* (rel. int.): 468  $[\text{M}^+]$  (17.2%), 453 (2.9%), 425 (1.5%), 408 (1.7%), 365 (3.9%), 189 (75.4%), 109 (73.1%), 43 (100%). The diagnostic peaks occurred at *m/z* 408  $[\text{M-AcOH}]$ , 249  $[\text{M-C}_{16}\text{H}_{27}]$  and 189  $[\text{249-AcOH}]$ . The IR spectrum of compound **7** exhibited characteristic absorption frequency at 1732  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C=O), 3073  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (exomethylene group), 2939  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C-H) and 1244  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (C-O). The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of compound **7** showed eight methyl resonances, seven of them between  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  0.76-1.66 ppm. The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of compound **7** was similar to compound **6** with addition of an acetate methyl resonance at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  2.01 ppm (H-32) and the proton resonance at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.46 ppm (H-3) which is further downfield due to presence of the acetoxy group. Based on spectral information and in comparison with that in the literature (17) compound **7** was identified as lupeol acetate. Lupeol

acetate has never been reported isolated before from *F. burtt-davyi*.

Compound **8** was isolated as a light brown powder. The mass spectrum of compound **8** obtained by HR-ESI-MS (positive mode) gave *m/z* value at 313.0685 corresponding to the molecular formula ( $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6$ )  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ , (calc.  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_6 \text{Na}$  313.2596). The IR spectrum showed characteristic absorption bands for the O-H group (3215  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), C=C group (1623  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), and C-O group (1145-1019  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of compound **8** showed characteristic resonances for flavonoids at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.85 (H-2'), 6.76 (H-5') and 6.74 (H-6') ppm from the B-ring catechol moiety as well as at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  5.94 (H-6) and 5.87 (H-8) ppm from the meta-coupled protons of the A-ring resorcinol moiety. The proton resonances at  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.59 (H-2), 3.99 (H-3), 2.87 (H-4) and 2.53 (H-4) ppm were used to establish a flavanol skeleton for compound **8**. The  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  spectrum showed a diagnostic peak at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  82.8 (C-2) ppm as well as a strong H-2/H-3 correlation from the COSY experiment, thus confirming the structure of compound **8** to be (+)-catechin (18). Catechin and its analogues have been shown to possess significant bioactivity, such as anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, anti-mutation, antioxidant and anti-aging activity (19). This is the first report of compounds **1-8** being reported in *F. burtt-davyi*.

#### Total phenolic content (TPC)

The extraction yields indicated that the stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* produced the highest amount of extract. Furthermore, the total phenolic content (TPC) of the MeOH extract of the stem bark was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than that from other extracts (Table 1). Based on this result, the MeOH extracts from the leaves and stem barks were selected for *in vitro* antioxidant study.

### DPPH free radical-scavenging activity assay

Most antioxidants possess proton radical scavenging activity which may be monitored by discoloration of the purple 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals to yellow DPPH in a dose-dependent pattern. For this study, the DPPH assay was used to detect the antioxidant activity of the extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* as shown in Figure 1. The results indicated that at lower concentrations ( $\leq 25 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ), the activity of the extracts (stem bark and leaves) and compounds were comparable but were significantly lower than that of ascorbic acid (AA).

At higher concentrations ( $\leq 125 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ), the activity of the MeOH extract from the bark, (+)-catechin and AA were not significantly different but higher than the other substances tested. The high activity of the MeOH extract of the bark could be attributed to the presence of (+)-catechin in the extract, thereby suggesting that the activity of an extract may be as a result of the presence of one bioactive compound, which, at lower concentra-

tions, may be diminished by the antagonistic effects of other compounds or the presence of other non-active compounds.

### Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay (FRAP assay)

Numerous studies have linked the electron donation capacity (reduction) of an antioxidant to its antioxidative activity (20). The presence of electron donating substances, such as antioxidant samples, causes the reduction of the  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ /ferricyanide complex to the ferrous form ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ). The total reducing power of the extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* were compared to AA (Fig. 2).

Similar to the results produced by the DPPH radical scavenging activity, the results obtained from the FRAP assay showed the reducing power of the MeOH extract from the stem bark, (+)-catechin and AA to be comparable and significantly higher than that of the MeOH extract from leaves and pheophytin a.

Table 2.  $\text{IC}_{50}$  of different extracts and compounds from *F. burtt-davyi* for various antioxidant assays.

| Extracts/compound  | DPPH* ( $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) | FRAP* ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) | $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ scavenging* ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| L(MeOH)            | $405.39 \pm 20.58^c$            | $420.95 \pm 8.46^c$        | $449.56 \pm 6.66^c$                                     |
| B(MeOH)            | $58.28 \pm 5.05^b$              | $46.09 \pm 0.06^b$         | $151.03 \pm 1.6^b$                                      |
| Pheophytin a       | $611.15 \pm 10.06^d$            | $777.80 \pm 1.60^d$        | $> 1000^d$  |
| (+)-Catechin       | $61.19 \pm 0.68^b$              | $46.89 \pm 0.33^b$         | $125.15 \pm 1.16^b$                                     |
| Ascorbic acid (AA) | $1.14 \pm 0.08^a$               | $39.32 \pm 0.017^a$        | $81.56 \pm 0.63^a$                                      |

Each value is represented as the mean  $\pm$  SD ( $n = 3$ ). <sup>a-d</sup> Means in the same column followed by a different letter are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). \*No significant difference between assays ( $p > 0.05$ ).




Figure 2. DPPH radical scavenging activity of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark (B), leaves (L), pheophytin a, (+)-catechin and ascorbic acid (AA). Different letters for a concentration indicate significantly different means (Tukey's *post hoc* comparisons,  $p < 0.05$ )




Figure 3. Reducing power of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark B (MeOH), leaves L (MeOH), isolated compounds (pheophytin a and (+)-catechin) and ascorbic acid (AA). Values represented as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3)




Figure 4. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of MeOH extracts of *F. burtt-davyi* stem bark B (MeOH), leaves L (MeOH), isolated compounds (pheophytin a and (+)-catechin) and ascorbic acid (AA). Values represented as the mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3)

#### Hydrogen peroxide-scavenging activity assay

Although hydrogen peroxide is a weak oxidizing agent, it can cross cell membranes rapidly and while inside the cell, form hydroxyl radicals which are primarily responsible for its toxic effects. Therefore, removal of the hydroxyl free radical is necessary to ensure a good health status. The scavenging effects of the extracts and isolated compounds in *F. burtt-davyi* were evaluated against this free radical (Fig. 3). The antioxidant activity of the extracts and compounds using hydrogen peroxide were similar to those produced by the DPPH and FRAP assays thereby confirming the activities of all the tested substances.

Table 2 shows the  $IC_{50}$  values for the different antioxidant assays. Although compounds **1-8** were tested for antioxidant activity, the  $IC_{50}$  values for sitosterol, campesterol, stigmaterol, lutein, lupeol

and lupeol acetate were extremely high (> 1000 µg/mL) for all assays, therefore, their results are not shown in Table 2. The results confirm that the MeOH extract and (+)-catechin have a significantly higher antioxidant activity ( $p < 0.05$ ), with comparable  $IC_{50}$  values for all three antioxidant assays. However, the  $IC_{50}$  value for the standard AA was significantly lower than the plant extracts and compounds. From the TPC and antioxidant activity results, it is clear that higher TPC indicates higher antioxidant activity. This is in agreement with previous reports (21, 22).

