Functional characterization of Vif proteins from HIV-1 infected patients with different APOBEC3G haplotypes
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Objective: The human cytidine deaminase APOBEC3G (A3G) potently restricts HIV-1 but the virus, in turn, expresses a Vif protein which degrades A3G. A natural A3G-H186R variant, common in African populations, has been associated with a more rapid AIDS disease progression, but the underlying mechanism remains unknown. We hypothesized that differences in HIV-1 Vif activity towards A3G wild type and A3G-H186R contribute to the distinct clinical AIDS manifestation.

Methods: Vif variants were cloned from plasma samples of 26 South African HIV-1 subtype C infected patients, which either express wild type A3G or A3G-H186R. The Vif alleles were assessed for their ability to counteract A3G variants using western blot and single-cycle infectivity assays.

Results: We obtained a total of 392 Vif sequences which displayed an amino acid sequence difference of 6.2–19.2% between patients. The intrapatient Vif diversities from patient groups A3G\textsuperscript{WT/WT}, A3G\textsuperscript{WT/H186R} and A3G\textsuperscript{H186R/H186R} were similar. Vif variants obtained from patients expressing A3G\textsuperscript{WT/WT} and A3G\textsuperscript{H186R/H186R} were capable of counteracting both A3G variants with similar efficiency. However, the antiviral activity of A3G-H186R was significantly reduced in both the presence and absence of Vif, indicating that the A3G-H186R variant intrinsically exerts less antiviral activity.

Conclusion: A3G wild type and A3G-H186R are equally susceptible to counteraction by Vif, regardless of whether the Vif variant was obtained from A3G\textsuperscript{WT/WT} and A3G\textsuperscript{H186R/H186R} patients. However, the A3G-H186R variant intrinsically displayed lower antiviral activity, which could explain the higher plasma viral loads and accelerated disease progression reported for patients expressing A3G\textsuperscript{H186R/H186R}.
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Introduction

Successful HIV-1 replication in the host depends on its ability to evade a myriad of innate and adaptive immune defences [1–7]. Among the innate immune factors that exert pressure on HIV-1 are host restriction factors such as the apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme catalytic polypeptide-like 3G protein (APOBEC3G, A3G), which belongs to the family of cytidine deaminases [8,9]. A3G inhibits HIV-1 replication by deaminating single stranded viral DNA during reverse transcription, resulting in guanine-to-adenine mutations across the proviral genome [8,10,11]. Deaminase-independent mechanisms of A3G restriction have also been described [12–15]. HIV-1 viral infectivity factor protein, Vif, promotes the proteasomal degradation of A3G, allowing productive viral replication [16–18].

A natural A3G polymorphism H186R is frequent in African populations with minor allele frequencies ranging from 30% in South Africans [19] to between 25 and 51% in other African populations [20]. The polymorphism is rare in white (2–3%) and Asian (0–10%) populations [20]. This A3G-H186R variant has been associated with higher viral loads, decreased CD4+ T-cell counts and a more rapid progression to AIDS in patients homozygous for A3G-H186R [19,21–23]. However, other studies which mainly analysed the effect of the heterozygous A3GWT/H186R variant found no association with disease progression [24–27]. Despite the clear correlation of A3G–H186R in vivo, the mechanism remains unknown [21,28].

We recently showed that HIV-1 Vif adapts to different APOBEC3H (A3H) haplotypes in HIV-1–infected patients [29]. However, it remains unknown whether A3G haplotypes similarly select for specific Vif variants. If a given A3G variant is more or less susceptible to HIV-1 Vif-mediated degradation, it is conceivable that this altered viral host interaction could result in an altered HIV/AIDS disease presentation.

Of note, most Vif–A3G studies have focused on subtype B Vif variants, which only represent ~10% of all global infections [30]. However, the greatest burden of infections is in sub-Saharan Africa where HIV-1 subtype C predominates [30]. Only two studies, including one from our group, functionally analysed a limited number of subtype C variants. Both studies concluded that subtype C Vif had similar or enhanced activity against wild type A3G as compared to subtype B Vifs. [31,32].

To our knowledge, the current study is the first to investigate the antiA3G phenotype of subtype C Vif alleles obtained from patients with distinct A3G haplotypes.

Materials and methods

Study participants

We selected 26 women from the ‘Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa’ acute infection study (CAPRISA 002) in Durban, South Africa [33] based on the A3G genotype information that was previously determined [19]. Plasma viremia and CD4+ T-cell counts are regularly documented, and samples are stored for future research. Participants provided written informed consent, and ethical approval was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal.

We obtained plasma samples from 11 A3GWt/Wt, 10 A3GWt/H186R and 5 A3G H186R H186R chronically infected, antiretroviral therapy-naive study participants. The plasma viremia and absolute CD4+ T-cell counts were obtained approximately 36 months postinfection and were comparable between patients with different A3G haplotypes.

