RESPIRATORY HEALTH OF THE INFORMAL STONECRUSHERS IN DAR-ES-SALAAM TANZANIA

Submitted to:

NELSON R. MANDELA SCHOOL OF MEDICINE UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL DURBAN SOUTH AFRICA

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the academic requirements for the degree:

Master of Public Health (Occupational Medicine)

BY

Dr Florian M Kessy Student Number: 207528647

SUPERVISOR Professor Rajen Naidoo

30 September 2010

DECLARATION

This Master of Public Health dissertation is my own work and all primary and secondary sources have been appropriately acknowledged. The dissertation has not been submitted to any other institution as part of an academic qualification.

This Dissertation is prepared in partial fulfilment of the requirement of the Master of Public Health degree at the School of Family and Public Health Medicine, Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban South Africa.

- I, Florian Kessy, declare that
- (i) The research reported in this dissertation, except where otherwise indicated, is my original work.
- (ii) This dissertation has not been submitted for any degree or examination at any other university.
- (iii) This dissertation does not contain other persons' data, pictures, graphs or other information, unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other persons.
- (iv) This dissertation does not contain other persons' writing unless specifically acknowledged as being sourced from other researchers. Where other written sources have been quoted, then:
 - a) their words have been re-written but the general information attributed to them has been referenced:
 - b) where their exact words have been used, their writing has been placed inside quotation marks, and referenced.
- (v) Where I have reproduced a publication of which I am an author, co-author or editor, I have indicated in detail which part of the publication was actually written by myself alone and have fully referenced such publications.
- (vi) This dissertation does not contain text, graphics or tables copied and pasted from the Internet, unless specifically acknowledged, and the source being detailed in the dissertation and in the References sections.

Signed: Date: 09/09/2010

Department of Occupational and Environmental Health,

Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine-University of KwaZulu Natal South Africa

It gives me great pleasure to dedicate this work to my beloved parents Mwl Mathias M Kessy, Angel Mathias and my mother in law Felista F Malekia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge with many thanks the guidance and encouragement given to me by my supervisor, Professor Rajen Naidoo. Without his continuous interest, help and constructive criticism throughout the study and writing-up, this dissertation would not have been possible. Thank you so much Rajen. You are more than a brother. I wish to express gratitude to Dr Louis B. Mlingi from Tanzania Occupational Health service (TOHS) for his invaluable support on the WAHSA project that was carried out in Tanzania and allowing me to use data collected from that project in the analysis while writing up of my dissertation. I am grateful to Forgat international for sponsoring of my studies as well as Dr Louis Mlingi and Gabriel Kessy who paid for my air tickets at the beginning of my studies.

The WAHSA project which was the basis of this dissertation was financed by SIDA through Work and Health in Southern Africa (WAHSA) programme. I am therefore grateful to both Work and Health in Southern Africa (WAHSA) and Swedish international Developmental Agency (SIDA). I would like to thank the workers at kigamboni quarry for participating in health evaluation and exposure assessment. I would like to thanks the following institutions for various assistance and guidance given in the project; The informal construction worker's union in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania Occupational Health service, Muhimbili University of Health and allied sciences, Swedish National Institute of Working Life and Swedish National Institute of Public Health. I feel indebted to many individuals who have given valuable contributions at different stages of this work; Dr. Fabian Mwombeck, Dr Moses Makira and Mr. Eliuta Ntzinga who assisted during data collection and data capturing. I am thankful to Dr Larama Rongo who performed exposure assessment, Mr. Mvundo Chuma for supervising and coordinating the informal stone crushers at kigamboni. I am specifically grateful to Dr Nills Peterson Oka, Dr Amanda Ryan, Engineer Jose F Mirembo, and Engineer Joshua Matiko for providing technical advice in the course of implementation of the informal sector project.

In the due course of this work I spent some weeks far away from home, this would have been difficult to my family had it not been their patience and support. Big and special loving thanks go to my wife, Mary, for her encouragement and unfettered love. To my sons Elvis, Erick and David, and my daughter Angel for bearing with my absence and stop asking, "mom, when is dad coming back home"? I cannot find words to express appreciation for the generous and priceless support given to me by friends, relatives and co workers, particularly my undergraduate teacher Dr Ole Lengine, you are larger than life!

PUBLICATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS

- Kessy F, Naidoo R, Mlingi L. Health Risk Assessment and interventions among Informal Stone crushers. 20th international conference on epidemiology in Occupational Health EPICOH. Costa Rica. 2008 June.
- 2. Naidoo R, Kessy F, Mlingi L. Respiratory health of the stone crushers in Tanzania. 20th international conference on epidemiology in Occupational Health EPICOH. Costa Rica. 2008 June.
- 3. Kessy F, Naidoo R, Mlingi L. Health risk assessment and interventions among informal stone crushers in Dar s Salaam Tanzania. 29th international conference on Occupational Health ICOH. Cape Town-South Africa. 2009 March.
- 4. Naidoo R, Kessy F, Mlingi L. The Respiratory Health of Informal Sector Stonecrushers - Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania. 29th international conference on Occupational Health ICOH. Department of Occupational and Environmental Health -University of KwaZulu Natal; Tanzania Occupational Health Service. Cape Town-South Africa. 2009 March.
- Naidoo R, Kessy F, Mlingi L. Respiratory health of stonecrushers from the informal sector in Tanzania. Occupational Health Southern Africa. 2009 Dec; 15(6) 6-13

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

LFT - Lung Function Test

TOHS - Tanzania Occupational Health Service

NIMR - National Institute of Medical Research

MRCC - Medical Research Coordination Committee

SMEs - Small and Medium Size enterprises

PEF - Peak Expiratory flow

PEFR Peak exploratory flow rate.

FEV₁ - Forced Expiratory Volume after one minute

FVC - Forced Vital Capacity

COPD - Chronic Obstructive Lung Diseases

SADC - South African Developing Countries

US - United States

NIOSH National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health

UK - United Kngdom

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
PUBLICATIONS OR PRESENTATIONS	vi
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	vii
TABLES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	
ABSTRACT	
Introduction	
Aim	
Methods	
Results	
Discussion	
Recommendations	
CHAPTER I	
INTRODUCTION	
Background	
Problem Statement. Purpose of the Research	
Overall Aim	
Specific Objectives	
CHAPTER II	
BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE	
Study Justification	
Description of study area	
Informal stone crushing in Tanzania	
Stone Crushing Process Description	
CHAPTER III	
METHODS	21
Overview	21
Study Design	21
Target Population	21
Selection of study population	
Sample Size Consideration	
Collection of interview data	
Lung Function Testing	
Chest Radiography	
Collection of exposure data	
Bias and Limitations	
Statistical Analysis	
numan Supiecis Issues	29

RESULTS	31
Respiratory symptoms and doctor diagnosed diseases;	
Lung function findings.	
Chest radiography	33
Exposure assessment	33
Health Outcomes and Exposure Relationship	
Adjusted ExposureOdds Ratios for symptoms	
CHAPTER V	
DISCUSSION	
CHAPTER VI	
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	42
APPENDICES	44
APPENDIX I: QUESTIONNAIRE	45
APPENDIX II ETHICS APPROVAL FROM THE MEDICAL COORDINATING COMMITTEE (MRCC) OF NATIONAL INSTITUTE FO RESEARCH TANZANIA (NIMR)	R MEDICAL
APPENDIX III: PROTOCOL APPROVAL	10
APPENDIX IV: BIOMEDICAL ETHICS APPROVAL	12
ΓABLES	
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the workers.	31
Table 2. Reported prevalence respiratory symptoms and doctor-diadnosed disease	
rushers	
Table 3. Lung functions indices	
Table 4. Clinically important lung function deficit, N=59	33
Table 5. Dust concentration (GM in mg/m3 and GSD) for various exposures group	s and by job
itle in Kigamboni Tanzania	34
Table 6. Linear regressions of lung function indices against exposure adjusted	for age, sex.
moking and doctor diagnosed TB	_
Table 7 Adjusted Exposure Odds Ratios for symptoms from logistic regration mo	
	36

CHAPTER IV......31

LIST OF APPENDICES

1.	Appendices I: Questionnaire	1
2.	Appendices Ii Ethics Approval from The Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) Of National Institute For Medical Research Tanzania (NIMR)	8
3.	Appendices III Protocol Approval	10
4.	Appendices Iv: Biomedical Approval	12

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Stone crushing in Dar-es-Salaam Tanzania is largely an informal occupational activity, with approximately 7000 workers exposed to varying level of respirable dust. A highly marginalized, self employed, informal stonecrusher is prone to multiple work related risks, particularly dust related respiratory diseases.

Aim

This study, the first to be done among informal stone crushers in Southern Africa, aimed at determining the prevalence of dust related respiratory outcomes and relationships between these health endpoints and exposure to respirable dust in this sample of stonecrushers.

Methods

This was primarly a cross-sectional descriptive study with analytic components. This study analysed a subset of data collected in a health survey of stone crushers in Kigamboni informal stone quarry in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania in 2007. All 200 workers working in the quarry were interviewed. A subset of 86 and 59 workers underwent exposure assessment and health assessments (spirometry and chest radiography) respectively. Associations were investigated between exposure measures and respiratory outcomes.

Results

The average age of the workers was 36.3 years with 51.5% males participating in the study. Smoking was common with 30.1% male, 8.25% female smokers, with 2% ex-smokers. Personal dust sampling showed varying dust levels ranging from 0.5-2.8mg/m3 with geometric mean of 1.2gm/m3. The exposure duration of workers in the quarry ranged from 1-62 years with mean of 7.8 years. No single worker was found to use personal protective equipment at work.

Reported prevalence of doctor diagnosed respiratory diseases was low: pulmonary tuberculosis (2%), chronic bronchitis (2%), asthma (3%), pneumonia (3%). In contrast, there was high prevalence of respiratory symptoms: chronic cough (13.5%), phlegm production (14.5%), productive cough (10%), wheezing (14%), mild breathlessness (9.5%) and coughing

blood (7.5%). Mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) adjusted for age, height and sex was 2.6L/min and 3.7 L respectively, among males and 2.1L/min and 3.0L respectively among females.

Adjusted exposure odds ratios for symptoms were statistically significant for mild and moderate breathlessness with odds ratios of 3.4 and 3.1 respectively.

Linear regression showed statistically significant decline of 2.3mls in FEV1 with years of exposure while controlling for sex, age, height, doctor diagnosed TB and smoking showing that with prolonged exposure in the quarry, workers are at a risk of developing obstructive lung disease. This trend was not evident for FVC.

The majority of films were normal (60.9%), with 19.6% read as 1/0 and 19.9% as 0/1.

Discussion

This study identified adverse respiratory outcomes among informal stonecrushers, particularly a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms and clinically important lung function deficits. These are in keeping with other environment studies where workers were exposed to respirable dust.

Recommendations

Urgent policy initiatives for developing cost effective hazard control, engineering interventions to protect these marginalized self-employed informal sector stonecrushers are needed.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

Little information is available on the quantitative risks of respiratory disease from quartz in airborne stone crushing quarries in Tanzania. However, respirable dust exposure is common among stone crushers and prolonged exposure is associated with diminished lung function(1). Crystalline silica is a major component of sand, rock, and mineral ores and is a common mineral in the earth's crust. Whenever the surface of the earth is disturbed, for example in mining or quarrying, or when sand, stones or rocks are drilled, moved, crushed or processed, potentially hazardous exposure to silica may occur.

Stone crushing in Tanzania is an activity done primarily by informal economy workers(2). The informal sector is characterized by low income, low level of literacy, limited land allocation and lack of availability of public health services(2). The stone crushing industry offers employment to more than 7000 workers in Dar-es Salaam city alone. Stone crushing involves several steps starting from rock blasting, excavation, crushing, sieving and loading crushed stones in the trucks. These activities are done manually where workers are exposed to numerous work related hazards. Crushed stones are used in different types of construction including making concrete, building houses and road construction(1). The stone crushing industry in Tanzania is dominated by women, most of whom bring their children to the quarry due to the lack of appropriate social infrastructure for these workers. Stone crushing has a wide range of work related hazards including silica dust, exposure to chemicals, poor work design, exposure to extreme heat and mechanical hazards(1, 2). These hazards predispose workers to a substantial risk of developing work related diseases and injuries. Both children and their parents are likely to contract work related diseases and accidents due to exposures in the quarry.

The Tanzania Occupational Health Service (TOHS), a non-governmental organization in Dar-es-Salaam, conducted a study among stone crushers from the Kigamboni Quarry in the city, as part of a much larger project investigating the occupational hazards experienced in the informal sector. This latter project described the health status of workers in different subsectors of the informal sector, including stone crushing, metal fabricating, saw milling and brick making. The project did not explore associations between workers' exposure and disease. For the purposes of this dissertation, data relevant to the research question was specifically extracted from the dataset of the stone crushers. This included data relevant to respiratory health and dust exposure data. This allowed for determining relationships between respiratory health outcomes and exposure to dust in the quarry.