Thus, the present study has shown that the leaves and stem bark of *F. burtt-davyi* possess moderate to significantly good antioxidant activity and may contribute to the retardation of the inflammatory process mediated by reactive oxygen metabolites from phagocytic leukocytes that invade the tissues

and cause injury to essential cellular components (23). In addition, previous studies have shown that plant-derived sterols exhibit anti-carcinogenic effects on different types of cancers (24-27). *F. burtt-davyi* could therefore be said to possess anti-cancer potential having isolated several sterols from the stem bark.

## CONCLUSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the phytochemical constituents of *F. burtt-davyi*, resulting in the isolation of a carotenoid which has been documented to exhibit moderate antibacterial activity and pheophytin a with strong anti-HCV-NS3 protease activity with little cytotoxicity. The data from this study suggest that *F. burtt-davyi* possessed moderate to good antioxidative activity and can be used as a potential alternative medicine for oxidative stress related non-communicable chronic diseases.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the College of Agriculture, Engineering and Science, UKZN for support.

## REFERENCES

- Chiang Y-M., Kuo Y-H.: J. Org. Chem. 67: 7656-7661 (2002).
- Lee T.-H., Kuo Y.-C., Wang G.-J., Kuo Y.-H., Chang C.-I. et al.: J. Nat. Prod. 65, 1497 (2002).
- Chang M.-S., Yang Y.-C., Kuo Y.-C., Kuo Y.-H., Chang C. et al.: J. Nat. Prod. 68, 11 (2005).
- Lansky E.P., Paavilainen H.M.: Traditional Herbal Medicines for Modern Times: Figs, the Genus Ficus, pp. 13-293, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group LLC, New York 2011.
- Lansky E.P., Pavilainen H.M., Pawlus A.D., Newman R.A.: J. Ethnopharmacol. 119, 195 (2008).
- Simon P.N., Chaboud, A., Darbour N., Pietro A.D, Dumontet C. et al.: Anticancer Res. 21, 1023 (2001).
- Shukla R., Gupta S., Gambhir J.K., Prabhu K.M., Murthy P.S.: J. Ethnopharmacol. 92, 47 (2004).
- Burrows J., Burrows S.: Figs of Southern and South-Central Africa. pp. 192-196, Umdaus Press, Hatfield, South Africa 2003.
- Dejian H., Boxin O., Ronald L.P.: J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 1841 (2005).
- McDonald S., Prenzler P.D., Antolovich M., Robards K.: Food Chem. 73, 73 (2001).
- Ahmad R., Hashi H.M., Noor Z.M., Ismail N.H., Salim F., Lajis N.H.: Res. J. Med. Plant. 5, 587 (2011).
- Behera B.C., Verma N., Sonone A., Makhija U.: Food Sci. Technol. 39, 80 (2006).
- Ruch R.J., Cheng S.J., Klaunig J.E.: Carcinogenesis 10, 1003 (1989).
- Chaturvedula V.S.P., Prakash I.: Int. Curr. Pharm. J. 1, 239 (2012).
- Choi J.M., Lee E.O., Lee H.J., Kim K.H., Ahn K.S. et al.: Phytoter. Res. 21, 954 (2007).
- Wang S.Y., Tseng C.P., Tsai K.C., Lin C.F., Wen C.Y. et al.: Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 385, 230 (2009).
- Mahato S.B., Kundu A.P.: Phytochemistry 37, 1517 (1994).
- Es-Safi N., Guyot S., Ducrot P.: J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 6969 (2006).
- Liu C., Chen R.Y.: Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi 29, 1017 (2004).
- Siddhuraju P., Mohan P.S., Becker K.: Food Chem. 79, 61 (2002).
- Gorinstein S., Martin-Belloso O., Katrich E., Lojek A., Ciz M., Gligelmo-Miguel N.: J. Nutr. Biochem. 14, 154 (2003).
- Maisuthisakul P., Suttajit M., Pongsawatmanit R.: Food Chem. 100, 1409 (2007).
- Parfenov E.A., Zaikov G.E.: Biotic type antioxidants: The prospective search area for novel chemical drugs, VSP Books, Boston 2000.
- La Vecchia C., Ferraroni M., D'Avanzo B., Decarli A., Franceschi S.: Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 3, 393 (1994).
- Awad A.B., von Holtz R.L., Cone J.P., Fink C.S., Chen Y-C.: Anticancer Res. 18, 471 (1998).
- Von Holtz R.L., Fink C.S., Awad A.B.: Nutr. Cancer 32, 8 (1998).
- De Stefani E., Boffetta P., Ronco A.L., Brennan P., Deneo-Pellegrini H. et al.: Nutr. Cancer 37, 140 (2000).

Received: 24. 11. 2015



## Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *FicussurForssk* (Moraceae)

Olumuyiwa O. O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath & Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda

To cite this article: Olumuyiwa O. O. Ogunlaja, Roshila Moodley, Himansu Baijnath & Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda (2017) Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *FicussurForssk* (Moraceae), *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, 52:2, 84-91, DOI: [10.1080/03601234.2016.1239974](https://doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2016.1239974)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03601234.2016.1239974>



Published online: 24 Oct 2016.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 62



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=lesb20>

Download by: [UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL]

Date: 10 April 2017, At: 05:19

## Nutritional evaluation, bioaccumulation and toxicological assessment of heavy metals in edible fruits of *FicussurForssk* (Moraceae)

Olumuyiwa O. O. Ogunlaja<sup>a</sup>, Roshila Moodley<sup>a</sup>, Himansu Baijnath<sup>b</sup>, and Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa; <sup>b</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa

### ABSTRACT

*Ficussur* (Moraceae) is an indigenous medicinal plant with a wide distribution in Africa. In this study, the nutritional potential fruit of this indigenous plant to meet domestic food demands and reduce food insecurity in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, was investigated. The proximate composition and concentrations of metals in the edible fruits collected from eight different sites in KwaZulu-Natal were determined to assess for nutritional value and the concentrations of metals in the growth soil was determined to evaluate the impact of soil quality on elemental uptake. The fruits contained high levels of moisture (88.8%) and carbohydrates (65.6%). The concentrations of elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of Ca > Mg > Fe > Zn > Cu > Mn > Se with low levels of toxic metals (As, Cd, Co and Pb). This study shows that the consumption of the fruits of *F. sur* can contribute positively to the nutritional needs of rural communities in South Africa for most essential nutrients without posing the risk of adverse health effects.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 13 April 2016  
Accepted 25 August 2016

### KEYWORDS

Elemental distribution;  
nutrition; toxicity; soil quality

### Introduction

Undernutrition is a common health problem amongst rural South Africans, and its effects on growth and development has detrimental outcomes, the most serious one being its ability to potentiate the development of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) such as cardiovascular diseases, cancer and diabetes.<sup>[1-2]</sup> Evidence from scientific health reports have indicated that the consumption of fruits can reduce the risk of many of the nutrition-related diseases and risk factors that contribute substantially to the burden of disease in South Africa.<sup>[3]</sup> Indigenous plants have been identified as having the potential to meet domestic food demands, thereby reducing food insecurity, especially in rural societies and this has increased interest in the exploitation, quantification and utilization of such food plants.<sup>[4-5]</sup>

In the rural areas of many African countries, people depend on trees growing in the wild for fruit due to accessibility and affordability, thereby inadvertently exploiting the therapeutic and nutraceutical potential of such fruits. In some cases, indigenous fruits with very little or no documentation of their chemical composition are the only fruits consumed.<sup>[6]</sup> On average, indigenous fruits contribute 42% towards the food basket for most rural people in Southern Africa.<sup>[7]</sup> A daily consumption of indigenous food plants in sufficient quantities can help prevent numerous diseases, improve the nutrition and health of children and the elderly and boost the immune system of HIV/AIDS patients.<sup>[8-9]</sup> Although rural households in South Africa frequently turn to indigenous

fruits for food, they are often faced with dietary and nutrient deficiency diseases, a situation which children and lactating women are most vulnerable to.<sup>[10-12]</sup>

