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ABSTRACT

Three types of zeolites namely ZSM-5, Faujasite Y and MOF-5 were synthesized. The synthesis of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), H-Fe-silicalite-1(34), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80), Fe-silicalite-1(128), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) was conducted using a solid gel method. Further to this, Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80), Fe-silicalite-1(128), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) were modified by silanisation using tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) as the silanisation agent to produce Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil). The numbers in brackets represent Si/Fe molar ratio while Sil represent silanisation. Powder XRD results showed that only the ZSM-5 phase was obtained. The second type was synthesized by encapsulation of Fe-TPP (tetraphenylporphyrin) inside faujasite Y to produce Fe-TPP-NaY. Powder XRD results confirmed the faujasite structure after encapsulation. Finally, Fe-MOF-5(1), Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.5) and Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.2) were synthesized using conventional methods with the numbers in brackets representing the Fe wt%. Also, XRD results showed that the MOF-5 phase was obtained with a sharp peak at 20 below 10° which is characteristic of a highly crystalline material. All synthesized catalysts were tested in the oxidation of n-octane to oxygenates with H2O2 as the oxidant in MeCN. Furthermore, Fe-TPP-NaY was also used to activate 1-octene, 4-octene and cyclohexane while Fe-MOF-5 was used to activate cyclohexane. Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) produced selectivities of 24, 2 and 27% respectively to terminal products at 80 °C in 13 mL MeCN. Furthermore, Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80), Fe-silicalite-1(128), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) achieved selectivities to terminal products of 20.2, 28.1, 17.6, 24.5 and 21.3 respectively while Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) showed selectivities to terminal products of 20.7, 14.3, 12.3, 25.7 and 27.3 % respectively at 80 °C in 80 mL MeCN. Fe-TPP-NaY showed 13% selectivity to terminal products in oxidation of n-octane at 80 C in 13 mL MeCN. In the oxidation of n-octane using Fe-MOF-5 catalysts, selectivity to terminal products was found to increase with a decrease in the wt% of Fe. Hence, selectivities of 9.5, 12.9 and 20.7% were recorded for Fe-MOF-5(1), Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.5) and Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.2) respectively.
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Chapter one
Introduction and literature review

1. Introduction

The depletion of non-renewable crude oil resources around the world has increased dramatically over the last few decades. Over-reliance on natural crude oil has increased the cost of oil and petroleum products derived from it [1]. Also the process of its refining into useful products is very intense, as most of the crude comes contaminated with a high content of S, N, and other inorganic metallic pollutants. In addition, there are environmental policies and regulations that regulate the emission of these contaminants into the atmosphere [2]. There is a limited amount of contaminants that are tolerated, particularly in fuels. These regulations force refineries to process crude oil intensively. This comes with associated financial implications and environmental issues. In spite of these, the world energy supply is still strongly dependent on extraction and refining of crude oil. High demand of energy has encouraged researchers to seek alternative technologies for processing renewable or more abundant alternative substances [3].

Paraffins are one of the most abundant substances on earth and are found as liquid paraffins or mineral oil, which is a mixture of high carbon number alkanes. They are also found in natural gas, as methane. Most importantly, there are many technologies and processes that produce paraffins as by-products or as the main products [4-6]. However, paraffins on their own have limited application because of their low reactivity. They are commonly used as anti-caking additives, in electrical and electronic applications, as additives in tyre production, in lotions, pastes, cream, lipsticks, used in printing inks and varnishes, candle production, and as a lubricant. This is due to their low acidity, basicity and strong C-H bond strength [7].

In today’s world of intensive energy demand, there is a strong demand for processes that convert paraffins to more useful products. Furthermore, functionalized paraffins have a wide range of applications as compared to simple paraffin. Functional groups, such as -OH or -Br, are incorporated into paraffins in order to produce more useful materials. Scheme 1.1 illustrates the activation of paraffin using a variety of catalytic methodologies including homogeneous,
heterogeneous and bio catalysis to more value-added products, like oxygenates.

Scheme 1.1: Illustrating the production of oxygenates

Oxygenates are obtained by inserting O into the hydrocarbon structure of the paraffin. This means the inactive C-H bond of the paraffin is activated, and the resultant product, oxygenate, has many applications such as use as a solvent or in gasoline. Linear oxygenates have been reported to be useful in the chemical and pharmaceutical fields [8]. Some oxygenates are used in gasoline as an additive in order to improve the octane number [9-10]. Furthermore, it has been reported that the addition of oxygenates into diesel fuels help to reduce harmful emissions. Thus, oxygenates, like ethanol, carbonates, ethers and diglyme have been reported to reduce emission [11]. The application of terminal oxygenates has been found to be most important in the fine chemical and pharmaceutical industry, where they are mainly used as plasticizers and detergents.

There are many different types of techniques that are used to activate and functionalize paraffins.
These techniques use different types of materials like zeolites, clays, monoliths, hydrotalcite etc.

1.1 Zeolites

A zeolite is a crystalline aluminosilicate material or mineral that occurs naturally. There are many different types of zeolites that are available today. They come in different shapes and forms e.g. ZSM-5, faujasites, mordenite, zeolite beta, chabazite and ferrierite. Zeolites are commonly classified according to their pore sizes into micropores (pore diameter ≤ 2 nm), mesopores (2 nm < pore diameter ≤ 50 nm) and macropores (pore diameter ≥ 50 nm) [12]. They possess a very unique physical property that makes them more useful than other materials, like monoliths. Their structure, channels and cavities are properties that lead to shape selectivity behaviour that is important in the field of catalysis [13].

1.1.1 Shape selectivity of zeolite

One of the very unique properties of zeolites is shape selectivity since a zeolite contains channels and cavities of specific dimensions. Shape selectivity can be used to control the preferential production (selectivity) of a specific product and govern the use of a substrate or oxidant. There are three important aspects of shape selectivity [12]:

i) Reactant shape selectivity (Fig. 1.1a): This is mainly dependent on the size of the reactant, the bigger reactant molecules are excluded or do not enter the pore of the zeolite. This means that if the active site is inside the channels, the reactants that do not enter the channels do not react. Using the reactant shape selectivity concept, one can control which reactants or substrates will react at the active site within the channels.

ii) Product shape selectivity (Fig. 1.1b): This is controlled by the available space inside the channels or cavity. The channels can restrict the formation of bulky products depending on the dimension or diameter of the channels. Also a specific orientation of the substrate will be favored which will constitute or promote the formation of a specific product.

iii) Restricted transition state shape selectivity (Fig. 1.1c): The formation of a bulky transition state is restricted inside the channels or cavities. This usually minimizes
the formation of bulky products from the zeolite. The restricted transition state shape selectivity is mainly dependent on the crystal size of the zeolite. Depending on the desired products and reactants, shape selectivity can be tuned to drive a reaction in a required direction. Furthermore, one can choose from a wide range of zeolite types that possess pores size for a specific reaction.

Fig. 1.1: Shape selectivity of zeolite shows (a) Reactant, (b) Product and (c) Transition state selectivity [12]

1.1.2 Zeolite structure

There are many different zeolite structures that are currently used in the field of catalysis. Fig.1. 2 shows some zeolite types and their pore sizes [14]. Zeolite A and ZSM-11 (Fig. 1.3) are the most widely used in catalytic applications.
Fig. 1.2: Pore size of different zeolite materials [14]
[Reuse with permission from Elsevier]

Fig. 1.3: Structures of zeolite A and ZSM-11 [15]
[Reuse with permission from RSC]
1.1.3 ZSM-5 zeolite

The ZSM-5 (Fig. 1.4) zeolite type belongs to the pentasil family of zeolites. It consists of a three-dimensional structure of an aluminosilicate material with 10-membered rings that are linked together by O atoms. The average pore size of the channels ranges between 5.4 – 5.6 Å, and the Si/Al ratio that can be changed from 12 to almost $\infty$ [16]. An additional cation, like H$^+$, is required to balance the charges. There are many industrial processes today that use solid ZSM-5 as a catalyst, e.g., isomerisation and Fischer Tropsch.

![ZSM-5 structure](image)

Fig. 1.4: ZSM-5 structure to illustrate the intersection of channels [17]
[Reuse with permission from RSC]

This type of zeolite is reported to be stable to a temperature above 1000 °C and has a high acid stability. The acidity of the ZSM-5 can be controlled by varying the Al$^{3+}$ content. Also, the hydrophobic behavior can be easily modified [16].

1.1.4 Faujasite zeolite

Faujasite (Fig. 1.5) has a three-dimensional pore structure with a pore size of 7.4 Å. It is composed of a 12-membered ring structure with a cavity of 12 Å in size [18] and belongs to a silicate mineral group with faujasite-Na, faujasite-Mg and faujasite-Ca being commonly known. Zeolite X and Y are widely used and the Si/Al ratio of the framework structure determines the type of structure formed. The X zeolite has a ratio of 2-3, while 3 and higher produces the Y zeolite. The stability of faujasite increases with an increase in Si/Al ratio which makes the Y
zeolite the more stable faujasite structure.

Fig. 1.5: Structure of zeolite Y [19]
[Reuse with permission from Elsevier]

1.2 Biomimetic concept

Living organisms and enzymes like cytochrome p450, (Fig. 1.6) display a unique reaction pathway in oxidation and functionalisation of the alkanes. They are known to selectively react with the very stable C-H bond of alkanes at the carbon-1 position to achieve 100% selectivity to terminal products [20, 21]. It has been reported that the element Fe is the active metal responsible for alkane activation in cytochrome p450. This enzyme belongs to the family of monoxygenases and it is not only responsible for insertion of oxygen into alkanes, but can also perform some very useful reactions like epoxidation and dehydrogenation [22]. The cytochrome p450 is believed to have a specific control over substrate adsorption and desorption, which governs the high selectivity to terminal products [22].
In order to determine and understand its reaction path and mechanism there is a plethora of research interests into the design systems that resemble cytochrome p450.

The general scheme of cytochrome p450 mechanism shown in Fig.1.7 indicates that the pathway to the oxidation of alkanes occurs after interaction of O$_2$ with Fe$^{3+}$. It is reported that after step 4, the steps that follow are complicated and involve kinetically controlled reactions [24]. It can be observed from the mechanism that the oxidation occurs in steps 7 and 8 after the formation of FeO$_3^+$ (perferryl oxygen complex). The FeO$_3^+$ is believed to be responsible for the oxidation, as it can abstract H from the substrate to form FeOH$_3^+$, which then decomposes, through a homolytic route, to generate the product [24].

The study and observation of these living organisms and cytochrome p450 has led to the design of models that mimic and copy their reaction pathways. This is approached by designing systems that provide structures and chemistry that are, similar to that already established for cytochrome p450. Some of the biomimetic models applied to the activation of paraffins are
Titanium silicalite-1 (TS-1) materials are titanium based compounds of a high silica zeolite with the MFI or ZSM-5 structure. This is one of the systems that are believed to have a reaction path that is closely related to the mode of action of cytochrome p450.

The proposed mechanism (Fig. 1.8) of TS-1 using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} shows that the formation of titanyl and peroxotitanium groups are very important intermediate species for the oxidation of paraffins. The oxidation of paraffin occurs after radical formation on the titanyl species. TS-1 has been reported to achieve a C-2 > C-3 > C-4 selectivity trend with no C-1 activation observed in the oxidation of octane using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} [27]. The TS-2 (titanium silicate with MEL structure) catalyst was reported to produce 65 and 25% selectivities to cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone.
respectively in the oxidation of cyclohexane using H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidant [26]. The catalysis of Na-V-ZSM-5, which was synthesised using ionic exchange, was investigated in the oxidation of hexane where a selectivity of 1.6% to 1-hexanol was reported [27]. The vanadium silicates VS-2 were also used in the oxidation of hexane using H$_2$O$_2$ where a 32% selectivity to terminal products was reported [28]. A selectivity of 24% to terminal product in the oxidation of hexane using Mn-ZSM-5, which was prepared using ionic exchange, was also reported [29].

![Fig. 1.8: Proposed mechanism of the activation of a substrate R-H using TS-1[30] [Reuse with permission from Elsevier](image)](image)

1.2.1 ZSM-5 systems

Due to the activities gained from the use of active metals in zeolites, the synthesis of zeolites incorporating active metals has been an area of active research [31]. The incorporation of active metals into a matrix like zeolite provides the field of catalysis with some benefits, like ease of recovery of the metal. This approach of incorporation helps to heterogenise the metal by entrapping it within the matrix; furthermore, the matrix used provides substrate and product shape selectivity. The main challenge comes when one needs to control the degree of dispersion of the elements within the matrix. There are several methods that are used to incorporate a transition element into a zeolite matrix with the three most routinely used being: i) isomorphic substitution, [32] ii) ionic exchange and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [33].
Isomorphic substitution (Scheme 1.2) involves the addition of active metals during the synthesis of the desired zeolite. This results in the incorporation of the desired elements within the framework structure of the zeolite. The incorporation of active metals is influenced by synthetic conditions like pH and the amount of transition elements used.

It has been reported that the use of high amounts of active metals can lead to formation of a conglomerate that usually occupies the extra-framework position of the zeolite as metal oxide. Furthermore, the nature and the position of these metal oxide species are believed to have a very high influence on catalytic reaction behaviour. Therefore, it is very important to define the synthetic conditions in order to obtain a desired catalyst.
CI : Counterion (H\(^+\), Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\))

T: V\(^{3+}\), Fe\(^{3+}\), etc

Scheme 1.2: An illustration of isomorphic substitution

In the case of ionic exchange (Scheme 1.3) ions within the zeolite are exchanged with desired active metals using the ionic exchange approach.
Scheme 1.3: An illustration of ionic exchange

The zeolite can be modified using an aqueous solution or by solid state ionic exchange of the transition element at a certain temperature. The solid state ionic exchange is commonly performed at a very high temperature compared to solution ionic exchange.

Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) occurs at high temperature above 600 °C. The active metals are transported in the form of a vapor and deposited on to the targeted material. This approach is believed to be more reproducible compared to other methods [17].
i) Silanisation

In order to maximize the effect of shape selectivity that is provided by zeolites, the external surface of the zeolite must be inactive; hence the active metals must be imbedded within the channels and cavities of the zeolite. However, this is not always the case, because during the incorporation of active metals into the zeolite pores, some of the metals occupy sites outside the channels and react uncontrollably, which leads to loss in selectivity. Therefore, it is of high interest to find ways of inertize the external surface of the zeolite. One of the most common approaches that are used to inertize the outer surface is through the process of silanisation. This is the deposition of alkoxy-silanes on the external surface of the zeolite. There are two well known methods of silanisation: i) Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD), where a silanising agent is used in a vapor form and ii) Chemical Liquid Deposition (CLD) where a silanising agent is used in a liquid form [34]. There are a few types of silanising agents that can be used as silicon source, including tetramethoxysilane (TMOS) and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) which are of different sizes [35]. The choice of silanising agent is mainly dependent on the type of zeolite used.

It has been reported that silanisation of materials, like zeolite, can be associated with narrowing of the pore opening of the zeolite, however, the ZSM-5 zeolite was found to be less affected by this phenomenon compared to other zeolites, like mordenite and beta zeolite [34]. Furthermore, the use of calcination to remove solvent that was used during the silanisation and unreacted silanising agent can lead to re-exposure of the transition element or aluminium that was inertised [18]. The use of high amounts of solvent at lower temperature during silanisation can lead to more efficient silanisation [34]

ii) Thermal treatment and calcination

The incorporation of active metals within the framework structure of zeolite as T-atoms is a very attractive area of research. This phenomenon is regarded as a special concept in the field of catalysis [34]. However, it is also reported that calcination and thermal treatment can cause the migration of active metals from framework positions to extra-framework positions [36].
1.2.2 Faujasite systems

Encapsulation of active metal complexes into zeolite matrix has been a growing area of research for the past few decades [37]. The use of microporous materials to entrap metal complexes has helped to heterogenise the chemistry of metalloporphyrin (Fig.1.9). There are two commonly used approaches to encapsulate metalloporphyrin into zeolite matrix, like NaY and NaX, which are: zeolite synthesis and flexible ligand [37].

Fig. 1.9: Encapsulation of Fe-TPP into NaY

Heterogenisation is achieved when a metalloporphyrin or active metal complex is added to the zeolite during synthesis. This approach leads to the formation of the zeolite structure encapsulating the complex, in which the complex sits in the voids or cavities of the zeolite.
Second is the flexible ligand method, which is when a metalloporphyrin complex is physically diffused through the zeolite pores. Usually, once they become rigid inside the zeolite, the complexes become too big and even in solution will not leach from the zeolite. Complexes of Co, Mn, Fe, Rh, and Pd encapsulated in zeolite matrices were reported in different catalytic reactions [38-40]. The use of zeolites in encapsulation of metalloporphyrins provides another benefit, in preventing the formation of μ-oxo dimers and polymeric species, which are known to be deactivated forms of the metalloporphyrins.

Application of metalloporphyrins as catalysts has been found in many reactions, including oxidation and epoxidation. The area of metalloporphyrins is broad, which allows researchers to choose one that is suitable for a particular reaction. There are different types of metalloporphyrins that are able to provide regioselective enzyme type of reactions.

Tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP) ligand (Fig. 1.10 a) is regarded as the first generation of metalloporphyrin where metals like Fe, Co, Ru and Mn can be inserted to produce a homogenous catalyst. The TPP ligand was first synthesised by Adler and co-workers [41]. Furthermore, Groves [42] was the first to report the application of metalloporphyrin containing iron in the oxidation of alkanes using iodosylbenzene as an oxidant.

The introduction of an alkyl or halogen groups at the ortho, meta or para position of a phenyl group that is bonded to porphyrin macrocycle resulted in the formation of a second generation of metalloporphyrins as shown in Fig. 1.10 b [43]. This includes metalloporphyrins like mesotetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin.
Fig. 1.10: Porphyrin a) tetraphenylporphyrin (TPP), b) meso-etrakis (pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin (TPFPP) and c) meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-β-octabromoporphyrin (Br₈TDCPP)
The third generation (Fig. 1.10 c), resulted from the introduction of halogens at the β position of pyrroles like meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-β-octabromoporphyrin. It was reported that these halide elements influence the Fe(III)/(II) redox couple and protect the porphyrin from damage during an oxidation reaction [43].

1.2.3 Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs)

There are generally two main components that are required for the formation of MOF materials: a metal ion and an organic material [44]. The coordination between the metal ion and organic material is the phenomenon responsible for the formation of MOFs. The organic material must contain lone pairs of electrons to be donated to the metal ion and the metal ion must have vacant orbitals suitable to accept the electrons from the organic material [45]. There are different structures of MOFs which depends on organic material used for synthesis.

![Scheme 1.4: General synthesis of a MOF using the conventional method](image-url)
There are two types of routes commonly used for the synthesise of MOFs: conventional (Scheme 1.4) and unconventional (Scheme 1.5) methods [46]. The conventional method involves the use of solvents like DMF (dimethylformamide), where a solution of an organic material is mixed with a solution of the metal ion at a particular temperature while stirring. This leads to coordination where crystallization and formation of MOFs occurs.

The unconventional method is a solvent free method where an organic material and metal ion are ground using a mortar and pestle in the absence of a solvent. This method is environmentally friendly, since it does not use a solvent and is known to produce a high yield of product [46].

Scheme 1.5: General synthesis of MOF using the unconventional method
Fig. 1.11: MOF-5 structures [47]
[Reuse with permission from Elsevier]

Fig. 1.11 shows the three dimensional crystalline structure of a porous material which was made by the coordination of a metal ion and an organic molecule (linker). It has a high surface area and widely used in gas storage [48]. MOF-5 is a cube shaped compound with the metal ion coordinating at each corner of cube [48]. It has about 12 Å pore size and the traditional Cu based MOF-5 has been reported to be used in catalysis for the oxidation of substrates like cumene and ethylbenzene using H₂O₂. There are different types of MOFs which have different cavity sizes, and a selection is shown in Table 1.1.

One of the known synthetic MOFs is Cu₃O(BDC)₃ (BDC = terephthalate) which was reported by Cueto et al. [49]. They reported the synthesis via reaction of terephthalic acid (organic material) with a copper salt in dimethylformamide (DMF) as a solvent
Table 1.1: Cavity size of different MOFs [50]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cavity Size (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRMOF-10</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMOF-14</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMOF-16</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMOF-3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRMOF-4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IRMOF (Isoreticular MOFs)

Furthermore, Jefrey et al. reported the synthesis of $\text{Zn}_3(\text{DHBDC})_4$ using 2,5 dihydroxylterephthalic acid (DHBDC) and zinc acetate in DMF as solvent [51].

The use of Fe-MIL-101 and Cr-MIL-101 (MIL = Materials of Institut Lavoisier) catalysts in oxidation of cyclohexene and α-pinene at 40 – 60 °C has also been reported. These catalysts were recycled several times without leaching of the active metal [52]. The Zr-MOF was reported to be used in amination of aldehydes with nitrobenzene under H$_2$ atmosphere [53]. A highly porous MOF-199 material was reported to be used in Ullman-type reactions of aryl iodide and phenols to produce diaryl ethers [54]. Furthermore, MOFs like $\text{Zn}_4\text{O(BDC)}_3$ etc. are mainly used in gas storage [45].

1.3 Selectivity to terminal products

The oxidation of linear paraffins to produce value added products like oxygenates has been an area of interest for many years. The main focus of many research efforts was to establish techniques that will be able to activate primary carbons of linear paraffins. However, internal carbons have been found to be more reactive compared to the terminal carbons and the selectivity to terminal products (primary alcohol, aldehyde, and acid) is relatively low.

Many designs of catalysts have been developed over the years in order to selectively activate the
primary C-H bonded at carbon (C-1) position of linear paraffin like hexane, heptane, octane etc. The use of titanium silicalite (TS-1) and TS-2 to oxidize linear paraffins using H$_2$O$_2$ has been found to produce high amounts of oxygenates without producing terminal products. These materials are able to produce oxygenates of the internal carbons only [26].

Cook et al. reported (Table 1.2) the oxidation of heptane and octane, in benzene as a solvent, using iodosobenzene as an oxidant in the presence of 5, 10, 15, 20, tekakis (2’, 4’, 6’- triphenyl phenyl)porphyrinato-manganese(III) acetate (MnTTPPOAc) [55]. Selectivities of 26 and 21% to terminal products were reported for heptane and octane respectively. This is a homogeneous system of bulky metalloporphyrin, where the issues of separation are a major limitation.

Herron used a Fe-ZSM-5 catalyst that was prepared through the ionic exchange method (Table 1.2), and hence the Fe active sites are located at the extra framework positions of the zeolite [56]. The oxidation of octane was performed in a solvent free system in the presence of cyclohexane. The author reported that the use or addition of cyclohexane increased the production of the terminal products in octane oxidation. A selectivity of 45% to terminal products was reported in the oxidation of octane using H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidant. However, the stability of the catalyst was not reported to establish if the Fe is leaching out or not.

A possible breakthrough in the activation of paraffins was reported by Thomas et al. (Table 1.2) where selectivity of 65% to terminal products was achieved in the oxidation of octane using air as an oxidant over a Co/Mn-AlPO-18 zeolite catalyst [57]. It was reported that the 8-membered ring window of the catalyst is responsible for the high selectivity to terminal products. However, the catalyst was found to be unstable and not reproducible [57].

The use of 2, 4-dichloro-3, 5-dinitrobenzoic carboxylate Rh complexes as homogeneous catalysts in the oxidation of hexane has been reported (Table 1.2) to produce 31% selectivity to carbene insertion into the primary carbon of hexane [58]. Furthermore, a 65% yield to n-octyl-1-Bpin was obtained when octane was oxidized using an HBpin (Pinacolborane) catalyst in a homogeneous system [59].
Recently, the production of methanol from methane using H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidant was reported. A selectivity of 93% to methanol was reported using Fe-ZSM-5 and Fe-Silicalite 1 as catalysts (Table 1.2) and the oxidation is believed to be due to the extra framework Fe species formed after heat treatment [38].

The oxidation of cyclohexane has been found to produce cyclohexanol and cyclohexanone with the latter being the predominant product. The use of oxidants like TBHP, H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$ has been found to be suitable for this kind of reaction [60 - 62]. Only Au/Pd MiL-100 from Table 3 show high selectivity to cylohexanol [63]

The highest selectivity of 65% to terminal product was achieved using zeolite MnAlPO-18 and CoAlPO-18. However, the main setback for this system was reproducibility and stability of the catalyst. This can be due to the soft nature of the AlPO-18 structure which tends to collapse and release the active metal during the reaction. This can be addressed by using a hard zeolite like NaY or ZSM-5 as matrix. The Fe-ZSM-5 was used in the oxidation of n-octane in the present of cyclohexane. The Fe was introduced into the ZSM-5 structure by the process of ionic exchange. However, the use of the ion exchange method can lead to leaching of the Fe into the solution to react as a homogeneous catalyst. Therefore, framework substitution method can be used to overcome possible leaching as the Fe will be incorporated within the structure of the ZSM-5.

One of the main challenges of the framework substitution method is that the Fe may be incorporated in the external framework of the structure. This possibility leads to an uncontrollable catalytic reaction which implies loss of selectivity to the desired product and therefore defeats the purpose of using the ZSM-5 matrix. However, it can be corrected by inertising the external surface of the catalyst using the process of silanization.

The aperture size of the ZSM-5 zeolite is 5.4 Å, and therefore it is important to evaluate the effect of aperture size in relation to selectivity to terminal products in the oxidation of n-octane. The use of NaY and MOF-5 with aperture sizes of 7.4 and 12 Å respectively provides the necessary comparison.
Table 1.2: Comparison of selectivity to terminal products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Selectivity to terminal products (%)</th>
<th>Reaction temperature ( °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MnTTPPPPOAc</td>
<td>heptane</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>C₆H₅IO</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25 [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnTTPPPPOAc</td>
<td>octane</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>C₆H₅IO</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25 [55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-ZSM-5 (ionic exchange)</td>
<td>octane</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25 [56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnAlPO-18</td>
<td>octane</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>60+</td>
<td>80 [57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4 dichloro 3,5 dinitrobenzoic carboxylate Rh complexes</td>
<td>hexane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>150 [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinacolborane (HB pin)</td>
<td>octane</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-silicalite-1</td>
<td>methane</td>
<td></td>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>50 [38]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.3: Oxidation of cyclohexane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Reaction temperature ( °C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(Salen)Y</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>TBHP</td>
<td>cyclohexanol: 27</td>
<td>25 [60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bis(salicyaldehyde) oxaloyldihydrazone transition metal complex</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>cyclohexanone: 73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-[H4] salin/Y</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>70 [61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/Pd MIL-101</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>150 [63]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The NaY will be used to encapsulate FeTPP (tetraphenylporphyrin) to produce a FeTPP-NaY catalyst, while Fe will be incorporated within the MOF-5 to produce FeMOF-5.