The researcher (MPH student No.: 207528647) an employee of TOHS, participated in the primary surveys and obtained permission from the Director General of TOHS to use the data for the purposes of this study dissertation. Professor Rajen Naidoo (supervisor) acted as advisor to TOHS in the conducting of the above mentioned surveys among the informal sector workers.

Problem Statement

Respiratory complaints such as coughing, sneezing, chest pains and chest tightness are common among stone crushers(1). These symptoms are considered to be associated with quartz containing respirable dust generated during the work processes of stone crushing(1). Silica-associated diseases remain an important public health concern because of its association with multiple diseases and increased mortality(3). The major adverse effects of exposure to crystalline silica include silicosis, silica-associated tuberculosis (TB) and non-tuberculosis mycobacterial disease (NTM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), lung cancer and certain connective tissue disorders(4). The limited value of treatment for these conditions lends urgency to their prevention(4). Silicosis which is an irreversible but preventable disease, results in permanent lung scarring and is a possible health risk among stone crushers.

There is little information known about the amount of respirable dust generated and the associated respiratory risks in the informal stone crushing industry generally. Additionally, this sector, which employs a significant number of workers, is deprived of preventive health services due to their inability to pay for these services(2). The main concern in this study was

that respirable dust exposure is common among stone crushers and prolonged exposure was likely to be associated with diminished lung function and other respiratory health outcomes including silicosis and silica related diseases. Unfortunately, there is no cure for silicosis, nor has any pharmacologic prophylaxis been adequately validated. Antimycobacterial agents can cure tuberculosis, but there is no cure for the other diseases associated with silica exposures. Thus, the single most important aspect of prevention of silicosis and other silica-associated diseases is control of exposure to respirable crystalline silica.

Purpose of the Research

The purpose of this study was to understand the risk of adverse respiratory outcomes faced by informal stone crushers exposed to respirable dust in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania.

Overall Aim

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of dust-related respiratory outcomes and relationships between these health endpoints and respirable dust among informal stone crushers in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania.

Specific Objectives

- 1. To describe the respiratory health status of stone crushers by means of a standardised questionnaire, chest radiography and lung function testing.
- 2. To assess exposure to airborne respirable dust and total dust at the Tanzanian stone crushing quarry.
- 3. To determine the relationship between cumulative exposure to respirable dust and symptoms, lung function tests and chest radiography findings.
- 4. To propose interventions to minimize dust exposure to exposed workers.

CHAPTER II

BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

The informal sector constitutes economic growth points in most developing countries including Tanzania(2). The informal sector is one of the most rapidly developing social phenomenon in response to challenging economic conditions internationally, but particularly in the developing countries. In Tanzania this sector is broad and it covers many sectors of the economy(2). It is estimated that almost 80% of the economy in Tanzania consists of informal sector activities. In Dar-es-Salaam, the economic centre of Tanzania, the informal sector infiltrates many corners of the city offering employment to roughly 60% to 70% of the youth. Rapid population growth (average 3.6% annually in many African countries), is restricting job opportunities for those within the age category of 15 to 64 years(2). The rise in the demand for employment constitutes one of the major causes of the expansion of the informal sector(2).

According to the latest national statistics, the Dar-es-Salaam region, with a population of over 3 million people and a per capita income of USD523 (2002), has an estimated labour force of 1.9 million people. Of these 376,000 are employed in the formal sector and around 1.06 million are employed in the informal sector while 465,000 are unemployed. The informal sector in Dar-es-Salaam hosts the vast majority of small and medium enterprises (SME's) which are dominated by sole proprietors, or the family businesses. The findings show that in every third household, there is an informal sector activity.

Dar-es-Salaam city has approximately 7000 informal stone crushers. Occupational lung diseases in stone crushing industry are due to exposure to quartz and crystalline silica dust. Occupational exposures to respirable crystalline silica are associated with the development of silicosis, lung cancer, pulmonary tuberculosis and other airways diseases(5, 6). Dust from crushed stones irritate the mucous membranes of the respiratory airways and this may lead to acute respiratory symptoms and reduction in PEFR and FEV among the exposed workers(7). The exact mechanism of the acute changes in the ventilatory function observed among exposed

workers is unclear, but it depends on the chemical composition of the dust and its

Few studies have been done in Tanzania on exposure to crystalline silica. These include exposures in coal mines(8, 9) and cement factories(7, 10). The study among cement workers described increased risk of developing chronic respiratory symptoms and COPD related to cumulative dust exposure, independent of smoking history(10).

Internationally, only a limited number of studies among stone crushers have been reported. A study done in India to determine the prevalence of respiratory morbidity among agate stone workers showed a significantly higher prevalence of lung diseases among agate workers than among non exposed workers (63.4% vs. 35.5%, P < 0.001)(5). The prevalence of pneumoconiosis in agate workers (18.4%) was significantly higher than in those not exposed, among whom no case was found. Another study was done in India to determine the health effects of particulate matter from the stone crushing industry where both ambient concentrations and occupational exposure levels exceeded the Indian National Standards at most of the locations. Pulmonary function tests performed on workers showed that the average values of pulmonary function in these workers were significantly lower than the average values reported for normal South Indian healthy males(5).

An increased risk of tuberculosis (TB) among workers with silicosis has long been recognized(11, 12). Individuals with chronic silicosis have a three-fold higher risk of tuberculosis than similar individuals without silicosis(12). Workers working in mines, construction work, and stone-crushing and in other similar occupations where there is a greater level of exposure to silica dust are especially vulnerable to tuberculosis(13). The prevalence of TB has been reported to be 10.7% among stone crushers(13) – not as high as has been reported among other working populations, such as slate pencil workers (22.5%)(13) and among South African gold miners (89%)(14).

A Dutch study revealed that there is an association between radiographic abnormalities and cumulative exposure to quartz containing dust from construction sites after correction for potentially confounding variables(15). A study done at the Institute of Occupational Medicine, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK, to determine risks of respiratory disease in the heavy clay industry revealed that there are small risks of pneumoconiosis and respiratory symptoms in the clay

industry, although the frequency of pneumoconiosis is low in comparison to other quartz exposed workers(6).

Study Justification

Many studies have been done worldwide about respiratory health among workers in underground mining, coal mining, and cement factories. Studies among stone crushers are limited – these have been reported from Malaysia, India, Bangladesh and United Kingdom. No study has been done among the informal stone crushers who are more exposed and less protected than workers in the formal stone crushing industry. Due to their small number and the low capital base, these informal entrepreneurs cannot afford pre-entry or periodic medical examinations which are very essential in identifying those most likely to be affected(2). Occupational risk assessments and hazard characterization are rarely done in such workplaces(2). This means that the level of exposure and prevalence of health effects among workers in informal stone crushing quarries are not known. Although the existing studies in Tanzania have described health status among formal industry like cement workers and coal miners, no single study specific for evaluation of health effects among informal stone crushers(9, 10) has been performed. There is a need to establish exposure status, assess the effects of exposure and propose cost effective interventions among informal stone crushers.

Description of study area

The study was done in the Kigamboni small-scale aggregate limestone mining quarry. The quarry is labour intensive and involves male and female workers. Their family members, including children, are frequently found there. The work is done in open environment from 8.00 to 18.00 hours. The quarry is surrounded by residential areas. Kigamboni is located in Dar-es-Salaam which is the major business city in Tanzania.

Informal stone crushing in Tanzania.

Informal stone crushing emerged as a result of multiple factors, one of them being effects of globalization(2). For various reasons people have migrated from rural communities to urban cities and towns in many developing countries, including Tanzania(2). In an attempt to survive in these towns, some people employed themselves in the informal sector(2). The informal sector in Tanzania is a sector which accounts for 80% of the economy and employs

approximately 60%-70% of the economically active population(2). The informal sector has the following characteristics

- Mainly family-owned enterprises with no clear employer-employee relationship.
- Operate with limited capital, land allocation and skills.
- Prone to multiple work-related hazards.
- Workers are prone to infections including HIV and tuberculosis.
- Is a marginalized sector whose workers have little or no formal education.

Stone Crushing Process Description

1) Job categorization in the stone crushing quarry

Workers are sub-divided into five sections. These are blasting, digging and carrying, crushing, sieving and sorting and loading. Since stone crushing is done in an informal setting, there is no strict division of the tasks. It is possible to find a worker performing all five tasks from time to time.

A worker can start by blasting, followed by breaking down large stones into small pieces. This is followed by assembling large pieces of stones to the crushing site, crushing them into small aggregates, sieving, sorting and lastly loading. There is no real specialization in the whole process, although workers perform predominantly one to two types of work. Female stone crushers are predominantly involved in manual crushing. Blasting is done by trained workers who move from one crushing site to another for blasting purposes.

2) Blasting

In the informal stone crushing sites, rocks are loosened by blasting. In the preparation for blasting, hand drilling is done by punching through several holes on the rock. A special piece of iron steel bar of about two and half meters long and five centimeters in diameter is used. Holes are then loaded with explosives and blasting agents. After blasting, the rock is shattered into several big stones. During the blasting workers are given signal to stay away from the area so that they may not be hit by flying pieces of stone. However, they usually stay a short distance away from the explosion and thus still get exposed to the noise of the blast. After the explosion, they return to site a few seconds after the blast. They are, therefore, exposed to varying levels of dust. Blasting is usually undertaken by two workers experienced in the process.

3) Digging and carrying

Following blasting, large pieces of stone need to be freed from the primary rock. This is done manually through digging. Digging is done using a piece of iron bar which is forcefully penetrated into the loosened part of rock at certain angle. Digging is done to loosen the rock as well as to reduce the large pieces of rock into a manageable size, allowing it to be carried from the blasing site to the crushing unit within the quarry. Carrying is usually done manually by men through placing stones on their heads or on their shoulders. Men assist women in digging the stones, breaking them down into moderate to small blocks of stone and moving them to the crushing sites. Workers are invariably exposed to dust during digging and carrying.

4) Crushing

A crushing unit comprises of individuals or a group of people, usually family members. Crushing is done predominantly by women. It is done manually using hammers of varying sizes ranging from 1kg, 2kg through to 5kg. The main objective of crushing is to reduce the size of the stone to meet the requirements for construction. Final crushing is usually performed using small hammers about 1 to 1½ kg. At this stage stone aggregates of varying sizes are produced. These range from 2cm to 1.5cm. During crushing workers are exposed to high dust levels, poor work posture and exposure to ultraviolet radiation from the sun. Other hazards include high temperature, flying pieces of stone during crushing, long working hours etc. Injuries to fingers are common among the crushers.

5) Sieving and sorting

Sieving of crushed stones is done predominantly by men while sorting is done by women. A wire mesh is used during sieving in order to separate dust from stone aggregates. After sieving, the stone aggregates are sorted/graded into varying grades depending on size (diameter) of aggregates. The sieving process generates dust. Sorting differentiates the final product into aggregates of 1.5cm, 2cm and 2.5cm in diameter.

6) Loading

Loading is done by a group of workers who are paid per truck filled. These workers find themselves doing the same task most of the time. They do not stay in the quarry for the whole day. They come into the quarry when the trucks need to be loaded.

CHAPTER III

METHODS

Overview

This study analysed a subset of data collected in a health survey study of stone crushers in Kigamboni Stone Quarry in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania in 2007. The main study described the overall health status of the stone crushers by means of questionnaire, spirometry and chest radiography. Conditions described included the full range of cardio-respiratory, gastrointestinal, musculokeletal, neurological, endocrinological and other conditions. The original study did not attempt to determine associations between exposure and health conditions. In this study, the focus is exclusively on respiratory health. It had analytic components where the relationship between exposures to dust and respiratory symptoms, respiratory diseases, chest radiography and lung function tests among stone crushers were determined. The methods described below were used in the conduct of the latter survey, as relevant to this study on respiratory health. No new data were collected in terms of this study. The researcher (MPH student No. 207528647), an employee of TOHS participated in proposal development, administration of questionnaire, lung function testing, radiographic assessment, working on ethical clearance and personal dust sampling.

Study Design

This was a cross-sectional study where the health status of the informal stone crushers was described in relation to the exposure status and their health outcomes. The study was descriptive, with a minor analytic component.

Target Population

The target population was the informal stone crushers in the city of Dar-es- Salaam, Tanzania.

Selection of study population

The workers who participated in the survey were selected from one of the three stone crushing quarries in Dar-es-Salaam Tanzania. The three quarries in Dar-es-Salaam were each assigned a number and one was picked at random. The quarry selected was Kigamboni quarry. All 200 workers working at the Kigamboni Quarry participated in the survey. No random selection was done, as this was not considered necessary for the survey. This respiratory health study utilised the data of all those participating in the survey. Due to budget constraints, chest x rays and spirometry testing were not conducted on all 200 surveyed workers. Instead, workers were stratified according to their exposure duration, and a subset of 59 workers was chosen to undergo chest radiography and spirometry. All 200 workers were categorized into two groups depending on their duration at work. One category comprised of workers who have worked for ten years and above, while the other group comprised of workers who have worked for less than 10 years. Workers from each category were arranged alphabetically. Each name was assigned a corresponding numerical number starting from 1, 2, 3, etc. until the entire list was completed. 59 workers were selected from the two categories of workers.