The total wellbeing of humanity depends largely on the regular daily intake of macro and micro-elements in the diet, soil being the primary source. Generally, plants depend directly on soil for support and nutrients and metals are mobilized from soil to different parts of plants depending on the nature and quality of the soil matrix.<sup>[12]</sup> Excessive levels of heavy metals and metalloids may occur in soil as a result of normal geological occurrences and anthropogenic inputs such as the application of pesticides, waste disposal (industrial, agricultural and domestic), waste incineration, urban effluent and vehicle exhausts.<sup>[13-14]</sup> In addition, the affinity of different plant parts for these metals can also play a major role in the transfer and eventual bioaccumulation of heavy metals. Heavy metals are known to have long biological half-lives and may act as cumulative slow poisons, directly influencing public health because humans do not have an effective mechanism for their removal from the body.<sup>[13]</sup> In recent years, studies on the impact of heavy metals have increased significantly, especially in the areas of toxicology, due to their non-biodegradable nature and implication in abnormal cell functioning which is linked to certain types of cancers.<sup>[15-17]</sup>

*Ficussur*, of the plant family Moraceae, is an indigenous medicinal plant found in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.<sup>[18]</sup> It occurs from the Southern Cape northwards throughout eastern South Africa, to tropical Africa, to Senegal and Cape Verde

**CONTACT** Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda  [jonnalagaddas@ukzn.ac.za](mailto:jonnalagaddas@ukzn.ac.za)  School of Chemistry & Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at [www.tandfonline.com/lesb](http://www.tandfonline.com/lesb).

© 2017 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

Islands in the west, Ethiopia in the north and Yemen in the east.<sup>[18]</sup> It is known as Umkhiwane in isiZulu and serves as an immediate source of food both to animals and humans, hence playing a key role in their everyday survival. An evaluation of the nutritional benefits and concentration of essential and toxic heavy metals in the edible fruits is imperative since metal toxicity in humans, through the agricultural food chain, at plant tissue concentrations are not necessarily phytotoxic.

Previously, we reported on the nutritional value of the fruit of indigenous medicinal plants and the impact of soil quality on elemental uptake.<sup>[12,19–20]</sup> This study aimed to investigate the elemental distribution and concentration of 13 elements (As, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se and Zn) in the edible fruits of *F. sur* and to assess for nutritional value and potential metal toxicity. Soil quality parameters were also investigated to evaluate their impact on elemental uptake.

## Materials and methods

### Sample collection and preparation

*F. sur* fruit and soil samples from below the tree were collected from eight different sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa; 1-Reservoir Hills, 2 - Overport, 3 - Burman Bush, 4 - Pigeon Valley, 5 - UKZN, Howard Campus, S6 - Hibberdene, 7 - Pietermaritzburg and 8-Byrne (Fig. A1) between February and March, 2014. Sites were flat with varied yet verdant climate (humid and subtropical). The topography was diverse with loamy soil type and an annual rainfall of 1009 mm. The average daily temperature ranged from 20.6 to 28.6°C. Samples of tree-ripened fruits (Fig. A2) were randomly picked from trees, placed in sealed plastic bags and taken to the laboratory for further analyses. The plant was identified and classified by a taxonomist at the School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa and a voucher specimen deposited in the ward Herbarium. Fruit samples were washed thoroughly with double distilled water to remove extraneous matter and then chopped into smaller pieces with a stainless steel knife. Thereafter, fruit samples were oven-dried at 50°C overnight to ensure complete removal of moisture. Dried fruit samples were crushed using a food processor (Kenwood Compact Blender, BL380) then stored in a refrigerator in sealed plastic bags until analyzed.

Soil samples were collected from six random points along the drip line of each tree, at a depth of 15–20 cm, with the use of a plastic hand shovel. These were thoroughly mixed in a clean plastic bucket to achieve homogeneity, thus forming the composite sample. A representative soil sample was taken from each site and was dried overnight in an oven at 40°C then passed through a 2 mm mesh sieve to remove organic matter and gravel. Some of this soil (10 g) was crushed with a mortar and pestle to reduce the particle size for microwave digestion. Samples were stored in sealed plastic bags and kept in a refrigerator until analyzed.

### Analytical quality assurance, chemicals and instruments

All plastic containers were washed with double distilled water and then soaked overnight in 1M HNO<sub>3</sub>. Glassware and other

equipment were cleaned with 6M HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed off with double distilled water to prevent contamination before usage. Millipore™ water (Billerica, MA, USA) was used throughout the experiments. All chemicals used were supplied by Merck (Kenilworth, NJ, USA) and Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) Chemical Companies and were of analytical-reagent grade. Elemental calibration standards were prepared from spectroscopic grade stock standard solutions of 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

The Microwave Accelerated Reaction System (MARS 6, CEM Corporation, Matthews, NC, USA) with patented Xpress technology that consists of MARSXpress™ vessels and IR temperature sensors, was used for digestion. Each digestion vessel comprises liners (Teflon PFA, DuPont, Wilmington, DE, USA), caps, and composite sleeves that have a self-regulating pressure control.

Fruit and soil samples (0.25 g each) were weighed into the 50 mL liners, to which, 10 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> was added. Fruit samples were pre-digested for 1 h prior to microwave digestion. Liners were capped, placed into the sleeves, loaded onto the 40-place carousel, and placed into the microwave. The appropriate method was loaded and the system started. The power was set to 100% at 1600 W and the temperature was ramped to 180°C (for fruit samples) and 200°C (for soil samples) for 15 min where it was held for 15 min. Fruit and soil digests were transferred to 50 mL volumetric flasks, diluted to the mark with double distilled water and stored in polyethylene bottles prior to elemental analysis.

### Extraction of exchangeable metals

The extracting solution was prepared by diluting 38.542 g ammonium acetate (NH<sub>4</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 25 mL acetic acid (CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, 96%) and 37.225 g ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to 1L in double distilled water. Exactly 50 mL of extracting solution was added to 5.0 g of dry soil samples in 250 mL polyethylene bottles and shaken in a laboratory shaker for 2 h. Thereafter, solutions were filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter papers and then Millipore 0.45 μm filter membranes to permit analysis of extracted metals. All samples were stored in plastic bottles and kept in a refrigerator until analyzed.

### Soil pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and Soil Organic Matter (SOM)

The pH of soil was determined by measuring the pH of the solution, 1:2.5, dry wt/v using a pH meter fitted with a glass electrode.<sup>[21]</sup> Cation exchange capacity (CEC) of soil was determined using the pH 7.0 ammonium acetate method<sup>[22]</sup> while soil organic matter (SOM) was measured according to the procedure adopted from Walkley and Black.<sup>[23]</sup>

### Proximate composition determination

Moisture, crude protein, fat, ash and crude fiber content of *F. sur* fruits were determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists method (AOAC).<sup>[24]</sup> Total carbohydrate content was estimated by difference.

### Elemental analysis

All extracted and digested samples (soil and fruit) were analyzed for As, Ca, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Se, Co, and Zn by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) due to its multi-element determination capability, high dynamic linear range and sensitivity. Analytical wavelengths were selected based on minimum spectral interferences and maximum analytical performance. Initially, the three most sensitive lines were chosen. From these lines, the line with no interfering elements was selected. The accuracy of analytical procedures was checked by analyzing certified reference materials (CRMs).