1.4 Aims of the project

In this study, Fe-silicalite-1 and Fe-ZSM-5 of different Si/Fe ratios will be synthesized using the solid gel method to incorporate Fe within the framework of a zeolite. Furthermore, these catalysts will be silanised to deactivate the external surface. In addition, the FeTPP will be immobilized within the NaY structure using the solid gel method and FeMOF-5 of different Fe content will be synthesized. All the synthesized catalysts will be tested in oxidation of n-octane in acetonitrile using H$_2$O$_2$ as oxidant.
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Chapter two

Peroxide oxidation of n-octane over Na-Fe-silicalite-1 and H-Fe-Silicalite-1 catalysts

Abstract

The synthesis of the catalysts Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) has been achieved by using the solid gel method to incorporate the Fe as a T-atom in the zeolite framework (the values in brackets refer to Si/Fe molar ratio). Techniques that include FT-IR, XRD, SEM, TEM, ICP-OES, XRF, BET, TPR and TPD were used to characterize the catalysts. The XRD results show that only the ZSM-5 phase was present in all the synthesized catalysts. In addition, the TPR data showed a single peak assignable to Fe(III) species at 809, 806, and 777 °C for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), H-Fe-Silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) respectively. The oxidation of octane over Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) using H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidant showed a direct dependence of conversion on temperature such that conversions of 9.6, 16.1 and 18% were recorded at 40, 60 and 80 °C respectively. However, an inverse relationship was observed for the selectivity to terminal products (1-octanol and octanal). Selectivities of 30, 25 and 24% were recorded at 40, 60 and 80 °C respectively. The H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) catalysts were further tested at 80 °C with the results showing that the H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) attained a terminal product selectivity of 26% at 19.8% conversion, while Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) showed 27% selectivity to terminal products at 13.7% conversion. Only linear C8 products were observed under the reaction conditions used.

Keywords: n-Octane; Oxidation; Iron; Zeolite; Framework; Octanol; Octanone; Octanal
2.1. Introduction

Paraffins are abundant and relatively cheap. However, due to their relative inertness, activating the paraffinic C-H bonds to yield value-added useful chemicals such as oxygenates usually requires the use of harsh reaction conditions (high temperature and pressure) [1]. Many studies have been conducted in an effort to overcome these limitations [2-5]. Despite all the attempts, the ability to design a catalytic system for the oxidation of paraffins under mild conditions is still a major challenge in the field of catalysis [6]. There has been a steady increase in the number of gas (and coal) to liquid plants worldwide which will significantly increase the supply of medium to long chain linear paraffins [7], consequently necessitating the need for processes to convert these to valuable products, such as oxygenates. The low value of linear paraffins, coupled with increasing environmental awareness and stricter environmental legislation from governments across the world, implies that the development of a catalyst system capable of utilising paraffins with environmentally friendly oxidants like molecular O₂ and H₂O₂ is of paramount importance [8, 9]. The currently available catalytic processes give low selectivity to the desired product(s) or at best only achieve very low paraffin conversions [10]. The robust catalytic activity of the cytochrome P450 enzymes are well documented for their ability to activate organic molecules in oxidation reactions using the O₂ molecule under mild conditions [11-13]. The key feature of the enzymes is their ability to achieve a very high selectivity to terminal products in the oxidation of alkanes and this has inspired a lot of biomimetic research across the world [13].

The well-structured zeolite materials are commonly used as inorganic matrices for the incorporation of active sites that control selectivity in catalysis as methods of mimicking the enzymatic environment. In this regards, Zhang et al. have reported a selectivity of 21% to terminal products using Fe/Pd zeolite in the oxidation of n-octane using an O₂-H₂ mixture. However, the key drawback was that products elimination from the zeolite pores was only possible after dissolution of the entire zeolite structure [15]. Good selectivity was reported by Herron where a selectivity of 45% to terminal products was achieved in the oxidation of n-octane over Fe-ZSM-5 using H₂O₂ as an oxidant. In
Herron’s study, the extra framework iron substitution method was used and leaching tests, because leaching could be a major drawback, were not reported [16]. Furthermore, a selectivity of 65.5% to terminal products in the oxidation of \( n \)-hexane using MnAIPO-18 (and 61.3% using CoAIPO-18) with air as oxidant has been reported [17-19]. To help address some of the issues associated with the activation of paraffins, we hereby present a report on the oxidation of octane to terminal products using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as an environmentally benign oxidant. The Na-Fe-silicalite-1 and H-Fe-silicalite-1 catalysts were prepared using the solid gel method in order to incorporate iron atoms within the zeolite framework. The synthesized catalysts were tested in the oxidation of \( n \)-octane at 40, 60 and 80 °C using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in acetonitrile. The effect of Si/Fe ratios of 34 and 68 (atomic molar ratios) was also investigated with the aim of influencing catalyst selectivity to terminal products.

2.2. Experimental

2.2.1 Catalyst synthesis

*Materials:* All materials purchased were used as supplied: sodium silicate (Sigma-Aldrich), colloidal silica Ludox 40 (Sigma-Aldrich), iron(III)nitrate nonahydrate (Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (Merck), tetrapropylammonium bromide (TPA, Merck), perchloric acid 70% (Merck), sodium chloride (Associated Chemical Enterprises), hydrochloric acid (Associated Chemical Enterprises), hydroxylamine (Merck), potassium hydroxide (Merck), ammonium hydroxide (Merck), Sc-zeolite (Süd Chemie), acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich), octane (Fluka), hydrogen peroxide 30 wt% (Associated Chemical Enterprises), and hydrofluoric acid (Riedel-de Haën).

The Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) was synthesised using the solid gel method [20]. Iron nitrate (1.57 g) was dissolved in deionized water (100 mL) which was acidified by three drops of perchloric acid in a 500 mL Teflon beaker, followed by addition of perchloric acid (3.5 mL) (solution 1). In a second 500 mL Teflon beaker, 11.5 ml of sodium silicate solution was added to 141 mL of deionized water (solution 2). Both solutions were kept
in a refrigerator overnight. While still cold, solution 2 was added dropwise into solution 1 under vigorous stirring until pH 4.5 (using pH paper). Then 14.8 mL of colloidal silica was added into the remainder of solution 2 and then added to solution 1. To the slurry that formed was added 2 g sodium chloride, 6.7 g TPA and 0.2 g Sc-zeolite as seed. The pH of the resultant creamy slurry was 10.5. The slurry was transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave and allowed to crystallize in a Parr reactor at 160 °C for 24 hours without agitation. After crystallization, solids were filtered, washed with hot water and dried at 110 °C from which Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) was obtained. The procedure is the same for the synthesis of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) except that 0.81 g of iron nitrate was used. Catalysts were calcined under N₂ and then air at 500 °C.

The H-Fe-Silicalite-1(34) was obtained by adding Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) into 0.1 M ammonium nitrate and allowing the mixture to stand overnight. The product was then filtered, dried and calcined at 500 °C under N₂ and then air [21].

2.2.2 Characterisation

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy data was obtained within the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using a Nicolet 400D spectrophotometer. Powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) was performed using a Philips PW 1730/10 diffractometer, using Cu Kα radiation, equipped with a long line focus operating with amperage of 20 mA and voltage of 40 kV. Data was collected in the range of 2 to 90° (2θ). Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data was obtained using a Philips XL30 ESEM at 20 kV operating at a low vacuum mode of 1 Torr. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images were obtained from a JEOL JEM 1010. Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) data was collected on a Perkin Elmer (Optima 5300 DV) instrument. Samples were digested in hydrofluoric acid and the analysis were done in triplicate. The X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy was performed on a PW 1404 X-Ray Fluorescence instrument equipped with a flow counter detector. Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) surface area measurements were obtained using a Micromeritics Gemini instrument. The Hydrogen Temperature Programmed Reduction H₂-TPR and Ammonia Temperature Programmed...
Desorption (NH3-TPD) were performed on a Micromeritics Autochem II chemisorption analyzer equipped with a TCD detector. The H2-TPR data was collected between 50 and 900 °C (10 °C min⁻¹) in a flow of 5% (v/v) at 15 mL min⁻¹. The NH3-TPD analysis was collected in the temperature range 50 to 700 °C at a constant heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ after preheating the sample to 700 °C for 2 hours under a flow of helium, then cooled to 50 °C.

2.2.3 Catalyst testing

All the reactions were performed under nitrogen. In a typical test run, 13 mL of acetonitrile was added into a two-neck round bottom flask (100 mL) fitted with a stopper and a condensor. This was followed by the sequential addition of 7.01 mmol of octane, 3 mL H2O2 (30 wt%) and 0.2 g catalyst. The reactions were conducted at 40 , 60 and 80 °C for 8 hours. The products were analysed by Perkin Elmer Auto System GC equipped with a Flame Ionisation Detector using a Pona 50 x 0.20 mm x 0.5 μm column. The residual H2O2 was determined by titrating 1 mL of the reaction solution acidified with 6M H2SO4 with 0.1 M KMnO4. Chlorobenzene was used as an internal standard and the carbon balance was found to be +/- 98% in all cases. Each reaction was performed in triplicate.

2.2.4 Oxidation of n-octane in the presence of cyclohexane

The oxidation of n-octane with the addition of cyclohexane was conducted following the procedure stated by Herron [16]. A 0.1 g sample of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) was added to 5 mL round bottom flask containing 1 mL octane, 0.2 mL of H2O2 (30 wt%) and 1μL chlorobenzene, as an internal standard. The reactions were conducted at room temperature and 80 °C.
2.3. Results and Discussion

2.3.1 Catalyst characterisation

The FT-IR results of the functionalised Fe-Silicalite-1 catalysts are presented in Table 2.1. The spectra show peaks at 550 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with the ZSM-5 framework structure [22]. The peak at 796 cm\(^{-1}\), which is assigned to Fe-O-Si of the ZSM-5 framework, and the peak at 1100 cm\(^{-1}\), which is due to Si-O stretching, are diagnostic. The spectrum of H-Fe-Silicalite-1(34) shows an extra peak at 3500 cm\(^{-1}\), which is due to Si-OH or Fe-OH stretching [22]. The FT-IR pattern of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) is essentially similar to that of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), consistent with the fact that in this instance the infrared analysis is used qualitatively rather than as a quantitative technique.

The powder XRD diffractograms of all the catalysts show the ZSM-5 pattern, (Fig. 2.1). The intensity of the peaks confirmed that the catalysts are crystalline in nature and no Fe haematite phase lines at 38.7 and 41.6° 2θ values [23] are observed.
The SEM image of the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) catalyst, Fig. 2.2, shows that the bulk of the catalyst is characterised by having a predominantly uniform spherical morphology. This observation is further complimented by the TEM image presented in Fig. 2.3 for the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) catalyst in which the spherical outline is clearly evident with crystals ranging between 10–14 μm in diameter. All the synthesised catalysts showed this characteristic spherical pattern and fall within this size range.
In order to study the effects of varying the Si/Fe ratio on catalytic performance, the amount of iron was varied during the synthesis to yield catalysts containing 2.01 and 1.15 wt% Fe, as obtained by XRF analysis. Hence, Si/Fe molar ratios of 34 and 68 were obtained as shown in Table 2.1.

The BET surface measurements (Table 2.2) were found to range between 314 and 365 m²/g, with the high Si/Fe ratio catalysts observed to also exhibit higher BET surface areas. Hydrogen-TPR results are also shown in Table 2.2 for which a single peak was observed for all the catalysts. An equal amount of hydrogen (H₂/Fe molar ratio = 0.4) was required for the reduction of Fe in both the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and the H-Fe-Silicalite-1(34) catalysts, except that a lower temperature of 809 °C was required for the former as compared to a 807 °C reduction temperature for the latter.
Table 2.1: FTIR and iron content analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>FT-IR (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>XRF (wt%)</th>
<th>ICP-OES</th>
<th>Si/Fe molar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 796, 550</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>3500, 1200, 1100, 790, 548</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68)</td>
<td>1200, 1049, 790, 538</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68), a H₂/Fe molar ratio of 0.7 was required to reduce the Fe at a reduction temperature of 778 °C. The lone peak observed for all the catalysts is associated with Fe³⁺ incorporated within the silicalite-1 framework. It is known that Fe³⁺ is reduced at temperatures above 600 °C [24].

The NH₃-TPD results show two peaks for all catalysts, one in the low temperature region (159-194 °C) and the second peak in the relatively high temperature region (329-346 °C) as shown in Table 2.2. The high temperature region results are associated with desorption of NH₃ from the strong Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, while the low temperature region is associated with the desorption of NH₃ from the weak Brønsted and Lewis acid sites [25]. The H-Fe-silicalite-1 has higher acidity as shown in Table 2.2 due to the presence of H atoms.

Table 2.2: Surface measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Acidity mmol/m² (x 10⁻³)</th>
<th>BET M²/g</th>
<th>H₂-TPR H₂/Fe molar ratio</th>
<th>NH₃-TPD Total mmol/g</th>
<th>Tm, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>193.6, 345.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>186.1, 335.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>158.8, 329.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.2 Catalyst testing

With the synthesised catalysts fully characterised, catalysts Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) were tested for activity in the conversion of \( n \)-octane to
oxygenates using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} as oxidant. The ratio of \textit{n}-octane to H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was kept at 3:1 to limit possible over oxidation. These catalysts were chosen to investigate the effect of varying the cation in the zeolite and the effects of Si/Fe ratio towards the selectivity to terminal oxygenate products. Data showing the overall conversion of octane (Fig. 2.4) to oxygenates shows a gradual increase in conversion with time that is temperature dependent. The highest conversion of 18 \% was obtained at a reaction temperature of 80 °C after 2 hours. The generally low conversions may be attributed to the rate of diffusion of products from the ZSM-5 structure channels and pores, which are believed to be the limiting factor. The Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) was chosen to investigate the effects of temperature on the conversion of octane at 40, 60 and 80 °C. Since acetonitrile boils at 80 °C, this temperature was considered as the maximum temperature. Generally the overall conversion of octane to oxygenates increases with temperature and is directly related to reaction time. The highest conversion of 18\% was observed at 80 °C after 2 hours, which then levels off with time probably due to the very low desorption rate of the products from the catalyst pores. Conversion of reactions at the lower temperatures levelled off after 8 hours.

