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Abstract

Thermodynamic and kinetic analysis of the ligand substitution reactions of different heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes with a series of bio-relevant thiourea nucleophiles of different steric demands and ionic nucleophiles have been investigated as a function of concentration and temperature using UV/visible and stopped-flow spectrophotometric techniques. To achieve this, five different sets of complexes involving mono di and multinuclear homo and heterometallic complexes with tridentate N-donor ligands of different linker ligands were synthesized and characterized by various spectroscopic methods. The substitution reactions of the chloride complexes were studied in methanol in the presence of 0.02 M LiCl\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3} adjusted with LiCl to prevent possible solvolysis. The aqua complexes were studied in acidic aqueous medium at pH 2.0. All reactions were investigated under pseudo first-order conditions. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were used to aid further interpretations and understandings of the experimental results.

Substitution reactivity of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) and Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes bridged by tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine (tppz) ligand was investigated for the first time. The reactions proceeded via two steps. The pseudo first-order rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}(1\text{st}, 2\text{nd})$ for the substitution of the chloride ligand(s) from the Pt(II) complexes and subsequent displacement of the linker. The dechelation step was confirmed by $^1$H NMR and \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR studies. Incorporation of Ru(tppz) moiety increases the substitution reactivity and is ascribed to the increased $\pi$-back donation from the tppz ligand which increases the electrophilicity of the metal centre, overall charge and the global electrophilicity index of the complex. However, when changed the second metal centre from a Ru(II) to a Co(II), the rate of substitution decreased by a factor of four due to the weaker $\pi$-backbonding from Co(II).

The substitution reactivity of another set of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes with a semi-rigid linker, 4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (qpy) showed that replacing the cis pyridyl group by a (tpy)Ru(qpy) moiety lowers the energy of anti-bonding LUMO ($\pi^*$) orbitals and increases the metal-metal interactions and electronic transition within the complex whereby enhancing the reactivity of Pt(II) centre. However, when two Pt(II) moieties are linked to a (qpy)Ru(qpy), the orthogonal geometry at the Ru(II) metal centre prevents the extended $\pi$-electron density to flow through the three metal centres. The kinetic results obtained were supported by $pK_a$ and \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR studies.
Substitution reactions of the mononuclear Pt(II) complexes revealed that the polyethylene glycoxy pendent units act as a σ-donors including the lone pair electrons on the first oxygen atom thereby decreasing the reactivity of the parent Pt(II) terpyridine complex. However, this σ-donation towards the terpyridine moiety was found to be effective only up to one unit of the ethylene glycoxy pendant, beyond which the reactivity was sterically controlled. The dinuclear Pt(II) complexes bridged by polyethylene glycol ether units show that the reactivity of the complexes depend on the Pt–Pt distance and the steric hindrance at the Pt(II) centre. The substitution reactivity of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes bridged by the same polyethylene glycol ether units indicate that the presence of Ru(tpy)₂ moiety influences the structural geometry of the complex system which in turn controls the reactivity of the Pt(II) centre. This is further driven by the entrapment effect of the nucleophile due to the V-shape geometry adopted by the heterometallic complexes. In all cases the reactivity was also controlled by steric and electronic effects. However, when two metal centres are bridged by a flexible non-aromatic linker, the electronic transitions and the metal-metal interactions were found to be minor, especially for the longer linkers.

The ¹H and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectroscopic techniques were used to further understand the observed substitution kinetics and to confirm the degradation of the bridging ligand from the metal centre(s). In all cases, the negative activation entropies obtained support the associative mode of substitution. This investigation reveals that the length and the nature of the bridging linker plays an important role in controlling the reactivity of the heterometallic complexes. It is envisaged that the findings of this project would offer a significant contribution to the pharmacological design of effective anticancer drugs.
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<td>CT</td>
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<td>CTR1</td>
<td>Constructive triple response 1</td>
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<td>Cys</td>
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<td>dissociative</td>
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$h$  Planck constant ($6.626 \times 10^{-34}$ Js$^{-1}$)
$\Delta H^*$  Activation enthalpy
HCC827  human lung tumour cells
HCF$_3$SO$_3$  Triflic acid
HET  2-hydroxyethanethiol
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HMG  high-mobility group proteins
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HOMO  Highest occupied molecular orbital
$l$  Ionic strength
l  interchange
**Iₐ**  
Associatively activated

**IC₅₀**  
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**Iₐ**  
Dissociatively activated
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**INO**  
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**impy**  
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**IR**  
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**K**  
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**kₜ**  
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**k_{obs}**  
observed pseudo first-order constant
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**kJ**  
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**LFER**  
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**LUMO**  
Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital

**M**  
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**mL**  
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**MLCT**  
Metal to ligand charge transition

**TOF MS-ES⁺**  
A time-of-flight mass spectrometer with an electron spray source operated in the positive ion mode

**MO**  
Molecular orbital

**NAMI**  
Na{trans-[Ru(III)Cl₄(dmso)(Him)]}

**NAMI-A**  
[H₂im][trans-Ru(III)Cl₄(dmso)(Him)]

**NBO**  
Natural bond orbital

**NER**  
Nucleotide excision repair

**nm**  
nanometer

**NMR**  
nuclear magnetic resonance

**OH⁺**  
Hydroxide radical

**Nu**  
nucleophile
$o$-tolyl  ortho toluene
Pa  Pascal
Paa  2-pyridinealdazine
$\text{pap}$  $[\text{Pt(bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine)OH}_2]^{2+}$
$\text{PDT}$  Photodynamic therapy
phen  1,10-phenanthraline
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$\text{PPh}_3$  triphenylphosphine
ppm  Parts per million
$\text{ppp}$  $[\text{Pt(terpy)OH}_2]^{2+}$
$\text{Pt(cod)Cl}_2$  Dichloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)Platinum(II)
$\text{Ptppy}$  $[\text{Pt}(2,2':6',2''\text{-terpyridine})\text{Cl}]\text{Cl}\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}$
py  Pyridine/pyridyl
qpy  4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine
R  universal gas constant (8.3145 JK$^{-1}$mol$^{-1}$)
RNA  ribonucleic acid
$s$  nucleophilic discrimination factor
$s$  singlet or strong
$\Delta S^*$  Activation entropy
SAR  structure activity relationship
$\text{Si(CH}_3)_4$  trimethylsilane
T  Temperature, Thymine
tppz  2,3,5,6-tetrakis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine
tpy  2,2':6',2''-terpyridine
tmem  $N,N,N',N'$-tetramethylenediamine
TMTU  1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea
TU  thiouea
$\text{UV/vis}$  Ultraviolet/visible
$\Delta V^*$  activation volume
X  Leaving group (unless otherwise mentioned)
Y  Incoming group (unless otherwise mentioned)
$\nu$  frequency
$n_{pe}$  nucleophilicity
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Chapter One

Role of Platinum and Ruthenium Complexes as Anticancer Agents

1.1 Introduction

Cancer is one of the most deadly diseases in the world that is caused mainly by abnormalities in the genetic material of cell transformation. Such abnormalities are caused due to the effect of environmental factors such as exposure to carcinogens; chemicals, certain type of radiation, tobacco smoke. Depending on the type and location, this disease is treated by surgery, chemotherapy, radiation and immunotherapy. However, to date, effective treatment of cancer has become one of the challenging tasks since certain cancer cell-lines are resistant to the available anticancer treatments. Therefore, current anticancer research focuses on synthesizing new drugs which are of improved cytotoxicity.

Even though metals are considered toxic for human body, many precious metal ions still play a vital role in many biological processes. The positively charged metal centers interact with the negatively charged groups of proteins and nucleic acids. The number of metal based anticancer drugs which are in current clinical use is still low. The majority of the drugs are platinum compounds. Examples include; cisplatin (cis-diamminedichloridoplatinum(II)) (1), carboplatin (cis-diammine(1,1-cyclobutanedicarboxylato) platinum(II)), and oxaliplatin (Figure 1.1). However, due to the severe side effects and acquired resistance to certain cancer cells, a large number of other metal complexes have been synthesized and screened for anticancer activity. Amongst them, ruthenium complexes are the most well documented. The two most promising ruthenium based anticancer compounds known to date are NAMI-A and KP1019. They have shown unique antimestatic activity. The complexes were found to be active against most cisplatin- resistant tumour cells. This chapter reviews the chemistry of Pt(II) and Ru(II) and their compounds as metalotherapeutic agents in the medicinal chemistry.
Chapter 1

1.2 The General Chemistry of Platinum(II) Complexes

Platinum is one of the most well studied transition metals due to its biological activity. The most well known oxidation states are 0, +2, +4. The +2 and +4 states are the most important because they comprise the majority of the platinum complexes. These complexes are kinetically and thermodynamically stable. Research on biological applications of Pt(II) complexes started in the 1950s with the systematic investigations of inorganic reaction mechanisms. Of all the oxidation states of platinum, the +2 species is the most versatile and well studied in the reaction mechanisms due to its moderately slow reactivity and high redox stability. Moreover, other distinctive features of Pt(II) species include the presence of a vacant $p_z$ orbital for nucleophilic attack, formation of $\sigma$ and $\pi$-bonds with complexes and the ability to undergo oxidative addition and reductive elimination.

Since Pt(II) is a soft acid, it prefers to bind with soft bases. Pt(II) forms a range of stable mono and multinuclear complexes with neutral ligands such as $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$, $\text{CO}$, tertiary phosphines and anionic monodentate ligands such as halides, sulphides and nitrates. With tridentate $\text{N}–\text{N}–\text{N}$ donor ligands such as 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (tpy), four-coordinate mononuclear complexes are more common. Furthermore, apart from mono and multinuclear complexes, with bidentate ligands such as $\text{N}–\text{N}$, $\text{P}–\text{P}$, $\text{S}–\text{S}$, $\text{N}–\text{O}$, $\text{N}–\text{P}$ and $\text{N}–\text{S}$, Pt(II) often forms bridged dinuclear chelated complexes.

Compared to other square planar complexes of platinum group elements, Pt(II) complexes are relatively inert. This kinetic property of Pt(II) complexes is thought to be the key to the activity of most Pt(II) coordinated compounds. It is also often used for studying the mechanism of their reactions. In the past, several studies have been reported on understanding the substitution and kinetic behaviour of Pt(II) complexes. Data from these studies resulted in the development of the trans effect.

Apart from medicinal applications, other major applications of platinum compounds include catalysis, electrical and electronic applications, photoluminescence, usage in high sensitive optical sensors. However, the greatest accomplishment of platinum chemistry was the discovery of the biologically active platinum antitumour complex, cisplatin.
1.3 Development of Platinum-based Anticancer Drugs and Structure Activity Relationship

The antitumour activity of cisplatin, first synthesized by Peyrone in 1845 was serendipitously discovered in 1969 by Barnett Rosenberg during an investigation of the effects of electric field on Escherichia coli (E. coli) bacteria growth in ammonium chloride solution using a platinum electrode. The drug was first approved for clinical uses in 1978. Cisplatin forms DNA adducts and interferes with DNA transcription and replication, causing cell apoptosis.