### Bioaccumulation factor (BAF)

The Bioaccumulation factor (BAF) expresses the ability of a metal species in its different forms to migrate from the soil through the plant parts and make itself available for consumption.<sup>[13]</sup>

$$BAF = \frac{[Fruit]}{[Soil]_{Exchangeable}}$$

### Statistical analysis

The difference in heavy metal concentrations between the eight sites was investigated using discriminant analysis. The results of this analysis were assessed by examining the canonical correlation statistics, the Wilk's lambda statistics, the significance levels and the percentage of original group cases correctly classified. In addition, a Pearson's correlation analysis was applied to the dataset to quantitatively analyze and confirm the relationship between soil quality parameters (pH, SOM and CEC) and heavy metal concentrations. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out to identify patterns in the elemental data by identifying the different groups of metals that correlate and thus can be considered as having a similar behavior and common origin. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, (PASW version 23, IBM Corporation, Cornell, NY, USA).

## Results and discussion

### Proximate chemical composition

The results of the proximate analysis showed *F. sur* fruits to contain  $88.8 \pm 0.2\%$  moisture. On dry mass basis, the carbohydrate content was  $65.6 \pm 0.03\%$ , protein  $5.2 \pm 0.1\%$ , fat  $4.7 \pm 0.2\%$ , crude fibre  $7.7 \pm 0.02\%$  and ash  $17.67 \pm 1.3\%$ . The high level of carbohydrates in the fruits indicates that they can serve as an immediate and alternative source of energy. These values were similar to those reported by Wilson and Downs.<sup>[25]</sup>

### Soil quality parameters analysis

Soil pH ranged from 5.3 to 8.2 while SOM ranged from 11.0 to 22.3%. Higher pH values were observed at site 4 ( $7.6 \pm 0.09$ ), site 6 ( $7.3 \pm 0.03$ ) and site 7 ( $8.2 \pm 0.08$ ) and may be

connected with the higher concentration of Ca in the soil compared with other studied sites. The CEC ranged from 2.30 to 3.70 meq/100.

### Elemental analysis

Method validation for the analytical procedure was carried out by measuring CRMs, BCR-402 (Institute for Reference Materials and Measurement, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Belgium) for fruits samples and D081-540 (ERA, A waters Company, Milford, MA, USA) for soil samples. Experimental results were compared with certified results (Table 1). Measured values compared well to the certified values ( $P < 0.05$ ) with recovery percentages being within acceptable limits.

The concentrations of heavy metals in soil (total and exchangeable) and fruits are summarized in Table 2 for those elements in fruits that were above the instrument detection limits. Although, total soil Pb ( $21.5\text{--}78.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) was above the South African maximum permissible level of  $6.6 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  in soils at all sites, the concentrations of Pb in all fruit samples were found to be below the instrument detection limit. In addition, concentrations of the other toxic elements, As, Cd and Co in all fruit samples were below the instrument detection limit. Selenium was found in fruit samples from site 1 ( $0.86 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and site 2 ( $2.16 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) only and Ni was found in the fruit sample from site 8 ( $10.30 \pm 1.44 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ).

The fruit tended to accumulate Mg producing BAFs (Exchangeable) between 16.9 and 91.5 even though, Mg mobility was low (0.4 to 29.2%). This is seen at site 1, where Mg in the fruit was more than ninety times that which was exchangeable (Table 2). Calcium concentrations in fruits ranged from 2270 to  $7746 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  with BAFs (Total) between 0.6 and 6.0 indicating the tendency of the plant to accumulate this metal.

Copper is an essential micronutrient in humans for the production of blood hemoglobin. High doses of Cu can result in anemia, liver and kidney damage, and stomach and intestinal irritation.<sup>[26]</sup> Total soil Cu was relatively low ( $< 24 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and on average 56% was in exchangeable form. Copper concentrations in the fruits were within a small range of variation ( $1.43\text{--}17.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) however, at site 7, Cu in the fruits exceeded the WHO permissible limit of  $10 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  for plants.<sup>[27]</sup>

Zinc is a trace element that is essential for human health, its shortages can cause birth defects. Zinc at elevated levels in soil can negatively interrupt the activity of microorganisms and earthworms, thus retarding the breakdown of organic matter.<sup>[26]</sup> The concentration of Zn in the fruit ranged from 4.35 to  $18.1 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ . More than 50% of the sites tended to accumulate Zn in the fruits with BAFs (Exchangeable)  $> 1$ . The concentrations of Cu and Zn in the fruits exhibited safe levels relative to the maximum levels for fruit set by the Department of Health, South Africa, which is 30 and  $40 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ , respectively.<sup>[28]</sup>

Site 8 was observed to have the lowest exchangeable Fe ( $96.9 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) but the highest concentration of Cu ( $17.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), Mn ( $20.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and Zn ( $22.8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in the fruits. This three-way synergy between Cu, Zn and Mn is as a result of the reduction of soil retention capacity of one metal due to increase in concentration of a contending metal ion. This observation is in agreement with reports from literature.<sup>[12]</sup> Although, total

**Table 1.** Validation of the analytical method using plant and soil certified reference materials (BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil).

| Element | Concentration in BCR-402( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |                    | Concentration in D081-540( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |              | Acceptable limit |
|---------|--|--------------------|---|--------------|------------------|
|         | Measured*  | Certified          | Measured  | Certified    |                  |
| As      | 0.10 ± 0.014                                     | 0.093 ± 0.010      | 83.2 ± 3.36                                       | 101 ± 5.92   | 61.0-116         |
| Cd      | —  | —                  | 156.0 ± 4.6                                       | 143 ± 5.6    | 116 - 159        |
| Co      | 0.174 ± 0.006                                    | 0.178 ± 0.008      | 238.5 ± 7.8                                       | 199 ± 4.1    | 166 - 233        |
| Cr      | 4.98 ± 0.248                                     | 5.19 <sup>a</sup>  | 102.6 ± 2.3                                       | 86.8 ± 6.1   | 69.3 - 104       |
| Fe      | 240 ± 6.75                                       | 244 <sup>a</sup>   | 13119 ± 608                                       | 12800 ± 18.0 | 5380 - 20100     |
| Mn      | —  | —                  | 448.5 ± 18.7                                      | 425 ± 9.7    | 347 - 502        |
| Se      | 6.80 ± 0.16                                      | 6.70 ± 0.25        | 161.5 ± 5.9                                       | 127 ± 4.5    | 98.4 - 156       |
| Ni      | 8.23 ± 0.46                                      | 8.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 212 ± 21.7  | 236 ± 4.17   | 175-302          |
| Zn      | 25.40 ± 0.68                                     | 25.02 <sup>a</sup> | 136.9 ± 7.9                                       | 130 ± 11.5   | 113 - 184        |

\*Values are in  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  dry mass (mean ± standard deviation, 95% confidence interval,  $n = 3$ ).

<sup>a</sup>Indicative values (without uncertainty). BCR-402 for plant and D081-540 for soil.