Furthermore, a non-probabilistic sample of 86 workers was chosen from the same quarry to participate in the exposure assessment regardless of whether they participated in the health assessment or not.

Sample Size Consideration

Because this is largely a descriptive study with a minor analytic component and using previously collected data, issues related to power and specific sample size were not relevant.

Collection of interview data

Standardized, piloted questionnaires were administered by a team of trained interviewers to each participant (the questionnaire used in the survey is attached to this report – Appendix I). The interview was done at the quarry for convenience and to ensure good participation from workers. A quiet and relatively clean place close to the quarry with a table was used for interviews. The interviewers made repeated visits to the quarry until all 200 employed workers were interviewed. Items covered included demographics, general health status, respiratory symptoms, chest illnesses, detailed work histories (past and current employment details), tobacco use and family history.

The NIOSH Occupational History Questionnaire used in the US Coal Workers' X-ray Surveillance Program was modified for obtaining details on lifetime occupational histories. Respiratory symptoms and smoking histories were obtained by using a modified version of the questionnaire used in the Round 4 of the US National Study of Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis. Measures of symptoms outcomes and doctor-diagnosed diseases were obtained from the questionnaire, and were defined as follows:

- Chronic cough longer than three months: answer yes to "do you usually cough like this on most days (or nights) for as much as three months each year?"
- Chronic phlegm production longer than three months: answer yes to "do you bring up phlegm like this on most days (or nights) for as much as three months each year?"
- Cough and phlegm longer than three weeks: answer yes to "have you had periods or episodes of (increased) cough and phlegm lasting for three weeks or more each year?"
- Severe breathlessness: answer yes to "do you have to stop for breath when walking at your own pace on level ground?"
- Moderate breathlessness: answer yes to "are you too breathless to leave the house or breathless on dressing and undressing?"
- Usual wheeze and whistling: answer yes to "does your chest sound wheezy or whistling on most days and nights?"
- Severe wheezing resulting in shortness of breath: answer yes to "have you ever had an attack of wheezing that has made you feel short of breath?"
- Doctor-diagnosed chronic bronchitis: answer yes to "have you ever been told by a doctor that you had chronic bronchitis?"
- Doctor-diagnosed emphysema: Answer yes to "have ever been told by a doctor that you had emphysema?"
- Doctor-diagnosed pneumonia: Answer yes to "have ever been told by a doctor that you had pneumonia?"
- Doctor-diagnosed chest tuberculosis: Answer yes to "have ever been told by a doctor that you had chest tuberculosis?"
- Doctor-diagnosed asthma: Answer yes to "have ever been told by a doctor that you had asthma?"

Questionnaires were administered in the Swahili language which was the workers' language of choice, but were available in English and Swahili. The English version of the questionnaire was translated and back translated by independent linguists before it could be piloted. The administrators chosen were fluent with the chosen language of the workers. Well trained supervisors did periodic supervision during administration of questionnaires. A pilot study was carried out prior to the main study to test the instruments. The questionnaire was pretested for consistency and clarity before use in the full study. During piloting of questionnaire, the interviewer recorded questions that were not understood and those which needed clarifications before the respondent could answer.

Lung Function Testing

Lung function assessments were performed by a trained technician on the 59 stone crushers according to the American Thoracic Society criteria. A quiet and clean room in the TOHS hospital was used during the spirometry. The same equipment was used for all workers... Equipment was volume-calibrated every four hours with a 3 litre calibrated syringe on the days of testing. Systems were checked for leaks as well. A minimum of 3 acceptable forced vital capacity (FVC) maneuvers were performed up to a maximum of 8. An end of test criteria of at least 2 seconds of no change in volume with an exhalation time of at least 6 seconds was used. Start of test criteria was taken as an extrapolated volume reading of less than 5% of the FVC or 0.1 litres, whichever was greater. The largest FVC and FEV₁ obtained from any acceptable curves were used for recording purposes. Reproducibility criteria were taken to be a less than 5% variation or 0.1L difference of the largest FVC and FEV₁, and the next largest of these parameters among all acceptable curves. Internal standardization of spirometry was done using regression equations for height, age and cumulative exposure. Because of the substantial variation in lung function values between populations and the absence of an appropriate set of reference values for the population under study, external standardisation was not performed. However, internal standardisation was done through height, sex and age correction.

Failure to meet reproducibility criteria was not a basis for exclusion of the subject from the data analysis, as prior studies indicate that this can introduce a substantial selection bias. "Test failure" may be an indication of ill-health, as was shown in the study by Eisen et al(16) where subjects with persistent test failure had a greater longitudinal decline in FEV_1 than those without test failure(16). Subjects with test failure were identified separately in the analysis.

Chest Radiography

Chest radiographs were obtained for all 59 workers who underwent spirometry. All radiographs were taken in accordance with the criteria set out by the International Labour Organisation. These x-rays were taken at the x-ray facilities of the Ocean Road Cancer Institute in Dar-es-Salaam-Tanzania. These radiographs were assessed according to the ILO Classification for Pneumoconioses. Each film was read independently by two experienced readers, blinded to exposure status. At least one of the readers was a NIOSH accredited "B" reader. A further 10 % of the films were re-read for quality control. In the case of disagreement, the two readers viewed the radiographs together for consensus. Evidence of pneumoconiosis was defined as ILO category 1/0 or greater.

Collection of exposure data

Exposure to dust occurs at most stages of the production activities but varies in different stages of the process. Workers involved in sieving, loading and crushing are expected to have high exposure to total dust than the other job activities. In order to understand the variation in dust exposure and the risk for respiratory disorders in workplace, knowledge about the magnitude of variability in dust exposure from day-to-day (within-worker) and between workers is important. The magnitudes of variations were derived from repeated dust measurements collected from selected workers in the exposure groups. Since the aim was to quantify the dust levels in different subsections within the quarry, varying number of workers from each section were identified for this exercise. To ensure uniform representations of workers from blasting, excavating, crushing, sieving and loading, the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) sampling method number 0500 was used on a subset of 86 workers. Workers from the crushing section formed the highest representation followed by loading and then sieving. Those who participated in the exposure assessment were chosen regardless of whether they were involved in the health assessments or not. Industrial hygienists, together with well trained assistants, were subcontracted to do dust measurements. Although workers regularly change tasks over a working week, and occassionly during the course of the day, for the purpose of characterizing the exposures, workers were requested to to perform the selected task for the full work shift of approximately eight hours. Each worker participating in exposure assessment was asked to fix the sampler at the lapel of the shirt as close to the nose as possible for 8 hours while at work. The weight of the filters was taken before and after measurements. The exposure variables measured were respirable dust and total dust.

Respirable dust was collected on cellulose acetate filters with a pore size of 0.8 microns placed in a 37-mm cyclone connected to a SKC pump with a flow rate of 1.9 l/min. Total dust were collected on cellulose acetate filters with a pore size 0.8 microns placed in a closed faced 37-mm cassette (Millipore, Millipore corporation, Bedford, MA, USA) connected to a SKC pump with a flow rate of 2.0 l/min. The same make of dust pumps was used for all workers. Samples were transported to a laboratory in a protective suitcase where gravimetric quantitative analysis was done using a Mettler microbalance with a detection limit of 0.01 mg. Field and laboratory black fielters were used. Any gain or loss in weight on the black papers was used to determine expected changes on the final mass concentration on the sampled filters. Unfortunately the qualitative analysis for silica concentration of the dust was not done due to lack of an appropriate laboratory in Tanzania to analyse the filters.

Because of constant interchange of jobs on the quarry among workers, with many different tasks being done within a working week for varying periods of time, it was not possible to develop a more sophisticated exposure metric such as cumulative dust exposure based on the quantitative assessment that was conducted. A worker in the quarry could either do the following: stone digging, crushing, sieving, or sorting at varying moments within the same day. It was impossible to describe the various subsections within a quarry where an individual worker worked and the duration spent in this subsection over their period of engagement at the quarry. Therefore, the exposure metric used was "years of working in the quarry".

Dust collected was suspected to contain silica. Dust levels were compared with the occupational exposure limits (OEL) in South Africa since Tanzania is yet to have her own Occupational hygiene standards.

Bias and Limitations

This study was prone to selection, information bias and confounding bias. The selection bias and information bias were controlled for in the study design. The effects of confounding variables were adjusted for in the data analysis.

Since one quarry was randomly selected out of three major quarries in Dar-es-Salaam, and the fact that all workers in the selected quarry were studied, this study assumes that the sample studied is representative of the informal stone-crushers in the city. Despite the selection

process, we have no reason to believe that this quarry, and the workers within, differed significantly from the workers in the other quarries in the city.

This study used the modified version of the NIOSH Occupational History Questionnaire used in the US Coal Workers' X-ray Surveillance Program. Although the questionnaire has been used internationally and in other countries in Southern Africa, it was important to pilot the questionnaire in Tanzania before the study. The interviewers were trained and supervised during data collection. Since the questionnaire was in English and workers are Swahilispeaking, the questionnaire was translated and back translated by independent linguists and the two versions were compared for discrepancy to minimize information bias.

This study was prone to misclassification especially during reading and interpretation of the chest x ray films. To avoid this, films were read by two independent chest x ray readers, one of whom was NIOSH "B reader". The readings of the two readers were compared and when they differed the films were reviewed together for consensus. This study had a possibility of measurement bias during spirometry and in the exposure assessment. To minimize these the equipment used were calibrated at regular intervals. The same equipment was used each time during measurements of lung functions and during dust measurements. The measurements were done by experienced and trained technician.

The study was prone to common confounding variables which are age, sex and smoking. Other factors like social economical status and ethnicity were not important since the study was done in a cohort of workers (informal sectors) from the same social and ethnic group. Stratified and multivariate analysis were done to minimize the effect of confounding variables.

The study had the following limitations: The small sample limits the ability to study associations, but provided an opportunity to describe the health outcomes in this sample. The sample size was restricted by the size of the workforce. The workers in the quarry did not do exclusive jobs, but "multitasked" on a daily basis. This makes the calculation of individual exposures over the lifetime of work in the quarry almost impossible, despite our conduct of dust monitoring. This limits our ability to use quantitative dust measures for determining association between dust exposure and health outcomes. Instead, duration of working in the quarry was used to characterize the exposure.

There is an absence of standardised prediction equations for lung function for the people of East African origin, thus our ability to determine the difference from a "normal" lung function result was not possible. We therefore used internal standardisation to calculate likely observed lung function indices.

The lack of an analytic laboratory to conduct silica concentration in Tanzania restricted our ability to determine silica exposure among these workers. The absence of standard occupational exposure limits for respirable dust in Tanzania prevented us from relating our findings to a Tanzanian standard. Instead South African standards were considered.

Statistical Analysis

Questionnaires were double-entered, with the validation procedures on EpiInfo which was used to ensure that data entry was accurate. The computer-based forms were developed such that ranges were examined for impermissible values, logic checks for ensuring answer validity and consistency and automated skip patterns were incorporated. All analysis was done using the SPSS version 15 statistical analysis package. Independent checks of range, consistency and missing data were performed before data analysis. No personal identifiers were present in the database. This information was kept in a secure filing system in a locked room.

Descriptive, bivariate and multivariate analytic techniques were used to describe the data. Before conducting formal statistical analyses, preliminary analysis was performed. Frequency distributions of categorical variables and means, standard deviations, and ranges of continuous variables collected were examined. This was followed by a bivariate analysis of outcome and exposure variables. Statistical analyses proceeded from univariate analyses, which were used to describe the characteristics of the study population and to examine the crude associations between variables of interests. Such analyses provided us with a rough picture of the data and were useful in the subsequent stratified and multivariate analyses. For categorical dependent variables, odds ratios were calculated. For continuous dependent variables, correlation coefficients were calculated. In order to consider the role of confounding or effect modification in the data, a stratified analysis was done for each potential confounder/modifier, and their role on the outcome and exposure variables was examined.

The primary outcome variables of interest were the lung function parameters FEV₁, FVC and the ratio FEV₁/FVC, as well as respiratory symptoms and diseases. Respiratory symptoms included chronic cough, phlegm production, wheezing and coughing blood. Respiratory diseases include doctor diagnosed asthma, chronic bronchitis, emphysema, chest tuberculosis and pneumonia. The primary exposure variable was years of exposure. Years of exposure was used as a continuous variable, and converted into low (<10years) and high (≥10 years) exposure categories. Covariates examined were smoking status and history of tuberculosis. Smoking status, history of tuberculosis, age and sex were likely confounders in this study.

