Trends in HIV Prevalence in Pregnant Women in Rural South Africa
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Background: Despite substantial progress in the delivery of HIV prevention programs, some communities continue to experience high rates of HIV infection. We report on temporal trends in HIV prevalence in pregnant women in a community in rural KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa.

Methods: Annual, anonymous cross-sectional HIV sero-prevalence surveys were conducted between 2001 and 2013 among first visit prenatal clinic attendees. The time periods 2001 to 2003 were defined as pre-antiretroviral therapy (ART), 2004 to 2008 as early ART, and 2009 to 2013 as contemporary ART roll-out, to correspond with the substantial scale-up of ART program.

Results: Overall, HIV prevalence rose from 35.3% [95% confidence interval (CI): 32.3 to 38.3] pre-ART (2001–2003) to 39.0% (95% CI: 36.8 to 41.1) in the early ART (2004–2008) to 39.3% (95% CI: 37.2 to 41.4) in the contemporary ART (2009–2013) roll-out periods. In teenage women (<20 years), HIV prevalence declined from 22.5% (95% CI: 17.5 to 27.5) to 20.7% (95% CI: 17.5 to 23.8) and to 17.2% (95% CI: 14.3 to 20.2) over the similar ART roll-out periods (P = 0.046). Prevalence increased significantly in women 30 years and older (P < 0.001) over the same time period largely because of survival after ART scale up. Teenage girls with male partners of age 20–24 and ≥25 years had a 1.7-fold (95% CI: 1.3–2.4; P = 0.001) and 3-fold (95% CI: 2.1 to 4.3; P < 0.001) higher HIV prevalence respectively.

Conclusions: Notwithstanding the encouraging decline in teenagers, the ongoing high HIV prevalence in pregnant women in this rural community, despite prevention and treatment programs, is deeply concerning. Targeted interventions for teenagers, especially for those in age-disparate relationships, are needed to impact this HIV epidemic trajectory.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2012, the Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS estimated that approximately 6.1 million (95% CI: 5.8 to 6.4 million) people in South Africa were living with HIV, having the highest burden of infection in the world despite being home to <1% of the global population.1 The epidemic in South Africa, that also has the highest number of AIDS cases globally, has been described as “hyper-endemic, generalized and mature.”2 Preventing further infections in such settings requires an in-depth understanding of the epidemic and to design customized locally responsive interventions accordingly.3–5

Since 2002, the 2-dose nevirapine regimen to prevent mother to child transmission of HIV has been available to all pregnant HIV sero-positive women who choose to have an HIV test and accept the prevention of mother to child transmission intervention. Parallel to these services, through the district hospitals, the South African Ministry of Health, initiated antiretroviral therapy (ART) provision to HIV-positive adults, meeting the ART eligibility criteria of CD4 cell count of <200/μL. By March 2005, at least one service point for AIDS-related care and treatment was established in each of the country’s 53 districts. By 2008 about 588,000 people had initiated ART6; and contemporary ART roll-out continued to improve, with almost 2 million adults and children receiving ART in 2011, representing just over 50%
of those eligible for treatment under World Health Organization guidelines of CD4 cell count of <350/μL.7,8

In 2004, the Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa (CAPRISA) through its research facilities in rural Vulindlela, uMngungundlovu district, KwaZulu-Natal (KZN) initiated the free provision of ART to patients with AIDS, who met the eligibility criteria defined by the Department of Health.9 Over time, the decentralization of services and nurse-initiated management of ART through primary health care clinics facilitated the roll-out, scale up, and the retention of patients in ART programs. In parallel, the burden of managing uncomplicated cases at referral hospitals also reduced. To enhance the rapid scale-up of ART, mobile teams help to initiate patients at hard to reach areas such as farms, and for those having difficulty leaving their work premises to attend clinics. Community care givers play an important role and actively assist with tracing patients who default treatment, and have been successful in reintroducing them back to the health facilities. Through this comprehensive and incremental approach, the highest HIV burden district of uMngungundlovu made remarkable progress on ART provision and the number of patients on ART increased from 61,230 in 201110 to 111,691 by 2014.11