HIV-1 Vif amplification and cloning

Viral RNA was extracted from plasma using QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and cDNA synthesized using Thermoscript RT PCR System (Invitrogen, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). The HIV-1 Vif coding region was amplified by nested PCR with the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche, Penzberg, Germany) using the primers Vif1-forward 5’AAATTAGCAGGAAGATGGCCAGT3’ and Vif1-reverse 5’CTCCGCTTTCTCTCCTGCCATAGGAGAT3’ and Vif2-forward 5’TACTCTTGGAAGGTGAAGG3’ and Vif2-reverse 5’CTTCCCTGCCATAGGAGATGCCTAA3’. Five separate PCR reactions were performed per patient and gel-purified amplicons were cloned into the pCR2.1 TOPO cloning vector (Invitrogen). Two to four clones of each PCR were sequenced resulting in 10–20 sequences for each patient. The GenBank accession numbers for the vif sequences generated in this study are KT881902–KT882293.

Vif and A3G expression plasmids

One representative HIV-1 Vif variant from each of the 11 A3GWt/Wt and 5 A3G H186R/H186R donors was selected for functional characterization. The Vif ORF was carboxy-terminal FLAG tagged and cloned into the mammalian expression plasmid pCRV1, as previously described [34,35]. Carboxy-terminal haemagglutinin-tagged wild type A3G and A3G–H186R were cloned into the mammalian expression plasmid PTR600, as previously described [36].

Cell culture

TZM-bl cells were provided by J.C. Kappes and X. Wu through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, National Institutes of Health, NIH Reagent Program. HEK-293T and
TZM-bl were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s high-glucose modified Eagle’s medium (CellGro, Corning, New York, USA), supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin.

**A3G degradation and single-cycle viral infectivity assays**

HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with 500 ng of HIV pNL4-3Δvif, 50 ng of each Vif expression plasmid and 20 ng of wild type or A3G-H186R with 4 mg/ml of polyethylenimine, as previously described [36]. The replication-competent molecular clone NL4-3ΔVif was provided by the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, National Institutes of Health. After 48 h, viral supernatants were collected and the cells were lysed and analysed by western blot, as previously described [36]. Viral supernatants were used to infect TZM-bl cells, and β-galactosidase activity was measured 48 h postinfection, as previously described [29].

**Statistical analysis**

GraphPad Prism version 5.01 was used for statistical analyses (paired and unpaired t tests). P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. Average relative infectivity values and their SDs were calculated from representative triplicate transfections.

---

**Fig. 1. Sequence analysis of patient-derived HIV-1 Vif sequences.** (a) Neighbour joining phylogenetic tree of 392 full length HIV-1 vif clonal sequences shows HIV-1 vif clonal sequences from each of 26 participants forming independent clusters. The patient’s A3G genotype from which Vif clones were derived are represented by the indicated symbols and colours. Vif clones that were functionally tested are represented by open symbols and arrows. Patient samples were assigned numbers that correspond in later figures. (b) Alignment of Vif amino acid consensus sequences of 26 study samples. Sequences are compared with a consensus subtype C reference sequence [obtained from the Los Alamos National Laboratory HIV database (http://www.hiv.lanl.gov)]. Protein domains putatively involved in interactions that lead to proteasomal degradation of A3G are indicated; blue indicates I9, N22, E45 and N48, YRHHY (40–44) [41]; the VHIPLx4-5Lx2YWGI motif (positions 55–59, 64, 69–72) [42]; tryptophans at...
Phenotypic characterization of HIV subtype C Vif variants

There is evidence that natural Vif variants differ in their ability to neutralize A3G [31,32,34], and we speculate that Vif diversity may emerge as it adapts to an individual's A3G repertoire. We functionally characterized the patient-derived subtype C Vif panel for A3G degradation and counteraction in single-cycle infectivity assays. We co-transfected HIV ΔVif with individual Vif expression plasmids, wild type A3G or A3G-H186R. HIV-1 infectivity was subsequently analysed by infecting TZM-bl reporter cells with viral supernatants, collected 2 days posttransfection. Infectivity values were plotted relative to HIV-1 in the absence of A3G, which was set to 100%.

We first looked at whether Vifs obtained from A3G WT/WT or A3G H186R/H186R patients would differ in their ability to counteract A3G. All patient-derived Vif variants counteracted wild type A3G and A3G-H186R to similar levels, irrespective of the patient's A3G variant (Fig. 2, compare within a and b, NS, unpaired t test). This indicates that HIV-1 Vif does not adapt to the different A3G variants in vivo. Western blot analysis showed that the level of A3G degradation (compare with the no Vif control) was similar among Vifs (compare between Fig. 2a and b), which is in agreement with the infectivity data. Additionally, we observed that the expression levels of the individual Vif variants was highly variable, but was independent of both the patient's A3G genotype from which they were derived as well as of their activity to degrade and counteract A3G (Fig. 2a and b).

