

**IMPACT OF DELAYED INTRODUCTION OF SULPHADOXINE-
PYRIMETHAMINE AND ARTEMETHER-LUMEFANTRINE ON MALARIA
EPIDEMIOLOGY IN KWAZULU-NATAL,
SOUTH AFRICA**

Submitted to:

**NELSON R. MANDELA SCHOOL OF MEDICINE
UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL DURBAN
SOUTH AFRICA**

For:

**Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement of
Master of Public Health**

BY

ANYACHEBELU EMMANUEL JUNIOR

SUPERVISOR

DR. STEPHEN KNIGHT

CO-SUPERVISOR

DR. RAJENDRA MAHARAJ

2007

DECLARATION

This is to certify that Mr Anyachebelu Emmanuel Junior has prepared this dissertation report entitled “Impact of delayed introduction of Sulphadoxine-Pyramethamine and Artemeter-Lumefantrine on malaria epidemiology in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa”. This dissertation is my own work and all primary and secondary sources have been acknowledged. This dissertation has not been submitted to any other institution as part of an academic qualification.

This Dissertation is prepared in partial fulfilment of the requirement of the Master of Public Health degree at the School of Family and Public Health Medicine, Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban South Africa.

Anyachebelu Emmanuel Junior
Department of Public Health Medicine,
Nelson R Mandela School of Medicine
University of KwaZulu Natal
South Africa

08 October 2007

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, my sincere gratitude goes to God the almighty for His mercies, guidance and providence throughout my entire MPH programme.

I wish to acknowledge with a million thanks to my supervisors, Dr Stephen Knight and Dr Rajendra Maharaj for their immense contributions, guidance and continuous encouragement towards the production of this report.

My special thanks goes to Mr. Ugwuma Edwin for his encouragement, advice and most especially financial support throughout my entire MPH programme.

I am deeply indebted to my family whose constant prayers and encouragements helped me to complete this programme.

I will not forget also Ms. Asah Flora and Dr Mwabila Kalonda whose brotherly advice resulted in choosing this topic for my research.

I greatly appreciate the kindness of Ms Okoye Nkem for her contributions and advise towards this work.

A big thank you goes to all my colleagues, MPH batch 2005 whose interactions widen my scope of my knowledge and application of the programme in a wider context.

I wish to extend my appreciation to Dr Rosemary Geddes whose advice resulted in the initiation of the best of supervisors for this report.

Finally, this report would not have been completed without the statistical guidance from Mrs Tonya Esterhuizen, I am saying Thank you.

Thank you all,

E. J.

ABSTRACT

Background

The years 1985 to 1988 and 1997 to 2001, were periods of high morbidity and mortality due to malaria in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. One reason for the increased burden of disease was the emergence of drug resistant *Plasmodium falciparum*. The parasite was resistant initially to chloroquine and then to sulphadoxine-pyramethamine, the medication of choice for the treatment and prevention of malaria in different periods of time. The changing epidemiology of malaria in Africa was exacerbated by policy makers not making timely and rational change to the failing malaria drug regimens to newer and effective ones.

Purpose of the study

This study was conducted to determine the impact of delayed introduction of sulphadoxine-pyramethamine (Fansidar®) and artemether-lumefantrine (Coartem®) as a first-line drugs for malaria in KwaZulu-Natal from 1985 to 1988 and 1997 to 2001 respectively,

Study Design

Observational, Analytic, Ecological

Method

The incidence of malaria in KwaZulu-Natal was compared during different phases of the period when chloroquine was the first line treatment. The baseline phase (1982 to 1984) was taken when chloroquine correctly should have been used and this was compared with the delayed phase (1985 to 1988), when it should have been replaced by of sulphadoxine-pyramethamine. During the second period sulphadoxine-pyramethamine was the first line treatment of malaria, the baseline phase (1993 to 1996) when it correctly should have been used was compared to the delayed phase (1997 to 2001) of introduction of the alternate treatment of malaria with artemether-lumefantrine. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Biomedical Research Ethics Committee, of the University of KwaZulu-Natal.

Statistical Methods

The relative association of malaria infection during the chloroquine baseline and change phases and the sulphadoxine-pyramethamine baseline and change phases were compared with statistical significance at 0.05.

Results

The risk of malaria infection was 4.5 times (Incidence Risk Ratio = 4.5; 95% Confidence Interval: 4.1 to 5.0; $p < 0.0001$) higher in chloroquine change phase relative to the baseline phase. During the sulphadoxine-pyramethamine period, the malaria risk was 3.5 times greater (Incidence Risk Ratio = 3.50; 95% Confidence Interval: 3.40- 3.60; $p < 0.0001$) in the change phase. In the chloroquine period, the malaria mortality risk was 9.1 times higher (95% Confidence Interval: 2.1 to 38.5; $p=0.0003$) and the case fatality rate was increased 1.3 times more (95% Confidence Interval: 1.0 to 1.7; $p < 0.001$) in the change period. The risk of death during the sulphadoxine-pyramethamine change phase was 4.8 times (95% Confidence Interval: 3.3 to 7.0; $p < 0.001$) and case fatality rate of 2 times (95% Confidence Interval: 1.5 to 2.7; $p < 0.001$) relative to the baseline phase.