To monitor temporal trends in the evolving epidemic, the South African government has undertaken annual, anonymous, HIV prevalence surveys among pregnant women utilizing public sector prenatal clinics.12 These and other data13 have highlighted the differences within and between South African provinces, with the province of KZN having the highest prevalence of HIV.14 As epidemics mature with increasing coverage of ART, prevalence of HIV infection is a less reliable marker of the evolving epidemic, since survival improves, and prevalence is expected to increase and mask new infections. However, measuring HIV prevalence in young, pregnant women <24 years, provides a reliable indirect measurement of new infections, as they are more likely to have recently become sexually active, and therefore infection is more likely to be recent. Since 2001, we have conducted complementary annual HIV prevalence surveys in prenatal clinics in rural KZN in one of the 3 highest burden HIV health districts12 where extensive HIV prevention and treatment programs are being implemented. The purpose of this study was to assess the trends in HIV prevalence in pregnant women after the introduction and scale-up of ART, and selected risk factors associated with HIV transmission in this setting.

METHODS

Study Setting

Surveys were undertaken in Vulindlela, a rural community located about 150 km west of Durban in the province of KZN, home to approximately 150,000 residents and characterized by high levels of poverty and unemployment.

Health care in Vulindlela is accessed from 7 nurse-run primary health care clinics that deliver an essential package of health services that includes prenatal care, family planning, childhood immunization, minor ailment services, and management of common chronic conditions at no cost. HIV testing services are available at these clinics with pre- and post-test counseling.

Study Procedures

The South African Department of Health’s National Antenatal Sentinel HIV and Syphilis Prevalence Surveys are conducted annually among pregnant women, and blood samples are tested using a single enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Abbott AxSYM system for HIV-1/HIV-2; Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, IL). Coinciding with these surveys, we conducted cross sectional surveys from October 1st to November 30th of each year from 2001 to 2013. Consecutive pregnant women who presented for their first prenatal care visit at one of the 7 primary health care clinics, regardless of age were eligible to participate.

All women were provided with study information on anonymized HIV testing for surveillance. As part of routine prenatal care, blood samples are collected for hemoglobin and syphilis testing, and women were requested to provide an additional sample for HIV testing. During each survey, after verbal informed consent, trained nurses administered a questionnaire to obtain minimal key demographic and behavioral data. This included the age of the woman, her current partner’s age, if this was her first pregnancy, and dates of prior pregnancies, knowledge of HIV status, and exposure to antiretroviral therapy (ART). The details were recorded on a standardized case report form labelled with a unique participant identification number. Peripheral blood was collected in prelabelled EDTA and plain tubes. Samples were transported to the central laboratory in Durban for HIV testing after removal of all identifiers. With the aid of a unique participant identification number, HIV test results were linked to demographic and behavioral data; from 2004 to current partner’s age and prior pregnancies, and from 2009 to exposure to ART. The survey protocol was in compliance with the World Health Organization’s and South African Department of Health's guidelines for the conduct of anonymized HIV surveys,12,15–17 and was reviewed and approved by the University of KwaZulu-Natal Biomedical Research Ethics Committee (Reference number E179/04).

Laboratory Testing Procedures

In 2001 and 2002, HIV testing was performed using ELISA (Abbott AxSYM system for HIV-1/HIV-2; Abbott Laboratories). In 2003, specimens were tested using a rapid assay (Abbott Determine, Abbott Park, IL) with all positives confirmed using a second rapid assay (Capillus, Trinity Biotech, Bray, Wicklow, Ireland). From 2004 to 2013, specimens were tested by ELISA (Enzygnost, Dade Behring, Mannheim, Germany). Any specimen indicating an indeterminate result was further tested with the Abbott Determine rapid assay. All these tests perform similarly with sensitivities and specificities in excess of 98%.18
Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All statistical tests are 2 sided and CIs are at 95% level. Based on consistency in the age structure of the cohort over time, pooled summary data for women are reported for the time periods 2001 to 2003 (as pre-ART roll-out), 2004 to 2008 (early ART roll-out), and 2009 to 2013 (contemporary ART roll-out) to correspond with the delivery of the ART program in KZN.7