Intrinsic antiviral activity of wild type A3G and A3G-H186R

To determine whether the A3G variants differ in the restriction activity, we compared viral infectivity in the presence of wild type A3G or A3G-H186R (compare between Fig. 2a and b). The infectivity values in the presence of A3G-H186R were always significantly higher compared with wild type A3G (P < 0.0001, paired t test), indicating that A3G-H186R restricts HIV less efficiently than wild type A3G (Fig. 2c). This difference is likely attributable to the lower basal antiviral activity of A3G-H186R, because the same result is also apparent in the absence of Vif (Fig. 2d, 4.32 ± 0.21 for wild type A3G versus 6.9 ± 0.28 for A3G-H186R, P = 0.0007, unpaired t test). This small but significant difference suggests that A3G-H186R intrinsically has reduced antiviral activity.

Taken together, we observed no evidence for functional adaptation of Vif to the different A3G variants in vivo. Importantly, our data show that the wild type A3G was more efficient at restricting HIV compared with A3G-H186R variant, which may explain the accelerated HIV-1 disease progression in A3G H186R/H186R patients.

Discussion

Several studies showed that A3G H186R/H186R patients experience accelerated AIDS disease progression compared with individuals expressing A3G WT/WT or

Fig. 2. Activity of patient-derived subtype C Vifs against the wild type A3G and A3G-H186R variants. Patient-derived Vifs were cloned into an expression vector and co-transfected with NL4-3ΔVif and wild type A3G (a) or A3G-H186R (b) expression plasmids and infectivity of the produced viruses was tested on TZM-bl reporter cells. NS, unpaired t test. 293T cell lysates were analysed by Western blot and probed for haemagglutinin, Vif and GAPDH serves as a loading control. Vif variants derived from homozygotes wild type A3G are represented by black bars, whereas Vifs derived from A3G-H186R homozygous carriers are represented by red bars. Error bars represent SDs from triplicate transfections. (c) Dot plot comparing the infectivity levels in the presence of wild type A3G or A3G-H186R, P < 0.0001, paired t test. (d) Comparison of infectivities in the presence of wild type A3G and A3G-H186R in the absence of Vif [also shown in (a)]. P = 0.0007, unpaired t test. Error bars represent SDs from triplicate transfections.

Elongin C (144–149) were conserved [16,46,47]. Several motifs, which are binding sites of Cullin 5 [44,45] and which they were derived as well as of their activity to degrade and counteract A3G (Fig. 2a and b).

Intrinsic antiviral activity of wild type A3G and A3G-H186R

To determine whether the A3G variants differ in the restriction activity, we compared viral infectivity in the presence of wild type A3G or A3G-H186H (compare between Fig. 2a and b). The infectivity values in the presence of A3G-H186R were always significantly higher compared with wild type A3G (P < 0.0001, paired t test), indicating that A3G-H186R restricts HIV less efficiently than wild type A3G (Fig. 2c). This difference is likely attributable to the lower basal antiviral activity of A3G-H186R, because the same result is also apparent in the absence of Vif (Fig. 2d, 4.32 ± 0.21 for wild type A3G versus 6.9 ± 0.28 for A3G-H186R, P = 0.0007, unpaired t test). This small but significant difference suggests that A3G-H186R intrinsically has reduced antiviral activity.

Taken together, we observed no evidence for functional adaptation of Vif to the different A3G variants in vivo. Importantly, our data show that the wild type A3G was more efficient at restricting HIV compared with A3G-H186R variant, which may explain the accelerated HIV-1 disease progression in A3G H186R/H186R patients.

Discussion

Several studies showed that A3G H186R/H186R patients experience accelerated AIDS disease progression compared with individuals expressing A3G WT/WT or
A3G<sup>WT/H186R</sup> [19,21–23]. We observed that A3G-H186R restricts HIV-1 less potently than wild type A3G, both in the presence as well as in the absence of Vif. This novel finding indicates that A3G-H186R intrinsically has less antiviral activity, which is in agreement with a previous study which used cell-free biochemical approaches which shows that A3G-H186R has reduced deaminase activity [48]. It is also possible that the H186R substitution may affect its packaging into virions, RNA binding or protein oligomerization, and further mechanistic studies are required to elucidate the reduced antiviral activity of A3G-H186R. We speculate that A3G is not always fully counteracted by Vif in vivo, and that the reduced restriction of A3G-H186R leads to higher viral loads and a more rapid disease progression in patients expressing A3G<sup>H186R/H186R</sup>.

Studies show that SIV Vif adapts to polymorphisms in A3G [4,49,50]. We, therefore, anticipated that Vif would reduce its activity to degrade A3G-H186R because it poses less of a threat than A3G wild type. However, Vif variants from A3G<sup>WT/WT</sup> or A3G<sup>H186R/H1186R</sup> showed no differences in activity, indicating that Vif does not adapt to A3G-H186R. It is conceivable that the difference in anti-HIV activity of the A3G variants is too small to create sufficient selective pressure for Vif adaptation, given that A3G-H186R has less antiviral activity than the wild type counterpart.

Together, polymorphisms in multiple APOBEC3 proteins such as A3G and A3H in combination with a HIV strain encoding a specific Vif variant could have profound effects on HIV replication and HIV-disease progression in patients [19,21–23,28,29,51]. Indeed, the time to progression to AIDS varies widely in patients, of which only a small percentage could be attributed to natural polymorphisms in genes, commonly associated with differential outcome such as CCR5 or HLA [52–58].
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