Due to lack of valid lung function prediction equation for people of East African origin, internal standardisation was done while correcting for age, height and sex to obtain observed value for FVC and FEV1. The correction for race was not considered necessary in this study. Their corresponding percentage predicted values for FVC and FEV1 were calculated using the following formula.

Percentage predicted = observed value *100

"Predicted value"

Multivariable regression was conducted to determine relationships between years of exposure and lung function, while adjusting for likely confounders such as smoking, history of TB and sex. Logistic regression models for dichotomous outcomes (respiratory symptoms) and exposure variables were developed while controlling for sex, current and previous smoking status, doctor-diagnosed chronic bronchitis, asthma and chest tuberculosis. Because this sample included both men and women, stratification by sex was done during analysis.

Human Subjects Issues

Institutional ethical clearance

The survey of stone crushers conducted by TOHS was ethically approved by the Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) of National Institute for Medical Research Tanzania (NIMR) (the letter of approval is attached. – Appendix II). The respiratory study was submitted to, and received approval from, the Post Graduate Education Committee of the Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine and the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal South Africa (Appendices III and IV).

Permission and individual informed consent

The permission to conduct the study at Kigamboni quarry was obtained from the respective local authority leaders and representative unions in the quarry. Because this is an informal sector, the permission from respective municipal council and ministry was not necessary. Individual informed consent was necessary for the participants. In this instance each participant was given a comprehensive explanation in a language of their choice. The content of this discussion included the aims of the research, the purpose of the interview, the tests that were to be conducted on them, use of their data and the confidentiality of all results. It was emphasized that participation was voluntary and withdrawal at any time was permitted. Each participant was asked to sign a consent form. No financial incentives were provided for participation in the study.

Participant's confidentiality

All participant information was treated in the strictest of confidence, and no information was passed onto any other party, without the consent of the participant. All participants were individually given a copy of their results, together with interpretations of the data and, where applicable, a referral to an appropriate centre of their choice for further medical management. Those workers with features of compensable occupational disease were directed to assessment centers for compensation purposes. Individual results are strictly confidential and are only accessible to the research team. These results will be released to any clinician/guardian/agency should this be desired by the individual worker.

Publication of results and reports

In the publication of research results, reports and in this dissertation, all data are treated as grouped, and thus no individual is identified from such documentations.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics of the Study Population.

In the overall sample of 200 workers, 51.5% (n=103) were male while 48.5% (n=97) were female. Overall mean age was 36.3 years. The mean age of men was slightly less than that of females (35.5 vs. 37.2 years) while variation in terms of smoking habit was tremendous between male and female (31.1% vs. 8.2% smokers respectively). No worker was found to be using personal protective equipment while at work.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the workers.

	Full Sample (n=200)		Sub-sample (n=59)*	
Demographic	Male	Female	Male	Female
variables	n=103	n=97	(n=28)	(n=31)
			,	,
Age (years) (SD)	35.5 (14.5)	37.2 (12.3)	43.1 (16.4)	40.3 (10.0)
Years worked in the	7.1 (9.3)	8.6 (7.3)	12.2 (11.3)	13.6 (6.7)
quarry (SD)	` ,	` /	· · ·	` ,
Height (cm) (SD)*			166.3 (6.4)	157.7 (5.1)
Weight (kg) (SD)*			60 (8.6)	61.1 (12.8)
Current smoker (%)	31 (30.1)	8 (8.3)	9 (32.1)	3 (9.7)
Never smoker (%)	70 (67.9)	88 (90.7)	19 (67.9)	27 (87.1)
Ex-smokers (%)	2(1.9)	2 (2.1)	0	1 (3.2)

^{*}This data was available for those workers who underwent health assessment tests.n=59

Respiratory symptoms and doctor diagnosed diseases;

Reported prevalence of doctor-diagnosed respiratory diseases was relatively low, ranging from 0.97% (pulmonary tuberculosis) through to 6.8% (pneumonia). These low reports of doctor-diagnosed diseases contrasted with the prevalence of symptoms as reported by the participants, which ranged from 3.1% severe breathlessness through to 19.4% chronic cough (Table 2). Reporting of symptoms of mild breathlessness and wheeze did not differ markedly

between male and female. However, symptoms of chronic cough and chronic phlegm production did (Table 2). Men had a substantially higher reporting rate of chronic cough and cough with phlegm production (19.4% and 18.5% respectively), compared to females with rates of 7.2% and 10.3% for chronic cough and chronic phlegm production respectively. This may be reflective of the considerably higher proportion of male smokers than female smokers.

Table 2. Reported prevalence respiratory symptoms and doctor-diagnosed diseases from stone crushers

Symptoms and disease outcomes	MALE (n=103)(%)	FEMALE (n=97)(%)	TOTAL N=200 (%)
Doctor-diagnosed Pulmonary tuberculosis	1 (0.9)	3 (3.1)	4 (2)
Doctor-diagnosed Asthma	3 (2.9)	3 (3.1)	6 (3)
Doctor-diagnosed Pneumonia	7 (6.8)	4 (4.1)	11 (5.5)
Doctor-diagnosed Chronic bronchitis	4 (3.9)	2 (2.1)	6 (3)
Severe wheezing causing breathlessness	3 (2.9)	6 (6.2)	9 (4.5)
Wheeze or whistling	16 (15.5)	12 (12.4)	28 (14)
Moderate breathlessness	9 (8.7)	10 (10.3)	19 (9.5)
Severe breathlessness	4 (3.9)	3 (3.1)	7 (3.5)
Cough with hlegm production	19 (18.5)	10 (10.3)	29 (14.5)
Productive cough	14 (13.6)	6 (6.2)	20 (10)
Coughing blood	11(10.7)	4 (4.1)	16 (7.5)
Chronic cough	20 (19.4)	7 (7.2)	27 (13.5)

Lung function findings.

Age, sex and height adjusted mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) was 2.6L/min (SD: 0.95) and 3.67L (SD: 0.92) respectively, among the men and 2.1L/min (SD: 0.48) and 3.0L (SD: 0.6) respectively among women. FEV₁/ FVC ratio was 69.86% and 70.61% for men and women respectively. (Table 3)

Table 3. Lung functions indices

Lung function variables	Male (n=28) Mean (SD)	Female (n=31) Mean (SD)
Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) (Litres) Percentage predicted FVC (%) Forced expiratory volume in 1st second (FEV1) (litres)	3.7 (0.9) 100.1 (18.9) 2.6 (0.9)	3.0 (0.6) 103.2 (27.4) 2.1 (0.5)
% predicted FEV (%) FEV1/FVC ratio (%).	85.7 (20.4) 69.9 (15.8)	84.9 (18.3) 70.6 (8.9)

A substantial percentage of the workers had evidence of clinically important lung function deficits as determined by percentage predicted FVC <80%, percentage predicted FEV1<80% and the ratio of FEV1/FVC <70% (Table 4).

Table 4. Clinically important lung function deficit, N=59

Lung function parameters	Frequency (%)
<80% percentage predicted FVC	6 (10)
<80%percentage predicted FEVI	23 (39)
<70% FEV1/FVC%	24 (40.7)

Chest radiography

The majority of films were normal (60.9%), with 19.6% as 1/0 and 19.9% as 0/1. No films were category II or above.

Exposure assessment

There were substantial exposure differences among the different tasks within the quarry (blasting, digging and carrying, crushing, loading, sieving and sorting), with low mean levels recorded for tasks such as loading (0.1mg/m³) through to high exposures for tasks such as crushing and loading (2.8 mg/m³) (Table 5). At least 4 of the different tasks (sorting, crushing, lifting stones from pit and crushing and loading) were associated with exposures higher than 1mg/m³. The overall geometric mean of 1.2mg/m³ has little value because of the non-random method of activity selection for sampling.

Table 5. Dust concentration (GM in mg/m3 and GSD) for various exposures groups and by job title in Kigamboni Tanzania

Task	N	GM	GSD	Range
		mg/m3		
loading	5	0.1	2.6	0.09-1.0
Digging	3	0.5	3.8	0.1-1.0
sieving	16	0.6	2.7	0.2-1.6
weighing	4	0.9	1.3	0.9-1.3
sorting	1	1.0	1.0	1.0
crushing	49	1.02	2.8	0.2-6.3
Lifting stones	1	2.0	1.0	
from pit				
Crushing and	4	2.8	4.4	0.8-19.9
loading combined				
Overall	86	1.2	2.9	0.2-19.9

Health Outcomes and Exposure Relationship

There was no statistically significant relationship between chest X ray categories and exposure in this study. Linear regression of lung function against years of exposure, adjusted for age, sex, height, smoking and doctor-diagnosed TB, showed statistically significant decline in 2.3mls in FEV1 for each year of exposure. This trend was also shown for FVC with a decline of 1.3mls, but this being a chance finding could not be excluded (Table 6).

Table 6. Linear regressions of lung function indices against exposure adjusted for age, sex, smoking and doctor diagnosed TB

	FVC (L)	FEV ₁ (L)
Age (years)	-0.014	-0.024
	0.008	0.007
	(-0.029-0.002)	(-0.0380.011)
Sex (male=1; female=0)	0.28	0.14
	0.19	0.16
	(-0.11 - 0.69)	(-0.18 - 0.47)
Height (centimetres)	0.073	0.064
	0.012	0.012
	(0.050-0.097)	0.041-0.087
Work duration (years)	-0.013	-0.023
	0.012	0.011
	(-0.04-0.01)	(-0.050.002)
Pulmonary Tuberculosis	-0.7	-0.85
Doctor diagnosis of TB=1; no	0.36	0.29
diagnosis=0)	(-1.44 - 0.02)	(-1.450.26)
Current Smoker	-0.31	-0.38
(current smoker=1; never	0.21	0.17
smoker=0)	(-0.73 - 0.11)	(-0.720.04)
Ex-smoker	0.58	-0.18
(ex- smoker=1; never	0.46	0.37
smoker=0)	(-0.33 – 1.51)	(-0.92 - 0.56)

Table shows coefficient estimate, standard error, 95% Confidence Interval

Adjusted ExposureOdds Ratios for symptoms

Although there existed an excess exposure related risk for the symptoms of chronic cough, mild and moderate breathlessness, some were statistically significant while others were not. The results were statistically significant for mild and moderate breathlessness. The risk was elevated for all other symptoms, but not statistically significant (Table 7).

Table 7 Adjusted Exposure Odds Ratios for symptoms from logistic regration model (N=200)

Symptom	Odds ratio (95% CI)
Chronic cough	2.2 (0.8-6)
Chronic phlegm production	2.0 (1.7-11.5)
Mild breathlessness	3.4 (1.2-9.9)*
Moderate breathlessness	3.1 (1.4-6.8)*
Severe breathlessness	1.3 (0.2-7.3)
Wheezing	1.5 (0.6-3.9)
Severe wheezing	3.9 (0.8-18.7)
Coughing blood	1.3 (0.3-5.1)

^{*} indicates p value < 0.05

Model adjusted for the following variables: age, sex, years of exposure and smoking.

CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

This study is the first among stonecrushers in Tanzania and Southern Africa region. The results of this study, despite its limitations, is suggestive of dust related adverse respiratory outcomes among stonecrushers. The study documented a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms within a sample of workers, together with clinically important lung function deficits.

This dissertation is based on a larger project conducted among informal sector workers in Dar-es-Salaam and Maputo. The project focused on documenting the risks faced by these workers, and their overall health status. The data generated from the project provided an opportunity to describe the respiratory health of these marginalized workers, and to describe any exposure-outcome relationships. However, because the project was not *a priori* designed to address any specific epidemiological hypothesis, this restricted our ability to define any relationship with substantial confidence, if such relationships did exist.

Despite these shortcomings, stone crushers in this study had high prevalence of chronic respiratory outcomes such as chronic cough, cough with phlegm production, wheezing and moderate breathlessness similar to those which have been reported in other respirable dust-exposed workers(17, 18). Slightly higher prevalences than documented in this study have been reported in other studies among stone crushers in India: 50% for cough at night; 18% for wheezing anytime; and 40% for phlegm(19), compared to the findings in our study of 13.5%, 14% and 14.5% respectively. These differences are not surprising given the high level of exposure recorded among the Indian workers with resirable dust of 18.9mg/m3 and 5% silica concentration(19). The respirable dust levels recorded in our study ranged from 0.1mg/m3 – 2.8mg/m3, with a mean of 1.2mg/m3. On the contrary, doctor-diagnosed respiratory diseases like chronic bronchitis, asthma, and pneumonia among informal stone crushers were relatively low compared to the prevalence of respiratory symptoms. This is probably due to inadequacy of appropriate health facilities in this marginalized low resource setting where some diseases

are likely to be misdiagnosed or, because of the lack of such services, workers are not assessed by health professionals. The prevalence of respiratory diseases among agate stone workers exposed to respirable dust was higher (63.4%) than in this study(5). A rather unexpected finding in this study is low prevalence of doctor-diagnosed chest tuberculosis (2%). Given a substantial period of exposure to dust suspected to contain silica in the quarry, one would have expected the prevalence of pulmonary tuberculosis to have been higher given the low socio-economic status and exposure to silica dust. However, this was not the case in our study – probably due either to poor access to health services, or possibly the concentrations of silica in the respirable dust – an assessment we were not able to do.