Following the success of cisplatin, a number of platinum based compounds were synthesized and tested for anticancer activity. Based on the results obtained by screening a large number of cisplatin related complexes, Cleare and Hoeschele, proposed some early structural-activity relationships, (SAR), governing the activity. They proposed that:

- the platinum complexes must have anionic leaving groups with moderate binding strength to platinum and should possess a weak trans effect to avoid labilisation of the amine moiety.
- the platinum complexes must have a cis geometry. The cis geometry is preferred because the complexes of trans geometry were found to be inactive.
- the amine group(s) must possess at least one N—H group, which is thought to play a role in the hydrogen bond formation with the DNA.
- the complex must be neutral. It was thought that neutral complexes would lead higher activity through and facilitate the entry of the drug through the cell membrane.

In recent years complexes with several modifications have been synthesized.

![Platinum complexes](image)

**Figure 1.1** Platinum complexes in worldwide clinical use and as well as those with regionally limited approval: nedaplatin, lobaplatin.6
1.3.1 Cisplatin

Despite its simplicity in structure, cisplatin is one of the most potent anticancer drugs developed for chemotherapy in the last three decades.\textsuperscript{17c,23,25} Since the beginning of its clinical trials in 1971,\textsuperscript{9,26} the drug has been used as an effective treatment against a wide range of cancerous cells such as cancers of the bladder, head, testicular, neck and ovarian.\textsuperscript{9,17c,27} However, due to the severe side effects, such as vomiting/nausea,\textsuperscript{28} nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity,\textsuperscript{6b,29} frequent development of drug resistance in certain tumour cells\textsuperscript{29j,30} and limited water solubility,\textsuperscript{17c} the effectiveness of the drug is limited. Even though, the actual cell distribution of cisplatin and its mechanism of action with cellular material are not fully understood,\textsuperscript{31} a large body of research in the past three decades have revealed considerable information about how the drug kills certain tumour cells and becomes resistant to others.\textsuperscript{32}

1.3.1.1 Mechanism of Action of Cisplatin

Further studies on cisplatin unravelled the mechanism of Pt(II) compounds and how it destroys cancer cells in the human body. It is widely accepted that the main binding target of cisplatin is DNA.\textsuperscript{17c,33} In the blood plasma the chloride concentration is high enough (~100 mM) to suppress the hydrolysis of the complex thus, most of it remains unchanged.\textsuperscript{22a,27d} The neutral compound enters into the cell either by passive diffusion or active uptake which have been now reported to involve copper transporter proteins so-called constitutive triple response 1 (CTR1) sites.\textsuperscript{34} However, on crossing the cell membrane, the neutral cisplatin molecule undergoes hydrolysis of one or both the chloride ligands producing charged species (Figure 1.2).\textsuperscript{17c,18,22a} The first aquation process is rapid due to the low chloride concentration inside the cell (ca. 2 -4 mM)\textsuperscript{35} onto which the rate of reaction of cisplatin with DNA depends on.\textsuperscript{36} Since water is a better leaving group than chloride, the resulting charged species are more reactive towards biomolecules.\textsuperscript{37} The antitumour activity of cisplatin is intervened by recognition of platinated DNA adducts\textsuperscript{17c} by the proteins (for example high-mobility group proteins (HMG)) which prevent cisplatin adducts from interfering with DNA transcription (Figure 1.2). Inside the cell some of the drug may interact with sulfur donors in the blood since they have a high affinity for platinum centres,\textsuperscript{38} yielding to stable or kinetically inert\textsuperscript{39} Pt–S bonded products. Accumulation of coordinated sulfur containing proteins and amino acids\textsuperscript{40} to the platinum drug may lead to potential deactivation of the drug and some toxic side effects\textsuperscript{41,37} which affects the biodistribution of the drug and its pharmacokinetics. This reduces the formation of the
required Pt—DNA adducts and drug accumulation.\textsuperscript{40a,42} Due to the \textit{trans} influence of certain sulfur containing compounds of thioethers\textsuperscript{40a} or methionine derivatives\textsuperscript{43} to the Pt(II) centre results in the removal of the \textit{trans} amine ligand by the incoming sulfur ligand before DNA binds with the drug\textsuperscript{40a,43} thereby hindering the actual mechanism of the action of the drug and its cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{40a}

**Figure 1.2** Suggested reaction pathway for cisplatin in the cell and binding to DNA. Extracted from references\textsuperscript{17c,18,24,38}

### 1.3.1.2 Reactivity of Cisplatin with DNA

Due to poor solubility, cisplatin is administered into the human body intravenously as a sterile saline solution at a dose of about 50 - 120 mg/m\textsuperscript{2} (m\textsuperscript{2} = body surface area) per course over 0.5 to 2 hours\textsuperscript{9,27d} for five consecutive days in every 21 days. To minimise the side effects, the drug is often given in combination therapy with other antitumour adjuvants such as bleomycin,\textsuperscript{44} arabinofurasylycitosine,\textsuperscript{45} 5-fluorouracil\textsuperscript{46} and paclitaxel.\textsuperscript{44} Once it is entered into the cell, the hydrolysed form of cisplatin lowers the pK\textsubscript{a} of the complex. However, under physiological pH,\textsuperscript{47} some of the aqua drug complexes may get changed to hydroxo species. The hydrated species may bind to DNA in multiple steps (\textit{Scheme 1.1}), which include:\textsuperscript{48}

\textbf{a.} \textbf{Aquation:} Binding of cisplatin to DNA proceeds via formation of the mono aquated species which follows a first-order kinetics and is thought to be the rate
determining step.\textsuperscript{42,49} This step also depends on the nature of the \textit{trans} amine group.\textsuperscript{50}

b. **Preassociation**: This involves formation of hydrogen bonding and insertion by intercalation with DNA.

c. **Monofunctional adduct formation**: Approximately 90\% of the Pt-DNA adducts formed here are mainly between 1,2 adjacent N7 guanine sites (60-65\%)\textsuperscript{36} which causes the double strand DNA to form a kink\textsuperscript{51} of 45° - 70° at the platinations site, resulting in partial unwinding and loss of helical stability while the cross-links between adjacent N7 adenine-N7 guanine are 20 - 25\%\textsuperscript{52} which unwinds DNA by 13° at the platination site.

d. **Second aquation**: Hydrolysis of the second chloride ligand produces diaqua species which are twice reactive towards DNA compared to the monoquaqua species.

e. **Ring closure and formation of bifunctional adduct**: Formation of interstrand or intrastrand bifunctional adduct is thought to be essential for effective anticancer activity. However, it is not yet known whether the bifunctional adduct is formed directly from the monochloro complex or whether it is via aquation.

f. **DNA distortion**: DNA gets distorted by various proteins.

\textbf{Scheme 1.1} The sequence of cisplatin binding to DNA and the structurally different adducts formed.\textsuperscript{48} The $k$ values and $t_{1/2}$ values were obtained from a kinetic study of hydrolysis of cisplatin by short lengths of single- or double-strand DNA containing adjacent guanosines using $^{15}$N NMR at pH 7.1 in water containing 10 mol dm$^{-3}$ sodium phosphate.\textsuperscript{22a}
Even though, inside the cell cisplatin can also react with many other cellular components, a number of research has shown that the major bindings involve the coordination of cisplatin with the DNA bases viz. adenine (A), cytosine (C), guanine (G) and thymine (T) especially, the N7-position of guanine.\textsuperscript{9,10,22a,26,40a,52-53} The possible modes of cisplatin binding to DNA are shown in Figure 1.3. The monofunctional adducts often form either interstrand or intrastrand adducts which can then stop DNA multiplication.\textsuperscript{54} However, the major contributor to the cisplatin anticancer activity are the di-Pt-DNA adducts.\textsuperscript{53b}

![Figure 1.3](image-url)

**Figure 1.3** Some possible cisplatin-DNA binding modes.\textsuperscript{22a,55}

The binding of cisplatin to DNA alters the DNA conformation and brings distortion to the structure. This results in DNA unwinding, bending\textsuperscript{56} and flattening of the minor grooves in the DNA helix.\textsuperscript{9,53d} These changes result in the inhibition of DNA transcription which is an important step for protein synthesis and cell division. The target of cisplatin inside the DNA is the telomeric regions of chromosomes\textsuperscript{27d,57} which protect the ends of chromosomes from degradation during cell division.\textsuperscript{27d,58} Degradation of the telomeric regions in the chromosomes by cisplatin suppresses cell division causing significant damages in the DNA and DNA replication leading to apoptosis.\textsuperscript{27d,59}
1.3.1.3 Cisplatin Resistance
Resistance of certain tumour cells to cisplatin is due to failure of cancerous cells to respond to the inhibitory effects of the drug. Experimental evidence suggests that the development of resistance to cisplatin is thought to be due to a number of reasons. Any or all of the following factors may play a role in resistance of the drug to the tumour cells:22a,27d,52,60

- low intracellular accumulation due to either by decreased influx (intake) or enhanced efflux (expelling) in the plasma membrane,
- increased detoxification by binding with S-donor molecules such as glutathione and metallothioneins.
- increased tolerance to Pt-DNA adducts,
- increased nucleotide excision repair (NER) mechanism which confer the resistance on the cell line. To circumvent this problem, various agents are given in conjunction with cisplatin.

1.3.2 Development of Second Generation Cisplatin Analogues
Since cisplatin’s therapeutic efficacy is limited due to its severe side effects and resistance to certain tumour cells, a number of Pt(II) complexes have been developed in order to improve the clinical limitations with less tumour resistance and toxicity.9,29a-c,30g,61 Out of a large pool of platinum complexes that have been synthesised and clinically screened for anticancer activity, only a few are currently registered for clinical administration. These include; carboplatin, [cis-diamine(1,1-cyclobutanedicarboxylato)platinum(II)] (2), trans-L-1,2-diaminocyclohexaneoxalato platinum(II) oxaliplatin (3), cis-diammineglycolatoplatinum(II), nedaplatin (4), [cis-diammineglycolatoplatinum(II)] and lobaplatin (5)17c,52,61 (Figure 1.1).6

Of the five platinum complexes in Figure 1.1; carboplatin was reported to be less toxic9,17c,62 and hence, the drug is administered at higher doses (2000 mg/dose)63 than standard regimens (900 mg/m²)9,64 due to its slower aquation62,65 owing the less labile cyclobutanedicarboxylato ligand42,53f compared to the chloride ligands in cisplatin.9,17c,42 The drug is now widely used for the treatment of ovarian cancer66 and is remarkably less toxic towards kidney and nervous system. This led to the synthesis of 1,2-diaminocyclohexaneplatinum(II), oxaliplatin which contains a bidentate leaving group22a with enhanced water solubility and slower hydrolysis process resulting in a high cellular uptake.67 The drug was effective for treatment of colorectal cancer, a type
of cancer which is resistant to cisplatin and carboplatin. It is currently approved for clinical use in France, Europe, China and United States. The carboplatin analogue, nedaplatin, is registered in Japan for the treatment of head, neck, lung and oesophageal cancer while lobaplatin has been clinically approved in China. However, these complexes which are structural analogues of cisplatin, suffer from a similar kind of cross-resistance, and hence the search for effective anticancer drugs is ongoing.