The overall HIV prevalence and 95% CI were calculated and reported for age stratified groups. The Cochran-Armitage $\chi^2$ test was used to test for linear trend in HIV prevalence over time. Log-binomial regression adjusted for previous pregnancy and clinic was used to determine whether the age of current male sexual partner was associated with HIV infection in women. We included the clinic in the model to adjust for clustering because the clinics were of different sizes and HIV prevalence varied across clinics. We assumed that women who had been pregnant before were at high risk of HIV, and that previous pregnancy could confound age and HIV status. This analysis was stratified by the women’s age groups <20, 20–24 and ≥25 years.

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

Between 2001 and 2013, a total of 5075 pregnant women attending prenatal clinics in the rural Vulindlela subdistrict of KZN were included in annual HIV prevalence surveys, with a mean of 390 (range 225–552) women surveyed per year.

The age distribution of surveyed women attending prenatal clinics showed that the proportion of women in the <20 year age group was 33.7% in the 2001–2003 period, 32.9% in the 2004–2008 period, and 30.6% in the 2009–2013 period and was the highest compared to other age groups. The proportion of women aged 20–24 years increased from 28.1% in the 2001–2003 period to 30.3% in the period 2004–2008 and was 29.3% in the 2009–2013 period. However, the proportion of women in the age groups 25–29, 30–34, and ≥35 years was consistently lower across all time periods (see Table S1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/QAI/A718).

Temporal Trends in HIV Prevalence

Table 1 shows the overall and age specific HIV prevalence. The overall HIV prevalence increased from 35.3% (95% CI: 32.3 to 38.3) in the period 2001–2003 to 39.0% (95% CI: 36.8 to 41.1) in the period 2004–2008 and to 39.3% (95% CI: 37.2 to 41.4) in the 2009–2013 period; ($P = 0.058$). Age-stratified analysis showed that HIV prevalence in teenagers <20 years was 22.5% (95% CI: 17.5 to 27.5) in the 2001–2003 period and decreased to 20.7% (95% CI: 17.5 to 23.8) in the period 2004–2008 and to 17.2% (95% CI: 14.3 to 20.2) in the 2009–2013 period; ($P = 0.046$). Similarly, in women in the 20–24 year age group, the prevalence was 45.5% (95% CI: 38.9 to 52.0) in the period 2001–2003 and declined to 44.2% (95% CI: 40.2 to 48.2) in the period 2004–2008 and to 37.9% (95% CI: 34.0 to 41.8) in the period 2009–2013; ($P = 0.018$). In women aged 25–29 years, HIV prevalence remained relatively constant and was 47.9% (95% CI: 40.3 to 55.5), 58.8% (95% CI: 53.5 to 64.2), and 57.6% (95% CI: 52.7 to 62.4); ($P = 0.085$) over the same time period. Among women 30–34 years, HIV prevalence increased from 26.7% (95% CI: 16.7 to 36.7) to 55.5% (95% CI: 49.0 to 62.0) and to 59.9% (95% CI: 53.9 to 66.0); ($P < 0.001$), and in women 35 years and older HIV prevalence increased from 27.4% (95% CI: 16.3 to 38.5) to 30.8% (95% CI: 23.8 to 37.7) and to 53.4% (95% CI: 46.0 to 60.8); ($P < 0.001$) over the same time periods. Figure 1 shows the decline in HIV prevalence in the <20 and 20–24 year age group, with prevalence increasing in the 25–29, 30–34 and ≥35 year age groups.