Although lung function prediction equations have been proposed for persons of African origin, (20-21) there was a lack of confidence about the validity of these equations in this particular population: the available equations for females are from South Africa, (21) while the equations for men of East African origin have not been repeatedly tested across different populations.(20) For this reason, multivariable regression models for FEV₁ and FVC adjusted for age, height and gender were computed as described by Vollmer et al among a populationbased sample of 2600 men and women.(22) The age, height and sex adjusted lung function parameters in our study were mean forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) of 2.6L and 3.67L respectively, among the men and 2.1L/min and 3.0L respectively among women. FEV₁/ FVC ratio was 69.86% and 70.61% for men and women respectively. These were similar to those seen in Indian stone workers where adjusted mean FVC and FEV1 were 3.34L and 2.62L among males and 2.78L and 2.62L among females respectively(20). In this study, 10% of the workers have features of restrictive lung disease (percentage predicted FVC<80%), while 40.7% had FEV1/FVC ratio less than 70% suggestive of an obstructive defect. However, recent reports suggest that this parameter may not reliably describe obstructive lung function (26).

Our inability to perform quantitative analysis of the dust for silica was an important limitation of the study. Since the Kigamboni quarry is open to environment, the respirable dust levels are likely to be much lower than those seen in underground mine with a possible lower prevalence of adverse respiratory outcomes. This was not the case in this study where a substantial percentage of workers had respiratory symptoms such as cough, phlegm production and breathlessness. Workers were routinely exposed to high peak levels of dust during blasting, or working with rock without the necessary protective equipment or hazard controls, possible

exposure to high concentrations of respirable quartz, long hours of work and long duration of exposure. In this study, dust levels ranged from 0.5mg/m3 to 2.8mg/m3. The respirable dust exposure of stone crushers was, therefore, moderately high with unknown silica content. Some tasks within the quarry exceeded internationally acceptable standards for respirable dust (blasting and loading), however, with levels much lower than levels quoted for other studies among stone crushers(1). Dust levels in this quarry do not differ much from levels found in Indian stone quarry (1-4mg/m3 with mean of 2.7mg/m3)(23) but differ from levels found in Iranian stone crushers of 9.46mg/m3(24). Related studies in Indian quarries showed 8-hour time weighted average concentrations of total inhalable dust, respirable dust and respirable crystalline silica were 143 mg/m³, 39.7 mg/m³ and 2.29 mg/m³ respectively, which are 14, 10 and 23 times the UK workplace exposure limits, respectively(19). High dust levels in the stone crushing quarry have also been established in Southern India quarries where ambient air concentrations of dust ranging from 0.086 to 0.257mg/m3 and 0.039 to 0.138mg/m3(1), compared to the UK where levels of 0.04-10mg/m3 of respirable dust and quartz respectively was found (6). It is unclear why this difference existed in similar work environment.

As for any quarry, underground or opencast mine environment, respiratory symptoms and lung function loss could be attributable to silica exposure. For the silicosis to be evident, the exposure must be at least 12 years. We were not able to quantify the concentration of silica in the dust to which these workers were exposed. However, this study found no radiographic evidence of silicosis (above 1/0 according to international Labour Organization classification) despite exposure to respirable dust of a moderate degree (0.5mg/m3 to 2.8mg/m3). Yet these workers were exposed to this dust for a considerable period of time (mean exposure 12.5 years). If the silica content of the dust was substantial, one would have expected several cases of silicosis since the exposure duration was enough to develop respiratory changes.

This study had a small sample size (N=200) which obviously compromised the power of the study to prove exposure-outcome relationships. Despite this shortcoming, an adjusted excess risk was found for the presence of mild breathlessness, moderate breathlessness, chronic cough and severe breathlessness with high exposure categories, although this was not statistically significant for severe breathlessness and chronic cough. Similarly, a statistically significant adjusted exposure-related dose-response loss in FEV1 was seen with increasing years of exposure to dust. The loss in lung function over 30-years working life is estimated at about 69ml. This loss is of marginal clinical significance. The dose-related loss in lung function

noted in this study is in keeping with study among Indian stone workers where a statistically significant decreasing trend in lung function was noted with increasing years of exposure, with 3.70L to 3.07L in FVC and 2.91L/min to 2.48L/min in FEV1(25).

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion, this study identified adverse respiratory outcomes among informal stone crushers in Tanzania, particularly a high prevalence of respiratory symptoms and clinically important lung function deficits. The respirable dust exposures of this working population were moderately high, with unknown silica content. Some tasks exceed internationally acceptable standards for respirable dust. Silicosis was not evident in this study.

Innovative strategies for dust control and prevention need to be considered in this informal working environment. Because of low socio-economic status of the informal workers, limited options are available to these workers. Interventions need to include increasing awareness of dust-related hazards through training programmes to informal workers, provision of low cost dust control measures such as masks, wetting strategies and administrative controls such as leaving quarry during blasting and delayed return afterwards. However, all these require financial investment beyond the capability of these informal workers. State assistance is necessary to implement these or any hazard control strategy as well as regular medical surveillance to workers.

The study, despite being largely descriptive with a number of limitations, was able to establish dose-related relationship between exposure to respirable dust and the development of respiratory symptoms and loss of lung functions. This suggests the need for a study with substantial power to confidently characterise the exposure and establish dose-related relationships between exposure to respirable dust and health outcomes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Sivacoumar R, Jayabalou R, Swarnalatha S, Balakrishanan K. Particulate matter from stone crushing industry: size distribution and health effects. J Environmental Engineering. 2006;132(3):405-14.
- 2. Tornberg V, Forastieri V, Riwa PG, Swai D. Occupational safety and health in the informal sector: Intervention strategies and implementation of pilot activities in Dar es Salaam. African Newsletter of Occup Health. 1992;Sect. 30-3.
- 3. Department of Labor US. Preventing silicosis. 1996.
- 4. Adverse effects of crystalline silica exposure. American Thoracic Society Committee of the Scientific Assembly on Environmental and Occupational Health Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1997;155(2):761-8.
- 5. Rastogi SK, Gupta BN, Chandra H, Mathur N, Mahendra PN, Husain T. A study of the prevalence of respiratory morbidity among agate workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1991;63(1):21-6.
- 6. Love RG, Waclawski ER, Maclaren WM, Wetherill GZ, Groat SK, Porteous RH, et al. Risks of respiratory disease in the heavy clay industry. Occup Environ Med. 1999;56(2):124-33.
- 7. Mwaiselage J, Moen B, Bråtveit M. Acute respiratory health effects among cement factory workers in Tanzania: an evaluation of a simple health surveillance tool. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2006;79(1):49-56.
- 8. Mamuya SH, Bratveit M, Mwaiselage J, Mashalla YJ, Moen BE. High exposure to respirable dust and quartz in a labour-intensive coal mine in Tanzania. Ann Occup Hyg. 2006;50(2):197-204.
- 9. Mamuya SH, Bratveit M, Mashalla YJ, Moen BE. Airflow limitation among workers in a labour-intensive coal mine in Tanzania. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2007;80(7):567-75.
- 10. Mwaiselage J, Bratveit M, Moen BE, Mashalla Y. Respiratory symptoms and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among cement factory workers. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2005;31(4):316-23.
- 11. Althouse, Castellan RBKMB, M. R. Tuberculosis comortality with silicosis United States, 1979-1991. App Occup and Environ Hyg. 1995;10(12):1037-41.
- 12. Cowie RL. The epidemiology of tuberculosis in gold miners with silicosis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;150(5 Pt 1):1460-2.
- 13. Tiwari RR, Saiyed HN. Tuberculosis among workers exposed to free silica dust. Indian J Occup Environ Med. 2007;11(2):61-4.

- 14. Hanifa Y, Grant AD, Lewis J, Corbett EL, Fielding K, Churchyard G. Prevalence of latent tuberculosis infection among gold miners in South Africa. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2009;13(1):39-46.
- 15. Tjoe Nij E, Burdorf A, Parker J, Attfield M, van Duivenbooden C, Heederik D. Radiographic abnormalities among construction workers exposed to quartz containing dust. Occup Environ Med. 2003;60(6):410-7.
- 16. Eisen EA, Robins JM, Greaves IA, Wegman DH. Selection effects of repeatability criteria applied to lung spirometry. Am J Epidemiol. 1984;120(5):734-42.
- 17. Oliver LC, Miracle-McMahill H. Airway disease in highway and tunnel construction workers exposed to silica. Am J Ind Med. 2006;49(12):983-96.
- 18. Meijer E, Kromhout H, Heederik D. Respiratory effects of exposure to low levels of concrete dust containing crystalline silica. Am J Ind Med. 2001;40(2):133-40.
- 19. Green DA, McAlpine G, Semple S, Cowie H, Seaton A. Mineral dust exposure in young Indian adults: an effect on lung growth? Occup Environ Med. 2008;65(5):306-10.
- 20. Mustafa KY. Spirometric lung function tests in normal men and African ethnic origin. Am Rev Resp Dis. 1977;116(2):209-213.
- 21. Mokoetle K, De Beer M, Becklake MR. A respiratory health survey of a black Johannesburg workforce. Thorax. 1994; 49(4):340-346.
- 22. Vollmer WM, Tsai R, Wu Y, Li YH, Johnson LR, Williams OD et al. Patterns of Lung Function in Asymptomatic Nonsmoking Men and Women in the People's Republic of China. Ann Epidemiol 2002; 12(5):295–302
- 23. Tiwari RR, Narain R, Patel BD, Makwana IS, Saiyed HN. Spirometric measurements among quartz stone ex-workers of Gujarat, India. J Occup Health. 2003;45(2):88-93.
- 24. Sivacoumar R, Mohan Raj S, Chinnadurai SJ, Jayabalou R. Modeling of fugitive dust emission and control measures in stone crushing industry. J Environ Monit. 2009;11(5):987-97.
- 25. Bahrami AR, Golbabai F, Mahjub H, Qorbani F, Aliabadi M, Barqi M. Determination of exposure to respirable quartz in the stone crushing units at Azendarian-West of Iran. Ind Health. 2008;46(4):404-8.
- 26. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Stocks J, Hall GL, et al. Changes in the FEV□/FVC ratio during childhood and adolescence: an intercontinental study. Eur Respir J. 2010 Dec;36(6):1391-9.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: QUESTIONNAIRE

HEALTI	H SURVEY OF	INFOR	MAL SEC	CTOR W	/ORK	ERS				
A1.	Date:	A2.		Study						
/	/	Ider	ntification	No.						
Γ	Day									
Month	Year									
	onnaire sho	uld be	addres	sed to	the	samp	led	worke	r at t	he
place of wo	rk.									
A2 No.		l = L .	1							
A3. Nar	me of respond	ient:								
					First					
					50					
					Middle	9				
					Surna	me				
A4. Ide	ntity number:									
				_						
A5. Pho	one numbers:				_					
					home	:				
					work:					
					WOIK.					
					cell:					
A11. In	nterviewer's N	ame:								
A12. In	nterview time	started:	:		Time:	:		am/pn	n	
A13.	[INTERVIEV	VER:	Enter		\square_1 M	ale	\square_2	Femal	е	

[INTRODUCTION: INTERVIEWER READS TO RESPONDENT]

gender of respondent]

The purpose of this questionnaire is to collect information about you, your health status, your lifestyle and your occupation. Your answers will help us figure out how to improve the health of people working in this industry. If there is a question you do not want to answer, please let me know and we can skip it. All of your responses are confidential and will not be shown to anyone outside the study team without your written consent.

A. DEMOGRAPHICS

Now I'd like you to answer some questions about yourself.