**Table 2.** Elemental concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) in fruit and soil (Total (T) and exchangeable (Ex)) samples (Mean ± standard deviation; 95% confidence interval,  $n = 3$ ) and bioaccumulation factors (BAFs).

| Site | Element | Concentration ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) |             |             | BAF                               |                                     | Ex%*** |
|------|---------|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
|      |         | Fruit                                  | Soil (T)    | Soil (Ex)   | [F]/[S] <sub>T</sub> <sup>*</sup> | [F]/[S] <sub>Ex</sub> <sup>**</sup> |        |
| 1    | Ca      | 3313 ± 21                              | 630 ± 37    | 454 ± 19    | 5.3                               | 7.3                                 | 72.1   |
| 2    |         | 2270 ± 152                             | 1114 ± 29   | 1043 ± 34   | 2                                 | 2.2                                 | 93.7   |
| 3    |         | 2355 ± 40                              | 394 ± 5.58  | 250 ± 3.91  | 6                                 | 9.4                                 | 63.5   |
| 4    |         | 2613 ± 24                              | 2528 ± 333  | 2085 ± 7.1  | 1                                 | 1.3                                 | 82.5   |
| 5    |         | 19467 ± 35                             | 1180 ± 41   | 1033 ± 27   | 1.7                               | 1.9                                 | 87.5   |
| 6    |         | 3310 ± 74                              | 1476 ± 75   | 1433 ± 31   | 2.2                               | 2.3                                 | 97.1   |
| 7    |         | 2792 ± 49                              | 4671 ± 9.9  | 4089 ± 3.7  | 0.6                               | 0.7                                 | 87.6   |
| 8    |         | 7746 ± 232                             | 2173 ± 182  | 786 ± 50    | 3.6                               | 9.9                                 | 36.2   |
| 1    | Cu      | 4.31 ± 0.30                            | 7.78 ± 0.52 | 2.36 ± 0.30 | 0.6                               | 1.8                                 | 30.3   |
| 2    |         | 4.31 ± 0.97                            | 7.76 ± 0.71 | 6.90 ± 0.30 | 0.6                               | 0.6                                 | 88.9   |
| 3    |         | 5.01 ± 1.37                            | 3.06 ± 1.32 | 2.78 ± 0.12 | 1.6                               | 1.8                                 | 90.6   |
| 4    |         | 2.49 ± 0.39                            | 18.2 ± 2.51 | 10.7 ± 0.30 | 0.1                               | 0.2                                 | 58.9   |
| 5    |         | 3.41 ± 0.28                            | 8.54 ± 0.60 | 6.15 ± 0.12 | 0.4                               | 0.6                                 | 72     |
| 6    |         | 2.23 ± 0.55                            | 6.79 ± 1.05 | 6.25 ± 0.33 | 0.3                               | 0.4                                 | 92     |
| 7    |         | 5.11 ± 0.68                            | 23.6 ± 1.20 | 7.65 ± 0.16 | 0.2                               | 0.7                                 | 32.4   |
| 8    |         | 17.4 ± 2.07                            | 8.82 ± 1.02 | 1.63 ± 0.26 | 2                                 | 10.6                                | 18.5   |
| 1    | Fe      | 61.5 ± 2.56                            | 31536 ± 95  | 327 ± 28    | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 1      |
| 2    |         | 28.0 ± 1.56                            | 20279 ± 104 | 261 ± 8.59  | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 1.3    |
| 3    |         | 21.6 ± 1.61                            | 18391 ± 601 | 186 ± 4.73  | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 1      |
| 4    |         | 29.9 ± 2.95                            | 18534 ± 33  | 475 ± 18    | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 2.6    |
| 5    |         | 62.7 ± 1.80                            | 15302 ± 118 | 710 ± 13    | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 4.6    |
| 6    |         | 35.4 ± 5.10                            | 17534 ± 394 | 182 ± 4.13  | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 1      |
| 7    |         | 105 ± 9.56                             | 67040 ± 352 | 447 ± 2.51  | 0                                 | 0.2                                 | 0.7    |
| 8    |         | 129 ± 3.90                             | 15171 ± 553 | 96.9 ± 7.58 | 0                                 | 1.3                                 | 0.6    |
| 1    | Mg      | 1798 ± 162                             | 2534 ± 84   | 19.6 ± 2.45 | 0.7                               | 91.5                                | 7.8    |
| 2    |         | 1233 ± 40                              | 550 ± 31    | 73.1 ± 26   | 2.2                               | 16.9                                | 13.3   |
| 3    |         | 1255 ± 4.89                            | 251 ± 6.71  | 73.2 ± 0.63 | 5                                 | 17.2                                | 29.17  |
| 4    |         | 1022 ± 6.56                            | 1189 ± 73   | 48.7 ± 1.64 | 0.9                               | 21                                  | 4.09   |
| 5    |         | 1487 ± 24                              | 874 ± 11    | 27.6 ± 3.08 | 1.7                               | 53.8                                | 3.16   |
| 6    |         | 1284 ± 36                              | 492 ± 32    | 33.3 ± 2.23 | 2.61                              | 38.5                                | 6.77   |
| 7    |         | 1613 ± 34                              | 1549 ± 62   | 26.3 ± 3.01 | 1.04                              | 61.3                                | 1.7    |
| 8    |         | 1766 ± 179                             | 23880 ± 341 | 83.8 ± 22   | 0.07                              | 21.1                                | 0.35   |
| 1    | Mn      | 0.47 ± 0.01                            | 16.3 ± 0.74 | 4.09 ± 0.26 | 0                                 | 0.1                                 | 0.3    |
| 2    |         | 0.52 ± 0.05                            | 18.1 ± 1.39 | 14.0 ± 0.42 | 0                                 | 0                                   | 0.8    |
| 3    |         | 1.83 ± 0.02                            | 37.5 ± 1.07 | 32.5 ± 0.71 | 0.1                               | 0.1                                 | 0.9    |
| 4    |         | 0.14 ± 0.01                            | 23.0 ± 2.08 | 12.6 ± 0.32 | 0                                 | 0                                   | 0.6    |
| 5    |         | 0.04 ± 0.01                            | 16.1 ± 0.48 | 9.90 ± 0.22 | 0                                 | 0                                   | 0.6    |
| 6    |         | 1.17 ± 0.03                            | 19.9 ± 0.50 | 13.8 ± 0.15 | 0.1                               | 0.1                                 | 0.7    |
| 7    |         | 0.92 ± 0.24                            | 237 ± 26    | 75.0 ± 1.10 | 0                                 | 0                                   | 0.3    |
| 8    |         | 20.2 ± 1.25                            | 35.3 ± 0.40 | 11.5 ± 1.36 | 0.6                               | 1.8                                 | 0.3    |
| 1    | Zn      | 13.7 ± 4.63                            | 27.3 ± 2.77 | 6.22 ± 0.73 | 0.5                               | 2.2                                 | 22.8   |
| 2    |         | 14.6 ± 1.91                            | 24.7 ± 2.51 | 18.1 ± 0.87 | 0.6                               | 0.8                                 | 73.4   |
| 3    |         | 13.5 ± 1.89                            | 9.42 ± 0.33 | 5.81 ± 0.13 | 1.4                               | 2.3                                 | 61.7   |
| 4    |         | 6.90 ± 1.17                            | 54.2 ± 3.60 | 39.1 ± 5.14 | 0.1                               | 0.1                                 | 72.2   |
| 5    |         | 13.4 ± 1.03                            | 23.5 ± 1.19 | 15.1 ± 0.40 | 0.6                               | 0.9                                 | 64.3   |
| 6    |         | 4.35 ± 0.29                            | 21.9 ± 0.48 | 19.4 ± 0.34 | 0.2                               | 0.2                                 | 88.8   |
| 7    |         | 18.1 ± 4.89                            | 37.8 ± 1.36 | 15.3 ± 0.52 | 0.5                               | 1.2                                 | 40.5   |
| 8    |         | 22.8 ± 2.17                            | 22.8 ± 0.70 | 4.58 ± 0.61 | 0.1                               | 5                                   | 20.1   |

\*[F]/[S]<sub>T</sub> - [Fruit]/[Soil]<sub>Total</sub>

\*\*[F]/[S]<sub>Ex</sub> - [Fruit]/[Soil]<sub>Exchangeable</sub>

\*\*\*Ex% - [Soil]<sub>Exchangeable</sub>/[Soil]<sub>Total</sub>

**Table 3.** Comparison of Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) <sup>[29]</sup> (Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL)) of elements for most individuals to the average concentration of elements ( $n = 3$ ) in *F. sur* fruits.

| Element | Average concentration (mg / 20 g DM) | DRI (mg/day)RDAUL |      | Estimated contribution to RDA (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| Ca      | 109.67                               | 1000–1300         | 2500 | 8.4–11.0                          |
| Cu      | 0.11425                              | 0.9               | 8    | 12.7                              |
| Fe      | 1.18275                              | 8–18              | 45   | 6.6–14.8                          |
| Mg      | 28.645                               | 310–320           | 350  | 9.0–9.2                           |
| Mn      | 0.06315                              | 1.6–2.3           | 9    | 2.7–3.9                           |
| Se      | 0.0302                               | 0.055             | 0.4  | 54.9                              |
| Zn      | 0.268375                             | 8–11              | 34   | 2.4–3.4                           |

soil Fe was relatively high at all sites (15171 to 67040  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), BAFs (Exchangeable) were relatively low suggesting the plant control on uptake.