![Conversion of octane to C8 oxygenates as a function of time for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)](image)

Fig. 2.4: Conversion of octane to C8 oxygenates as a function of time for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)

Only C8 products were obtained, namely octanol and octanones. The octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio results using the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) catalyst (Table 2.3) showed that at 40 °C the reaction produced more octanols during the first hour of reaction (1.3 ratio). However, after six hours of reaction, more octanones were produced, most probably due to further oxidation of the octanols.
This suggests that the octanols are primary products and they are further oxidised to octanones over time. This is further supported by the observation that octanal and 2-octanone were produced when 1-octanol and 2-octanol were oxidised under identical conditions. At reaction temperatures of 60 and 80 °C, octanones production was dominant throughout. However, less octanones were obtained at 80 °C (1.4) than 60 °C reaction temperature, likely, due to the H₂O₂ decomposition at 80 °C which might be slightly higher than the decomposition of H₂O₂ at 60 °C.

Table 2.3: Octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio using Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Hours)</th>
<th>octanone(s):octanol(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparing the selectivity to terminal products (Figs. 2.5 A-Fig. 2.5 C); the reaction at 40 °C showed a better selectivity of 30% to terminal products, while the reactions at 60 and 80 °C gave selectivities of 25% and 24% respectively. Octanal was the dominant product in these reactions and was observed to increase with time for the reactions conducted at 40 and 60 °C. The conversion is known to increase with increasing temperature and it is faster at higher temperature than at lower temperature.

Since conversion was highest at 80 °C, this temperature was used to conduct further tests to establish the scope of the catalytic process for the H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) catalysts. The conversion and selectivities of the catalysts were compared as shown in Table 2.4. It was observed that the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) showed better selectivity (28%) to terminal products compared to Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) at a conversion of 13.7%. The H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) showed 26% selectivity to terminal products at a conversion of 19.8%. The conversion and the selectivity to terminal products of H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) were thus found to be higher than those over Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) (Table 2.4). The difference in activities can be
attributed to the different atomic sizes of H and Na which can influence the pore size.

Fig. 2.5 : Selectivity to terminal products using Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) at A: 40 °C, B: 60 °C and C: 80 °C

The conversion of H₂O₂ over these Fe-silicalite-1 systems was found to be between 21-24 %, corresponding to H₂O₂ efficiency of between 98-98.9%.

Table 2.4: Selectivity to terminal products, conversion and octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: catalyst = 0.2 g, octane/H₂O₂ = 2.9 (molar ratio), acetonitrile (13 ml), reaction time = 8 hours at 80 °C
Percentage deviation = 1.5%
Octanones(s) = octanone(s) + octanal
When the relative concentration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was increased by decreasing the octane/\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) ratio to 1:4 (Table 2.5) and using similar reaction conditions as before only a marginal increase in conversion was observed, with the highest increase of 2.2% recorded for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68). Due to an increase in the relative amount of oxidant a significant change in octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio was observed, with higher amounts of octanones observed at the higher \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration used, as shown in Table 2.5, for all the catalysts. The highest relative increase in octanones was for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34). These results suggest again that the catalyst first produces octanols and then octanones. To confirmed this, 1-octanol and 2-octanol were oxidised under similar conditions and 6-8% conversions of 1-octanol to octanal and 2-4% conversions of 2-octanol to 2-octanone were observed at 80 °C over 2 hours. However, when radical scavengers like TEMPO (2, 2, 6, 6-tetramethylpiperidine 1-oxyl) and DPPH (1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) were used in conjunction with Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34), the selectivity to terminal products improved by only 1% at the conversion of 17.8%. This suggests that there is only a minor contribution to the OH radicals reaction outside of the silicalite-1 channel.

### Table 2.5: Selectivity to terminal products, conversion and octanone(s)/octanol(s) using high \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>octanone(s):octanol(s) ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Fe-silicalite-1(34)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reaction conditions: catalyst = 0.2 g, octane/\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) =1.4 (molar ratio), acetonitrile (13 ml), reaction time = 8 hours at 80 °C
* Percentage deviation = 1.4%
* Octanone(s) = octanone(s) + octanal

Fig. 2.6 shows the reaction products distribution over the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) catalyst, with octanal, 2-octanol and 2-octanone obtained in 19.8 %, 19.4 and 18.9 % selectivities. All other products had selectivities lower than 15%. The H-Fe-silicalite-1(34) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(68) materials exhibited a similar trend of product selectivity. No cracking products were observed for any catalysts under any of the conditions employed.
The catalyst Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) was used to investigate catalyst stability at the optimum temperature of 80 °C. Three cycles were conducted using the same catalyst. No change in ZSM-5 structure was observed for the used catalyst as confirmed by XRD, FT-IR and SEM techniques. It was observed that the catalyst maintained its conversion (18%) with no change in product distribution, implying that the Fe-silicalite-1 is efficiently recyclable.

Furthermore, a leaching test was performed at 60 °C using Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34). The solution was clear after filtering off the catalyst after 1 hour of reaction (hot filtration). The solution was stirred a further 7 hours at this temperature and there was no further conversion observed. These findings suggest that there was no leaching of Fe into the solution and that the reactions are completely heterogeneous.

2.3.3 Oxidation of \( n \)-octane in the presence of cyclohexane

Cyclohexane was added to the reaction mixture in order to create secondary carbon competition between the internal carbons in \( n \)-octane and C2 in cyclohexane. This was done with the aim of lowering the C2 selectivity in \( n \)-octane which should result in increasing the C1 selectivity in \( n \)-octane. Indeed, Na-Fe-silicalite-1(34) showed good selectivity of 46.5% to terminal products at
room temperature. However, because both octane and cyclohexane were believed to be able to access the channel and the cavities of the ZSM-5 structure, the cyclohexane was found to be the preferred oxidised substrate by a factor of 300:1 to \(n\)-octane. Similar results were also obtained at 80 °C with the factor of cyclohexane oxidation being increased to 400:1. The limitation of this reaction was found to be the conversion of \(n\)-octane, which was less than 1%. The amount of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) was then increased to 0.4, 0.8 and 1 mL in attempts to increase the conversion of \(n\)-octane. Conversion of cyclohexane was found to increase with the increase in the amount \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), while the conversion of \(n\)-octane remained the same.

2.4. Conclusions

Analysis using XRD and TPR showed that only one species of iron, in the form of \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\) was present in all the synthesised catalysts. In catalytic reactions with \(n\)-octane and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), a direct correlation was established between temperature and conversion with the highest conversion of 18% observed at 80 °C. However, the correlation between catalyst selectivity and temperature was an inverse relationship, with the highest selectivity of 30% to terminal products achieved at 40 °C. The results reveal a 4% improvement in selectivity to terminal products by changing the Si/Fe ratio from 34 to 68. Addition of cyclohexane in the feed improves terminal selectivity at the expense of octane conversion, while using \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) at concentrations below stoichiometric give high \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) efficiencies (98-99%). Evidence implies that the oxidation reaction, most likely was a free radical pathway, takes place almost exclusively within the Fe-silicalites channels. Incorporation of Fe within the zeolite framework thus yields selective catalysts for the mild oxidation of \(n\)-octane to octanols, octanones and octanal with good selectivities to terminal products, especially octanal.
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Chapter three
Evaluation of silanization of Fe-silicalite-1, Na-Fe-ZSM-5 and solvent concentration on the oxidation of \( n \)-octane to C8 oxygenates

Abstract

The synthesis of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) was conducted using the isomorphic substitution method. The numbers in brackets represents the Si/Fe molar ratio and Sil represent silanization. These catalysts were further modified by the process of silanization to yield Na-Fe-Silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil), and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil). The XRD analyses show that only the ZSM-5 phase was present in all the catalysts. These catalysts were tested in the oxidation of \( n \)-octane in MeCN using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as an oxidant. It was found that the selectivity to terminal products increased with increasing the volume of MeCN. Thus e.g. terminal C8 selectivities could be improved from 17 to 27% using the Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) catalyst by increasing the volume of a solvent. Furthermore, Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil) showed selectivity of 20.2 and 20.7% to terminal products. The Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil) show terminal selectivities of 28.1, 14.3, 17.6 and 12.3% respectively. In contrast Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil), and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) show terminal selectivities of 24.5, 25.7, 21.3 and 27.3% respectively. Results also showed that the Na-Fe-ZSM-5 catalyst has better selectivity to terminal products than Na-Fe-silicalite-1.

Keywords: \( n \)-Octane; Oxidation; Iron; Zeolite; Silanization; Octanol; Octanone; Octanal

3.1. Introduction

Paraffins are one of the most common chemical materials on earth. However, they have very limited applications in their natural form. They need to be converted and functionalised into a
more useful material like oxygenates. Linear oxygenates have many applications in pharmaceutical and chemical industries [1]. Therefore it is of interest to convert paraffins to oxygenates. \(\textit{n}\)-Octane was chosen for this study, since a large number of low to medium chain paraffins are produced [2].

The inorganic structures of zeolites are widely used as matrices to incorporate a metal of interest. This is done in order to use the zeolite material to provide shape selectivity as to mimic an enzymatic environment. Zeolites are inorganic materials composed of aluminium and silica. They are crystalline in nature with channels and cavities of different sizes depending on the type. One of the special properties of these materials is the possibility of substituting Al with metals like Fe, Co, Mn etc. into the framework of the zeolite [3]. However, the substitution of these metals may lead to uncontrolled and undesired reactions because of the substituted metals on the external surface of the material [4]. Therefore, there is sometimes a need to inertise the external surface in order to control the shape selectivity that is provided by zeolite materials. Silanization is one of the common approaches that is used to achieve this purpose [5-8]. It has been reported that modification of the zeolite pore opening also occurs during the application of the silanization process. This is due to deposition of silica on the entrance of the channel resulting in reduced pore entrance sizes [9,10].

In this study, we report the synthesis of Na-Fe-silicalite-1 and Na-Fe-ZSM-5 with different Si/Fe ratios, followed by silanization of these materials in their original Na-form using chemical liquid deposition and tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) in hexane as a silanizing agent. It has been reported that TEOS is a suitable silanizing agent for ZSM-5 systems because of its bulkiness (\(\approx 0.96\) nm in size) and the use of hexane resulted in more effective silanization compared to other solvents [11].

3.2. Experimental

3.2.1 Catalyst synthesis

\textit{Materials:} All purchased materials were used as supplied: colloidal silica Ludox 40 (Sigma-
Aldrich), sodium silicate (Sigma-Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (Merck), tetrapropylammonium bromide (TPA, Merck), iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate (Aldrich), perchloric acid 70% (Merck), sodium chloride (Associated Chemical Enterprises), hydrochloric acid (Associated Chemical Enterprises), hydroxylamine (Merck), ammonium hydroxide (Merck), Sc-zeolite (Süd Chemie), potassium hydroxide (Merck), acetonitrile (Sigma-Aldrich), n-octane (Fluka), hydrogen peroxide (Associated Chemical Enterprises), and hydrofluoric acid (Riedel-de Haën).

The catalyst material, Na-Fe-silicalite-1, was synthesised using the solid gel method [12] in the following procedure: 0.8 g of iron nitrate was dissolved in 100 mL of double distilled water, which was acidified by three drops of perchloric acid in a 500 mL Teflon beaker to get a clear solution, followed by further addition of 3.5 mL perchloric acid (solution 1). In a second 500 mL Teflon beaker, 11.5 mL of sodium silicate solution was added to 141 mL of deionized water (solution 2). Both solutions were kept in a refrigerator overnight. While still cold, solution 2 was added dropwise into solution 1 under vigorous stirring until pH 4.5 (using pH paper). Then 14.8 mL of colloidal silica was added into the remainder of solution 2 then this was added to solution 1. To the slurry that formed was added 2 g sodium chloride, 6.7 g TPA and 0.2 g Sc-zeolite seed. The pH of the resultant creamy slurry was 10.5. The slurry was transferred into a Teflon-lined autoclave and allowed to crystallize in a Parr reactor at 160 °C for 24 hours without agitation. After crystallization, the solids were filtered, washed with hot water and dried at 110 °C from which Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) was obtained. The procedure was the same used in the synthesis of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128) except that different amounts of iron nitrate were used. Furthermore, the synthesis of ZSM-5 with Al and Fe added during the synthesis was also performed to get Na-Fe-ZSM-5. The similar procedure as mentioned above was followed except that solution 1 now contained appropriate amount of Al(NO₃)₃ and Fe(NO₃)₃. Masses of 0.8 and 0.4 g of Al(NO₃)₃ were used to yield Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) respectively while kept the mass of Fe(NO₃)₃ at 0.8 g for both synthesis. The catalysts were calcined under N₂ and then air at 550 °C [13].

Furthermore, materials were modified in their Na form by the process of silanization to deactivate the external surface of zeolites. A mass of 2.5 g of parent Na-Fe-silicalite-1 or Na-Fe-ZSM-5 was stirred for 21 hours in 4 wt% tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) diluted in 96 mL hexane at
room temperature. After the sample was stirred for 24 hours, it was filtered, dried and calcined under air at 550 °C to yield Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-5(80:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil), respectively. Note: Sil = Silanized.