A14. How old are you?	
	years
A15. What is your date of birth?	
	/
	day month year
	\square_9 Refused
A20. What is the highest grade or	\square_1 Never attended school or only
year of school you completed? [READ	pre-school
CHOICES]	\square_2 Stand 1 – Std 7
-	□₃ form 1 – form 4
	□₄ form 5 - form6
	□₅ College / university
	\square_9 Refused
A21. In which town, province and	i
country were you born?	
	town province
	country
1	

C. YOUR HEALTH

In this section we wish to obtain information about your health

A25. Would you say your health in	\square_1 excellent
general is:	\square_2 very good

	□₃ good
	\square_{4} fair
	□ ₅ poor
A28. In the past 12 months, how	□ ₀ None
many times did you stay in the hospital	
overnight or longer?	times
A29. In the past 12 months, how	□ ₀ None
many times did you see or talk to a	
medical doctor or health professional (do	times
not count the times while overnight in	
the hospital)?	
A30. How long has it been since you last saw or talked to a medical doctor or other health professional about your health? Include health professionals seen	\square_0 never \square_{77} less than one month
while a patient in a hospital.	\square_1 month \square_2 year
	DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD
A31. Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had arthritis?	\square_1 Yes
doctor that you had artificis:	\square_2 No [GO TO A33]
A32. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A33. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had asthma?	
	\square_2 No [GO TO A37]
A34. Do you still have it	\square_2 No [GO TO A37] \square_1 Yes
A34. Do you still have it	-
A34. Do you still have it A35. How old were you when	□₁ Yes
·	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
A35. How old were you when	□₁ Yes □₂ Noyears
A35. How old were you when you were first told that you had this	□₁ Yes □₂ Noyears
A35. How old were you when you were first told that you had this condition?	□₁ Yes □₂ No years □ଃ Don't know
A35. How old were you when you were first told that you had this condition? A36. If you no longer have it,	☐₁ Yes ☐₂ No years ☐ଃ Don't know age stopped (in years)
A35. How old were you when you were first told that you had this condition? A36. If you no longer have it, at what age did it stop?	☐1 Yes ☐2 No years ☐8 Don't know age stopped (in years) ☐1 Still have it
A35. How old were you when you were first told that you had this condition? A36. If you no longer have it, at what age did it stop? A37. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \square_2 years \square_8 Don't know \square_1 age stopped (in years) \square_1 Still have it \square_1 Yes

condition?	
A39. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had a stroke?	\square_2 No [GO TO A41]
A40. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A41. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had chronic bronchitis?	\square_2 No [GO TO A44]
A42. Do you still have it?	\square_1 Yes
	□ ₂ No
A43. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	\square_8 Don't know
condition?	
A44. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had pneumonia?	\square_2 No [GO TO A47]
A45. Do you still have it?	\square_1 Yes
	□ ₂ No
A46. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A47. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had emphysema?	\square_2 No [GO TO A49]
A48. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	\square_8 Don't know
condition?	
A49. Have you ever been told by	□₁ Yes
a doctor that you had hay fever?	\square_2 No [GO TO A52]
A50. Do you still have it?	□₁ Yes
	□ ₂ No
A51. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A52. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had chest tuberculosis	□ ₂ No [GO TO A59]

or TB?	
A53. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had TB?	□ ₈ Don't know
A54. How long did you take	months
medication for TB? (First) episode of	\square_0 Did not take any medication
TB?	
A55. Have you ever been told	\square_1 Yes
by a doctor that you had a second	\square_2 No [GO TO A58]
episode of TB?	
A56. How old were you	years
when you were told that you	□ ₈ Don't know
had a second episode?	
A57. For how long did	months
you take medication for this	\square_0 Did not take any medication
second episode?	
A58. Do you still have TB?	\square_1 Yes
	□ ₂ No
	\square_8 Don't know
Have you ever had:	
A59. Any other chest illnesses as	□₁ Yes
mentioned by a doctor?	\square_2 No [GO TO A61]
A60. If yes, please specify.	
A61. Any chest operations?	\square_1 Yes
	\square_2 No [GO TO A63]
A62. If yes, please specify.	
	<u></u>
A63. Any chest injuries?	□₁ Yes
	\square_2 No [GO TO A65]
A64. If yes, please specify.	
A65. During the past three years	□₁ Yes
have you had any chest illness which	\square_2 No [GO TO A67]
kept you from your usual activities for as	

much as a week?	
A66. If yes to above: How	number of illnesses
many illnesses like this have you had	
in the past three years?	
A67. Did you have any lung	□ ₁ Yes
trouble before the age of 16?	□ ₂ No
A68. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had cataracts?	\square_2 No [GO TO A70]
A69. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A70. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had thyroid disease?	\square_2 No [GO TO A73]
A71. Do you still have it?	□ ₁ Yes
	□ ₂ No
A72. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A73. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had lupus?	\square_2 No [GO TO A75]
A74. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	□ ₈ Don't know
condition?	
A75. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had gout?	\square_2 No [GO TO A77]
A76. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	\square_8 Don't know
condition?	
A77. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes
a doctor that you had skin cancer?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A79]
A78. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	\square_8 Don't know
condition?	

A79. Have you ever been told by	□₁ Yes
a doctor that you had any other cancer?	\square_2 No [GO TO A82]
A80. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this	\square_8 Don't know
condition?	
A81. Where was it when you	
were first told?	$\square_{ exttt{1}}$ Bladder
	\square_2 Breast
	\square_3 Cervix (female only)
	\square_4 Colon – rectum (large
	intestine)
	\square_5 Prostate (male only)
	\square_6 Uterus (female only)
	\square_7 Bone
	\square_8 Brain or nervous system
	\square_9 Esophagus
	\square_{10} Gallbladder
	\square_{11} Hodgkin's Disease
	\square_{12} Kidney
	\square_{13} Leukemia
	$\square_{ ext{14}}$ Liver
	□ ₁₅ Lung
	\square_{16} Lymphoma
	\square_{17} Mouth or pharynx
	\square_{18} Ovary (female only)
	\square_{19} Pancreas
	\square_{20} Stomach
	\square_{21} Testicles (male only)
	\square_{22} Other, please specify:
	□ ₈₈ Don't know
DIABETES	
A82. Have you ever been told by	\square_1 Yes

a doctor that you had diabetes or sugar	\square_2 No [GO TO A88]
diabetes?	□ ₈ Don't know
[If yes and male, SKIP the	
next two questions]	
A83. Were you pregnant when	□₁ Yes
you were told that you had diabetes?	\square_2 No [GO TO A85]
	\square_8 Not applicable [MALE - GO
	TO A85]
A84. Other than during	□₁ Yes
pregnancy, has a doctor ever told	\square_2 No [GO TO A88]
you that you had diabetes or	
sugar diabetes?	
A85. How old were you when	
you were first told that you had this	years
condition? (not including during	□ ₈ Don't know
pregnancy)	
A86. Are you <u>now</u> taking	□₁ Yes
insulin?	□ ₂ No
A87. Are you now taking	□₁ Yes
diabetes pills to lower your blood	□ ₂ No
sugar?	
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE/CHOLES	STEROL
A88. About how long has it been	\square_1 less than 6 months
since you last had your blood pressure	\square_2 more than 6 months, but less
taken by a doctor or other health	than 1 year
professional?	\square_3 more than 1 year, but less
	than 5 years
	\square_4 more than 5 years
	\square_5 never
	\square_8 don't know
A89. Have you ever been told by	□₁ Yes
a doctor or other health professional that	\square_2 No [GO TO A98]
you had hypertension, also called high	

blood pressure?□	
A90. Were you told on 2 or	□₁ Yes
more different visits to a doctor or	□ ₂ No
health professional that you had	
hypertension, also called high blood	
pressure?	
A91. Because of your high	
blood pressure, have you ever been	
told by a doctor or health	
professional to:	
A92.Take prescribed	□₁ Yes
medication:	\square_2 No [GO TO A94]
A93. If yes, are you now	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
taking it?	
A98. Have you ever had your	□₁ Yes
blood cholesterol checked?	□ ₂ No
	□ ₈ Don't Know
A99. Have you ever been told by	□₁ Yes
a doctor or other health professional that	\square_2 No [GO TO A105]
your blood cholesterol level was high? \Box	
A100. Because of your high	□₁ Yes
blood cholesterol, have you ever	\square_2 No
been told by a doctor or health	
professional to take prescribed	
medication?:	
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE	
A105. Have you ever had pain or	□₁ Yes
discomfort in your chest?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A112]
A106. Do you get it when you	□₁ Yes
walk uphill or hurry?	□ ₂ No
	\square_3 Don't walk uphill or hurry
A107. Do you get it when you	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No

walk at an ordinary pace on level	
ground?	
A108. What do you do if you	\square_1 stop or slow down
get it while you are walking? Do you:	\square_2 continue at the same pace
A109. If you stand still, is the	\square_1 relieved
pain or discomfort:	\square_2 not relieved
A110. How soon is the pain	\square_1 10 minutes or less
relieved?	\square_2 more than 10 minutes
A112. Has a doctor ever told you	□₁ Yes
that you had a heart attack?	\square_2 No [GO TO A116]
A113. How many heart	number
attacks have you had?	□ ₈ don't know
A114. How old were you when	years
you had your first heart attack?	\square_8 don't know
A115. How old were you when	years
you had your last heart attack?	\square_8 don't know
A116. Have you ever had	□₁ Yes
weakness or paralysis in one side of your	\square_2 No
face, an arm or a leg, lasting more than	
5 minutes?□	
A117. Have you ever had	□₁ Yes
pronounced numbness, tingling or loss of	\square_2 No
sensation involving one side of your face	
or body and lasting more than 5	
minutes?□	
A118. Have you ever had an	□₁ Yes
episode of marked loss of vision in one	\square_2 No
eye or pronounced blurring of vision in	
both eyes lasting more than 5	
minutes?□	
A119. Have you ever had an	□₁ Yes
episode of severe dizziness lasting more	□ ₂ No
than 5 minutes?□	
A120. Have you ever had an	\square_1 Yes

episode during which you experienced a	□ ₂ No
problem with your ability to speak, or to	
understand what someone was saying to	
you?	
KIDNEY CONDITIONS	
A121. Have you ever had kidney	\square_1 Yes
stones?	□ ₂ No
A122. How many times have you	□ ₀ Never or
had a bladder infection, also called a	
urinary tract infection (UTI) or cystitis?	times
A123. Have you ever had	\square_1 Yes
symptoms of a bladder infection (such as	\square_2 No
a pain n your bladder and frequent	\square_8 Don't know
urination) that lasted more than 3	
months?	
A124. Not including during	\square_0 none
pregnancy, how many times a night do	\square_1 1
you usually get up to urinate (pass	\square_2 2
water)?	\square_3 3 or more times
A125. When you urinate (pass	□₁ Yes
water) do you usually feel like you have	\square_2 No
not completely emptied your bladder?	
A126. Do you usually have	□₁ Yes
trouble starting to urinate (pass water)?	□ ₂ No
[IF FEMALE OR MALE	
UNDER 60 YEARS, GO TO A129]	
A127. Has the force of your	\square_1 Yes
urinary stream or water decreased	\square_2 No
over the years?	
A128. Have you ever had	□₁ Yes
surgery for your prostate not related	□ ₂ No
to cancer?	

RESPIRATORY CONDITIONS AND) ALLERGY	1				
Cough						
A129. Do you usually cough on	\Box_1	Yes				
most days for 3 consecutive months or	\square_2	No				
more during the year?						
A130. Do you usually cough first	\Box_1	Yes	\Box_2	No	[GO	то
thing in the morning (on getting $up*$) in	A132]					
the winter?						
A131. Do you usually cough	\Box_1	Yes	\square_2	No		
at all during the rest of the day or at						
night*?						
IGNORE AN OCCASIONAL						
COUGH						
A132. For how many years have						
you had this cough?	Nur	mber	years			
*FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO						
WORK AT NIGHT						
Phlegm						
COUNT PHLEGM WITH FIRST S	MOKE OR	ON	FIRST	GOIN	IG OU	ГОБ
DOORS. EXCLUDE PHLEGM FROM THE	NOSE. CO	UNT	SWAL	LOWED	PHLE	GM.
A133. Do you usually bring up	\Box_1	Yes				
any phlegm/sputum/mucus from your	\square_2	No				
chest first thing in the morning (on						
getting up*) in the winter?						
A134. Do you usually bring up any	\Box_1	Yes				
phlegm/sputum/mucus from your chest during the day (or at night*) in the winter?	\square_2	No [GO TO	A140]		
A135. Do you bring up	\Box_1	Yes				
phlegm like this on most days (or	\square_2	No				
nights*) for as much as three						
months each year?						