Manganese is an essential trace metal. Its deficiency produces severe skeletal and reproductive abnormalities in mammals and its toxicity symptoms are lung and brain damage.<sup>[29]</sup> The concentration of Mn in fruits ranged from 0.04 to 20.17  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  which are below the maximum limits of 2000  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ .<sup>[30]</sup> This study shows that for the essential elements Ca, Mg, Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn, the fruit tends to exclude the element when soil concentrations are high but accumulated them when soil concentrations are low, in accordance to metabolic requirements.

#### Estimated contribution of metals in fruits to the diet

The elemental distribution in the edible fruits of *F. sur* was compared to Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) (Table 3).<sup>[31]</sup> The results show that the fruits can contribute significantly to the health and nutritional needs of individuals for most elements. Although fortification of wheat and maize flour has improved the Fe status of children in South Africa, rates of anaemia among preschool aged children and pregnant women are 24% and 22%, respectively.<sup>[32,33]</sup> The consumption of 20.0 g of fruit (which is the average weight of a handful of fruits) contributes between 6.6–14.8% towards the RDA for Fe for most adults. Copper deficiency is normally due to decreased Cu at birth, insufficient dietary Cu intake and poor absorption. Consumption of 20.0 g of fruit contributes about 12.7% towards the RDA for Cu for most adults. Selenium is reported to help with the prevention of heart disease and cancer, however if in excess, it can produce toxicity effects such as depression, gastrointestinal disturbances and excessive tooth decay.<sup>[34]</sup> About 20 g of *F. sur* fruits may contribute more than 54% towards the RDA for

Se; however this amount does not exceed the UL so it would be considered safe.

Calcium and Mg are macro-elements required for bone formation, the development of strong teeth, muscle regulation and control of blood pressure. A common nutritional problem among South Africans is hypocalcemia, which is due to a deficiency in Ca. In a study done on a randomly chosen rural South African community, 13.2% of children were found to have abnormally low levels of Ca in their blood.<sup>[35]</sup> Consumption of 20.0 g of *F. sur* fruits may contribute between 8.4–11.0% towards the RDA for Ca. A report by WHO showed that an average adult in South Africa consumes only half their RDA for Mg.<sup>[36]</sup> An intake of 310–320 mg of Mg per day is recommended and the consumption of approximately 20.0 g of *F. sur* fruits, may contribute approximately 28.6 mg (9.0–9.2%) towards its RDA.

#### Statistical analysis

The result of discriminant analysis showed that heavy metal concentrations exhibited discrimination between studied sites (Table 4). Generally, the larger the canonical correlation statistic, the greater is the between groups variation as a proportion of the total variation, and the larger the Wilk's lambda statistic, the greater is the within-group variation as a proportion of the total variation. There was a high degree of between site variations for As, Ca, Cr, Cu, Co, Mg, Mn, Pb, Se and Zn; canonical correlation values ranging from 0.699 to 0.997 and a few lower degree of within-group site variations; Wilk's lambda statistic ranged from 0.005 to 0.511. The statistically significant results also demonstrate that the originally grouped cases have high percentage (28.6% to 88.6%).

The main soil parameters that govern the processes of sorption and desorption of trace elements are pH, CEC and

**Table 4.** Discriminant analyses results for the studied sites.

| Variation | Canonical correlation | Wilk's Lambda statistic | Chi-squares | D.F | Sign. | % of grouped cases correctly classified |
|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|---|
| As        | 0.777                 | 0.396                   | 27.824      | 6   | 0     | 28.6                                    |
| Ca        | 0.699                 | 0.511                   | 20.154      | 6   | 0.003 | 40.0                                    |
| Cr        | 0.988                 | 0.024                   | 112.346     | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Cu        | 0.967                 | 0.065                   | 81.967      | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Co        | 0.985                 | 0.029                   | 106.087     | 6   | 0     | 45.7                                    |
| Mg        | 0.997                 | 0.005                   | 157.781     | 6   | 0     | 88.6                                    |
| Mn        | 0.992                 | 0.015                   | 125.429     | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Pb        | 0.922                 | 0.151                   | 56.761      | 6   | 0     | 60.0                                    |
| Se        | 0.821                 | 0.326                   | 33.657      | 6   | 0     | 31.4                                    |
| Zn        | 0.759                 | 0.423                   | 25.795      | 6   | 0     | 57.1                                    |

D.F: Degree of freedom; Sign: Significance.

**Table 5.** Inter-item correlation matrix for concentration of elements in fruit (F) and soil (S).

|     | CaF   | CrF   | CuF   | CuT  | FeT   | MgT  | MnF  | MnT   | MnE  | NiT | ZnT  | ZnE |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|
| CaF |       |       |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CrF | 1.0** |       |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CuF | 0.9*  | 1.0** |       |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| CuT | -0.1  | -0.1  | -0.1  |      |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| FeT | -0.2  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0.7* |       |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MgT | 1.0** | 1.0** | 1.0** | -0.1 | -0.2  |      |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnF | 1.0** | 1.0** | 1.0** | -0.1 | -0.2  | 1.0* |      |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnT | -0.1  | -0.1  | 0.0   | 0.7* | 0.9** | -0.1 | -0.1 |       |      |     |      |     |
| MnE | -0.2  | -0.2  | -0.1  | 0.6  | 0.9** | -0.2 | -0.1 | 1.0** |      |     |      |     |
| NiT | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.1   | 0.7* | 0.8*  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.9** | 0.7* |     |      |     |
| ZnT | -0.1  | -0.1  | -0.2  | 0.8* | 0.3   | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.3   | 0.1  | 0.3 |      |     |
| ZnE | -0.4  | -0.4  | -0.5  | 0.5  | -0.1  | -0.4 | -0.4 | 0.0   | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8* |     |


\*, \*\* - significant at  $P \leq 0.05$  and  $P \leq 0.01$ , respectively.  
 a.XF-[X]<sub>Fruit</sub>, where X = the various elements.  
 b.XT-[X]<sub>Soil Total</sub>, where X = the various elements.  
 c.XE-[X]<sub>Soil Exchangeable</sub>, where X = the various elements.

**Table 6.** Rotated component matrix for variables in the soil samples (n = 40).

| Component        | 1           | 2           | 3           |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Element          |             |             |             |
| As               | <b>0.77</b> | 0.28        | 0.11        |
| Ca               | 0.34        | <b>0.62</b> | 0.37        |
| Cr               | <b>0.92</b> | 0.20        | 0.17        |
| Cu               | 0.58        | <b>0.77</b> | 0.09        |
| Co               | <b>0.88</b> | 0.24        | 0.31        |
| Fe               | 0.20        | 0.01        | <b>0.87</b> |
| Mg               | 0.02        | 0.49        | 0.28        |
| Mn               | <b>0.87</b> | 0.23        | 0.32        |
| Ni               | <b>0.64</b> | 0.09        | 0.12        |
| Pb               | 0.40        | <b>0.80</b> | -0.11       |
| Se               | <b>0.71</b> | -0.10       | -0.25       |
| Zn               | -0.10       | <b>0.90</b> | -0.13       |
| Eigenvalues      | 5.89        | 1.85        | 1.03        |
| % Total variance | 49.04       | 15.43       | 8.61        |
| Cumulative %     | 49.04       | 64.47       | 73.08       |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. Bold figures indicate values  $\geq 0.5$ .

