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Patients' readiness to start highly active antiretroviral treatment for HIV

Hirut T Gebrekristos, Koleka P Misiana, Quarraisha Abdool Karim

Assessing whether patients are ready to start antiretroviral treatment may improve HIV prevention and treatment outcomes.

Initiatives to increase access to antiretroviral treatment in resource constrained settings are growing, but the scale and magnitude of the HIV epidemic in these settings raises a number of operational and ethical challenges. Most people infected with HIV are unaware of their status, and people who are aware of their status fear stigmatisation and discrimination. Key themes about access to treatment include who gets treatment, when is the best time to start treatment, and how to ensure therapeutic success.

Numerous guidelines for treatment have been developed nationally and internationally. One concern is how initiation of antiretroviral treatment should relate to patients' readiness and commitment. Although patients' readiness is emphasised as a requirement for starting treatment in several guidelines, the guidelines are neither clear nor in consensus about what constitutes readiness and how this readiness should be assessed. Given this ambiguity, readiness may be used to ration resources, particularly in resource poor settings, in which access to antiretrovirals is currently gaining support. The potential of using readiness to improve HIV prevention and care outcomes, however, mandates that we closely examine the use of readiness for starting highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART).

Studies about assessing patients' readiness for starting HAART or the impact of this on therapeutic success are few. One small study found that lack of readiness resulted in interrupted treatment and risky sexual behaviour; therefore, readiness for treatment may help care givers to make decisions about when to start treatment with antiretrovirals for each patient. The potential importance of treatment readiness for therapeutic success is why a more structured and systematic approach to evaluating readiness is needed. In addition, a systematic evaluation of the use of treatment readiness becomes particularly important in settings where “readiness” may be misused to ration resources. Rigorously collected data will be critical in shaping appropriate interventions that go beyond anecdotal notions that readiness is important in determining when to start HAART.
Clinical indicators have been central to debates on when to initiate HAART, where CD4 cell count is a key determining factor.\textsuperscript{6} By incorporating a compulsory drug readiness programme into decisions on starting treatment, the South African government’s HAART rollout plan expands this debate.\textsuperscript{7} The South African plan is fairly detailed and specific in requiring education on HIV/AIDS, positive living, opportunistic infections, care and treatment for HIV/AIDS, HAART side effects, and the importance of treatment adherence. Although early in its implementation, the South African HAART rollout plan not only expands the debate on when to initiate therapy, but may also provide an opportunity to understand and evaluate the benefit of treatment readiness for decisions on HAART initiation.

What are possible ways of understanding and ascertaining patients’ readiness for starting HAART? Intuitively, we would expect there to be a range of levels for readiness depending, among other things, on disease state, knowledge and understanding of antiretrovirals, levels of stigma and discrimination, sex, existing support structures, and motivation. Given the complex set of factors that are likely to influence readiness, what minimum level of readiness should distinguish between decisions to start or delay treatment? Using the South African HAART rollout plan as a point of departure, we present a framework for how readiness may be understood and suggest a possible method that may help in measuring readiness.

**What constitutes adequate readiness to start HAART?**

One way of considering and distinguishing between different levels of treatment readiness is to think in terms of variations of health literacy, including three broad categories—basic, functional, and critical literacy.\textsuperscript{11,12} Within this context, readiness can range from knowledge of basic information about antiretroviral treatment to a more comprehensive approach that empowers patients not only to understand the fundamentals involved in participating in treatment but also includes the social skills and capacity to effectively access other pertinent health services and maintain good health. Using these three categories as a foundation, box 1 gives a framework for understanding HAART treatment readiness.

In box 1, we have distinguished between the minimum readiness before starting treatment (basic) and the levels of readiness that may result from having treatment (functional and critical readiness). Readiness is also likely to be influenced by personal and social factors. For example, someone who has the support of family or friends and the personal drive to participate in treatment, but who does not have the basic information to start treatment, will have a different set of needs before becoming ready than someone who may have the information but lacks personal will and social support to participate in treatment. In this context, preparing the person with the information deficit is less challenging. In other words, what constitutes readiness for starting treatment should take all of these elements into account. Although we have given a somewhat polarised example, there can be different levels of knowledge, personal initiative, social support, etc. The readiness required to start treatment is also likely to be different from the readiness required to maintain successful participation in antiretroviral treatment programmes in an individual’s lifetime. In addition, interactions with patients and care givers may also affect thinking and action about readiness—and, more importantly, the interface between patients and care givers is likely to influence whether patients maintain long term readiness for HAART after starting. The process of building patients’ readiness, therefore, must be supportive and encouraging.