A136. Do you usually bring up	\square_1 Yes
phlegm at all on getting up or first	□ ₂ No
thing in the morning?	
A137. For how many years	years
have you had trouble with phlegm?	
A138. Have you ever coughed	□ ₁ Yes
up blood?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A140]
A139. Was this in the past	□₁ Yes
year?	□ ₂ No
*For individuals who work	
at night	
Episodes Of Cough And Phlegm	
A140. Have you had periods or	\square_1 Yes
episodes of (increased) cough and	□ ₂ No
phlegm lasting for 3 weeks or more each	
year?	
Breathlessness	
A141. Are you troubled by shortness of	\square_1 Yes
breath when hurrying on level ground?	□ ₂ No
A142. Do you get short of breath	\square_1 Yes
walking with other people of your own	□ ₂ No
age on level ground?	
A143. Do you have to stop for	\square_1 Yes
breath when walking at your own pace	□ ₂ No
on level ground?	
A144. Are you too breathless to	\square_1 Yes
leave the house or too breathless on	□ ₂ No
dressing or undressing?	
-	
Wheezing	
A145. Does your chest ever sound	□₁ Yes

wheezy or whistling?	\square_2 No [GO TO A150]
A146. When you have a cold?	□₁ Yes
	□ ₂ No
A147. Occasionally apart from	□₁ Yes
colds?	□ ₂ No
A148. Most days or nights?	□₁ Yes
	□ ₂ No
A149. For how many years	years
has this been present?	\square_8 Don't know
A150. How many episodes of	□ ₀ None
wheezing or whistling have you had in	number
the past 12 months?	
A151. How many times in the	□ ₀ None
past 12 months were you	number
hospitalized overnight for these	
episodes of wheezing or whistling?	
A152. Can you estimate the	R,
total cost of all these hospitalizations	
for the past year?	□ ₈ Don't know
[HELP RESPONDENT	
FIGURE OUT BY SUMMING	
ACROSS COST OF EACH	
HOSPITALIZATION	
A153. How many times in the	□ ₀ None
past 12 months have you gone to a	number
doctor's surgery or hospital	
emergency room for one of these	
episodes of wheezing or whistling?	
A154. Can you estimate the	R
total cost of all these visits for the	
past year?	□ ₈ Don't know
[HELP RESPONDENT	

FIGURE OUT BY SUMMING	
ACROSS COST OF EACH	
HOSPITALIZATION]	
A155. Have you ever had an	□₁ Yes
ATTACK of wheezing that has made	\square_2 No [GO TO A160]
you feel short of breath?	
A156. How old were you when	Age in years
you had your first such attack?	□ ₈ Don't know
A157. Have you had 2 or	□₁ Yes
more such episodes?	□ ₂ No
A158. Have you ever required	\square_1 Yes
medicine or treatment for the(se)	□ ₂ No
attack(s)?	
A159. Is/Was your breathing	\square_1 Yes
absolutely normal between attacks?	□ ₂ No
Weather	
A160. Does the weather affect	\square_1 Yes
your chest?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A163]
Only record "YES" if adverse	
weather definitely and regularly	
causes chest symptoms	
A161. Does the weather make	\square_1 Yes
you short of breath?	□ ₂ No
A162. What kind of weather?	
Other Symptoms and Allergies	
A163. During the past 12 months, have you had any episodes of:	
A164. Stuffy, itchy, running nose?	\square_1 Yes
	\square_2 No
A165. Watery, itchy eyes?	\square_1 Yes

	\square_2	No				
A166. During the past 12 months,	\Box_0	none				
how many episodes of stuffy, itchy,	\square_9	const	tantly/co	ontinuc	usly	
running nose or watery, itchy eyes have			episod	des		
you had?						
A167. Are ANY of the above						
symptoms (wheezing, whistling, runny						
nose, watery eyes etc), brought on by:						
A168. Exercise or cold air?	\Box_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No				
A169. Animals?	\square_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No				
A170. House dust?	\square_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No				
A171. Strong odors?	\Box_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No				
A172. Air pollution?	\Box_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No				
A173. Work environment	\Box_1	Yes				
(PROBE: do you feel better on	\square_2	No				
days off)?						
A174. Pollen?	\Box_1	Yes				
	\square_2	No [GO TO A	176]		
A175. During which months	□98	ALL	months			
of the year does pollen make your		J	F	М	Α	М
symptoms worse? [circle months	J	J	Α	S	0	N
that apply]	D					
ALLERGY						
A176. Within an hour after eating something, have you ever had a severe reaction, such as itching all over, trouble breathing, flushing, or swelling of the hands and feet?	_	Yes No				
A177. Within an hour after receiving	\Box_1	Yes				

allergy shots or allergy tests, have you ever had a severe reaction, such as itching all over, trouble breathing, flushing, or swelling of the hands and feet?	\square_2 No \square_3 Never had allergy shots or tests \square_8 Don't know
A179 Have you ever given up or had to	
A178. Have you ever given up or had to avoid a pet because of allergies?	-
	\square_2 No
SKIN PROBLEMS	
A179. Have you ever had any kind of	\square_1 Yes [GO TO A180]
skin problem either at home or at work?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A194]
A180. How old were you when you	Age in years
first noticed this skin problem?	\square_8 Don't know
A181. During the past 12 months	□ ₁ Yes [GO TO A182]
have you had any skin problems that occurred 2 or more times?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A190]
A182 . If YES, which of the following problems did you have?	
A183. itchy or scratchy skin	\square_1 Yes
	□ ₂ No
A184. hives	\square_1 Yes
	\square_2 No
A185. dry, scaly skin	\square_1 Yes
	\square_2 No
A186. redness of the skin	□ ₁ Yes
	□ ₂ No
A187. blisters or weeping skin	\square_1 Yes
	□ ₂ No
A188. burning skin	\square_1 Yes
	\square_2 No
A189 . Other?	\square_1 other, please specify:
A100 Are you using any medicines	
A190 Are you using any medicines, including any creams or ointments,	☐ ₁ Yes [GO TO A191]
for your skin problems at present?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A192]
A191. Which medicines?	a b
	C
	d

	e
A192. Have you ever been told by a	☐ ₁ Yes [GO TO A193]
doctor that you had eczema?	□ ₂ No [GO TO A194]
	<u> </u>
A193. How old were you when	years
you were first told that you had this condition?	□ ₈ Don't know
this condition:	
CENERAL LIEALTH	
GENERAL HEALTH	П V
A194. Do you think that your clothes are	\square_1 Yes
too large for you due to a loss of weight?	\square_2 No
A195. In the last three months, have	□₁ Yes
you had diarrhea that lasted for more	□ ₂ No
than three days?	
A196. In the last three months did you	□₁ Yes
have fever for more than one month on	□ ₂ No
end?	_
A197. Have you had white sores in your	□₁ Yes
mouth over the last three months?	\square_2 No
A109 De veu have quellen lymph nedes	□ Vac
A198. Do you have swollen lymph nodes in your neck, under your arms or in your	\square_1 Yes
groin?	\square_2 No
A199. Have you had shingles (painful	□₁ Yes
blisters or sores usually in a narrow band	\square_2 No
on one side of the head or body) over the last 12 months?	
THE TOST 12 HIGHTIS!	

D. TOBACCO USE

Cigarette use	
A200. Have you smoked at least 100	\square_1 Yes
cigarettes during your entire life	\square_2 No [GO TO A212]
(equivalent to about 5 packs)?	
A201. How old were you when you	Age In Years
first started smoking cigarettes fairly	
regularly?	
A202. Do you smoke cigarettes	\square_1 Yes
now?	\square_2 No [GO TO A206]
A203. About how many cigarettes	number
do you smoke per day?	
A204. For approximately how	years
many years have you smoked this	
amount?	
A205. Was there ever a period of	\square_1 Yes

a year or more when you smoked more than [number in A203] cigarettes per day?	□ ₂ No
A206. During the period when you were smoking the most, about how many cigarettes per day did you usually smoke?	${\text{number}} \left. \begin{array}{c} \Box_1 \text{ cigarettes per day} \\ \Box_2 \text{ packs per day} \end{array} \right.$
	\square_0 less than 1 per day \square_{98} varied
A207. For how many years did you smoke that amount?	years
A208. Have you ever quit smoking for a period of one year or longer?	□ ₁ Yes □ ₂ No
A209. Since you first started smoking; how many years altogether have you stayed off cigarettes?	\square_0 never quit smoking \square_{77} less than one year \square_{number} years
A210. About how old were you when you last smoked cigarettes (fairly regularly)?	$_{\rm age}$ $_{\rm 8}$ don't know $_{\rm 98}$ currently smoking [GO TO A213]
A211. About how many cigarettes per day did you usually smoke at that time?	$\square_0 \text{ less than 1 per day}$ $\square_1 \text{ cigarettes per day}$ $\square_2 \text{ packs per day}$
A212. Did you quit smoking because you had a health problem that was caused or made worse by smoking?	\square_{98} varied \square_1 Yes \square_2 No \square_8 Don't know
Ollow Talance Han	
Other Tobacco Use A213. Have you ever smoked a	□ ₁ Yes
pipe regularly? YES means	□ ₂ No [GO TO A215]
more than 350grams of tobacco in a	-
lifetime	
A214. How much pipe tobacco	grams per week
are you smoking now? [On the	
average over the entire time you	
smoked pipes, how many grams did	
you smoke per week?]	

Cigar Use	
A215. Have you ever smoked	□₁ Yes
cigars regularly?	\square_2 No [GO TO A217]
Yes means more than 1 cigar a week for a year	
A216. On the average over	Cigars per week
the entire time you smoked cigars,	
how many cigars did you smoke per	
week?	

E. OCCUPATIONAL HISTORY

These questions relate to your work experience.

A221. For whom do/did you work last in a full time job or business lasting two weeks or more?	\square_0 Never employed \square [GO TO A235] \square_1 Self-employed [GO TO A223] or Name of employer:
	□ □ ₉ Refused
A222. What kind of industry is/was	\square_1 manufacturing
this?	\square_2 chemical
	□ ₃ mining
	\square_4 public service, including
	teaching
	\square_5 commercial and retail
	\square_{6} agricultural and farming
	\square_7 self employed professional (doctor,
	lawyer, accountant etc)
	\square_8 other, please specify:
A223. What kind of work do/did you	\square_1 general assistant
do?	\square_2 clerical/administrative
	\square_3 machine operator
	□ ₄ farm assistant

	\square_5 supervisor/manager
	\square_6 engineering, designer or
	planning
	\square_7 other, please specify:
A224. What are/were the most	
important duties associated with this	
job?	
A225. For how long (have you worked did you work) at that ich?	\square_1 12 months or less
worked/did you work) at that job? (in months)	months
, ,	\square_2 More than 12 months
	months
What is your current job	
What are/were the most important duties associated with this job?	
duties associated with this job:	
A225. For how long (have you	\square_1 12 months or less
worked/did you work) at that job?	

(in months)	months
,	\square_2 More than 12 months
	months
A226. In your job, do you ever wear following equipment?	
A227. Safety glasses, goggles, or other eye protection such as face shields or welding hoods?	□₁ Yes □₂ No
A228. Protective gloves (other	□₁ Yes
than gloves for cold weather)? A229. Dust mask?	\square_2 No \square_1 Yes \square_2 No
A230. Respirator?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
Protective boots	□ ₁ Yes □ ₂ No
A231. Thinking of all the paid jobs or businesses you ever had, what kind of work were you doing the longest?	occupation
A232. Considering all your employers, for how long did you do this kind of work?	\square_1 less than 1 year ${\text{number}}$ years
A233. What kind of business	
or industry did you work in the	
longest period of time as a [JOB IN	
A231] ?	industry
A234. What were your main	
job activities or duties when you	
were a [JOB IN A231]?	
A235. What were you doing most of the	\square_1 working at a job or business
past 12 months, working at a job or business, retired, keeping house, going to school, or something else?	\square_2 looking for work
	\square_3 retired
	\square_4 keeping house
	\square_5 going to school or full time
	studying
	\square_6 other, please specify:
A236. Are you limited in the kind or	□₁ Yes

amount of work you can do because of any impairment or health problem?	□ ₂ No
A237. Are you limited in the kind or amount of housework you can do because of any impairment or health problem?	□ ₁ Yes □ ₂ No
A238. Are you limited in any way in any activities because of an impairment or health problem?	□ ₁ Yes □ ₂ No
A239. Have you ever changed your job, stopped working, or made any changes in your housework because of a disability or health problem?	□₁ Yes □₂ No
Did you A240. Change permanently to	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
an easier job?	
A241. change temporarily to an easier job?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
A242. cut down to part-time	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
work only?	
A243. have to stop working	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
for a few months?	
A244. retire because of a	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
disability?	
A245. have to cut down on	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
housework?	
A246. stop doing all	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
housework?	
	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No specify:
change?	
Hazardous Occupations and Occ	upational Exposures
RECORD ON LINES THE NUMBER OF WORKED IN ANY OF THE BELOW LIST	YEARS IN WHICH THE SUBJECT HAS ED INDUSTRIES.
Have you ever worked:	
A248. In a quarry?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
A249. In a foundry?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No

	years
A250. In a pottery?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
	number years
A251. In a cotton, flax, or hemp	□₁ Yes □₂ No
mill?	years
A252. With asbestos?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
	number
A253. With diesel or diesel	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
exhaust?	number
A254. In sandblasting?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
	number
A255. In tunneling?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
	number
A256. In drilling?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No years
	number
A257. In any other dusty jobs?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
	years
	Specify
A258. TOTAL NO. OF YEARS	years
	number
A259. Have you ever been	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No [GO TO A262] years
exposed to gas or chemical fumes in	number
your work?	
A260. Specify job/industry	
	ППППјов
A261. Was chemical/gas	□₁ Mild
exposure	☐ ₂ Moderate