SOM. Table 5 shows the inter-item correlation matrix for significant correlations in the soil and fruits of *F. sur*. Soil pH correlated significantly to exchangeable Cu ( $r = 0.8$ ) and Ca



**Figure 1.** PCA analysis loading 3-D plot (PC1 vs PC2 vs PC3) for 12 heavy metals.

( $r = 0.9$ ) indicating that pH was a significant parameter for controlling availability of these metals and not SOM and CEC. There was a significant 3-way positive correlation between Fe, Mn and Ni in the soil, which is an indication of the same geological parent material. Exchangeable Zn and Mn correlated positively to their total soil concentrations, suggesting that total soil concentrations may be used to predict the availability of these elements, similar to previous studies.<sup>[37]</sup> For concentrations in the fruit, there was a significant 4-way positive correlation between Ca, Cr, Cu and Mn suggesting that the plant requires proportional amounts of these elements for metabolic processes.

In order to further identify the relationships between different metals in soil and their corresponding origins, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted (Table 6). Figure 1 represents the component plot in rotated space (3-D plot) showing the relationships among the twelve heavy metals. Table 6 shows that the elements are dominated by three principal components which accounted for 73.1% of the total variance. Factor 1 was best represented by As, Cr, Co, Mn, Ni and Se, accounting for 49.0% of the total variance. The Cu loading (0.58) is not as high as that of other elements of the group, suggesting a quasi-independent behavior within the group. Factor 2 contributed 15.4% to the total variance with a high loading on Ca, Cu, Pb and Zn. Factor 3 is dominated by Fe (0.87), accounting for 8.6% of the total variance. These associations strongly suggest that the elements clustered together have a similar source.<sup>[38-40]</sup>

**Conclusion**

The concentrations of elements in the fruits were found to be in decreasing order of  $Ca > Mg > Fe > Zn > Cu > Mn > Se$  while the concentrations of toxic metals (As, Cd, Co, and Pb) were found to be below the instrument's detection limits. This study shows that the fruits of *F. sur* conform to the RDAs for the elements in focus and that their consumption can contribute significantly towards a balanced diet without posing the risk of adverse health effects. Statistical analysis revealed synergistic relationships in the plant, thereby confirming that uptake of elements is controlled to meet metabolic needs.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal for the research facilities.

## References

- [1] Mayosi, B.M.; Flisher, A.J.; Lalloo, U.G. The burden of non-communicable diseases in South Africa. *Lancet*. **2009**, *374*, 934–947.
- [2] Norman, R.; Bradshaw, D.; Schneider, M. A comparative risk assessment for South Africa in 2000: towards promoting health and preventing disease. *S. Afr. Med J.* **2007**, *97*, 637–641.
- [3] Bosman, L.; Herselman, M.G.; Schneider, H.S.; Labadarios, D. Secondary analysis of anthropometric data from a South African national food consumption survey, using different growth reference standards. *Matern. Child Health J.* **2011**, *15*, 1372–1380.
- [4] Guinand, Y.; Dechassa, L. Indigenous Food Plants in Southern Ethiopia: Reflections on the Role of 'Famine Foods' at the Time of Drought. United Nations Emergencies Unit for Ethiopia (UNEUE) 2000, Addis Ababa.
- [5] Kebu, B.; Fassil, K. Ethnobotanical study of wild edible plants in Derashe and Kucha Districts. South Ethiopia. *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.* **2006**, *2*, 1–9.
- [6] Mahapatra, A.K.; Satarupa, M.; Basak, U.C.; Panda, P.C. Nutrient analysis of some selected edible fruits of deciduous forests of India: an explorative study towards nonconventional bio-nutrient. *Adv. J. Food Sc. Technol.* **2012**, *4*, 15–21.
- [7] Akinnifesi, F.K.; Kwesiga, F.; Mhango, J.; Chilanga, T.; Mkonda, A.; Kadu, C.A.C.; Kadzere, I.; Mithöfer, D.; Saka, J.D.K.; Sileshi, G.; Ramadhani, T.; Dhliwayo, P. Towards the development of miombo fruit trees as commercial tree crops in southern Africa forests. *Trees and Livelihoods* **2006**, *16*, 103–121.
- [8] Barany, M.; Hammett, A. L.; Sene, A.; Amichev, B. Non-timber forest benefits and HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa. *J. For.* **2001**, *99*, 36–41.
- [9] World Health Organization. Fruit and Vegetables for Health. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Workshop, Kobe, Japan, 2005.
- [10] Herzog, F.; Farah, Z.; Amado, R. Nutritive value of four wild leafy vegetables in Cote d'Ivoire. *Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res.* **1993**, *63*, 234–238.
- [11] Shackleton, S.E.; Shackleton, C.M.; Netshiluvhi, T.R.; Geach, B.S.; Balance, A.; Fairbanks, D.H.K. Use patterns and value of savanna resources in three rural villages in South Africa. *Econ. Bot.* **2004**, *56*, 130–146.
- [12] Moodley, R.; Koorbanally, N.; Jonnalagadda, S.B. Elemental composition and fatty acid profile of the edible fruits of *Amatungula* (*Cassia macrocarpa*) and impact of soil quality on chemical characteristics. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *730*, 33–41.
- [13] Cui, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Zhai, R.; Chen, D.; Huang, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Liang, J. Transfer of metals from soil to vegetables in an area near a smelter in Nanning, China. *Environ. Int.* **2004**, *30*, 785–791.
- [14] Pakade, V.; Cukrowska, E.; Chimuka, L. Metal and flavonol contents of *Moringa oleifera* grown in South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Sci.* **2013**, *109*, 1–7.
- [15] Mathee, A.; von Schirnding, Y.E.R.; Levine, J.; Ismail, A.; Huntley, R.; Cantrell, A. A survey of blood lead levels amongst young Johannesburg school children. *Environ. Res.* **2002**, *90*, 181–84.
- [16] TürkdoganM.K.; KilicelF.; KaraK.; TuncerI.; UyganI. Heavy metals in soil, vegetables and fruits in the endemic upper gastrointestinal cancer region of Turkey. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2002**, *13*, 175–179.
- [17] Banas, A.; Kwiatek, W.M.; Banas, K.; Gajda, M.; Pawlicki, B.; Cichocki, T. Correlation of concentrations of selected trace elements with Gleason grade of prostate tissues. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *15*, 1147–1155.
- [18] Burrows, J.E.; Burrows, S. M. *Fig. of Southern and South-Central Africa*. Umduas Press: Hatfield, South Africa, 2003.
- [19] Moodley, R.; Koorbanally, N.; Jonnalagadda, S.B. Elemental composition and nutritional value of the edible fruits of *Harpephyllum caff-*  
*rum* and impact of soil quality on their chemical characteristics. *J. Environ. Sc. Health, Part B* **2013**, *48*, 539–547.
- [20] Reddy, M.; Moodley, R.; Jonnalagadda, S.B. Elemental Uptake and Distribution of Nutrients in Avocado Mesocarp and the Impact of Soil Quality. *Environ. Monit. Assessment*, **2014**, *186*, 4519–4529.
- [21] Khan, S.; Cao, Q.; Zheng, Y.M.; Huang, Y. Z.; Zhu, Y. G. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* **2008**, *152*, 686–692.
- [22] Chapman, H.D., Cation Exchange capacity. In *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 - Chemical and Microbiological Properties*; Black, C.A., Ed.; American Society of Agronomy: Madison, Wisconsin, 1965, 891–901.
- [23] Walkley, A.; Black, I. A. An examination of the Degiarcff Method for determining SOM and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Sci.* **1934**, *37*, 29–38.
- [24] Association of Official Analytical Chemists. *Official methods of analysis*, 17th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists; AOAC; Gaithersburg, MD, 2000.
- [25] Wilson, A.L.; Downs, C.T. Fruit nutritional composition and non-nutritive traits of indigenous South African tree species. *S Afr. J. Bot.* **2012**, *78*, 30–36.
- [26] Raymond, A.W.; Felix, E. O. Heavy Metals in Contaminated Soils: A Review of Sources, Chemistry, Risks and Best Available Strategies for Remediation. *ISRN Ecol.* **2011**, 1–20. ID 402647, doi:10.5402/2011/402647
- [27] World Health Organization, *Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials*, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2005.
- [28] Department of Health. Regulations relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs: Amendment to Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act 54 of 1972. *Government Gazette* 26279. South Africa, 2004.
- [29] Takeda, A. Manganese action in brain function. *Brain Res. Rev.* **2003**, *41*, 79–87.
- [30] Kabata-Pendias, A.; Pendias, H. *Trace Elements in the Soil and Plants*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1989.
- [31] Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium and Zinc*; National Academy Press: Washington, DC, 2001.
- [32] Faber, M.; Kvalsvig, J.D.; Lombard, C.J.; Benade, A.J. Effect of a fortified maize-meal porridge on anemia, micronutrient status, and motor development of infants. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2005**, *82*, 1032–1039.
- [33] World Health Organization. *Worldwide Prevalence of Anemia 1993–2005: WHO Global Database on Anemia*. Geneva, 2008.
- [34] Tank, G.; Strovick, C.A. Effect of naturally occurring selenium and vanadium on dental caries. *J. Dent. Res.* **1960**, *39*, 473–488.
- [35] Pettifor, J. M.; Ross, P.; Moodley, G.; Shuenyane, E. Calcium Deficiency in Rural Black Children in *South Africa*—a Comparison between Rural and Urban Communities. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1979**, *32*, 2477–2483.
- [36] World Health Organization. *Calcium and Magnesium in drinking water: Public health significance*. WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- [37] Sauv'ie, S.; Hendershot, W.; Allen, H.E. Solid-solution portioning of metals in contaminated soils: Dependence on pH, Total Metal Burden, and Organic Matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34*, 1125–1131.
- [38] Li, X.D.; Wai, O. W. H.; Li, Y. S.; Coles, B. J.; Ramsey, M. H.; Thornton, I. Heavy metal distribution in sediment profiles of the Pearl River estuary, South China. *Appl. Geochem.* **2000**, *15*, 567–581.
- [39] Zhou, F.; Guo, H.; Hao, Z. Spatial distribution of heavy metals in Hong Kong's marine sediments and their human impacts: A GIS-based chemometric approach. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2007**, *54*, 1372–1384.
- [40] Niu, H.Y.; Deng, W.J.; Wu, Q.H.; Chen, X. G. Potential toxic risk of heavy metals from sediment of the Pearl River in South China. *J. Environ. Sci. China.* **2009**, *21*, 1053–1058.