3.2.2 Characterisation

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy data was obtained within the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ using a Nicolet 400 D spectrophotometer. Powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) was performed using a Philips PW 1730/10 diffractometer, using Co Kα radiation, equipped with a long line focus operating with amperage of 20 mA and voltage of 40 kV. Data was collected in the range of 2 to 90° (2θ). Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data was obtained using a Philips XL30 ESEM at 20 kV operating at a low vacuum mode of 1 Torr. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images were obtained from a JEOL JEM 1010. Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) data was collected on a Perkin Elmer (Optima 5300 DV) instrument. Samples were digested in hydrofluoric acid and analysed in triplicate. The X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectroscopy was performed on a PW 1404 X-Ray Fluorescence instrument equipped with a flow counter detector. Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) surface area measurements were obtained using a Micromeritics Gemini instrument. The Hydrogen Temperature Programmed Reduction (H₂-TPR) and Ammonia Temperature Programmed Desorption (NH₃-TPD) were performed using a Micromeritics Autochem II chemisorption analyzer equipped with a TCD detector. The H₂-TPR data was obtained between 40 and 950 °C (10 °C min⁻¹) in a flow of 5% (v/v) at 15 mL min⁻¹. The NH₃-TPD analysis was collected in the temperature range 40 to 700 °C at a constant heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ after preheating the sample to 500 °C for 2 hours under a flow of helium, then cooled to 40 °C. The nitrogen adsorption data was collected using a Micromeritics Tristar instrument.

3.2.3 Catalyst testing

All reactions were performed under nitrogen. In a typical test run, a desired amount of acetonitrile was added a two-neck round bottom flask (100 mL) fitted with a stopper and a condensor. This was followed by the sequential addition of 7.01 mmol of n-octane, 3 mL H₂O₂
(30 wt%) and 0.2 g catalyst. The reactions were conducted in 13, 50, 60, 80 and 100 mL of acetonitrile for 8 hours at 80 °C using Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80). The products were analysed by a Perkin Elmer Auto System GC equipped with Flame Ionisation Detector using a Pona 50 x 0.20 mm x 0.5 μm column. The residual H₂O₂ was determined by titrating 1 mL of the reaction solution acidified with 6M H₂SO₄ with 0.1 M KMnO₄. Chlorobenzene was used as an internal standard and the carbon balance was found to be +/-98% in all cases. Each reaction was repeated twice.

3.2.4 Oxidation of n-octane in the presence of cyclohexane

The mass of 0.1 g of catalyst, 1 mL octane, 0.5 mL cyclohexane, 0.2 mL of H₂O₂ (30 %) and chlorobenzene, as an internal standard, were added to a 5 mL round bottom flask. The reaction was conducted at room temperature and repeated at 80 ºC. The product analysis was performed as mentioned above.

3.3. Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Catalyst characterisation

The FT-IR results (Table 3.1) of all silicalite-1 and ZSM-5 materials show peaks at around 550 cm⁻¹ and 1200 cm⁻¹ which are due to the ZSM-5 framework. Another peak at 796 cm⁻¹ is associated with Fe-O-Si of the ZSM-5 backbone [14]. The peak observed at 1100 cm⁻¹ is a result of Si-O stretching [14].

The power XRD results showed that all materials have the ZSM-5 pattern. Examples are shown in Fig 3.1. The diffractogram of the materials show that no distortion of the structure occurred after the silanization modification process, as the pattern remained the same (Fig. 3.1 A vs B). Also no haematite phase, which shows peaks at 38.7 and 41.6° 2θ values, were observed, which suggest that the crystalline materials are purely of the ZSM-5 phase [15].
Table 3.1: FT-IR results of the catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>FT-IR (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 791, 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 790, 548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 797, 551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 795, 549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 793, 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 790, 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66)</td>
<td>1203, 1101, 790, 539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil)</td>
<td>1200, 1100, 792, 550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114)</td>
<td>1201, 1100, 795, 543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil)</td>
<td>1200, 1085, 791, 550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.1: Diffractograms of A) Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), B) Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil) and C) Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66)
The SEM results of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) and Na-Fe-silicalite-5(41:Sil) show that there is no significant change in morphology of the catalysts (Fig. 3.2). The modification of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) to Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil) through silica deposition by silanization thus does not affect the morphology. Shown catalysts reveal a spherical shape which was also confirmed by the TEM images in Fig. 3.2, furthermore, catalysts size range between 10-15 μm in diameter. This was found to be similar for all the other catalysts prepared.

The surface area (Table 3.2) results reveal that the BET surface area is increasing with decreasing iron content in the ZSM-5 system. It can also be observed that the surface area of the silanised catalyst is slightly higher than for the unsilanised catalyst. The silanization modified the outer surface of the catalyst and increased the Si/Fe ratio as more Si atoms deposited on the surface, as confirmed by ICP results.
Table 3.2: Surface properties and ICP results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>BET m²/g</th>
<th>Pore Volume cm³/g</th>
<th>ICP (Si/Fe) Molar ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1-5(41)</td>
<td>311.9</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1(41:Sil)</td>
<td>315.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1(80)</td>
<td>319.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1(80:Sil)</td>
<td>320.2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1(128)</td>
<td>336.8</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalte-1(128:Sil)</td>
<td>362.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114)</td>
<td>343.1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil)</td>
<td>345.9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66)</td>
<td>293.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil)</td>
<td>295.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This modification thus results in changes in surface area. There was no significant change observed on the pore volumes of the catalysts as the Si/Fe ratio increases. Also after modification, there is no noticeable change in pore volume between silanized and unsilanized catalysts. These results suggest that the silica deposition did not affect the pores of the ZSM-5 structure.

The H₂-TPR results (Table 3.3) reveal that there is no significant change in hydrogen volume taken up by the samples and all the catalysts showed one peak in the temperature range 764-797 °C due to Fe³⁺. Furthermore, all catalysts show two peaks in the NH₃-TPD results. The low temperature peak (179-197 °C) is due to weak acid sites while the high temperature peak (351-366 °C) is due to strong acid sites of the catalyst. The nitrogen adsorption results show hysteresis loops in the isotherm curves (Fig. 3.3). It is reported that the presence of a hysteresis loop indicates defects within the zeolite structure. These defects influence the surface properties of the zeolites. It has been established that these defects occur with ZSM-5 synthesised using alkaline conditions and can influence the catalytic behaviour [16]. The defects affect the pore sizes and shape selectivity of the zeolite and hence the selectivity could be affected.
Table 3.3: H$_2$-TPR and NH$_3$-TPD results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>H$_2$/Fe molar ratio</th>
<th>T$_{m}$, °C</th>
<th>Total mmol/g</th>
<th>T$_{m}$, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>189, 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>191, 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>188, 359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>178, 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>185, 351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>185, 365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>194, 358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>191, 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>195, 365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>197, 351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.3: Isotherm curve of Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41)

3.3.2 Catalytic testing

In the initial catalytic experiment the effect of reactant concentration was investigated and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) was chosen as catalyst for this purpose. For all catalysts, only C8 products, namely octanones, octanols and octanal were observed. The results in Fig. 3.4 show that when the volume of acetonitrile was increased from 13 to 60 mL the selectivity to terminal products increased from 16.9 to 23% with no significant change in conversion after 8 hours of reaction.
time. The highest selectivity to terminal products of 28.1% was achieved using a solvent volume of 80 mL with a very slightly lower conversion of 2.8%. Results in Table 3.4 shows that the octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio increases as the volume of solvent is increased. It can be said that the oxidation reaction pathway does not change upon dilution. The oxidation pathway is believed to be that the octanols are primary products which are further oxidised to form octanones. The concentration of \(n\)-octane decreases as the volume of solvent increases which could be resulting in flattening of the \(n\)-octane concentrations due to high molecules of solvent around the \(n\)-octane molecule. This could result in having the \(n\)-octane approaching the active site with the primary carbon and hence activation of carbon 1 is favored.

![Fig. 3.4: Conversion and selectivity to terminal product at different volumes of acetonitrile using Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) at 80 °C for 8 hours with H\(_2\)O\(_2\) as an oxidant](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vol. of MeCN (mL)</th>
<th>octanone(s):octanol(s) ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 (61.77 mg/mL)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (16.06 mg/mL)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (13.38 mg/mL)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 (10.04 mg/mL)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (8.03 mg/mL)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in brackets represent \(n\)-octane concentration as the volume changes

The evaluation of the effect of various solvent quantities showed that a volume of 80 mL (10.04 mg/mL) was optimal volume, which showed best terminal selectivity and therefore all the catalysts were tested using this volume of solvent (concentration in terms of \(n\)-octane). The results (Table 3.5) show that the conversion decreases with increasing Si/Fe ratio or decreasing
iron content, as it decreases from 8.8 to 2.8 and then 1.6% for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128) respectively. The catalytic activity can be influenced by the Si/Fe ratio, since the Si/Fe or Si/Al ratio controls the zeolites paraffin affinity and acidity of zeolite can have influence on activity at higher temperatures.

Table 3.5: Conversion of n-octane and selectivity to terminal products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Conversion</th>
<th>Selectivity to terminal products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A similar trend was also observed using the silanized catalysts, as 8.3, 3.1 and 1.3% conversion were obtained for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80:Sil) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil) respectively. The ZSM-5 material containing Al showed a conversion of 6.3 and 1.7% for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) respectively. The highest conversion of 10.3% was observed for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil). This conversion was found to be higher than that of Na-Fe-ZSM-5(41), and it can be due to the amphoteric nature of Al.

There were no trends observed in the selectivity to terminal products with increasing Si/Fe ratio of the unsilanized catalysts as selectivities of 20.2, 28.1 and 17.6% were observed for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(80) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128) respectively. However, for the silanized catalysts, the selectivity to terminal products was found to decrease with increasing Si/Fe ratio as follows 20.7, 14.3 and 12.3% for Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-silicalite-
l(80:Sil) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(128:Sil) respectively. This trend was also observed with ZSM-5 containing Al, where selectivities of 24.5 and 21.3% were obtained for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) respectively. The silanised catalysts of ZSM-5, containing Al, showed selectivities of 25.7 and 27.3% for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) respectively. These results were found to be different from those of Fe-Silicalite-1, without Al, as they show a decrease in selectivity with increasing Si/Fe ratio. It was observed that silanization of Fe-Silicalite-1 does not improve selectivity to terminal products as the unsilanized catalysts showed better selectivity than the silanized catalysts. The ZSM-5 materials, containing Al, were found to show improved selectivity marginally after silanization as the selectivity increased from 24.5 to 25.7% for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil) and from 21.3 to 27.3% for Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil).

The effect of silanization on product distribution was not significant when Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) and Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil) were compared as shown in Fig. 3.5. The most noticeable change was for 1-octanol which increased by 4% when a silanised catalyst was used. However, when the Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) catalysts were compared, as shown in Fig. 3.6, 3-octanone and octanal selectivities increased by 11 and 9% respectively when the Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) catalyst was used.

![Fig. 3.5: Products distribution over (A) Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41) and (B) Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil)](image)
3.3.3 Oxidation of \( n \)-octane in the presence of cyclohexane

The secondary carbon of the cyclohexane will compete with secondary carbon in \( n \)-octane and that competition can result in increasing the C1 activation in \( n \)-octane. Therefore, the oxidation of \( n \)-octane in the presence of cyclohexane was conducted. The Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41), Na-Fe-silicalite-1(41:Sil), Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66) and Na-Fe-ZSM-5(66:Sil) catalysts showed good selectivities of 48, 47.3, 55, 57.5 % to terminal products at room temperature. The conversion and selectivity to terminal products did not change when the temperature was increased to 80 ºC. This trend was observed with all catalysts studied here, with cyclohexane being the most favoured substrate with the oxidation factor of more than 300. In all the reactions conducted in the presence of cyclohexane the conversion of \( n \)-octane was found to be less then 1%, even after increasing the ratio of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2/\text{octane} \) to 0.8, 1.2 and 1.8, with no significant change in octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio observed.

3.4. Conclusion

The XRD results showed that only the ZSM-5 phase was present in all the Fe-silicalite-1 and Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts even after silanization. Furthermore, the increase in Si/Fe ratio from the ICP
results confirmed that the silanization process was successful. All catalysts were effective in the selective oxidation of \( n \)-octane to C8 oxygenates. The amount of solvent used influenced the selectivity to terminal products, with more dilute solutions being more selective. The catalysts with Al showed a better selectivity to terminal products after silanization compared to those without Al. Addition of cyclohexane did significantly improved terminal selectivity, but at the expense of \( n \)-octane conversion.
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Chapter four
A study of Fe(III)TPPCl encapsulated in zeolite NaY and Fe(III)NaY in the oxidation of n-octane, cyclohexane, 1-octene and 4-octene

Abstract
The Fe(III)TPPCl (Fe(III) tetraphenylporphyrin chloride) complex was immobilised using the encapsulation method in order to entrap the complex inside the faujasite NaY zeolite to obtain FeTPP-NaY. This was achieved by adding the FeTPP as a template during the synthesis of NaY. The ICP results show that a 0.3 wt % loading of Fe was achieved and the XRD confirmed the faujasite Y structure. Further to this, a Fe-NaY zeolite was synthesized for the purpose of comparing it with FeTPP-NaY. The synthesized FeTPP-NaY and Fe-NaY were tested in the oxidation of n-octane, 1-octene, 4-octene and cyclohexane to oxygenates in the liquid phase using acetonitrile as a solvent, H2O2 and tert-butyl hydroperoxide in water as oxidants at 80 °C. Conversions of n-octane of 1.8 and 2.9% and selectivities to terminal products of 13 and 8.8% were achieved using FeTPP-NaY and Fe-NaY, respectively. Conversions of 1.4 and 1.8% were achieved for 1-octene and 4-octene, respectively. A higher conversion of 18% for cyclohexane was observed. These results show that cyclohexane is most reactive under these conditions and that the NaY matrix is not suitable for selective linear paraffin oxidation. Furthermore, leaching of Fe from the Fe-NaY was observed, even though the faujasite NaY structure was maintained as confirmed by XRD, while for FeTPP-NaY, the leaching of Fe was not observed.