F. ALCOHOL AND DRUG USE

These next questions are about drinking alcoholic beverages and are about your experience with drugs. Alcoholic beverages include beer, ale, wine, wine coolers, liquor such as whiskey, gin, rum, or vodka, and cocktails and mixed drinks containing liquor.

at least 12 drinks of any kind of alcoholic beverage? Do not count small tastes.	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No [GO TO A330] \square_9 Refused
A326. In the past 12 months did you have at least 12 drinks of any kind of alcoholic beverage?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No
A327. In the past 12 months, how many days per week, month or year did you drink any alcoholic beverages?	
A328. On the average, on the days that you drank alcohol, how many drinks did you have a day? (By a drink, I mean a 12-oz beer, a 4-oz glass of wine, or an ounce of liquor.)	drinks per day number □□ □ 8 don't know
A329. Was there ever a time or times in your life when you drank 5 or more drinks of any kind of alcoholic beverage almost every day?	\square_1 Yes \square_2 No \square_8 Don't know
A330. Have you ever used	□₁ Yes
marijuana (dagga)?	\square_2 No [GO TO A333]
	\square_9 Refused
A331. About how many times in	\square_1 1 or 2 times
your lifetime have you used marijuana (dagga)?	\square_2 3 to 10 times
[USÉ HAND CĂRD AQ-3]	\square_3 11 to 99 times
	\square_4 100 or more times
A332. During the past month, on how many days did you use marijuana (dagga)?	□ ₀ none in the past month daysnumber
A333. Have you ever used crack or	\square_1 Yes
cocaine in any form?	\square_2 No [GO TO A336]
,	\square_9 Refused

A334. About how many times in your lifetime have you used crack or cocaine (in any form)? [USE HAND CARD AQ-3]	\square_1 1 or 2 times \square_2 3 to 10 times \square_3 11 to 99 times \square_4 100 or more times
A335. During the past month, on how many days did you use crack or cocaine (in any form)?	□ ₀ none in the past month daysnumber

G. REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

	age	
A343. Did you breastfeed (your child/any of your children)?	\square_1 Yes	
	\square_2 No	
	\square_3 No children	
A344. Ask age of female	\square_1 Female greater than or equal to	
respondent	50 years old [GO TO A352]	
	\square_3 Female less than 50 years old	
	[continue with following questions]	
A345. Are you now pregnant?	□₁ Yes	
	\square_2 No [GO TO A348]	
	\square_8 don't know [GO TO A348]	
A346. Which month of pregnancy	months	
are you in?	number	
A347. (Besides this pregnancy) have you been pregnant in the	\square_1 Yes, has been pregnant in the	
past 2 years?	past two years [do not include current	
	pregnancy]	
	\square_2 No, current pregnancy only [GO	
	TO A349]	
	\square_3 No, has not been pregnant in	
	the last two years [GO TO A349]	
A348. How many months ago did your (last) pregnancy end?	\square_1 less than four months ago	
, (), 3 ,	\square_2 more than four months, less	
	than seven months	
	\square_3 more than seven months, less	
	than 10 months	
	\square_4 10 – 12 months ago	
A240 Ave very buselferding	\square_5 13 – 24 months ago	
A349. Are you now breastfeeding a child?	□₁ Yes	
ADEO Have you had a waited in the	□ ₂ No	
A350. Have you had a period in the past 12 months?	\square_1 Yes	
·	□ ₂ No [GO TO A352]	
ADEA About how !	□ ₈ don't know [GO TO A352]	
A351. About how long ago was your last period?	□₁ having it now [GO TO A354]	
	\square_2 less than 2 months ago [GO TO]	

	A354]
	\square_3 2-3 months [GO TO A354]
	\square_4 4-6 months ago [GO TO A354]
	\square_5 7-9 months ago [GO TO A354]
	\square_6 10-12 months ago [GO TO
	A354]
A352. About how old were you when you had your last period?	years age One of the second
A353. Were you younger than	\square_1 younger than 20
20, 20 to 29, 30 to 39, 40 to 44, 45 to 49, 50 to 54, or 55 or	□₂ 20 - 29
older?	□₃ 30 - 39
	□4 40 – 44
	□ ₅ 45 − 49
	□ ₆ 50 - 54
	\square_7 older than 55
	□ ₈ don't know
	□ ₈ don't know
IF RESPONDENT IS CURRENTLY PREGI	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy?	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK
	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK $\Box_1 \text{ Yes}$ $\Box_2 \text{ No [GO TO A356]}$
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed?	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK $\Box_1 \text{ Yes}$ $\Box_2 \text{ No [GO TO A356]}$
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK □1 Yes □2 No [GO TO A356] □8 don't know [GO TO A356] □
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)?	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK □1 Yes □2 No [GO TO A356] □8 don't know [GO TO A356]
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK 1 Yes 2 No [GO TO A356] 3 don't know [GO TO A356] age 0 not removed [GO TO A358]
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had your uterus removed or at another	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK Yes Society Yes Y
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had your uterus removed or at another	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK Yes No [GO TO A356] B don't know [GO TO A356] age ont removed [GO TO A358] one both
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had your uterus removed or at another time)? A357. How old were you when you had your (ovary/ovaries) removed?	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK Yes 2 No [GO TO A356] 8 don't know [GO TO A356] age 0 not removed [GO TO A358] 1 one 2 both 8 don't know [GO TO A358] years age years age
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had your uterus removed or at another time)? A357. How old were you when you	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK Yes Yes Qo TO A356] As don't know [GO TO A356] As don't know [GO TO A358] As don't know [GO T
A354. Have you had a hysterectomy? Define if necessary: Has your uterus/womb been removed? A355. How old were you when you had your (hysterectomy/uterus/womb removed)? A356. Have you had one or both of your ovaries removed (either when you had your uterus removed or at another time)? A357. How old were you when you had your (ovary/ovaries) removed?	NANT, SKIP, OTHERWISE ASK Yes 2 No [GO TO A356] 8 don't know [GO TO A356] age 0 not removed [GO TO A358] 1 one 2 both 8 don't know [GO TO A358] years age years age

A359. How old were you when you had a tubal ligation?	age □ □ ₈ don't know			
Now I'm going to ask you about yo	ur past and current use of female hormone			
pills, including birth control pills and estrogen pills.				
	•			
A360. Have you ever taken birth control pills for any reason?	\square_1 Yes			
pills for any reasons	\square_2 No [GO TO A362]			
A361. How old were you when you				
began taking birth control pills?	years			
	age			
A362. Have you ever used an	\square_1 Yes			
intrauterine device (IUD) for birth	□ ₂ No [GO TO A364]			
control?				
A363. How old were you when				
you had this inserted?	years			
	age			
A364. Have you ever had birth control	□ ₁ Yes			
medication inserted under your skin?	\square_2 No [GO TO A366]			
A365. How old were you when you				
had this medication inserted under	years			
your skin?	age			
A366. Have you ever taken estrogen or	□ ₁ Yes			
female hormone pills by mouth other than oral contraceptive pills?	\square_2 No [GO TO A368]			
than oral contraceptive phis:	\square_8 don't know [GO TO A368]			
A367. How old were you when you				
first took the estrogen or female hormone pills?	years			
normone pilis!	□ ₈ don't know			

Thank you for your time for these questions.

We may need to contact you again to obtain additional information. Please give me the name, address and telephone number of two relatives or friends who would know where you could be reached in case we have difficulty in contacting you.

Name of first contact person:			
Telephone number of first contact person	:		
Address of first contact person:			
Road/Street	House	No.	
		Subi	urb/Township
Postal Code			
Relationship of contact person to you:			
Name of second contact person:			
Telephone number of second contact per	son:		
Address of second contact person:			
Road/Street	House	No.	

Postal Code	
Relationship of contact person to you:	
THANK YOU FOR COMPLETING THIS QU	JESTIONNAIRE!
END: Thank you for helping us!	
Interview completed at:	Time:: am / pm
[INTERVIEWER: Indicate quality of interpretation \Box_2 unreliable]	erview □1 reliable

APPENDIX II ETHICS APPROVAL FROM THE MEDICAL RESEARCH COORDINATING COMMITTEE (MRCC) OF NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH TANZANIA (NIMR)

THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA





National Institute for Medical Research P.O. Box 9653 Dar es Salaam Tel: 255 22 2121400/390 Fax: 255 22 2121380/2121360

E-mail: beadquarters@nimr.or.tz

NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol. IX/532

Tanzania Occupational Health Services P O Box 3520 DAR ES SALAAM

Ministry of Health P.O. Box 9083 Dur es Salaam Tel: 255 22 2120262-7 Fax: 255 22 2110986

15th March 2007

CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE FOR CONDUCTING MEDICAL RESEARCH IN TANZANIA

This is to certify that the research entitled: Occupational health of informal sector stone crushers in Dar es Salaam, (F Kessy), whose Principal Investigator in F Kessy, has been granted ethics clearance to be conducted in Tanzania.

- The Principal Investigator of the study must ensure that the following conditions are fulfilled:

 1. Progress report is made available to the Ministry of Health and the National Institute for Medical Research, Regional and District Medical Officers after every six months.

 2. Permission to publish the results is obtained from National Institute for Medical Research.

 - Copies of final publications are made available to the Ministry of Health and the National Institute for Medical Research.
 - Any researcher, who contravenes or fails to comply with these conditions, shall be guilty of an offence and shall be liable on conviction to a fine.

Name: Dr Andrew Y Kitua

Name: Dr Zakaria A. Berege

AG CHIEF MEDICA L OFFICER

WELFARE

MINISTRY OF HEALTH, SOCIAL

Signature

CHAIRMAN MEDICAL RESEARCH COORDINATING COMMITTEE

RMO DMO

APPENDIX III: PROTOCOL APROVAL



10 June 2010

Professor R Naidoo Occupational & Environmental Health Howard College

Dear Professor Naidoo

PROTOCOL: "Respiratory Health of Informal Stone Crushers in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania," Kessy F, M-PH, Student Number 207528647

The Postgraduate Education Committee ratified the approval of the above mentioned study on 01 June 2010.

Please note:

- · The Postgraduate Education Committee must review any changes made to this study.
- The study may not begin without the approval of the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee.

May I take this opportunity to wish the student every success with the study.

Yours sincerely

ROFESSOR SJ BOTHA DEAN: INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

CC: Florian Kessy

Postgraduate Education Administration Medical School Campus

Postal Address: Private Bag 7, Congella, 4013, South Africa

Telephone: +27 (0)31 260 4639

Facsimile: +27 (0)31 260 4723 Email: ramialm@ukzn.ac.za

- Howard College Founding Campuses:

APPENDIX IV: BIOMEDICAL ETHICS APPROVAL



BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH ETHICS ADMINISTRATION Research Office, Westville Campus Govan Mbeki Building Private Bag X 54001 Durban 4000

KwaZulu-Natal, SOUTH AFRICA Tel: 27 31 2604769 - Fax: 27 31 2604609 Email: <u>BREC@ukzn.ac.za</u>

Website: http://research.ukzn.ac.za/ResearchEthics11415.aspx

19 November 2009

Dr Florian Kessy c/o Department of Occupational and Environmental Health Nelson R. Mandela School of Medicine University of KwaZulu- Natal

Dear Dr Kessy

PROTOCOL: Respiratory Health of Informal Stone Crushers in DAR ES SALAAM Tanzania. Dr. F Kessy, Department of Occupational and Environmental Health. REF: BE020/09.

EXPEDITED APPLICATION

A sub-committee of the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee has considered and noted your application dated 06 February 2009.

The study was approved pending appropriate responses to queries raised. Your responses received on 12 October 2009 to queries raised on 30 September 2009 have been noted by a sub-committee of the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee. The conditions have now been met and the study is given full ethics approval and may begin as from today; 19 November 2009.

This approval is valid for one year from 19 November 2009. To ensure uninterrupted approval of this study beyond the approval expiry date, an application for recertification must be submitted to BREC on the appropriate BREC form 2-3 months before the expiry date.

Any amendments to this study, unless urgently required to ensure safety of participants, must be approved by BREC prior to implementation.

Your acceptance of this approval denotes your compliance with South African National Research Ethics Guidelines (2004), South African National Good Clinical Practice Guidelines (2006) (if applicable) and with UKZN BREC ethics requirements as contained in the UKZN BREC Terms of Reference and Standard Operating Procedures, all available at http://research.ukzn.ac.za/ResearchEthics11415.aspx.

BREC is registered with the South African National Health Research Ethics Council (REC-290408-009). BREC has US Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) Federal-wide Assurance (FWA 678).

The sub-committee's decision will be **RATIFIED** at a full sitting Research Ethics Committee meeting to be held on **08 December**

We wish you well with this study. We would appreciate recepublications arising out of this study.

Yours sincerely

Professor D.R Wassenaar

Chair: Biomedical Research Ethics Committee