**Box 1: Readiness to start drug treatment**

**Before starting**

*Basic*
- Basic knowledge of HIV transmission and prevention
- Understanding of antiretroviral treatment, the side effects of treatment, and belief in treatment efficacy
- Ability to comprehend, cope, and comply with prescribed actions, such as treatment adherence and safer sexual practices
- Willingness to create support systems to cope with HIV status and facilitate treatment, such as disclosing status to family, friends, and partners

**After starting**

*Functional*
- Advanced knowledge and skills to cope and manage HIV status and treatment that is grounded on experiences
- Ability to recognise and seek care for opportunistic infections

*Critical*
- Considerable level of knowledge, personal autonomy, skills, and confidence to manage the consequences of HIV status and treatment
- Capacity to take action that encourages health and discourages the determinants of ill health, such as substance abuse, unsafe sexual practice, and adherence

**Patients waiting at the doctors clinic at the Leratong Hospital in Krugersdorp**
and will vary among people, but every effort should be made to move people forward through active collaboration with community organisations, non-state run programmes, and other sources of support.

Box 2 shows the factors that constitute basic treatment readiness. Based on factors that have been used to assess readiness for behavioural changes, readiness for HIV treatment may include an understanding of the need for treatment, drive to live, and knowledge and capacity to maintain and build on commitment.13–15 Personal responsibilities over these categories may differ by sex and age group, but what constitutes readiness should remain consistent. Children and young adolescents or adults with mental disabilities may not completely understand the consequences of their illness or the expected commitment required for treatment initiation and maintenance and will therefore require a care giver to support them during treatment. Care providers of HIV infected children should, therefore, participate in the drug readiness programme. Adolescents may or may not require a care giver or parent to participate for them to enrol in treatment, although it would be more beneficial.

Can readiness to start HAART be measured?

To date, a few small studies have measured readiness to start treatment using MEMS (medication event monitoring systems).16 This strategy has use for measuring drug adherence but is inadequate for assessing the broader concept of readiness. Experience garnered in assessing readiness in substance abuse treatment programmes,17–20 despite considerable differences between the needs of the people in such programmes and patients starting HIV treatment, gives some important principles for assessing readiness for HIV treatment. Both groups, for example, face challenges with incorporating life changes and coping with their diagnosis that require high levels of long term commitment for success. Substance misuse programmes have used psychometric assessment scores to assess readiness. Based on basic readiness for treatment with antiretrovirals, as outlined in box 2, it is feasible to develop and test the reliability of psychometric measures. Importantly, operational research that monitors and measures the relationship between readiness and treatment outcomes is critical.

As the World Health Organization and UNAIDS’s “3 by 5” initiative takes shape (to give three million people with HIV/AIDS in low and middle income countries antiretroviral treatment by the end of 2005), and as nations with limited resources increase access to HIV treatment, understanding and measuring readiness may become useful for HIV prevention and treatment outcomes. However, countries should be cautious about using readiness to ration access to treatment. Importantly, the assessment of readiness needs to be viewed as a process to advance all patients to a level of readiness that will support starting treatment and ensure equitable access to therapy. Developing sound criteria without restricting access to treatment is a challenging task that is integrally linked to the definition and measurement of readiness for treatment. The South African plan gives a valuable point of departure beyond the existing anecdotal notions that readiness is important in determining when to start HAART. We have presented a potential way forward in understanding how to construct, measure, and unpack the potential benefits of readiness for HIV prevention and treatment outcomes, but rigorous tools are required to assess various constructs of readiness.
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Achieving food security in vulnerable populations
Deborah Cohen

Communities who rely on raising livestock are most vulnerable to hunger when drought or other disaster strikes. How can aid organisations provide effective help?

Hunger and malnutrition cause tremendous human suffering and cost developing countries billions of dollars in lost productivity and national income. The number of hungry people in the world rose to 852 million in 2002, up by 18 million from 2000. It is estimated that 30% of hungry people in sub-Saharan Africa are 203 million, a third of the population. The human and economic costs of hunger will increase if the trend is not reversed. I visited northwest Kenya to see how organisations work to try to improve food security in pastoralist communities.

Food security

The 2004 annual report from the Food and Agriculture Organization says that little is done globally to fight hunger, although the resources needed to combat it are small compared with the benefits. Every dollar invested in reducing hunger can give from five to over 20 times as much in benefits. The report recommends giving priority to actions to improve food security.

But food security is a complex issue. A country or region is food secure when "All people, at all times, have both physical and economic access to sufficient food to meet their dietary needs for a healthy and productive life." Food security depends principally on three variables: availability of food, access to food and a nutritious diet, and proper use of food to ensure maximum nutrition and hygiene. In turn, each of these variables is influenced by several factors, the most important of which is poverty; others include the national and international economic environment, population growth, infrastructure, the climate, the level of investment and donor commitment, access to appropriate training and job skills, asset base, conflict and access to pasture, and the quality of diet, health, and sanitation.

Because of the number of influencing factors, a multilateral approach involving both the international community and national governments is needed. Some factors, such as economic conditions and infrastructure, are the responsibility of national governments and the international community as a whole.