1.4 Current Findings on Anticancer Platinum(II) Drugs

Current research on anticancer drugs focuses on the synthesis of structurally different complexes of cisplatin which can exhibit effective antitumour activity with less side effects and tumour resistance. Thus, a number of active mono- and multi-nuclear Pt(II) and other transition metal complexes have been discovered with various structural modifications. This includes complexes with trans configuration, non- H-amine neutral ligands of homometallic and heterometallic supramolecular complexes. However, focus here will be only on multinuclear platinum complexes.

1.4.1 Multinuclear Platinum(II) Complexes

Multinuclear platinum complexes contain two or more platinum atoms linked together by a bridging linker. The new approach of designing Pt(II) anticancer drugs allowed the synthesis of various multinuclear Pt(II) compounds having different binding modes with DNA. The complexes vary from cis to trans and from bifunctional to polyfunctional, with varying flexible linkers as well as rigid bridges (Figure 1.4). Mechanistically, these complexes are expected to interact with DNA in a unique way. Results obtained showed some of these complexes display a very good antitumour activity where some complexes overcome the cisplatin resistance.

1.4.2 Polynuclear Platinum(II) Complexes with Flexible Linkers

The first reported multinuclear platinum complexes were based on cisplatin like (2,2-cis,cis) and transplatin like (2,2-trans,trans) terminal structures linked by flexible alkylamidine bridging groups of variable lengths. The complexes were bifunctional at each metal centre. The complexes were found to cross-link with DNA upon reacting. When tested for anticancer activity, the 2,2-cis,cis complex was reported to be active in cells resistant to cisplatin. Furthermore, DNA binding functions of their monofunctional complexes showed that DNA 1,1/t,t complex cross-links with DNA more efficiently than 2,2/cis,cis complex. Furthermore, for the straight chain enjoined
complexes, it was reported that 1,6-hexanediamine \((n = 6 \text{ CH}_2)\) was ideal for their optimum activity.\textsuperscript{73-74,76}

However, the most promising within this new class of anticancer compounds is the trinuclear complex, \([\{\text{trans-PtCl(NH}_3)_2\}_{2}\{\mu-\text{trans-Pt(NH}_3)_2(H_2N(CH}_2)_6N\text{H}_2)\}]^{4+}\) (1,0,1/t,t,t), or BBR3464. This complex was found to exhibit cytotoxicity at ten to thousand times lower dose limits\textsuperscript{77} than cisplatin and has successfully passed through the phase II clinical trials and is currently undergoing some other clinical trials.\textsuperscript{78} The complex was found to be a very potent cytotoxic agent and is effective against melanoma, pancreatic cancer, lung cancer\textsuperscript{27c,72,75} and has less neuro and nephro toxicity and less side effects such as nausea and vomiting. This compound can monofunctionally bind to DNA to form long-range interstrand and intrastrand DNA cross-links.\textsuperscript{72,79} It has a higher cellular uptake due to its high effective positive charge and no cross-resistance to cisplatin resistance cells.\textsuperscript{27c} The cationic inert tetraamine platinum linker enhances water solubility and high DNA affinity.

Meanwhile, a number of other linking ligands have also been used to develop related dinuclear platinum complexes.\textsuperscript{80} The dinuclear Pt(II) complex, (11) \([\text{ClPt(dtdeg)PtCl]}\) (where dtdeg = bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-tpyridyl)]-diethyleneglycolether), was synthesized and characterized.\textsuperscript{81} DNA interaction study using calf thymus (CT) DNA as a substrate showed a very high activity against all the cancer cell-lines tested, in some cases with a better activity than the well known anticancer drug, cisplatin.\textsuperscript{81}

Figure 1.4 Some polynuclear Pt(II) complexes which have shown potential anticancer activity.\textsuperscript{75,78-79,81-82}
1.4.3 Polynuclear Platinum(II) Complexes with Rigid Linkers

A number of other multinuclear platinum complexes, with rigid bridging linkers which include; 4,4’-dipyridyl(sulfide or selenide),\textsuperscript{83} 4,4’-dipyrazolylmethane,\textsuperscript{84} mesitylene,\textsuperscript{85} phenyldiamine, azines,\textsuperscript{14a,25a} azoles\textsuperscript{29b,86} and hydrazines\textsuperscript{87} have been synthesized and tested for cytotoxicity.

A rigid bridged dinuclear platinum complexe (12) (Figure 1.5) with square planar geometries has been reported by linking two cisplatin like platinum centres through 4,4’-dipyrazolylmethane (dpzm) ligand.\textsuperscript{84b,c} Another dinuclear transplatin like platinum complex, [{trans-Pt(NH$_3$)$_2$Cl}$_2$(μ-dpzm)]Cl$_2$ (13) along with complex (12) were found to have high levels of DNA intrastand cross-linking which was thought to be due to the rigidity of the linker especially, the bifunctional complex, (13) was found to bind preferentially with adenine residues.\textsuperscript{88} Furthermore, since selenium and sulphur compounds are known to have chemoprotective activity, the dinuclear complexes bridged by either 4,4’-dipyridylselenide or sulfur\textsuperscript{89} (14a) and (14b) were found to diminish the known toxic side effects of anticancer drugs.\textsuperscript{90}

A series of short pyrazole and hydroxo bridged dinuclear platinum complexes (Figure 1.5) were synthesed (15a) and (15b) and expected to form 1,2-intrastand adducts without major distortions of the DNA.\textsuperscript{29b} In these complexes the hydroxo group was incorporated both as a linker as well as a leaving group. From the crystal structure obtained for [[cis-Pt(9-EtG$_2$)$_2$(μ-OH)(μ-pyrazolate)](NO$_3$)$_2$, (where EtG = 9-ethylguanine which is a model nucleobase)]\textsuperscript{86a} it was reported that the average distance between the platinum atoms is comparable with the distance separating a normal sequential nucleobase in a B- type DNA strand to form stable DNA adducts. The rate of reaction of these complexes with DNA was found to be relatively slower for the first step.\textsuperscript{91} However, the rate of second step, once the five membered ring is opened, was found to be faster.\textsuperscript{91}

In a related effort, Wheat et al.\textsuperscript{84a} reported an extension of the work on complex (12) to yield a trinuclear Pt(II) complex (19). The dpzm moiety offers moderate rigidity due to the incorporation of the CH$_2$ spacers in the linker. The complex shows anticancer activity in the murine leukaemia cell line L1210.\textsuperscript{84a} This complex is a structural analogue of the well known trinuclear anticancer complex, BBR3464.
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An interesting design of another trinuclear Pt(II) complex with moderate rigidity comes from the mesitylene ligand with three terminus Pt(II) centres linked by three $N,N'$-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (20). This complex shows promising cytotoxicity in human and mouse tumour cells including those which are cisplatin resistant. Molecular pharmacology studies on the underlying mechanism of its antitumour effects revealed that the complex has a unique DNA binding mode thereby forming trifunctional intrastrand DNA adducts. All three Pt(II) centres of the complex were found to coordinate to DNA base pairs leading to broad conformational modifications.

Often, azine bridged dinuclear complexes (Figure 1.6), (21), (22), (23), (24), (25) and (26) compared to cisplatin, were found to show lower cytotoxicity in several human cell-lines. However, their activity (as chloride complexes) against mouse leukemia cells, which is cisplatin resistant, was found to be comparably higher. Furthermore, the complexes undergo substitution reactions with thiourea and biologically active nucleophiles. Another group of polynuclear complexes bridged by phenyldiamine and
cyclohexanediamine rigid linkers (27), (28), (29), (30) and (31) have been reported in a kinetic and mechanistic study by Jaganyi et al.\textsuperscript{14a,14d,14f} and van Rudi et al.\textsuperscript{95} However, their DNA binding affinity and cytotoxic activity have not been studied.

![Multinuclear Pt(II) complexes with rigid linkers which are used for kinetic and mechanistic study.\textsuperscript{14a,14d}](image)

**Figure 1.6** Multinuclear Pt(II) complexes with rigid linkers which are used for kinetic and mechanistic study.\textsuperscript{14a,14d}

1.5 **Platinum(II) Polypyridyl Complexes**

Platinum complexes of 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (tpy) have the basic form \([\text{Pt(Ytpy)}X]\)\textsuperscript{2+}, where \(Y\) is the substituent on the terpyridine ligand and \(X\) is the ligand in the fourth coordination site.\textsuperscript{17d} Pt(II) terpyridine chromophores are known as potential anticancer agents due to their tendency to selectively bind to DNA.\textsuperscript{59b,96} Cationic, Pt(II) terpyridine and its derivatives have been proven to inhibit the telomerase activity by binding with the G-quadruplex of DNA.\textsuperscript{59b} Polypyridyl complexes with extended \(\pi\)-conjugated ligand systems enhance the strength of \(\sigma\)-bonding framework within the ligand system and the \(\pi\)-stacking with DNA.\textsuperscript{97} They can interact with DNA either by covalent binding or by intercalating with the base pairs.\textsuperscript{17d,96c}

1.5.1 **DNA Intercalation**

DNA intercalation is a non-covalent association between DNA base pairs and platinum molecules.\textsuperscript{17d,98} Intercalation of Pt(II) terpyridine with DNA was reported in 1974 by Lippard and co workers\textsuperscript{96a} during an investigation of \([\text{Pt(tpy)}(HET)]\)\textsuperscript{+} (HET = 2-
hydroxyethanethiol) and CT DNA, which showed interactions of the compound with DNA with a binding constant of $1.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$ at a pH of 6.8 in 0.003 M sodium chloride solution. The complex was shown to add between the DNA base pairs where it is bound to every other base-pair space in DNA.

Lippard and co-workers further investigated the intercalative property of a variety of Pt(II) terpyridine complexes of the type $[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})X]^{n+}$ (for $n = 1$, $X = \text{HO}^-$, Cl$^-$, HET, Cysteine (Cys) and $n = 2$, $X$ = aminoethanethiol (AET)). Each of these complexes was found to unwind circular DNA. The observed high binding constant for the AET complex was believed to be due to its high charge (Table 1.1). Further investigations reported for two complexes, $[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(2-\text{CH}_3\text{py})]^2+$ (py = pyridine) and $[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(\text{py})]^2+$ with similar aromatic surfaces when subjected to DNA binding, showed a higher binding constant for the latter complex. The methyl group on the second position of $[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(2-\text{CH}_3\text{py})]^2+$ reduces the stacking surface which causes more destabilization of the resulting complex thereby reducing the binding constant. Thus, it has been established structural features such as, the planarity and size of the molecule, aromaticity and surface extension of the π system, the charge and the ability of the group on the fourth coordination centre to form hydrogen bonding with DNA base pairs influences the DNA binding ability.