The trends in HIV prevalence in young women are presented in 2-year age groups in Table 2. Given that HIV infection in women <24 years could be regarded as a reliable measure of incident infections,19 thus has substantial bearing on assessing epidemic control progress in terms of reducing new infections.19 In all survey periods, a substantial increase in HIV prevalence was observed as women transitioned from their late teens to early twenties (Table 2). Substantial reductions in HIV prevalence from 22.2% (95% CI: 12.6 to 31.8) to 10.6% (95% CI: 6.0 to 15.2) and to 7.7% (95% CI: 3.7 to 11.8) ($P = 0.003$) were observed in the ≤16 year olds, but rapid increase in HIV prevalence from age 17 years and over were common to all study periods, with little variation over time. In this setting, by age 24 years, 54.4% (95% CI: 43.4 to 65.4), 51.1% (95% CI: 43.9 to 58.2) and 46.3% (95% CI: 39.6 to 52.9); ($P = 0.176$) of young pregnant women were HIV-positive in the periods 2001–2003, 2004–2008 and 2009–2013, respectively.

Temporal Trends in Sexual Partner Age Disparity and HIV Prevalence

Data on age disparity, in the sexual relationships engaged by surveyed pregnant women were collected from 2003, and was available for 4160 women (82.0%). Overall, between 2003 and 2013, HIV prevalence was higher in women who reported currently having older sexual partners compared with those having partners either the same age or younger than themselves. Table 3 shows the association between current sexual partner’s age and HIV infection stratified by woman’s age. Among teenagers <20 years, the adjusted relative risk (ARR) for HIV infection with current sexual partner in the age group 20–24 years was 1.7 (95% CI: 1.3 to 2.4); ($P = 0.001$) and increased to 3.9 (95% CI: 2.1 to 4.3); ($P < 0.001$) if the current sexual partner was ≥25 years. For young women aged 20–24 years whose current sexual partner was <20 years, the ARR was 0.3 (95% CI: 0.1 to 1.2); ($P = 0.097$), but increased significantly to 1.3 (95% CI: 1.2 to 1.6); ($P < 0.001$) with a partner ≥25 years. In contrast, for women ≥25 years, the age of the current sexual partner was not associated with HIV infection; $ARR = 1.0$ (95% CI: 0.7 to 1.5); ($P = 0.869$).
There is an urgent need for a test for linear trend.

Assuming that HIV transmission is considered a reliable measurement to approximate trends in HIV prevalence provides a clear explanation for lack of progress in reducing the disease burden despite the presence of numerous prevention interventions. Although there has been a significant decline in HIV prevalence in the ≤16 year age group, indeed, we report exceptionally high and relatively unchanged HIV prevalence in young women (17.2% in women <20 years in the 2009–2013 study period), which suggests that reductions in new infections in this population have been minimal, given that in generalized epidemic settings such as South Africa, HIV prevalence in 15–24-year-olds is considered a reliable measurement to approximate trends in incident infections. Assuming that HIV transmission is highest during early and acute HIV infection, the burden of potential incident infections in these teenagers and young women is deeply concerning, and has serious implications for fueling the HIV epidemic in this community. Although slight declines in HIV prevalence in the teenage groups are promising against the existing sheer high burden of infection. Moreover, significantly altering epidemic trajectories in similar settings will require prevention interventions targeted at teenagers and young women, and understanding the risk factors associated with HIV acquisition in this key population.

The association reported here between high HIV prevalence and increased age of sexual partners for women ≤24 years, which increases in strength in women <20 years of age is consistent with other reports. There is an urgent need to understand the causality of this association and how it is evolving with time, and the reasons why teenagers and young women in this community engage sexually with older men. To what extent partnerships leading to sexual intercourse are impacted by the need for financial support in young women, illness and death in women over 25 years, and other complex cultural factors is undetermined.

Furthermore, monitoring the impact of ART provision and the survival of women over 25 years continues to be critical; the high burden of HIV observed in this age group reflects the cumulative effect of HIV acquisition, underscores the extent of the care burden, and may help inform future prevention and treatment efforts. Although HIV prevalence in South Africa varies widely by province and the generalizability of results is limited, our surveys starkly demonstrate the unprecedented scale of the HIV epidemic at a local level. The surveys also provide some bearing on the implications of the epidemic for the community. For example, the low proportion of pregnancies in the pre-ART roll-out period (2001–2003) in women over 30 years reported here, may be attributable to declines in fertility rate as a result of advancing HIV disease, and high mortality rates in this group.