Keywords: faujasite NaY; encapsulation; metalloporphyrins; oxidation; octane; cyclohexane; 1-octene; 4-octene

4.1. Introduction
Paraffins are highly abundant substances and they have a unique chemical behaviour. They tend to burn to completion to form CO2 and water and they are also very inert [1]. This inert
behaviour is due to the strong C-H bonds with the terminal C-H bonds being the strongest [2]. Non-functionalized paraffins have a very limited application in chemical, industrial and academic fields [3]. Functionalizing paraffins to more valuable products is still a challenge, particularly since this should occur under mild conditions. Many types of catalysts have been investigated in the oxidation of paraffins. Porphyrins have a strong resemblance to enzymes, hence they are utilized to mimic these [4]. They are commonly used under mild conditions using environmental friendly oxidants such as oxygen and peroxide compounds [5-11]. Porphyrins are known to produce good catalytic activities in homogenous reactions with separation being a main drawback [12]. Immobilisation of porphyrins into a matrix like zeolites has been adopted over the years. Methods of encapsulation, like the solid gel method have been reported to be efficient in heterogenising the porphyrins [13,14]. This approach not only provides the immobility of the porphyrin but it also improves the selectivity, depending on the nature of the metal and the reaction conditions [15-22]. The uses of matrices like faujasite NaX and NaY are based on the nature of their structures. Faujasite zeolites have 3-D structures with a pore width of 7.4 Å and a cavity of 12 Å diameters [23]. These structures allow the porphyrin to remain within their cavity and prevent it from leaching [15].

Many catalytic oxidations are accompanied by side reactions, such as cracking, isomerisation and cyclisation, particularly in heterogeneous catalysis [24]. The oxidation of paraffins to desired products has been an ongoing study in the field of catalysis. The primary goal is to achieve high selectivity to the desired product. Studies have shown that this can be achieved to a degree, depending on the reaction conditions and the nature of the catalyst. Thus oxidation of paraffins using air over AlPO, containing metals like Co or Mn, was reported to give good selectivity to terminal products [25]. Thomas et al. reported 34 % selectivity to terminal products at 9.5% conversion in the oxidation of n-hexane using Co-AlPO-18 and O₂ as an oxidant in the liquid phase [26, 27]. The oxidation of cyclohexane using molecular oxygen and meso-tetraarylporphyrin iron(III)-hydroxo complexes was reported to favour the production of cyclohexanone without a solvent. The mixture of dichloromethane/cyclohexane, gave cyclohexanol as the major product [28]. It has also been reported that porphyrins are active in the photocatalytic oxidation of paraffins using O₂ as co-oxidant [29-31].
The selection of the type of inorganic matrix depends on the nature of reaction that is going to be conducted. In this study, the focus is on the use of faujasite NaY as a matrix to encapsulate FeTPP (iron tetraphenylporphyrin). We report on a comparison of the selectivities to terminal products of the free FeTPP, Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY in the oxidation of \( n \)-octane to oxygenates in the liquid phase using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and TBHP (tert-butyl hydroperoxide) as oxidants. Furthermore, the oxidation of 1-octene, 4-octene and cyclohexane was also conducted to investigate the effect of the faujasite (NaY) matrix.

### 4.2 Experimental

#### 4.2.1 Materials

All the chemicals and the solvents used were commercially obtained (Sigma, Aldrich, Fluka and Merck). The GC standards that were used and acetonitrile were of high purity. The acetonitrile solvent was purged with nitrogen before any catalytic testing to remove oxygen.

#### 4.2.2 Synthesis of free base H\(_2\)TPP

The synthesis of free base H\(_2\)TPP was done according to the method described by Adler [32]. Equimolar amounts of AR grade (Analytical Reagent grade) pyrrole and benzaldehyde were refluxed for 30 minutes in propionic acid. After reaction, the mixture was cooled and washed with methanol to get purple crystals (H\(_2\)TPP).

#### 4.2.3 Synthesis of FeTPP

The insertion of iron into the free base H\(_2\)TPP was achieved by refluxing H\(_2\)TPP with excess iron(III)chloride in 45 mL of dimethylformamide for 4 hours. This mixture was cooled in an ice bath for 2 hours, followed by the addition of 45 mL of cold water, which result in the formation of a precipitate [32]. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried at 110 °C in an oven.
4.2.4 Synthesis of Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY

The synthesis of Fe-NaY was achieved by dissolving 0.4 g of Fe(NO₃)₃, 9.8 g of sodium hydroxide and 5 g of isopropyl aluminium in 37 mL of double distilled water over 2 hours. This was followed by addition of 29 ml of 30% colloidal silica Ludox 40 (40 wt% silica suspension in water) dropwise resulting in a white gel. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at room temperature, followed by aging at 60 °C in a Parr reactor for 72 hours [33]. The similar procedure was followed, without the addition of Fe(NO₃)₃, where 0.5 g of the metalloporphyrin was added into the formed gel to produce FeTPP-NaY. The resultant catalyst was filtered, washed with double distilled water and purified using soxhlet extraction with chloroform, as FeTPP dissolves in chloroform, thus removing any remaining complex of the FeTPP on the external surface. The FeTPP inside the NaY is believed to be trapped because of its bulkiness, therefore only external FeTPP will be removed during the extraction.

4.2.5 Characterization of the catalysts

The FTIR spectra were collected on a Perkin Elmer ATR spectrometer Spectrum 100 FTIR resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and speed of 0.2 cm⁻¹ per second. The UV-Vis analysis was performed using a Perkin Elmer Precisely Lambda 35 UV/Vis spectrometer and putting chloroform solution at the sample in a 1 cm quartz cuvette. The ¹H NMR data were collected on a Bruker Advance 400 MHz spectrometer. The elemental analysis was performed on a LECO CHNS-932 instrument. The X-ray diffraction was carried out using Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation. The data was collected between 5 to 60(2θ) at the rate of 1° min⁻¹ with a 0.02 scanning step size. TGA/DTG analysis was conducted on a AMS SDT Q 600 V2.09 Build 20 instrument using air to purge at 100 mL min⁻¹. The analyses were collected from room temperature to 1000 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹. All the samples were coated with gold before SEM analysis in order to improve the contrast. A Philips XI30 ESEM at 20 kV spot 5 operating at a low vacuum mode of 1 torr with a Lurge Field Detector was used for these analyses. The ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy) analysis was conducted to determine the weight percent for Fe in the Fe-TPP-NaY and Fe-NaY samples. All ICP-OES analysis were done in triplicate. A Perken Elmer Precisely (Optima 5300 DV) instrument was
used for analysis. The sample preparation involved dissolving approximately 0.5 g of sample in HF.

4.2.6 Catalytic testing

The oxidation of \textit{n}-octane, 1-octene, 4-octene, or cyclohexane, respectively using the synthesized catalyst was conducted at 80 °C. The reactions were conducted in a 50 mL two-neck round bottom flask. An amount of 7.10 mmole of substrate was introduced first, followed by the addition of 0.21 g of catalyst and 13 mL degassed acetonitrile. The oxidant, 12 mmol H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} (30 wt% in H\textsubscript{2}O) or TBHP (70 wt% in H\textsubscript{2}O), was added slowly thereafter. The reaction was performed under N\textsubscript{2}. The reaction was stopped after 8 hours since monitoring the products by GC showed that the conversion did not increase after 8 hours. The products were analyzed using a GC coupled with a FID detector using a Pona column (50 m x 0.15 mm x 0.42 µm). All reactions were performed a minimum of two times and were consistent. Dichlorobenzene was used as an internal standard to quantify the reaction products and conversions. All reactions were repeated 2 times and the conversion was found to be consistent.

4.3 Results and discussion

4.3.1 Characterization of FeTPP

In the FTIR (Fig. 4.1), the porphyrin H\textsubscript{2}TPP shows a peak around 3316 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, due to the N-H vibrations [34]. However, this peak is not observed in FeTPP. The absence of this peak is associated with the insertion of Fe within the porphyrin ring. This result proves that Fe is complexed by porphyrin. The porphyrin ring vibrations are also observed at about 1000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. The peaks above 2000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are observed in FeTPP at low intensity due to the presence of Fe.
The NMR spectrum of H$_2$TPP (Fig. 4.2) shows a peak at -2.75 ppm which is due to N-H. In the aromatic region, the following peaks at 8.89 ppm, assigned to pyrrole protons, 8.35 ppm for ortho protons and the meta and para position protons appear at 7.88 ppm [35]. These results confirmed the synthesis of H$_2$TPP. Furthermore, the NMR spectrum of Fe-TPP showed no peaks which indirectly implies that Fe was complexed by the porphyrin since Fe is paramagnetic, and thus NMR inactive.
The UV-Vis results shows the spectra of $\text{H}_2\text{TPP}$ (Fig. 4.3 A) and of $\text{FeTPP}$ (Fig. 4.3B) with a Soret band at 419 nm which corresponds to the literature value of 418 [36], and the difference in the $\text{FeTPP}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{TPP}$ spectra can be attributed to the coordination of Fe [34].

Fig. 4.3: UV-Vis spectra of $\text{H}_2\text{TPP}$ (A) and $\text{FeTPP}$ (B) in chloroform solution recorded at room temperature ($\text{H}_2\text{TPP} = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ M and $\text{FeTPP} = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ M)
Table 4.1: Elemental analysis of H$_2$TPP and FeTPP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>84.92</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature [34] H$_2$TPP</td>
<td>84.01</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>78.08</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>8.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature [34] FeTPP</td>
<td>77.90</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elemental analysis (Table 4.1) results for both H$_2$TPP and FeTPP were found to be in agreement with literature [34]. The ICP-OES result showed 0.3 and 1.0 wt% Fe in FeTPP-NaY and Fe-NaY respectively.

4.3.2 Characterization of Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY

The powder XRD results of NaY, Fe-NaY, fresh FeTPP-NaY and used FeTPP-NaY show the pattern expected of a faujasite (Fig. 4.4). A difference in peak intensity was observed between NaY and Fe-NaY at 10º 0 as shown in Fig. 4.4 A and B. This difference can be attributed to the presence of Fe in the structure. In Fig. 4.4 C, a peak at 8º of 2θ is observed for FeTPP-NaY and is due to the presence of the FeTPP in the faujasite [37, 38]. This result demonstrates that the crystalline structure of the faujasite-NaY was preserved after the encapsulation of Fe-TPP. Peaks at 15, 20, 27 and 28 2-theta were observed for all materials but with different intensity due to the different interaction between host (faujasite-NaY) and the guest molecule. The morphology of these materials was also found to be the same, as shown in Fig. 4.5 (SEM). Furthermore, there is no evidence of adsorbed FeTPP on the external surface of the faujasite NaY. The images of the Fe-TPP-NaY are the same as those of NaY and show no crystals of Fe-TPP-Cl on the external surface of NaY. This further suggests that the Fe-TPP is encapsulated in the NaY cages.
Fig. 4.4: Powder XRD patterns of (A) NaY, (B) Fe-NaY, (C) Fresh FeTPP-NaY and (D) recycled FeTPP-NaY
A TGA-DTA investigation was carried out to determine the thermal decomposition patterns of the molecules. The TGA-DTA curve of NaY in Fig. 4.6 (A) shows a peak at around 200 °C which is due to water loss. The mass loss between 200 °C and 400 °C in Fig. 4.6 (B) can be attributed to water loss and the decomposition of FeTPP. This correlates with the decomposition of FeTPP in FeTPP-NaY in Fig. 4.6 (C) that occurs around 600 °C. The shift of decomposition temperature from 400 °C to 600 °C is due to the environment around the organic moiety as it is inside the NaY matrix.
4.3.3 Catalytic testing

There was no conversion observed when the oxidation of \textit{n}-octane was attempted without the addition of the catalysts as shown in Table 4.2. Results also demonstrate that there is no contribution from NaY to the oxidation on \textit{n}-octane (Table 4.2). The highest conversion of \textit{n}-octane was observed using Fe-TPP under conditions of 2 molar ratios of H$_2$O$_2$/octane (19%). This was followed by FeNaY with a conversion of 2.6%, while the FeTPP-NaY showed the lowest conversion of 1.8%. A similar trend was observed under the conditions of 1 molar ratio of H$_2$O$_2$/octane and also when TBHP was used as an oxidant. The higher conversion over FeTPP can be attributed to the fact that the iron content in FeTPP was found to be 1.7 times higher than the iron content in FeTPP-NaY used for the oxidation reaction. There was, however, no conversion of octane when 0.008 g of FeTPP was used, which is equivalent to the 0.3 % Fe.
found in FeTPP-NaY. Thus, the fact that the low Fe content is active when encapsulated within the NaY showed that encapsulation not only heterogenised the catalyst, but also improved activity.

The study also showed different conversion between Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY which is believed to be due to active site environment. The active site in FeTPP-NaY is inside the zeolite cavity and therefore the diffusion, adsorption of octane and desorption of products effects are greater than in Fe-NaY, where the active sites can be outside or at the entrance of the zeolite channels or cavity. When a lower amount of H₂O₂ was used, 1 molar ratio of H₂O₂/octane, FeTPP showed a drop in conversion from 19% to 12%, while Fe-NaY showed no significant change and FeTPP-NaY showed a noticeable drop in conversion from 1.8 to 1%.