### Table 1.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>DNA medium</th>
<th>Binding constant, $K$ (M$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})\text{Cl}]^{+}$</td>
<td>ct-DNA; Tris buffer</td>
<td>$3.9 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(\text{HET})]^{+}$</td>
<td>ct-DNA; pH 7.5, 0.2 M NaCl</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(\text{AET})]^2+$</td>
<td>ct-DNA; pH 7.5, 0.2 M NaCl</td>
<td>$4.3 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})\text{Cys}]^{+}$</td>
<td>ct-DNA; pH 7.5, 0.2 M NaCl</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{Pt}(\text{tpy})(\text{OH})]^+$</td>
<td>st-DNA; pH 9.0, 0.5 M EPSS buffer</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.5.2 Substitution of Platinum(II) terpyridine Complexes with Biologically Active Nucleophiles

Apart from non-covalent binding, $[\text{Pt}(\text{Ytpy})X]^{n+}$ (where $X$ is a labile group such as chloride, hydroxide, water or pyridine derivatives and $Y = \text{H}$, Cl, OCH$_3$, CH$_3$ and 2-C$_6$H$_5$N), is also capable of undergoing ligand substitution reactions with biological molecules. An example of such a reaction is shown in Scheme 1.2 for the reaction of terpyridine with guanosine. Information obtained from such kinetic studies is
important for understanding the mechanism of action of platinum anticancer drugs with DNA. *(Scheme 1.2)*

An equilibrium kinetic study reported by Bugarčić et al. on \([\text{Pt(tpy)Cl}]^+\) at pH 6, and van Eldik and co-workers on \([\text{Pt(tpy)(OH}_2]^2+\) at pH of 2.5, with some biologically active nucleophiles, glutathione (GSH), inosine (INO), inosine-5’-monophosphate (5’-IMP) and guanosine-5’-monophosphate (5’-GMP) has shown a high reactivity towards all the selected nucleophiles, particularly 5’-GMP and GSH. In addition, reactions of Pt(II)terpyridine complexes with other DNA bases such as adenosine and 1-methylcytosine (Hmcyt) were found to form di- and tri-cationic complexes depending on the reaction stoichiometry. Further kinetic studies of \([\text{Pt(tpy)Cl}]^+\) with other bionucleophiles, viz. histidine (His) and cysteine (Cys) at pH 3 under *pseudo* first-order conditions showed a higher reactivity for Cys \((k_{\text{obs}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})\) compared to His \((k_{\text{obs}} = 8.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})\), which was attributed to the stability of the \([\text{Pt(tpy)(His)}]^+\) cation in aqueous medium compared to the Cys coordinated cation.

The driving force for such reactions depends on both thermodynamic factors such as the stability of the Pt–N bond formed relative to the Pt–X bond, and kinetic factors such as the rate of replacement of X by the incoming ligand. In such instances, investigating the reactions under *pseudo* conditions can force the reaction to go to completion. Studies reported by Lowe et al. support the binding of Pt(II) (tpy) to N7 position of guanosine *(32).*

![Scheme 1.2](image)

*(Scheme 1.2) Reaction of Pt(II) (tpy) with guanosine (1:1) showing the N7 binding of guanosine with Pt(II) (tpy) *(32).* [17, 104]*
1.5.3 Previous Kinetics and Mechanistic Studies on Platinum(II) terpyridine Complexes with Thiourea and Ionic nucleophiles

Pt(II) terpyridine and its analogues are good anticancer probes and have gained considerable research interest in the past few decades. To design more effective anticancer Pt(II) drugs, a clear understanding of kinetic and mechanistic substitution behaviour of such complexes is important in order to elucidate the mechanism of action of the drug in the body.\textsuperscript{107}

van Eldik \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{14k} investigated the effect of increasing the $\pi$-acceptor of pyridine ligands on the substitution kinetics of aqua Pt(II) with tri(N-donor) non-leaving ligands \textit{viz.} [Pt(diethylenetriamine)OH$_2$]$^{2+}$ (aaa), [Pt(2,6-bis-aminomethylpyridine)OH$_2$]$^{2+}$ (apa), [Pt(N-(pyridyl-2-methyl)-1,2diamino-ethane)OH$_2$]$^{2+}$ (aap), [Pt(bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) OH$_2$]$^{2+}$ (pap), [Pt(2,2'-bipyridine)(NH$_3$)(OH$_2$)]$^{2+}$ (app) and [Pt(tpy)OH$_2$]$^{2+}$ (ppp) (\textit{Figure 1.7}) with thiourea (TU), dimethylthiourea (DMTU), trimethylthiourea (TMTU). The rate of substitution reactions showed a general increase by a factor of four orders of magnitude simply by adding pyridine rings within the non-leaving chelate ligand of the complexes. The aqua ligands were substituted in the order aaa < apa < aap < pap < app < ppp. The increase in reactivity was attributed to an increased electronic communication within the chelate ligand due to the increase in the $\pi$-acceptance of the pyridine rings.\textsuperscript{14k} It is known that increasing the $\pi$-backbonding ability of the ligand system around the platinum centre helps to stabilise the five coordinate transition state through back donation of the electron density onto the aromatic system resulting in an increase in the substitution reaction.\textsuperscript{14k}

Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{14b} further extended the investigation to include an understanding of how groups that are attached to the terpyridine ligand (ancillary group) affects its capacity to receive electron density from the platinum metal centre and ultimately the reactivity of the metal centre.\textsuperscript{107-108} For example, when the ancillary substituent at the 4'-position is an \textit{ortho} substituted phenyl ring (39), the reactivity of the complex depended on the electron donating or electron withdrawing capacity of the substituent groups.\textsuperscript{108} When electron donating groups (as in 39) are attached, the reactivity is smaller than that of Ptppy and vice versa when electron accepting groups (Cl, CF$_3$ as in 40) are attached. Furthermore, when the ancillary is a phenyl ring, extension of $\pi$-backbonding towards the ancillary ring is absent.\textsuperscript{14b} They also studied the \textit{cis} $\sigma$-effect\textsuperscript{108} by replacing one of the \textit{cis} pyridine ring on the terpyridine with a phenyl ring. Results obtained further
support previous studies on *cis* and *trans* σ-effect of terpyridine type chelate backbone.\textsuperscript{109,110}
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\caption{Pt(II) complexes studied by van Eldik \textit{et al.} (33-38). Pt(II)terpyridine complexes studied by Jaganyi \textit{et al.} (38,39) and (40).\textsuperscript{86-87,90-91}}
\end{figure}

Additionally, the type of substituents on the \textit{ortho} position of the ancilliary phenyl ring influences the reactivity either by enhancing or reducing the π-backbonding ability of the terpyridine moiety. The presence of electron withdrawing groups such as CF\textsubscript{3} group on the 4′-position of terpyridine (40, when R = CF\textsubscript{3}), the substitution reactivity was moderately enhanced due to the electron withdrawal property of terpyridine which increases the π-backbonding ability of the chelated terpyridine ring. The opposite was observed for the electron donating CH\textsubscript{3} group present on the \textit{ortho} position of the 4′-phenyl ring, which reduces the π-backbonding ability of the terpyridine system.

\section{1.6 Therapeutic Ruthenium Complexes: A Possible Alternative to Platinum}

To widen the search of clinically improved anticancer drugs, with broader spectrum of activity, other metals such as ruthenium\textsuperscript{34b,111} and cobalt\textsuperscript{112} have to be considered. Such metal complexes are expected to have the same or different mechanism of action but certainly different biodistribution and toxicity profiles to that of Pt(II) compounds.\textsuperscript{111g,113} Of the metal complexes studied so far, Ru(II) complexes offer the most potential alternatives to cisplatin resistant tumour cells with reduced toxicity.\textsuperscript{5,113} This section will focus mainly on terpyridine and polypyridine type Ru(II) complexes.
Chapter 1

Applications of ruthenium complexes are wide. The complexes are used as immunosuppressants, antimicrobial agents, antibiotics, radiophysical therapeutic agents and antimicrobial agents. For example, ruthenium compounds such as [Ru(II)Cl₂(chloroquine)]₃ is active against malaria vectors and other antibiotics such as Ru(III) derivatives of thiosemicarbazone are active against salmonella typhi. Properties of ruthenium complexes or compounds which make them suitable in various applications include their low redox potential, greater potential to transfer of electrons, coordination ability to heteroatoms, their Lewis acid property and their unique reactivity towards metallic species. Ruthenium is also important due to its excellent catalytic properties. Compounds such as [RuH₂(PPh₃)₂(PPh₂)C₆H₄F] (where PPh₃ = triphenylphosphine) are used in the catalytic hydrogenation of anthracene.

1.6.1 The General Chemistry of Ruthenium(II) Complexes

Ruthenium was discovered in 1844 in Russia by Karl Klaus from Ruthenia ores, the Latin name for Russia. It is a silvery metal which crystallizes in hexagonal close packing arrangement and have a high melting point of 2334 °C. Ruthenium belongs to group 8 in the periodic table and has a [Kr] 4d⁷ 5s¹ electronic configuration. Properties of ruthenium are more similar to osmium than iron. Ruthenium forms various compounds in different ligand systems containing carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur donors. It also forms bridged binuclear, trinuclear and poly nuclear complexes with different oxidation states. Of the most common oxidation states, Ru(III) and Ru(II) are the most inert, the latter is much less inert. This inertness of oxidation states is a great advantage for the study of substitution reactions of ruthenium complexes. Some examples of common ruthenium complexes include [RuHCl(PPh₃)₂], [Ru(NH₃)₅H₂O]²⁺ and [Ru(tpy)Cl₃].

In solution, Ru(II) and Ru(III) complexes form very stable complexes specially with nitrogen donor ligands. Due to the stability of ruthenium complexes bearing nitrogen donor ligands, coordination chemistry of ruthenium with ligands such as terpyridine and bipyridine have gained a considerable research interest. Complexes formed with those ligands are often used in photochemistry, pharmaceutical applications and in electrochemistry. Ruthenium compounds of terpyridine and bipyridine show strong metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) absorptions. As a result, they are often used in photophysical applications.
1.6.2 The Biological Importance of Ruthenium(II) Complexes

The exploration of ruthenium complexes as potential anticancer agents dates back to the 1970’s when Clarke et al.\textsuperscript{126} reported the anticancer activity of pentaammine(purine)ruthenium(III) complexes capable of inhibiting DNA and protein synthesis.\textsuperscript{5} Complexes of cis-[Ru(III)(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}Cl\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{+} and fac-[Ru(III)(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}Cl\textsubscript{3}] also exhibited a very promising cytotoxicity. However, due to the low water solubility of most of the complexes, further clinical trials were hindered. At a later time, Mestroni et al.\textsuperscript{127} reported cis and trans-RuCl\textsubscript{2}(dimethylsulfoxide)\textsubscript{4} complexes (41) (Figure 1.8) which bind to DNA and exhibit anticancer activity. The complexes had better aqueous solubility. The complexes were found to bind at the N7 position of guanine residues and have less severe side effects. They also prolongs host survival.\textsuperscript{128} Interestingly, the trans compound was found to show a better antimetastatic activity. This compound may be a possible replacement for cisplatin therapy which could exhibit a different mechanism.\textsuperscript{113,129}