### DISCUSSION

Our surveys highlight the consistently high burden of HIV infection borne by young pregnant women in this rural community, which may be explained at least in part by young women engaging in high-risk sexual intercourse. HIV prevalence has remained unprecedentedly high: in excess of 40% in women aged 20–24 years, and in excess of 50% in women aged 25–34 years. The overall high prevalence in this age group is deeply concerning despite the prevention and treatment programs, and additional targeted interventions—especially for those in age-disparate relationships—are needed to impact this HIV epidemic.

Although the roll-out of ART in 2004 in this community appears to have had a substantial impact on survival, age-specific HIV prevalence provides a clear explanation for lack of progress in reducing the disease burden despite the presence of numerous prevention interventions. Although there has been a significant decline in HIV prevalence in the ≤16 year age group, instead, we report exceptionally high and relatively unchanged HIV prevalence in young women (17.2% in women <20 years in the 2009–2013 study period), which suggests that reductions in new infections in this population have been minimal, given that in generalized epidemic settings such as South Africa, HIV prevalence in 15–24-year-olds is considered a reliable measurement to approximate trends in incident infections. Assuming that HIV transmission is highest during early and acute HIV infection, the burden of potential incident infections in these teenagers and young women is deeply concerning, and has serious implications for fueling the HIV epidemic in this community. Although slight declines in HIV prevalence in the teenage groups are promising against the existing sheer high burden of infection. Moreover, significantly altering epidemic trajectories in similar settings will require prevention interventions targeted at teenagers and young women, and understanding the risk factors associated with HIV acquisition in this key population.

The association reported here between high HIV prevalence and increased age of sexual partners for women ≤24 years, which increases in strength in women <20 years of age is consistent with other reports. There is an urgent need to understand the causality of this association and how it is evolving with time, and the reasons why teenagers and young women in this community engage sexually with older men. To what extent partnerships leading to sexual intercourse are impacted by the need for financial support in young women, illness and death in women over 25 years, and other complex cultural factors is undetermined.

Furthermore, monitoring the impact of ART provision and the survival of women over 25 years continues to be critical; the high burden of HIV observed in this age group reflects the cumulative effect of HIV acquisition, underscores the extent of the care burden, and may help inform future prevention and treatment efforts. Although HIV prevalence in South Africa varies widely by province and the generalizability of results is limited, our surveys starkly demonstrate the unprecedented scale of the HIV epidemic at a local level. The surveys also provide some bearing on the implications of the epidemic for the community. For example, the low proportion of pregnancies in the pre-ART roll-out period (2001–2003) in women over 30 years reported here, may be attributable to declines in fertility rate as a result of advancing HIV disease, and high mortality rates in this group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n/N (%)</td>
<td>n/N (%)</td>
<td>n/N (%)</td>
<td>n/N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤16</td>
<td>16/72 (22.2)</td>
<td>18/170 (10.6)</td>
<td>13/168 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18</td>
<td>34/144 (23.6)</td>
<td>69/324 (21.3)</td>
<td>68/336 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20</td>
<td>34/108 (31.5)</td>
<td>99/300 (33.0)</td>
<td>77/282 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22</td>
<td>34/86 (39.5)</td>
<td>113/255 (44.3)</td>
<td>82/240 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24</td>
<td>43/79 (54.4)</td>
<td>96/188 (51.1)</td>
<td>99/214 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>161/489 (32.9)</td>
<td>395/1237 (31.9)</td>
<td>339/1240 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARR, adjusted relative risk; NE, non estimable; RR, unadjusted relative risk.