Table 4.2: Conversion of n-octane over different catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>AConversion (%)</th>
<th>BConversion (%)</th>
<th>CConversion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPPE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPPF</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-NaY</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-NaY (recycled)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY (dark)G</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY (recycled)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AReaction conditions: 0.2 g of catalysts (0.003 x 0.21 = 0.00063 g of iron), mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN, 80 °C oil bath temperature, conversion = ([substrate (in) – substrate(out)]/substrate(in)]x 100, B mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 1
C TBHP/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN
D Reaction conditions: No catalyst added, mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN, 80 °C oil temperature
E Reaction conditions: 0.008 g of catalysts (FeTPP), mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN, 80 °C oil bath temperature
F An amount of 0.015 g of FeTPP was added (0.0898 x 0.015 = 0.00135g of iron)
G Reaction conditions: 0.2 g of catalysts (0.003 x 0.21 = 0.00063 g of iron), mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN, 80 °C oil bath temperature, and the reaction was performed in the dark
Table 4.3 A: Product distribution using higher H$_2$O$_2$ concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>1-ol</th>
<th>2-ol</th>
<th>3-ol</th>
<th>4-ol</th>
<th>al</th>
<th>2-one</th>
<th>3-one</th>
<th>4-one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-NaY</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY(dark)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole H$_2$O$_2$/octane = 2, selectivity = (product/conversion) x 100

Table 4.3 B: Product distribution using lower H$_2$O$_2$ concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>1-ol</th>
<th>2-ol</th>
<th>3-ol</th>
<th>4-ol</th>
<th>al</th>
<th>2-one</th>
<th>3-one</th>
<th>4-one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-NaY</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole H$_2$O$_2$/octane = 1

The FeTPP showed the highest selectivity to octanones in all reactions with carbon 2 (numbered from the terminal carbon) being the highest activated position. Table 4.3 A shows the highest selectivity of 22.5 % to 2-octanone followed, by 3-octanone, 4-octanone, 1-octanol, octanal, 3-octanol, 4-octanol and 2-octanol, respectively. A similar trend was observed when a lower amount of H$_2$O$_2$ was used as shown in Table 4.3 B. In some cases, it was observed that when a lower quantity of H$_2$O$_2$ was used, it was observed that the selectivity to octanones dropped and the selectivity to octanols increased with the exception of 4-octanone which was high.
Table 4.3 C: Products distribution using TBHP as an oxidant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>1-ol</th>
<th>2-ol</th>
<th>3-ol</th>
<th>4-ol</th>
<th>al</th>
<th>2-one</th>
<th>3-one</th>
<th>4-one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaY</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNaY</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeTPP-NaY</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mole TBHP/octetane = 2

Thus secondary oxidation of the octanols to octanones was reduced, as expected. However, C2 activation continues to dominate. The conversion and product distribution was found to be same as under normal conditions when the similar oxidation reaction was done in the dark as shown in Table 4.3 A, implying no photo oxidation. The selectivity to octanones increased when TBHP was used as an oxidant as shown in Table 4.3 C. However, the alcohol selectivity trend changed as 1-octanol is followed by 2-octanol, then 3-octanol, with 4-octanol having the lowest selectivity. This change in selectivity can be attributed to a steric effect of TBHP since it is bigger than H$_2$O$_2$.

No uniform trend of product distribution was observed when Fe-NaY was used in the oxidation of octane using H$_2$O$_2$ and TBHP. Three different product distribution trends were observed, which can be due to the leaching of Fe from the NaY system into the solvent at different rates, and it thus reacts as free Fe(III) in solution like a Fenton catalyst with no control of selectivity achieved. The following distributions were observed: Table 3A; 2-octanone > 3-octanone > 4-octanone > 2-octanol > 3-octanol > octanal > 4-octanol > 1-octanol, Table 4.3B; 2-octanone > 3-octanone > 4-octanone > 2-octanol > octanal > 4-octanol > 3-octanol > 1-octanol, Table 3 C; 2-octanone > 3-octanone > 4-octanone > 1-octanol > octanal > 3-octanol > 4-octanol > 2-octanol. These trends are only similar to each other for the octanoness but change for the octanols.

Similarly, there was no significant change observed in the products distribution when FeTPP-NaY was tested under similar conditions. The only noticeable change was with 2-octanol, which
increased from 7.5% selectivity under higher H₂O₂ concentration to 11.0% when a lower H₂O₂ concentration was used (Table 4.3A). TBHP seems to produce more octanones.

The reaction mechanism using peroxide oxidants is believed to follow the radical reaction. Equations 1 and 2 show the generation of radicals which react with the organic molecule according to equation 3 to produce the product [39].

\[
\begin{align*}
  \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{HOO}^- + \text{H}^+ \quad (1) \\
  \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (2) \\
  \text{OH}^- + \text{R-H} & \rightarrow \text{R-OH} + \text{H}^+ \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

There was no change in the position of carbon activation observed when the reactions conducted were compared and all followed the sequence: C2 > C3 > C4 > C1. These results suggest that there was no dramatic influence introduced by the faujasite system in terms of improving or modifying the product selectivity. This might be due to the fact that the pore size of 7.4 Å is too big for linear paraffins like n-octane, hence no or limited shape selectivity can be achieved.

To evaluate the effect of NaY pore size, the epoxidation of a mixture of 1-octene and 4-octene was investigated using FeTPP-NaY. This was done to establish whether any selectivity would be induced by the NaY matrix. An equal number of moles of 1-octene and 4-octene were reacted. A conversion of 1.6 and 1.8% of 1-octene and 4-octene was obtained respectively. From this reaction, only 1,2-epoxyoctane, which is the epoxidation product of 1-octene and 2,3 dipropyl oxirane, which is the epoxidation product of 4-octene, were observed. These results suggest that there was no preference between internal or terminal activation from NaY. Therefore, it can be concluded that the pore sizes of the NaY zeolite are likely too large to provide shape selectivity for linear paraffins.

It was found that FeTPP-NaY showed good activity in the activation of cyclohexane. Thus access to the catalyst sites was not restricted. The oxidation of this substrate was performed under similar oxidation conditions as for n-octane. The results showed 35, 38 and 27% selectivity to cyclohexanone, cyclohexanol and hydroperoxycyclohexane respectively, at a conversion of 18% after 8 hours reaction time.
4.3.4 Recycling Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY

Fig. 4.7 compares the product distribution of fresh FeTPP-NaY used once for 8 hours (a) and the recycled FeTPP-NaY that was reused for a second time for another 8 hours (b). The only difference that was observed between the two reactions is that the recycled catalyst showed a lower selectivity of 2.7% to 1-octanol compared to the initial 6.8%. There was no significant drop in conversion of the recycled catalyst (1.4% compared to 1.8%). These results suggest that the FeTPP does not leach out into the acetonitrile. This was also confirmed by the UV-Vis spectra where no absorbance of FeTPP was observed in the acetonitrile solution after reaction (Fig. 4.8). The ICP results shows that the amount of Fe in Fresh FeTPP-NaY and the FeTPP-NaY recycled are equal.

Fig. 4.7: Products distribution over (A) FeTPP-NaY (fresh) and (B) FeTPP-NaY (recycled) conditions: 0.2 g of catalysts, mmoles H₂O₂/octane = 2 in 13 mL MeCN, 80 °C oil temperature
On the other hand, there was a major drop in conversion when Fe-NaY was recycled. A conversion of 0.7% was obtained compared to 2.6% for the fresh catalyst, though product selectivities were similar (Fig. 4.9). This suggests that the Fe has leached out of the NaY system. The ICP results reveal that there was a drop of Fe content in the recycled Fe-NaY and 0.8% Fe was found in the reaction solution. This result suggested that a considerable quantity of iron was not in the framework of the NaY, since the XRD of the recycled Fe-NaY showed that the faujasite structure was maintained.
4.4. Conclusion

The synthesis of both Fe-NaY and FeTPP-NaY has been achieved as confirmed by XRD analyses. The FeTPP-NaY is active in the oxidation of cyclohexane, 1-octene and 4-octene and, furthermore, the FeTPP does not leach from the NaY matrix which indicates that the catalyst works as a heterogeneous catalyst. The relative low selectivity to terminal products suggests that the channel size is too large to induce primary activation only.
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Chapter five

Liquid phase oxidation of \textit{n}-octane to C8 oxygenates over modified Fe-MOF-5 catalysts

Abstract

The Metal Organic Framework materials Fe\textsubscript{4}-MOF-5, Fe\textsubscript{2}-Zn\textsubscript{2}-MOF-5 and Fe\textsubscript{0.9}-Zn\textsubscript{3.1}-MOF-5 were prepared. The XRD results showed the expected pattern of MOF-5 with a very sharp peak at a 2\theta value below 10° which indicate that the material are highly crystalline. The SEM and TEM images showed that the catalysts are cubic in shape. The synthesised Fe\textsubscript{4}-MOF-5, Fe\textsubscript{2}-Zn\textsubscript{2}-MOF-5 and Fe\textsubscript{0.9}-Zn\textsubscript{3.1}-MOF-5 were testing in the oxidation of \textit{n}-octane using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} as an oxidant in acetonitrile as solvent. Conversions of 10.5, 4.2 and 3.6% were obtained for Fe\textsubscript{4}-MOF-5, Fe\textsubscript{2}-Zn\textsubscript{2}-MOF-5 and Fe\textsubscript{0.9}-Zn\textsubscript{3.1}-MOF-5 respectively. It was observed that primary carbon activation increased with decreasing Fe content, as C-1 selectivities of 9.5, 12.9 and 19.8% were achieved for Fe\textsubscript{4}-MOF-5, Fe\textsubscript{2}-Zn\textsubscript{2}-MOF-5 and Fe\textsubscript{0.9}-Zn\textsubscript{3.1}-MOF-5 respectively. Only C8 oxygenate products were observed, which include 1-octanol, 2-octanol, 3-octanol, 4-octanol, octanal, 2-octanone, 3-octanone, 4-octanone and octanoic acid. Furthermore, these catalysts were tested in the oxidation of cyclohexane using H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} in acetonitrile. Selectivities of 48.3% for cyclohexanol, 47.1% for cyclohexanone and 4.6% for hydroperoxycyclohexane were recorded at a conversion of 40% using Fe\textsubscript{4}-MOF-5 as a catalyst.

\textit{Keywords}: \textit{n}-octane; cyclohexane; MOF-5; octanol; octanone; oxidation; cyclohexanol; cyclohexanone; hydroperoxycyclohexane

5.1. Introduction

Recently, there has been much interest in MOF (metal organic framework) materials. These types of materials come in different shapes and types and possess unique physical and chemical properties [1-7]. They tend to be softer that the traditional aluminosilicate zeolite materials like
ZSM-5, mordenite and faujasite, hence they resemble more closely the behaviour of enzymes. They are porous materials with 3D structures of interconnected metal and different inorganic linkers and have a very wide range of applications, which include gas storage, catalysis etc. [8-12].

One of the most promising MOFs is MOF-5. This type of MOF materials have been reported to have a high potential in the catalytic field [13]. An iron copper MOF-5 has been reported in the oxidation of xanthene using t-butylhydroperoxide and the catalyst was found to be stable and reusable under reaction conditions [14]. In this study we now report the synthesis of Fe-MOF-5 and Fe-Zn-MOF-5 with different iron content and the testing of them in the oxidation of n-octane and cyclohexane using H₂O₂ in acetonitrile.

The activation of medium chain length alkanes is becoming increasingly important as these paraffins are major low value by-products from gas and coal to liquid plants, the number of which is increasing [15], and paraffins can offer green routes to valuable oxygenates. Terminally functionalised octanols are high value products and are difficult to achieve, since secondary carbon atoms are more reactive that the primary ones. Systems reported that show high selectivity to terminal carbon activation usually achieve this with very low conversion, typically less than 1% [16].

5.2. Experimental

5.2.1 Materials

All the reagents and solvents used were commercially supplied (Sigma, Aldrich, Fluka and Merck). The GC standards used and acetonitrile were of high purity. The acetonitrile was purged with nitrogen before any catalytic testing to remove oxygen.

5.2.2 Synthesis of Fe-MOF-5

The synthesis of Fe-MOF-5 was achieved following the reported method [17] by mixing 1.2 g
Fe(NO₃)₃ and 0.334 g terephthalic acid in 40 mL DMF under vigorous stirring followed by addition of 2.2 mL TEA dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 2 hour when a brownish precipitate was formed. The precipitate was filtered, washed with DMF and added to chloroform and stood overnight. Thereafter, the precipitate was filtered and dried. The general formula of MOF-5 is Zn₄O₁₃C₂₄H₁₂, and the value of metals must be kept at 4 molar values. Furthermore, Zn was added to balance the value of metals when the amount of Fe used was less than 4. The effect of Fe content variation on selectivity to terminal products will be evaluated. The synthesis of Fe-Zn-MOF-5 was conducted using the above mentioned procedure with the inclusion of Zn(NO₃)₂ and the appropriate amount of Fe(NO₃).  

5.2.3 Catalysts characterization

Fourier Transform-Infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy data was obtained within the range of 4000-400 cm⁻¹ using a Nicolet 400D spectrophotometer. Powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) was performed using a Philips PW 1730/10 diffractometer, using Cu Kα radiation, equipped with a long line focus operating with amperage of 20 mA and voltage of 40 kV. Data was collected in the range of 2 to 50° (2θ). Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) data was collected on a PerkinElmer (Optima 5300 DV) instrument. Samples were digested in HCl acid and the analysis were done in triplicate Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) data was obtained using a Philips XL30 ESEM at 20 kV operating at a low vacuum mode of 1 Torr. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) images were obtained from a JEOL JEM 1010. Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) surface area measurements were obtained using a Micromeritics Gemini instrument. The catalysts were degassed overnight under nitrogen at 200 °C.  

5.2.4 Catalytic testing

All the reactions were performed under nitrogen. In a typical test run, 13 mL of acetonitrile was added into a two-neck round bottom flask (100 mL) fitted with a stopper and a condensor. The oxidation was performed by adding a mass of 0.1 g catalyst, 1 mL cyclohexane and 1 mL H₂O₂ (30 wt%) as an oxidant. The products were analysed by a PerkinElmer Auto System GC
equipped with Flame Ionisation Detector using a Pona 50 x 0.20 mm x 0.5 μm column. The residual H₂O₂ was determined by titrating 1 mL of the reaction solution acidified with 6M H₂SO₄ with 0.1 M KMnO₄. The carbon balance for all oxidation reactions was found to be +/- 98 % (above 98 % of the reacted n-octane correspond to the total products formed).