Apart from the ruthenium ammine-chloro derivatives, ruthenium complexes with dimethylsulfoxide (dmso) ligands have been reported. Of the ruthenium heterocyclic compounds known, the complexes reported by Keppler et al.\textsuperscript{5} showed the best anticancer activity. They possess the formula trans-[RuCl\textsubscript{4}(L)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{−}, where L is imidazole (KP418) or indazole (KP1019 (42).\textsuperscript{5} More improved compounds of dmso of the form Na{trans-[Ru(III)Cl\textsubscript{4}(dmso)(Him)]}, (Him = imidazole), nicknamed NAMI (43), and [H\textsubscript{2}Im][trans-Ru(III)Cl\textsubscript{4}(dmso)(HIm)], also known as NAMI-A (44) were later synthesized. The compounds were found to bind DNA via the sulfur atoms on the compound.\textsuperscript{113,130} The most promising ruthenium complexes to enter clinical trials are, NAMI-A\textsuperscript{7} and KP1019, developed by Keppler et al.\textsuperscript{8} NAMI-A and KP1019 were found to be less toxic with mild side effects.\textsuperscript{131} They were found to be effective on solid tumours.\textsuperscript{132} These complexes are thought to be more effective against metastatic cancers since the mechanism of action of these complexes is expected to be via tranferrin-mediated transport to the cells.\textsuperscript{131}

Recent studies have explored potential Ru(II) antitomour complexes of the form [(η\textsubscript{6}-arene)Ru(XY)(Z)] where XY is a chelating ligand and Z is monoanionic.\textsuperscript{133} The arene ligand binds as a 16-electron donor and a π-acceptor. It is thought to stabilize the +2 oxidation state. The XY chelate ligand provides additional stability to the whole structure.\textsuperscript{133} The anionic ligand, Z, is a leaving group and if it is a labile group such as
halide, that can provide a coordination site for the biomolecules. Small variations of the ligands possibly can fine-tune their pharmacological properties.\textsuperscript{133}

Another group of ruthenium compounds which exhibits antitumour activity are Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes. Complexes such as [Ru(tpy)Cl\textsubscript{3}] (45) were found to have strong cytotoxicity and antitumour activity which are expected to be due to the binding of two guanines of DNA.\textsuperscript{134} Some of these complexes exist as enantiomers, hence are able to enantioselectively recognize DNA.\textsuperscript{113} For example, the cis-[Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} fragment was found to bind with DNA\textsuperscript{135} while cis-[Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}] (46) was found to be inactive. Polypyridyl ruthenium complexes are also found to be useful probes for DNA cleavage and DNA conformation.\textsuperscript{136} Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes can interact with DNA through noncovalent interactions such as groove binding, electrostatic binding\textsuperscript{137} and intercalative binding.\textsuperscript{138}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{complexes.png}
\caption{Structure of some of the ruthenium complexes which were found to have antitumour activity.\textsuperscript{5,8}}
\end{figure}

In vitro studies for complexes (48) showed cytotoxicity against certain cancer cell-lines and the effect was dependent on the concentration of ruthenium complex. This behaviour was reported to be fairly comparable to that of NAMI-A. Another related mononuclear Ru(II) complex, cis-[Ru(azpy)Cl] (49) where azpy = 2-phenylazopyridine was found to show high cytotoxicity against some fast growing cell-lines. The higher reactivity of this compound was assumed to be due to the flexibility of the azpy ligands which enhances the substitution of the chloride ligands thereby allowing it to bind with two DNA bases.

Thorp and co-workers have reported the DNA cleavage due to Ru(II) complex of the form [Ru(tpy)(L)OH]2+ (where L = bpy, phen (1,10-phenanthroline) and tmen (N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine)) by cyclic voltammetry. The aqua complexes were first converted to oxo form by electrolysis at pH 7. Addition of these complexes to DNA solution was found to decrease the current, which indicates the binding of the charged complexes to DNA. Apart from the direct addition of the oxo activated complexes, the authors also reported that the DNA cleavage reactions can also be performed via electrolysis at a specific potential and pH. The electrochemical process was found to be catalytic. Scheme 1.3 summarizes the catalytic cleavage of DNA due to [Ru(tpy)(bpy)O]2+.

\[
\text{Scheme 1.3 Schematic representation of catalytic electrolysis reaction for the cleavage of DNA by [Ru(tpy)(bpy)O]^{2+}.}
\]

1.6.3 Ruthenium Complexes as Biological Probes

After the success of cisplatin and its related complexes as anticancer agents, the search for other active metal based anticancer complexes has been extended. Since Ru(II) and Ru(III) complexes have similar ligand exchange kinetics as Pt(II) complexes, this property of ruthenium gained research interest in the search for the development of better anticancer drugs. Of the number of complexes synthesized, only very few metal based drugs reach the biological target without the molecule being modified. In a biological environment such as the human body, most metal based drugs interact with macromolecules, proteins, as well as some sulfur and oxygen donor compounds,
some of which are vital for the desired therapeutic properties.\textsuperscript{5,7} Ability to have variable coordinating sites, oxidation states, alterations to ligand affinity and substitution kinetics and photodynamic property makes ruthenium a suitable metal for synthesizing future therapeutic agents.\textsuperscript{113,122}

There are three main properties of ruthenium complexes which makes them suitable for therapeutic applications:\textsuperscript{7-8,113}

- **rate of ligand exchange**
  Many ruthenium complexes have been clinically tested especially for treating cancer since Ru(II) and Ru(III) complexes exhibit similar kinetic ligand exchange behaviour to those of Pt(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{72} Their ligand exchange reactions are kinetically stable and this prevents them from rapid equilibrations.\textsuperscript{144} Their ligand exchange reactions are moderate enough to be monitored. For example, the time frame that Pt(II), Ru(II), Ru(III) and Co(III) along with some other few metals take for ligand exchange reactions is within the time scale for many cell division processes.\textsuperscript{145}

- **variable oxidation states**
  Availability of Ru(II), Ru(III) and Ru(IV) under physiological conditions makes ruthenium unique among the platinum group. Ru(II) and Ru(IV) complexes are more biologically active than Ru(III) complexes. In biological systems, single electron transfer proteins reduce Ru(III) and Ru(IV) while molecular oxygen and cytochrome oxidase oxidizes Ru(II). This redox property of ruthenium increases the effectiveness of ruthenium drugs in biological environments.\textsuperscript{8} For example, the drug can be administered into the body as an inert Ru(III) complex, which then gets activated in the diseased tissues. Compared to healthy tissues, most cancer cells have high concentration of glutathione and low pH, which creates a reducing environment. In this environment, Ru(II) complexes can get oxidized to Ru(III) by biological oxidants.\textsuperscript{146}

- **the ability of ruthenium to mimic iron when binding to certain biological molecules**
  The low toxicity of ruthenium drugs make it suitable for ruthenium to mimic iron\textsuperscript{147} in many biological molecules including serum transferrin and albumin which are used to solubilise and transport iron in mammals.\textsuperscript{148} Since rapidly dividing cancer cells have a greater necessity for iron, it increases the number
of transferrin receptors on the surface of the cell whereby increasing the radio-labelled ruthenium compounds in cancer cells from 2 - 12 fold compared to the healthy cells.\textsuperscript{149}

The above mentioned properties along with the unique DNA binding pattern of ruthenium due to its different geometries compared to platinum, offer ruthenium a relatively low resistance amongst its active compounds. Since ruthenium selectively accumulate in cancer cell,\textsuperscript{149} its toxicity is expected to be reduced. Additionally, ruthenium is transported into the cells by transferring dependent and transferring independent mechanisms; transferring mediated uptake is assumed to be more efficient. The pharmacological target and the underlying molecular mechanism of anticancer activity of ruthenium complexes has not yet been clearly understood. However, it was found that the cytotoxicity of ruthenium complex is due to their ability to bind with DNA helix.\textsuperscript{113}

### 1.6.4 Intercalation of Ruthenium Complexes in Two DNA Bases

Polypyridyl complexes of Ru(II) can interact with DNA by intercalation.\textsuperscript{150} This involves DNA mediated electron transfer interactions which play an important role in understanding the mechanism of action of anticancer ruthenium drugs. Complexes such as \([\text{Ru(phen)}_3]^2+\), \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_3]^2+\) \([\text{Ru(phen)}_2\text{PMIP}]^{2+}\), \text{PMIP} = 2-(4-methylphenyl)imidazo[4,5-f]1,10-phenanthroline, \([\text{Ru(dmp)}_2\text{PMIP}]^{2+}\)\textsuperscript{[57f]} where dmp = 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline and isomers of \(\alpha,\beta,\gamma\)-[Ru(azpy)$_2$Cl$_2$] were found to be useful probes.\textsuperscript{113,151} Those complexes were found to have very high cytotoxicity as well.\textsuperscript{113} The complex \(\alpha\)-[Ru(azpy)$_2$Cl$_2$] was found to have even higher cytotoxicity than cisplatin and possess more orientations to interact with DNA.\textsuperscript{152} The complexes were found to bind with DNA by surface interactions as well as by DNA intercalations. When human lung tumour cells, A549 were treated with \([\text{Ru(dmp)}_2\text{PMIP}]^{2+}\) at IC$_{50}$ concentration (80 \(\mu\)M), an increase in apoptotic cells of 11.8\% was reported.\textsuperscript{111e} This compound was found to bind to DNA enantiospecifically. From this study, it was found that the Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes showed a significant dose dependent cytotoxicity towards human lung cancer cells, A549. Further flow cytometry experiments showed that the polypyridyl complexes strongly intercalate with CT DNA with a binding constant of \(10^6\) M$^{-1}$, suggesting that the antitumour activity of Ru(II) complexes may be due to their ability to intercalate with DNA.\textsuperscript{111e} Another study by Zhang \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{111f} reported that Ru(II)-arene complexes induced significant apoptosis in human lung cancer HCC827 cell-line at the concentration range of 5 – 100 \(\mu\)M with IC$_{20}$ values.
ranging from 19.6 ± 5.3 μM. Ru(II) complex with planar aromatic ligands such as terpyridine and phenanthroline have advantages over the other ruthenium complexes. Some of these advantages include:\textsuperscript{122}

- the ease of controlling the metalation and substitution reactions
- strong absorption due to MLCT
- strong luminescent characteristics
- lengthening of DNA double helix on binding to DNA
- aromaticity of the ligand moiety which allows overlapping of the π system with DNA base pairs

A study on the effect of leaving group and the internal functional groups of Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes on the cytotoxicity was reported by Corral et al.\textsuperscript{111g} No correlation was found between the lability of the leaving group and the cytotoxicity. However, the complexes were found to be cytotoxic against certain cancer cell-lines (Table 1.2)\textsuperscript{111g} and bind with DNA in a non-cisplatin mode.\textsuperscript{111g}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound tested</th>
<th>A2780</th>
<th>A2780R</th>
<th>L1210/0</th>
<th>L1212/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(apy)(tpy)Cl][ClO_4]</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="ClO_4">Ru(apy)(tpy)(H_2O)</a>_2·2H_2O</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(apy)(tpy)(CH_3CN)][ClO_4]_2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(azpy)(tpy)Cl][Cl]:5H_2O</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(imp(y)(tpy)Cl][ClO_4]</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(apy)(tpy)]_2[μ-H_2N(CH_2)_6NH_2][ClO_4]_4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x-[Ru(azpy)Cl_2]</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cisplatin</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

x-[Ru(azpy)Cl_2] and cisplatin are included as references, apy=2,2'-azobispyridine, azpy=2-phenylazopyridine or 2-phenylpyridinylmethylene amine, impy=2-phenylpyridinylmethylene amine.