Conclusions

The dramatic change in the malaria epidemiology in Africa in recent times was exacerbated by delay in replacing first line failing antimalarial drugs. The establishment of sentinel sites for assessing drug resistance or failure and the application of World Health Organisation standards in drug resistance studies will go a long way to achieving the Roll Back Malaria target by 2010. (425 words)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
TABLES	ix
FIGURES.....	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.1.1 What is known so far?	1
1.1.2 What needs to be known?.....	2
1.1.3 What is the importance of this study?	2
1.1.4 How the study will solve the problem?	3
1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM.....	3
1.2.1 Research Hypothesis.....	3
1.2.2 Research Questions.....	4
1.3 PURPOSE OF THE RESEARCH.....	4
1.4 SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH.....	4
1.5 ASSUMPTION UNDERLYING THE STUDY	5
1.6 OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS USED IN THE STUDY.....	5
1.7 SCOPE OF THE STUDY.....	7
2 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.1 PURPOSE OF THE LITERATURE REVIEW.....	8
2.2 SCOPE OF LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1.1 Theoretical Application	8
2.1.1.1 Concepts/ theories.....	8
2.1.2 Conceptual Framework.....	10
2.3 LITERATURE REVIEWED.....	11
2.3.1 Malaria Resistance.....	11

TABLES

Table 1 Drug Resistance across Some Sub-Saharan African Countries	14
Table 2: Age-specific number of malaria cases reported during the chloroquine baseline and change phases in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (1982/83 to 1987/88 malaria seasons).....	24
Table 3: Gender specific number of malaria cases and incidence risk (IR) per 100 000 population reported during the chloroquine baseline and change phases in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (1982/83 to 1987/88 malaria seasons)	25
Table 4: Annual malaria incidence risk, mortality risk (per 100 000), case-fatality risk (%), risk ratios reported during the chloroquine baseline and change phases in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (1982/83 to 1987/88 malaria seasons)	26
Table 5: Age-specific malaria incidence per 100 000 populations reported during the Period use of sulphadoxine-pyramethamine in Northern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (February 1993 to January 2001).....	33
Table 6: Gender-specific number of malaria cases and incidence risk (IR) per 100 000 populations reported during the sulphadoxine-pyramethamine baseline and change phases in north-eastern Northern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (1993/94 to 2000/01 malaria seasons).....	34
Table 7: Annual malaria incidence risk, mortality risk, case-fatality risk and risk ratios reported during the sulphadoxine-pyramethamine baseline and change phases in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa (1993/94 to 2000/01 malaria seasons)	35

FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual framework of this study	10
Figure 2: Annual malaria incidence risk per 100 000 during the baseline and change phases of the chloroquine and sulphadoxine-pyramethamine periods in KwaZulu-Natal from 1981/82 to 2004/5 malaria seasons.....	27
Figure 3: Annual malaria mortality risk per 100 000 people during the baseline and change phase of the chloroquine and sulphadoxine-pyramethamine periods in KwaZulu-Natal from the 1981/82 to the 2004/5 malaria season.....	28
Figure 4: Annual malaria case fatality risk (%) during the baseline and change phases of chloroquine and sulphadoxine-pyramethamine period in KwaZulu-Natal from the 1981/82 to the 2004/5 malaria season.	29

morbidity and mortality immediately following the introduction of each new antimalarial drug.

1.1.2 What needs to be known?

Introducing new antimalarial treatment policies when resistant malaria is detected is a challenging process. Some key issues need to be considered before a change is made. These include following standardized procedures before changing malaria drug policy and using evidence informed methods to collect *in vivo* and *in vitro* drug resistance data (WHO 2001). Other issues include the availability of finance, having appropriate drug alternatives and the cost of replacement malaria drugs to both patients and providers (Williams *et al.*, 2004). Complex interactions and varying levels of drug resistance within and among countries have posed serious challenges to instituting a new malaria treatment policy (WHO/UNICEF, 2003). Whilst the malaria drug regimen change is delayed, the mosquito vectors are actively transmitting resistant parasites to affected populations (Buckling *et al.*, 1997; WHO 2006). This increases the chances of asymptomatic carriers resulting in illness and deaths in patients due to the ineffective prescription of medication (Zucker *et al.*, 2003).

1.1.3 What is the importance of this study?

During 1985 to 1988 and 1997 to 2001, the burden of malaria in KwaZulu-Natal increased multi fold compared to previous years. This was attributed to high rates of chloroquine resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites in the 1980s and sulphadoxine-pyramethamine resistance *P. falciparum* in the 1990's together with insecticide resistance of the Anopheles mosquito vector in the community (Craig *et al.*, 2004). The malaria epidemics could also have been exacerbated by the delayed replacement of the recommended first line antimalarial treatments, which were already ineffective against the resistant malaria parasites. This study examined the increased morbidity and mortality due to malaria associated with the late replacement of recommended first line antimalarial medications.

of treatment with asexual forms of *P. falciparum*, in the absence of re-infection (DOH, KZN 2000)

Case-fatality risk: The number of persons diagnosed as having a specific disease that die as a result of the illness, expressed as a percentage in a defined period (DOH, KZN 2000).

Passive case finding: When malaria diagnosis is confirmed by blood tests taken from persons presenting themselves at health facilities for whatever reasons (DOH, KZN 2000).

1.7 ***SCOPE OF THE STUDY***

- The malaria morbidity and mortality data for KwaZulu-Natal available for the period 1982 to 1987 and 1993 to 2001.
- Secondary data was used to determine the mortality and morbidity data due to malaria.