5.3. Results and discussion

5.3.1 Catalyst characterisation

The FT-IR results (Table 5.1) show a peak around 1570 cm⁻¹ which is due to carboxylate anions in the catalyst material. The peak of the –COOH group of the terephthalic acid (linker), which appears around 1760 - 1690 cm⁻¹, was not observed in the Fe-MOF-5 catalyst confirming that there is coordination between the linker and metal ion [17].

The XRD results in Fig. 5.1 show a sharp peak below 10° which indicates that the materials are highly crystalline [18]. The diffractograms also show the MOF-5 pattern which is in agreement with literature [18]. The SEM images in Fig. 5.2 A - C reveal that the material is cubic in shape and crystalline, with a size range 100–300 µm. The TEM images in Fig. 5.2 D - F are in agreement with the SEM images, again showing that the material is cubic in shape [19, 20]. The BET surface areas (Table 5.1) of the synthesised catalysts were found to be 599, 575 and 501 m²/g for Fe₄-MOF-5, Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and Fe₀.₉⁻Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 respectively, which is in agreement with literature [21]. The difference in surface area of the catalysts can be attributed to the presence of Zn in the catalysts, and surface area decreases with increasing Zn amount. The ICP results confirmed the presence of Fe and Zn in all synthesised catalysts. The Fe:Zn molar values of 2:0, 2:2 and 0.9:3.1 for -MOF-5, Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and Fe₀.₉⁻Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 respectively, were observed.
Fig. 5.1: Powder X-Ray Diffractograms of A) Fe₄-MOF-5, B) Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and C) Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5

Table 5.1: FT-IR, BET and ICP results of MOF-5 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>FTIR (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>BET (m²/g)</th>
<th>Fe (molar)</th>
<th>Zn (molar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5</td>
<td>1569</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 5.2: A) SEM image of A) Fe₄-MOF-5, B) Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and C) Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 and TEM images of D) Fe₄-MOF-5, E) Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and F) Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5

5.3.2 Catalytic testing

Table 5.2: Oxidation of n-octane using Fe-MOF-5 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>% Fe</th>
<th>Conversion %</th>
<th>Selectivity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>8.9 35.1 29.5 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12.9 32.9 31.5 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>19.8 28.8 29.6 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.2 35.1 29.4 26.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: catalyst = 0.1 g, octane /H₂O₂ = 1 (molar ratio),
*aRecycled catalyst,
acetonitrile (13 mL), reaction time = 4 hours at 80 °C,
blank reaction (without a catalyst) showed no conversion of n-octane
Conversion error range 1.7-2.4 %
Table 5.3: Product distribution over Fe-MOF-5 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>1-ol</th>
<th>2-ol</th>
<th>3-ol</th>
<th>4-ol</th>
<th>al</th>
<th>2-one</th>
<th>3-one</th>
<th>4-one</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5,</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5⁺a</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁺aRecycled catalyst

Table 5.4: Octanone(s):Octanol(s) ratios using Fe-MOF-5 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Octanone(s):Octanol(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5⁺a</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁺aRecycled catalyst

The C₈ oxygenates (4-octanone, 3-octanone, 2-octanone, octanal, 4-octanol, 3-octanol, 2-octanol and 1-octanol) were the only products observed, with a small amount of octanoic acid, which was found to be less than 1% in selectivity. Furthermore, the selectivity to octanones decreased, and the selectivity to octanols increased with decreasing Fe content. This is likely due to fewer catalytic sites being available for further oxidation of the octanols formed.

The oxidation results (Table 5.2) show that the conversion of n-octane increases as the Fe content of the MOF-5 increases. Thus, Fe₄-MOF-5 showed a conversion of 10.5%, while Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 showed 4.2 and 3.6% of conversion respectively. The Fe₄-MOF-5 catalyst shows the highest carbon activation at C₂, followed by C₃, C₄ and lastly C₁ with 35.1, 29.5, 26.5 and 8.9% selectivity respectively. When Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 was used, a different trend was observed. Activation at C₃ showed higher selectivity compared to C₂ and a trend of C₃ > C₂ > C₄ > C₁ with 32.9, 31.9, 22.7 and 12.9% selectivity, respectively, was observed. This change can be attributed to the presence of Zn atoms in the catalyst that might be occupying the external corners of the cube creating a specific environment for Fe atoms [22]. A change in product distribution was further observed when Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 was used. Here there was a very small difference between activation of C₃ and C₂ as they show a selectivity of 29.6 and 28.8%
respectively. Also for C4 and C1, there was no significant difference between the sites as they show 21.8 and 19.8% selectivity respectively. The results also showed that as the Fe content decreases, the selectivity to C1 activation increases. One of the major advantages of these catalysts is the fact that they are recyclable which allow the interest to be mainly on selectivity. The Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5 achieved 4% conversion at 20% selectivity to terminal products and the unreacted n-octane can be recycled back to the reaction and more selectivity to terminal product and be achieved.

Catalysts Fe_{4}-MOF-5 and Fe_{2}-Zn_{2}-MOF-5 in Table 3 showed the following product distribution trend: 2-octanone > 3-octanone > 4-octanone > 3-octanol > 4-octanol > 2-octanol > 1-octanol > octanal. However, a different trend was observed when Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5 was used: 2-octanone > 3-octanone > 4-octanone > 1-octanol > 3-octanol > octanal > 4-octanol > 2-octanol. This change can be attributed to the low amount of Fe and high amount of Zn atoms in Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5 which may promote Fe atoms to be situated in a more hindered environment that promotes the C1 activation.

The octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratios showed the values of 2.4, 2.1 and 1.8 for Fe_{4}-MOF-5, Fe_{2}-Zn_{2}-MOF-5 and Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5 respectively. These results suggest that the production of octanols is increasing as the Fe content in the catalysts decreases, likely due to fewer Fe sites, hence reduced further reaction of the primary products, octanols, to octanones. The H_{2}O_{2} conversion was found to be 69, 61 and 45% for Fe_{4}-MOF-5, Fe_{2}-Zn_{2}-MOF-5 and Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5, respectively, giving an H_{2}O_{2} efficiency of 15.2, 6.9 and 7.8% for Fe_{4}-MOF-5, Fe_{2}-Zn_{2}-MOF-5 and Fe_{0.9}-Zn_{3.1}-MOF-5 respectively. The H_{2}O_{2} efficiency thus decreases with decreasing iron content. It is known that H_{2}O_{2} react with Fe to produce radicals [23] and water. The loss of H_{2}O_{2} efficiency can be attributed to the high Fe content that react with H_{2}O_{2} to produce more water instead of products (oxygenates).
Table 5.5: Oxidation of cyclohexane using Fe-MOF-5 catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>% Fe</th>
<th>Conversion %</th>
<th>Selectivity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cyclohexanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₄-MOF-5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: catalyst = 0.1 g, H₂O₂/cyclohexane 1 (molar ratio), acetonitrile (13 mL), reaction time = 4 hours at 80 °C

The oxidation of cyclohexane over Fe-MOF-5 showed only a slight drop in conversion as the Fe content decreases (Table 5.5). Conversions of 40, 36 and 33% were observed for Fe₄-MOF-5, Fe₂-Zn₂-MOF-5 and Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 respectively, clearly showing the higher reactivity of secondary carbon atoms. The products distribution of all catalysts was found to be similar, with the cyclohexanol selectivity being the highest with a selectivity between 48.3–51.1%, followed by cyclohexanone with a selectivity between 45.2–47.1% and, lastly, hydroperoxycyclohexane with the selectivity between 3.2–4.6%. The most noticeable change in product distribution is that the Fe₀.₉-Zn₃.₁-MOF-5 which showed slightly higher cyclohexanol selectivity of 51.1% and a correspond lower cyclohexanone selectivity at 45.2%. This can be due to the lower number of Fe sites, hence deeper oxidation is reduced. The selectivity to hydroperoxycyclohexane in our results might be due to the higher temperature which resulted in over-oxidation. The H₂O₂ conversion was found to be 48-70% with a H₂O₂ efficiencies of between 57-69%

5.3.3 Recycling and leaching test of Fe₄-MOF-5

Fig. 5.3: Powder X-Ray Diffractogram of used Fe₄-MOF-5
The catalyst Fe₄-MOF-5 was recycled to establish the stability of the catalyst. The catalyst was used three times to establish the stability and leaching. The reaction results showed (Table 5.3) that there was no loss of activity when the catalyst was used for the third time as the conversion of 10.3% is close to the 10.5% conversion from the fresh catalyst Fe₄-MOF-5. The product distribution of the recycled catalyst (second and the third cycle) was found to be similar to that of a fresh catalyst. Furthermore, XRD, SEM and TEM results showed that the recycled catalyst still has MOF-5 phase and shows no distortion in shape. The ICP results of the reaction solution showed that there was no Fe in the reaction solution, which suggests that the Fe did not leach from the catalyst, and therefore the catalyst is stable.

5.4. Conclusion

The XRD results confirmed the synthesis of the Fe-MOF-5 and modified Fe-Zn-MOF-5 and the SEM and TEM images showed that the catalyst is cubic in shape. The catalytic tests showed that decreasing the Fe content in Fe-MOF-5 increases the activation for C1 in the oxidation of \( n \)-octane, with a decrease in conversion. Only C8 oxygenated products were obtained with a highest selectivity to primary oxygenates of 19.8%. All Fe-MOF-5 catalysts tested showed a similar product distribution in the oxidation of cyclohexane. Furthermore, the catalysts are stable, can be recycled and do not leach.
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Chapter six
Summary and Conclusion

The synthesis of Fe-Silicalite-1 (FS-1) and Fe-ZSM-5 was achieved using the isomorphic substitution method to incorporate the Fe into the framework structure of the zeolite. The XRD results revealed that only the ZSM-5 phase was obtained for all the synthesised catalysts even after modification. The Na-Fe-Silicalite-1(34) shows that the conversion of n-octane was a temperature dependent. The higher conversion of 18% was achieved at 80 °C followed by 16 and 9% conversion at 60 and 40 °C respectively. However, the selectivity to terminal products was found to be an inverse relationship as the highest selectivity of 30% was observed at 40 °C while 25 and 24% selectivity was obtained at 60 and 80 °C respectively. Furthermore, the results showed that the octanone(s)/octanol(s) ratio increases with time in all three temperatures suggesting that octanols are primary products which upon further oxidation resulted to octanones. Only C8 oxygenate products were observed. The selectivity to terminal products was found to be higher when the oxidation was performed in the presence of cyclohexane at the expense of very low n-octane conversion. The XRD and SEM results showed that the catalysts are stable. They could also be recycled and the conversion of recycled catalyst was found to be the same as of the fresh catalyst, with the same product distribution.

The modification of catalysts using the process of silanisation was successful, as there was no alteration of the observed ZSM-5 phase. There was no changed observed in the pore volume of the modified catalysts by silanisation compared to the catalysts that were not silanised. The ICP results showed higher Si/Fe ratio of silanised catalysts which suggested that the Si atom was deposited. The selectivity to terminal products was found to be influenced by the amount of solvent (octane concentration). The silanisation of Fe-Silicalite-1 catalysts showed no effect on improving the conversion of n-octane and the selectivity to terminal products. However, a significant change in conversion was observed when silanised Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts were used. Furthermore, the selectivity to terminal products also improved by 6.6% when Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114:Sil) compare to Na-Fe-ZSM-5(114). It was also observed that the addition of cyclohexane improved the selectivity to terminal products, again at the expense of n-octane conversion.
A study of zeolite encapsulated FeTPP was conducted. The TGA-DTA results showed that the organic moiety (FeTPP) is inside the NaY matrix, which suggesting that the encapsulation of FeTPP (Fe-tetraphenylporphyrin) inside the cavity of faujasite zeolite NaY was achieved to give the FeTPP-NaY catalyst. The FeTPP-NaY was found to produce more octanones when higher concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was used. However, the selectivity to octanones dropped when low concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was used. Only C8 oxygenate products were observed in all n-octane oxidation reactions. The FeTPP-NaY catalyst was found to be more suitable for the oxidation of cycloparaffins like cyclohexane than linear paraffins like octane. There was no shape selectivity observed in the oxidation of octane in acetonitrile solvent using H$_2$O$_2$ and TBHP as oxidants. The product distribution from Fe-TPP-NaY was found to be similar to the product distribution obtain from the use of Fe-TPP under similar oxidation reaction conditions.

The conventional method of synthesis was used to synthesise the Fe-MOF-5(1), Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.5) and Fe-Zn-MOF-5(0.2) materials. The XRD, SEM and TEM results showed that the MOF-5 phase and cubic shape were obtained. The conversion of n-octane was found to increased with the increasing Fe content. However, the selectivity to terminal products decreased with the increasing Fe content. The highest selectivity of 19.8% to terminal products was achieved using Fe-MOF-5(0.2). The oxidation of cyclohexane using H$_2$O$_2$ as an oxidant in acetonitrile solvent showed that the MOF-5 phase can be used as a matrix to entrap the Fe metal in order to use it as a heterogeneous catalyst. The XRD, SEM and TEM results showed that the catalyst is stable and can be recycled. There was no leaching of Fe found during the oxidation reaction.

In conclusion, this work has shown that Fe-zeolite type materials are effective in the oxidation of n-octane in the liquid phase and that good selectivity to terminal products can be achieved. Furthermore, the silanization of Fe-ZSM-5 improved the selectivity to terminal products.
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