Most mononuclear polypyridyl complexes of Ru(II) complexes that bind with DNA non-intercalatively were found in the minor grooves of DNA with particular preference for A/T (adenine/thymine) centres\textsuperscript{153} mainly due to electrostatic interaction and van der Waals forces.\textsuperscript{154} The 1H NMR study on DNA binding of Ru(II) complexes, ΔΔ-[(Ru(Me_2bpy)_2)z(μ-bpm)]^4+ and ΔΔ-[(Ru(Me_2bpy)_2)z(μ-bpm)]^4+ with free...
d(CAATCGCGATTG)₂ where Me₂bpy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine; bpm = 2,2'-bipyrimidine, were found to be of ca. 1 × 10⁴ M⁻¹. This binding was further enhanced by the van der Waal forces and hydrogen bonding. Dinuclear ruthenium complexes were found to have advantages over other platinum complexes due to their photochemical and steroiochemical activities with the nucleic acids such as variations in their size and shapes.¹⁵⁴

1.6.5 Photodynamic Therapy

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a technique that uses a photosensitizer drug, and a light of particular wavelength to kill the cells.¹¹⁸ In this technique, the photosensitizing agent is injected into the bloodstream, which is then absorbed by the cells in the body.¹¹⁸ Some agents get retained with the cancer cells and during which the drug is photoactivated by the light.¹⁵⁵ The use of ruthenium complexes as PDT agents is promising as they are expected to react with double stranded DNA either from their excited state or via the formation of free radicals such as OH•.¹⁵⁶ Complexes such as [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ and Ru(phen)₃²⁺ have intense absorption in the visible region due to MLCT transitions. Generally, Ru(II) polypyridyl and related complexes have intense MLCT and exhibit long-lived triplet ³MLCT excited states due to absorption of photons, to transfer energy to another molecule either by electron transfer to energy transfer.¹¹⁸,¹⁵⁷

1.6.6 Postulated Mechanisms of Action of Anticancer Ruthenium Complexes

Anticancer therapy of ruthenium is generally based on the ability of ruthenium to coordinate to DNA base pairs via the nitrogen atoms of the nucleic bases, in particular N7 of guanine. The interactions of ruthenium complexes with DNA is thought to be enhanced by non-covalent interactions such as H-bonding interactions with the DNA base pairs.¹⁵⁸ However, these drugs are thought to be inactive until Ru(III) gets reduced in the cell.¹⁵⁹

The mechanism of action of ruthenium anticancer compounds with DNA is hardly known.³⁴b In biological environments, ruthenium mimics iron when binding with certain proteins such as transferrins and albumins.⁷ The serum transferrins recognize Fe(III) not Fe(II). Transferrin bound to Fe(III) bind strongly to its receptor thereby internalizing into the cells. Low pH induces the release of Fe(III) from transferrin. Since many solid tumour cells express higher level of transferrin receptors, this transport
mechanism is thought to be useful for cancer therapy. Apart from the redox transformations, interaction of the ruthenium complexes with different biomolecules such as serum transport proteins\textsuperscript{160} and DNA nucleotides\textsuperscript{161} is expected to play an important role in the compounds’ mode of action.\textsuperscript{162} NMR studies reported for the well-known two ruthenium complexes, KP1019\textsuperscript{163} and NAMI-A\textsuperscript{132a} shows that in the presence of certain biological reducing agents such as ascorbic acid and glutathione, the Ru(III) centres in those molecules are likely to undergo reduction\textsuperscript{162} which then can bind to DNA causing apoptosis as represented in Scheme 1.4.

![Scheme 1.4](image)

Scheme 1.4 Schematic representation of suggested mode of action of ruthenium based anticancer drugs.\textsuperscript{162}

Insertion to DNA is also achieved when the intercalating polypyridyld ligands are coordinated or attached on the non-leaving group to the ruthenium complex.\textsuperscript{5} Furthermore, presence of polypyridyld ligands enhance their photoluminescence property as well as the stability of ruthenium complexes.\textsuperscript{164} In addition, it was found that the presence of large aromatic ring systems, promoted binding of the complex with DNA by hydrophobic arene-purine base π-π stacking interactions.\textsuperscript{5,165}

1.6.7 Substitution Reactions of Ruthenium(II) Complexes

Substitution kinetics of Ru(II) complexes have not been extensively studied. Most substitution reactions of ruthenium involve complexes of Ru(III) and Ru(II), in particular Ru(II).\textsuperscript{154,166} Substitution reactions of Ru(II) complexes are generally slow and follow a dissociative\textsuperscript{167} or dissociative interchange\textsuperscript{168} mechanism whereas Ru(III) complexes have shown some associative mechanism.\textsuperscript{167a,169} Most reported substitution kinetics of Ru(II) complexes are in the form \([\text{Ru(NH}_3\text{)}_5\text{X}]^{2+}\) where X is a halide or water. The specific rates of substitution of \([\text{Ru(NH}_3\text{)}_5\text{H}_2\text{O}]^{2+}\) form at 25 °C with neutral ligands vary in the range 0.02 - 0.3 M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{170} The activation parameter for the reaction over the range of temperature from 15 to 35 °C were \(\Delta H (\pm 22.9 \pm 1 \text{ kcal})\) and \(\Delta S (\pm 2.5 \pm 3 \text{ cal mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})\), which are within the range of an interchange dissociative mechanism.\textsuperscript{170}
Creutz et al.\textsuperscript{171} reported hydride substitution from Ru(II) complexes \textit{viz}., Ru(tpy)(bpy)H\textsuperscript{+} and Ru(tpy)(dmb)H\textsuperscript{+} (dmb = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine) in aqueous media at 25 °C using CO\textsubscript{2}, CO, CH\textsubscript{2}O, and H\textsubscript{3}O\textsuperscript{+}. The substitution of Ru(tpy)(dmb)H\textsuperscript{+} with CO was about 10\textsuperscript{5} times lower than that of Ru(tpy)(bpy)H\textsuperscript{+} using CH\textsubscript{2}O nucleophile. However, in the case of Ru(tpy)(bpy)H\textsuperscript{+}, the protonation step was very fast, and only the formation of the product Ru(tpy)(bpy)(H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{2+} was observed. Substitution kinetics of another ruthenium complex of terpyridine, [Ru(tpy)(tmen)O]\textsuperscript{2+} with (CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}CHOH at 25 °C gave the second order rate constant, (4.3 ± 0.4) M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}\textsuperscript{172}.

In a different study based on investigation of substitution kinetics of [Ru(tpy)(bpy)(OH\textsubscript{2})]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Ru(tpy)(tmen)(OH\textsubscript{2})]\textsuperscript{2+} with thiourea and acetonitrile nucleophiles,\textsuperscript{173} the reactions pathway was reported to follow the first order kinetics. The substitution rate of the \textit{cis} coordinated bidentate ligands was found to depend on the steric and the electronic properties of the bidentate ligand. The meridionally coordinated terpyridine ligand was found to ratify the stereoelectronic rigidity on metal centre. The rate of substitution was found to be in the order of 10\textsuperscript{-4} M\textsuperscript{-1} s\textsuperscript{-1}.

\section*{1.6.8 Polynuclear Ruthenium Complexes}
A number of polynuclear ruthenium complexes have been synthesized and tested for DNA interactions since ruthenium complexes are considered as anticipated probes for DNA conformation\textsuperscript{174} or cleavage agents.\textsuperscript{136} Some of these complexes exhibit chirality and thus, are capable of enantioselectively bind to DNA.\textsuperscript{161} The interactions of these complexes with DNA are expected to be unique and would involve long range intrastrand cross-links.\textsuperscript{5} The bulkiness, charge and the variability in geometry of multinuclear Ru(II) complexes is expected to increase their DNA binding affinity, which can be useful in the development of new photoprobes in the nucleic acid.\textsuperscript{111d}

Dinuclear Ru(II) complexes of [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Ru(phen)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} moieties bridged by flexible alkanes have been synthesized and studies on their DNA binding affinity have shown promising electrostatic interactions with DNA and photocleavage activity.\textsuperscript{175} In those complexes, the length and the nature of the bridging linker play a crucial role on the binding efficacy.\textsuperscript{120} A dinuclear Ru(II) complex [Ru(dpq)\textsubscript{2}(phen)]\textsuperscript{2+} (dpq = dipyrido[3,2-\textit{d}:2',3'-\textit{f}]-quinoxaline) (50) (\textit{Figure 1.9}) was found to have a high affinity for DNA intercalation.\textsuperscript{176} The long flexible mercaptoethyl ether linker was found to influence DNA binding size. Another dinuclear Ru(II) complex,
[Cl(bpy)Ru(dtdeg)Ru(bpy)Cl]Cl₂ (51) was synthesized and its interaction with a guanine derivative, EtG was found to exhibit good cytotoxic activity.¹²⁰

The dinuclear Ru(II) complex, ([{Ru(apy)(tpy)}₂{μ-H₂N(CH₂)₆NH₂}]⁴⁺ (52) has no labile leaving group attached to the metal centre for coordination. However, when cytotoxic tests were carried out using different cancerous cell-lines, the coordinative mechanism was found to be comparable to that of cisplatin.⁵ Furthermore, the results suggest that the mechanism of action is different from that of cisplatin. Cytotoxicity occurs presumably via electrostatic and groove binding.⁵ A similar dinuclear Ru(II) complex, [{Ru(tpy)Cl₂(μ-paa)](BF₄)₂ (where paa = 2-pyridinealdazine) (53), possessing two chloride leaving groups was found to coordinatively interact with DNA by forming intra and interstrand DNA adducts.⁵ Two structurally similar multinuclear ruthenium complexes formed by a pyrazine (pz) (54) and 4,4'-bipyridine (55) have been shown to modify human and murine carcinoma cells.¹³⁹

Variations of the linker or the terminal ligand significantly influences the cytotoxicity of the complex. Semi-rigid dinuclear complex, (56) was found to show even higher DNA affinity¹⁷⁷ compared to its related complex (57). It was found that the increase in planarity of the bridging ligand increases the hydrophobicity, resulting in a stronger binding to DNA.¹⁷⁸ The rigid dinuclear phenanthroline complex, bridged by a rigid ligand HAT (HAT = 1,4,5,8,9,12 -hezaazatriphenylene) ligand has shown to bind DNA weakly compared to (56).¹⁷⁹
1.7 Heteronuclear Ruthenium(II)-Platinum(II) Polypyridyl Complexes

The continuous search for better anticancer drugs has gained interest in heteropolynuclear platinum and ruthenium complexes\(^\text{34b,180}\). Since the mechanisms of action of ruthenium and platinum anticancer complexes are different, it was thought that the combination of the two metals may result into anticancer drugs of improved efficacy. To date, only few heteropolynuclear ruthenium-platinum complexes have been synthesised and reported as potential anticancer agents\(^\text{113,120,180-181}\).