In this setting, HIV acquisition is almost synonymous with sexual debut, which is estimated at around age 16 years. Further, the burden of teenage pregnancies in the community, which represent an average of 30% of the surveyed pregnancies sampled per year from 2001 to 2013, is concerning, given associations with poor economic and educational outcomes. Although several, largely government-initiated and school-based behavioral interventions and educational outreach programs have aimed to target the teenage female population, these data demonstrate their limited success in terms of reducing pregnancy and HIV infection rates in teenagers. Despite several behavioral interventions currently implemented to reduce the sexual transmission of HIV, including programs aimed at delaying sexual debut, preventing intergenerational sex, medical male circumcision, promoting condom use within the structured ABC guidelines (abstinence, being faithful to one’s partner, and condom use), and even with earlier access to ART, which has been shown to prevent HIV transmission in randomized clinical trials and observational cohorts, HIV incidence and prevalence remains persistently high in teenage girls.

It is clear that these teenage girls are a key group for HIV prevention, and understanding of HIV transmission dynamics in this population is a major gap in the knowledge of HIV epidemiology. The severity of the epidemic in this setting creates an imperative for the inclusion of teenagers <18 years in biomedical trials with both HIV incidence and other sexual and reproductive health endpoints.

There are several limitations to our study. Our survey population comprising pregnant women seeking prenatal care limits generalizability beyond this group, as HIV prevalence is likely to be very different among similar age, nonpregnant women in this community. Furthermore, pregnant women attending the clinic for the first time for a current pregnancy are provided with comprehensive health care and we included all women in the study; however, it is possible that some women might have been missed and therefore the prevalence could potentially be overestimated. The subgroup analysis on the association between the current sexual partner’s age and HIV infection stratified by woman’s age, although limited by the small sample size across each year, demonstrated that this effect is more distinct in teenagers <20 years. However, as women get older it is not clear whether boys and younger men are at risk of infection from older women. More detailed phylogenetic analysis of HIV-1 sequences and phylodynamic studies are needed to better understand the precise bidirectionality of infection to sexual partners across all age groups. As surveillance spanned over several years, the}


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Partner’s Age Group</th>
<th>HIV Prevalence % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Unadjusted RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Adjusted* ARR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>10.8 (7.8 to 13.7)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.7 (1.3 to 2.4)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>20.4 (17.5 to 23.3)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.4 to 2.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.0 (2.1 to 4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>32.9 (25.3 to 40.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.1 to 4.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.0 (2.1 to 4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>10.0 (0.0 to 23.1)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1 to 1.1)</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1 to 1.2)</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>35.1 (31.0 to 39.2)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>46.6 (42.9 to 50.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2 to 1.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2 to 1.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>57.7 (38.7 to 76.7)</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>≥25</td>
<td>54.2 (51.8 to 56.7)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1 (0.8 to –1.5)</td>
<td>0.714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for clinic and previous pregnancy.
ARR, adjusted relative risk; NE, non estimable; RR, unadjusted relative risk.
outcome measurement of HIV sero-positivity could potentially be misclassified because of the use of different laboratory methods and tests, even though these tests have a sensitivity and specificity in excess of 98.2% and have been used extensively in national surveillance programs. Nevertheless it is possible that these tests may yield non-specific reactions due to causes other than HIV, in low or high HIV prevalence settings and therefore have the risk of misclassification. Although we aimed to collect data on ART use from 2009, we obtained a low response rate which could be due to HIV-related stigma and discriminations, which remain pervasive in this community. We therefore relied on the district ART data.

To conclude, notwithstanding limitations inherent in cross-sectional surveys and with behavioral data collection specifically of women’s recall bias of their partner’s age, these data demonstrate an unequivocal and unambiguously high pregnancy rate and HIV prevalence in this rural community, and highlight partner age as an important risk factor in HIV acquisition among young women. Given the 8–10 year latency between HIV infection and AIDS related morbidity and mortality, the continued scale-up of ART services at primary health care clinics could be key to averting a further AIDS catastrophe in this setting. To what extent survival of these communities, already with limited economic and productive capacity, is compromised, is hard to predict. Inclusion of young women in our quest for new biomedical and behavioral modalities to reduce HIV risk remains an urgent imperative.
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