Appendix




Figure A1. Map of selected sampling sites in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.



Figure A2. *Ficus Sur* Forssk fruits.



## CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL BCR<sup>®</sup> – 402

### CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

| WHITE CLOVER |  |                                      |                                   |
|--------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|              | Mass fraction based on dry mass          |                                      | Number of accepted sets of data p |
|              | Certified value <sup>1)</sup><br>[mg/kg] | Uncertainty <sup>2)</sup><br>[mg/kg] |                                   |
| As           | 0.093                                    | 0.010                                | 15                                |
| Co           | 0.178                                    | 0.008                                | 7                                 |
| Mo           | 6.93                                     | 0.19                                 | 13                                |
| Se           | 6.70                                     | 0.25                                 | 15                                |

<sup>1)</sup> Unweighted mean value of the means of p accepted sets of data, each set being obtained in a different laboratory and/or with a different method of determination. The certified value is traceable to the SI.  
<sup>2)</sup> Half-width of the 95 % confidence interval of the mean defined in <sup>1)</sup>.

This certificate is valid for one year after purchase.

Sales date: 30. Juni 2014

The minimum amount of sample to be used is 100 mg.

#### NOTE

This material has been certified by BCR (Community Bureau of Reference, the former reference materials programme of the European Commission). The certificate has been revised under the responsibility of IRMM.

Brussels, November 1991  
Revised: May 2007

Signed: 

Prof. Dr. Hendrik Emons  
Unit for Reference Materials  
EC-JRC-IRMM  
Retieseweg 111  
2440 Geel, Belgium

| <b>Additional Material Information</b> |                                 |
|--|---------------------------------|
|  | Mass fraction based on dry mass |
|  | Value <sup>1)</sup><br>[mg/kg]  |
| Cr                                     | 5.19                            |
| Fe                                     | 244                             |
| Ni                                     | 8.25                            |
| Zn                                     | 25.2                            |

1) The value is traceable to the SI.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE SAMPLE

The material consists of a white clover powder in a glass bottle. The bottle contains about 25 g of powder and a small PTFE ball which has been added to facilitate the homogenisation prior to use.

#### ANALYTICAL METHOD USED FOR CERTIFICATION

- Direct current plasma atomic emission spectrometry
- Energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence
- Electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry
- Electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry with Zeeman background correction
- Hydride generation atomic absorption spectrometry
- Hydride generation inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry
- Inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry
- Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
- Instrumental neutron activation analysis
- Neutron activation analysis with radiochemical separation
- Visible light or U.V. spectrometry

#### PARTICIPANTS

- Agriculture and Food Development Authority, Wexford (IE)
- European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements, Geel (BE)
- Labor für Spurenanalytik, Bonn (DE)
- Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit, Neuherberg (DE)
- An Forais Taluntais, Wexford (IE)
- Aristotelian University, Lab. Anal. Chemistry, Thessaloniki (GR)
- Centre de Recherches Forestières, Nancy (FR)
- Ecole Européenne des Hautes Etudes des Industries Chimiques, Strasbourg (FR)
- E.C.N. Energieonderzoekcentrum, Petten (NL)
- Forschungszentrum für Umwelt und Gesundheit, Neuherberg (DE)
- Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma (IT)
- Institut National de Recherches Agronomiques, Pont-de-la-Maye (FR)
- Instituut voor Nucleaire Wetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Gent (BE)
- Instituut voor Scheikundig Onderzoek, Tervuren (NL)
- Labor für Spurenanalytik, Bonn (DE)
- Laboratory of the Government Chemist, Teddington (GB)
- Landesanstalt für Ökologie, Recklinghausen (DE)
- Natural Env. Research Council, Swindon (GB)
- NLR "Demokritos", Aghia Paraskevi Attikis (GR)
- Rijksinstituut voor de Volksgezondheid, Bilthoven (NL)
- Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde (DK)
- Service Central d'Analyse, CNRS, Vernaison (FR)
- Universidad de Barcelona, Facultad de Química, Barcelona (ES)
- Universidad Complutense, Facultad de Química, Madrid (ES)
- Università di Pavia, Chimica Generale, Pavia (IT)
- Universität Ulm, Sektion Analytik und Höchstreinigung, Ulm (DE)