The first heteronuclear ruthenium-platinum complex reported was \([\{\text{cis-RuCl}_2(\text{dmso})_3(H_2N(CH_2)_3NH_2)\}(\text{cis-PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3))\}] (58)\) (Figure 1.10) where the two metal
centres were linked by a long flexible \(\alpha,\omega\)-diaminoalkane group.\textsuperscript{180,182} The compound was found to bind with DNA probably by forming DNA-DNA interstrand cross-link where each metal centre binds to one strand of DNA helix. Another heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complex having a flexible linker of the form \([\text{Cl(tpy)}\text{Ru(dtdeg)}\text{Ru(tpy)}\text{Cl}]\text{Cl}_3\) (59) has been reported.\textsuperscript{120} Reactivity of this complex with DNA using EtG was studied using NMR study. The complex was found to coordinate with EtG via its N7 position.\textsuperscript{120}

Heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes with a short semi-rigid linker, 4′-pyridyl-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (qpy) has been synthesized and characterized.\textsuperscript{120} The qpy linking ligand possess two different coordination sites; a tridentate coordination site at the parent terpyridine moiety as well as a monodentate coordination site at the pyridine which is appended at the 4′-position of the terpyridine backbone. Multinuclear Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes of qpy, \([(\text{tpy})\text{Ru(qpy)}\text{Pt(en)}\text{Cl}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_3\) (60) and \([\text{Cl(en)}\text{Pt(qpy)}\text{Ru(qpy)}\text{Pt(en)}\text{Cl}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_4\) (61) (en = 1,2-ethylenediamine) have been reported. The complexes possess a bis(terpyridyl)-Ru(II) moiety and one or two ethylenediamine centres coordinated to a Pt(II) centre. The complexes were found to react with DNA model base, EtG via the platinum unit which substitutes the labile ligands on the terminal Pt(II) centre(s). Presence of ruthenium was found to increase the water solubility and electrostatic interactions with the DNA base by its high charge.\textsuperscript{120}

Another heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complex, \([(\text{bpy})_2\text{Ru(dpb)}\text{PtCl}_2]\text{Cl}_2\) (62) was found to react with DNA at its platinum centre as well as via its planar ligands on the Ru(II) centre.\textsuperscript{183} Cross-linking interactions of the complex with DNA were found to be higher than that of cisplatin.\textsuperscript{183} The compounds were also found to have DNA intercalation when different bridging ligands such as 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine and 2,2′-bipyrimidine have been used.
Figure 1.10 The Ru(II)-Pt(II) with a long and flexible linkers (58) and (59) along with the heterodinuclear Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes with different linkers. Anions omitted for simplicity.

DNA intercalation of Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes bridged by back to back planar tridentate ligands such as [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)3 (63) and [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtPtCl](PF6)4 (64) where tppz = 2,3,5,6-tetrakis(2-pyridyl)pyrazine have been reported by Prussin et al. The metal complexes (63) and (64) when incubated at different ratios of DNA base pair to metal concentrations at room temperature, results obtained show binding of the complexes to DNA base pairs and the effect was reported to be even greater than the well-known Pt(II) anticancer drug, cisplatin. The binding of the complexes with DNA base pairs was also found to be temperature dependent and different from that of cisplatin. This was assumed to be due to the high molecular mass of the complexes and the formal charge of the complexes compared to that of cisplatin. Furthermore, photochemical analysis of the complexes showed strong MLCT. The planar ligands
were found to be excellent bridges which enhance the electronic communication between the hetero metal centres.\textsuperscript{124b,185a} Since the complexes are currently under further studies of mechanism of action with DNA\textsuperscript{184}, investigation of their substitution kinetics would bring useful data towards the development of these promising complexes as anticancer drugs.

In the mentioned heterometallic complexes, ruthenium was chosen as the second element\textsuperscript{180} firstly, due to its antitumour activity\textsuperscript{186} and secondly, because the octahedral Ru(II) coordination sphere is more sterically demanding compared to that of square planar Pt(II). These properties are thought to improve the kinetic control and the sequence specificity of the types of DNA adducts formed.\textsuperscript{180} Furthermore, attaching a platinum ion can be useful for imparting the reactivity at the less reactive ruthenium centre in order to facilitate unique cross-linking with specific DNA sequences.\textsuperscript{180}

Heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes are important models for introducing photoinduction of Pt(II) centres with DNA.\textsuperscript{111g,187} In such complexes, photo reactive light absorbing ruthenium unit is linked with a reactive platinum centre. The molecule can be photoactivated by the light absorbing ruthenium unit which will improve the reactivity of the platinum centre which then coordinates to DNA.\textsuperscript{120} The positively charged ruthenium centre is thought to increase the solubility of the complexes as well as electrostatic interactions with DNA.\textsuperscript{120}

### 1.8 Aims of this Study

Multinuclear platinum complexes have been found to exhibit remarkable anticancer activity towards many different cancer cell-lines. Some multinuclear complexes are endowed with superior cytotoxicity compared to clinical Pt(II) based drugs. The search for finding other effective anticancer drugs is ongoing. One attractive route is the development of heterometallic complexes where a Pt(II) moiety is combined with another metal possess cytotoxicity. One area of such complexes include heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes. The anticancer activity of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes have not yet been studied extensively. An important aspect to the success of this approach is to investigate the mechanistic and kinetic substitution behaviour of such complexes.

Therefore, the main aim of this study is to investigate the ligand substitution kinetics of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes. In this study mononuclear and dinuclear Pt(II)
complexes alongside heterometallic complexes were investigated with neutral thiourea ligands: thiourea, 1-methyl-2-thiourea, 1,3-dimethyl-2-thiourea, 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea and/or anions, iodide and/or thiocyanate. The chosen thiourea nucleophiles vary according to their steric size. Sulfur donor thiourea nucleophiles are good models to represent the reaction of the sulphur containing biomolecules in the body with platinum based drugs. In order to understand how the structural arrangement of the complex influences the kinetic behaviour of the molecules, quantum calculations were performed at the B3LYP/LACVP level of theory using density functional theory technique.

The work reported in this thesis is based on an investigation of kinetics and thermodynamic properties of five different sets of complexes, three of which are designed to deliver an in-depth understanding of the role of flexible polyethyleneglycolether linker on the reactivity of mononuclear, dinuclear platinum complexes and heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes.

The specific objectives of this work were:

1. To investigate the kinetic and mechanistic behaviour of mixed-metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) and Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes of the form \([(\text{tpy})\text{Ru}(\text{tppz})\text{PtCl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_3\), \([\text{ClPt}(\text{tppz})\text{Ru}(\text{tppz})\text{PtCl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_4\) and \([\text{ClPtRu}_2(\text{tppz})_3\text{PtCl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_6\) (where tppz = tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine). In this section a cobalt complex, \([(\text{tpy})\text{Co}(\text{tppz})\text{PtCl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_3\) is used to understand the effect of the Ru(II) on the reactivity of the complexes. This is presented in Chapter 3.

2. To investigate the substitution kinetics of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes, where the two metal centers are linked by a short semi-rigid linker, qpy. The ligand, qpy binds to Ru(II) tridentately via its terpyridine ligand backbone while the pyridine group on the 4'-position monodentately binds to the second metal center, Pt(II). This ligand has very versatile coordination chemistry; forming mononuclear compounds with pendant groups, metal coordinated polygons and polymers. This is presented in Chapter 4.

3. To gain an understanding on the effect of increasing the length of the flexible polyethylene glycoxy pendant on the rate of substitution kinetics of parent Pttpy moiety. This work is covered in Chapter 5.
4. To gain further understanding of the role of flexible polyethyleneglycolether linkers on the reactivity of the dinuclear Pt(II) terpyridine complexes when a second Pt(II) terpyridine group is coupled through the polyethyleneglycoxy linker whose kinetics will be reported in \textit{Chapter 6}.

5. To understand the role of Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety on the substitution kinetics of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes bridged by flexible polyethylene glycol ether linkers of different chain lengths. This gives further understanding on the role of polyethylene glycol ether linkers have on the reactivity of the mixed-metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes, when one end of the ligand is capped with Ru(II) terpyridine. No kinetic data has been reported on multinuclear complexes linked by flexible linkers with mixed-metal complexes. In this study, different chain lengths of polyethylene glycol ether ligand system is used for synthesis of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) polypyridyl complexes. The complexes consist of substitutionally inert Ru(II) terpyridine capped moieties linked with polyethyleneglycol ether linkers which are coordinated to a Pt(II) centre. This work will be presented in \textit{Chapter 7}. 
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Chapter Two

Substitution Reaction Kinetics

2.1 General Considerations

A substitution reaction occurs when a ligand in a coordination sphere of a reactive centre is replaced by another molecule or an ion,\(^1\) causing a temporary change in coordination number due to breaking of bonds and formation of new bonds with the incoming species.\(^2\) Two important aspects need to be considered when describing substitution reactions. The first is based on the changes in the electron distribution that takes place during bond breaking and new bond making while the second aspect addresses the timing of the bond breaking and making processes.\(^1\)

In the decisive work reported by Ingold and Hughes\(^3\) the modes of bond breaking in a substitution reaction at a carbon centre were classified either as homolytic or heterolytic, depending on the type of bond breaking.\(^1\) Homolytic bond breaking involves dividing the bonding electron pair evenly between the products such that each species gets an electron. Heterolytic reactions can be further subdivided into electrophilic or nucleophilic reactions. When the electron pair departs with the leaving group, it is classified as nucleophilic heterolysis. In electrophilic heterolysis, the electron pair remains in the reactive centre when the leaving group departs.\(^1\) These reactions can be represented as:\(^1\)\(^{-2}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
&M:X \rightarrow M^* + X^* \quad \text{homolysis} \\
&M:X \rightarrow M^* + :X^- \quad \text{nucleophilic heterolysis} \\
&M:X \rightarrow M: + X^+ \quad \text{electrophilic heterolysis}
\end{align*}
\]

Although the method is adequate for describing substitutions on carbon centres, it cannot meet most of the classification criteria for inorganic reaction mechanisms.\(^2\) Inorganic reactions can be divided into electrophilic substitution (SE) or nucleophilic substitutions (SN), and the latter could be represented by SN\(_1\) or SN\(_2\) depending on the molecularity of the rate determining step of the mechanism.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\) Often most substitution reaction pathways are based on SN\(_1\) or SN\(_2\) mechanisms.\(^4\)
2.2 Mechanistic Classification of Inorganic Substitution Reactions

A reaction mechanism illustrates the progress of different steps by which a chemical transformation occurs which culminates in the observed overall reaction. Langford and Gray used an operational way to classify inorganic reactions based on the concepts of stoichiometric mechanism and intimate mechanisms. The stoichiometric mechanism can be determined from the kinetic behaviour and classified in one of the three forms.

a. Limiting associative (A) - a mechanism having an intermediate with higher coordination number. The ‘A’ term replaces the older $S_N2$ term.

b. Limiting dissociative (D) - a mechanism having an intermediate with lower coordination number. The ‘D’ term replaces the older $S_N1$ term.

c. Interchange (I) - a mechanism in which the bond breaking and bond forming take place in a pre-formed aggregate. No observable intermediate forms for this pathway.

The stoichiometric interchange mechanism is further classified based on intimacy:

a. Associatively activated (IA) – an intimate mechanism which has a transition state which involve the bonding between the incoming group and the reactive centre.

b. Dissociatively activated (ID) – an intimate mechanism where no direct interaction between the reactive centre and the entering group in the transition state, i.e. the reaction centre is more sensitive to changes in the leaving group.

For the intimate mechanism, the incoming group, $Y$, and the leaving group, $X$, are interchanged in the inner coordination sphere of the metal centre. Therefore, the rate is independent of the incoming nucleophile and the relative reaction coordinates from associative to dissociative can be represented as in Figure 2.1.
2.2.1 Limiting Associative Mechanism

In this mechanism, the intermediate is formed via two transition states in which bond formation is more dominant than bond breaking (Scheme 2.1). Here, the rate determining step is one which involves formation of a bond between the metal centre and the incoming nucleophile. When the entering group, Y, and the leaving group, X, are chemically identical, the bond making and the bond breaking transition states have the same energy. In a non-coordinating medium and in the presence of an excess of Y, the substitution is strongly dependent on the nature of the incoming nucleophile. Y participates in the early stages of the transition state and at the end stereochemistry of the complex is retained.\(^9\)

In an associative mechanism, all the species involved such as the incoming group, the leaving group and the chelate ligand can influence the stability and the activation energy of the reaction. Thus, all the groups will influence the rate of substitution reaction of the complex. For this reason many ligand substitution reactions are performed by varying the character of the ligands.\(^{10}\)
It has been reported\textsuperscript{12} that Pt(II) complexes containing strong \textit{cis} Pt–C bonds result in the mechanistic changeover in Pt(II) complexes of the form \textit{cis} \textup{[}Pt(L)\textsubscript{2}R\textsubscript{2}\textup{]} (where L\textsubscript{2} = Me, Ph and R\textsubscript{2} = thioethers or DMSO). The \textit{trans} effect due to the strong \(\sigma\)-donor increases the electron density at the Pt(II) centre and weakens the Pt–S bond length in case of DMSO. The fourteen-electron transition state intermediate is stabilized by the strong \(\sigma\)-donor carbine ligands. However, when one of the thioethers were replaced by a strong \(\pi\)-acceptor ligand such as CO or CN, an associative mechanism was favoured for the Pt(II) complexes. The stronger \(\pi\)-accepting ligands remove the electron density from the metal centre thereby increasing the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) centre. This enhances the acceptance of the electron density from the incoming nucleophile and removing the added electron density from the metal centre in a five-coordinate transition state. Thus, the type of the reaction mechanism depends on the nature of the chelate ligand at the Pt(II) centre.

\subsection{2.2.2 Dissociative Mechanism}

Depending on the electronic and the steric effects, a dissociative pathway may be favoured by weakening of the metal-leaving group bond. In dissociative (D) mechanism, the bond between the leaving group and the metal breaks completely before incoming group attaches to the metal centre resulting in an intermediate with lower coordination number. This allows the intermediate to discriminate the potential ligands in the surrounding medium before it reacts with the entering group.\textsuperscript{9a} The rate of reaction with the entering group depends on the nature of the leaving group and is independent of the concentration and the nature of the incoming group. The leaving group moves from the coordination shell, which favours the solvent attack as the solvent is present in large access. Therefore, the formation of the product will occur while the leaving group is still in close proximity.

One of the important aspects of dissociative mechanism in square planar Pt(II) complexes is the non-stereo specific products formed. During dissociation mechanism, the complex forms a T-shaped three coordinate intermediate, which undergoes intermolecular rearrangements of \textit{\textquotesingle}\textit{cis}-like\textquotesingle configuration to a \textit{\textquotesingle}\textit{trans}-like\textquotesingle configuration. The mechanism is rare for square planar Pt(II) complexes because increase of electron density at the Pt(II) centre hinders the approach of the nucleophiles and stabilization of the coordinatively unsaturated 14-eletron intermediate.\textsuperscript{12d}
2.2.3 Interchange Mechanism

In between the limiting associative and limiting dissociative mechanisms, there exists a set of mechanisms which involves a single activated complex in which bond formation between the metal centre and the incoming nucleophile and bond breaking between the metal and the leaving group are concurrent. These mechanisms are considered as interchange mechanisms. They are:

i. *Associatively Activated Interchange Mechanism*: In this mechanism, the rate of reaction dependents on the nature of the incoming species since the rate limiting step involves bond formation between the entering group and the reactive centre in the transition state. The bond breaking is less important even though the two steps co-exist leading to a single activated complex.\(^\text{13}\)

ii. *Dissociatively Activated Interchange Mechanism*: In a dissociatively activated mechanism, the leaving group starts to break away from the inner coordination sphere to the outer coordination sphere at the same time while the entering group moves from the outer coordination sphere to the inner coordination sphere. In this mechanism, if there is a reagent whose concentration is much less than that of the solvent which is already in the inner coordination sphere when the dissociation takes place, then the probability of the solvent attaching to the reactive metal centre is higher. Thus, the discrimination between the entering group and the solvent molecules is minimized.

2.3 Substitution Reactions of Square Planar Platinum(II) Complexes

A full understanding of four coordinate substitution reactions dates back to 1920s.\(^\text{13}\) Square planar complexes often contain \(d^8\), low-spin, 2+ oxidation state metal centres.\(^\text{9}\) Common examples of square planar complexes include coordinated complexes commonly formed by Ni(II), Pd(II), Au(III), Rh(I) and Pt(II).\(^\text{9b}\) Of these, substitution reactions of platinum are the most well studied and understood in inorganic reaction mechanisms due to its redox stability and moderately slow reactivity.\(^\text{14}\) This allows the synthesis of specifically designed platinum complexes and investigation of their kinetic behaviour.\(^\text{2,9b,13,14b}\) To date, appreciable amount of research has been reported on the kinetic and the mechanistic behaviour of substitution reactions of Pt(II) complexes.\(^\text{6,7b,13,14b}\) Since the mechanism of substitution behaviour of Pt(II) is similar to other square planar \(d^{8}\)-metal complexes, the information collected on Pt(II) complexes can therefore be useful to the other \(d^{8}\)-metal complexes. For example, substitution
reactions of Pd(II) complexes are very similar to Pt(II)'s. However, Pd(II) complexes are often five order of magnitude faster than the Pt(II) analogues.\textsuperscript{15}

During substitution, the coordinatively unsaturated square planar $d^8$ Pt(II) metal complexes often undergo an associative mechanism which proceeds via a five coordinate, trigonal bipyramidal transition state having eighteen electrons in the valence shell.\textsuperscript{16} Pt(II) $d^8$ complexes have vacant $6p_z$ orbitals which can easily accommodate the extra electrons from the incoming nucleophile.\textsuperscript{2,9b,14b} Moreover, square planar complexes being four coordinate, are not sterically hindered. Thus, the incoming ligand can approach the metal centre both from above and below the plane, retaining the stereochemistry of the complex as shown in Figure 2.2(e).\textsuperscript{7b}

![Figure 2.2](image)

**Figure 2.2** Schematic representation of the energy profile and possible steric changes during an associative substitution of leaving group, X by the entering group, Y of a square planar complex: energies at 2, 4, 6, and 8 represent the transition states and the reaction intermediates would have energies shown at 3, 5 and 7.\textsuperscript{7b,17}

Therefore, the incoming ligand binds to the metal centre before the leaving group leaves, resulting in a trigonal bipyramidal complex\textsuperscript{7b,9b,13} (Figure 2.2, c). Although an associative mechanism is more common for square planar complexes, recently, some dissociative mechanisms for square planar complexes have been also reported.\textsuperscript{12a,12d,18}
2.3.1 Kinetics and Mechanism of Substitution Reactions

The nature of the reactants and the solvent play an important role during the substitution reactions of Pt(II) complexes. The substitution kinetics of square planar complexes may take place in any one of the three pathways shown in Figure 2.3. This involves an associative pathway either by direct attack of the nucleophile or the solvent and a dissociative pathway which involves a three coordinate intermediate.

![Figure 2.3 Schematic representation for substitution in $d^8$ four coordinate square planar complexes showing the alternative D and A or I$_6$ solvolysis.](image)

2.4 Determining the Rates of Ligand Substitution Reactions

2.4.1 Reversible Second-Order Reactions

Second-order reactions may not always go to completion but rather may attain equilibrium as represented below

$$A + B \xrightarrow{k_2} \frac{k_2}{k_{-2}} C$$

or

$$ML_3X + Y \xrightarrow{k_2} \frac{k_2}{k_{-2}} ML_3Y + X$$

(2.4)

where, $A =$ metal complex ($MLX$), $X$ is the leaving group

$B =$ incoming nucleophile, $Y$

$k_2 =$ second-order rate constant

$k_{-2} =$ observed first-order rate constant for the reverse reaction
The reaction involves a mixed-order behaviour where the forward reaction is second-order and the reverse reaction is first-order. Therefore, to resolve the complexity, *pseudo* first-order conditions is applied for the forward reaction where $[B]_0 \gg [A]_0$.

Thus, the equation can then be simplified to reversible first-order reaction.

The rate of formation of $C$ can be expressed as:

$$
-\frac{d[A]}{dt} = -\frac{d[B]}{dt} = \frac{d[C]}{dt} = k_2[A]_0[B]_t - k_{-2}[C]_t
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

Taking the stoichiometric ratio of the reaction as 1:1, the mass balances at any time, $t$ can be written as

$$
[A]_t = [A]_0 - [C]_t, \text{ and } [B]_t = [B]_0 - [C]_t
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

At equilibrium the mass balance becomes:

$$
[A]_{eqm} = [A]_0 - [C]_{eqm} \text{ and } [B]_{eqm} = [B]_0 - [C]_{eqm}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

Also at equilibrium the two opposing reactions take place at the same rate. That is,

$$
-\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_{eqm} - k_{-2}[C]_{eqm} = 0
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

hence,

$$
k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_{eqm} = k_{-2}[C]_{eqm}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

Using *Equation 2.7* and *Equation 2.9*, the following equation can be obtained

$$
k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_{eqm} = k_{-2}([A]_0 - [A]_{eqm})
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

Thus, rearranging *Equation 2.10* leads to

$$
k_{-2}[A]_0 = k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_{eqm} + k_{-2}[A]_{eqm}
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

Substituting *Equation 2.6* for $[C]_t$, and substituting into *Equation 2.5* gives

$$
-\frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_2[A]_0[B]_t - k_{-2}([A]_0 - [A]_t)
$$

$$
= k_2[A]_0[B]_t - k_{-2}[A]_0 = k_{-2}[A]_t
$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)
Combining Equations 2.11 and Equation 2.12 gives:

\[- \frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_2[A]_t[B]_t - k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_{eqm} - k_{-2}[A]_{eqm} + k_{-2}[A]_r \]  

(2.13)

Substitution of $[B]_t$ and $[B]_{eqm}$ according to Equations 2.6 and 2.7 and approximating that $k_2[A]_t[A]_0 \approx k_2[A]_{eqm}[A]_0$ and $k_2[A]_t^2 \approx k_2[A]_{eqm}^2$ leads to

\[- \frac{d[A]}{dt} = k_2[A]_t[B]_0 - k_2[A]_{eqm}[B]_0 - k_{-2}[A]_{eqm} + k_{-2}[A]_r \]

\[= (k_2[B]_0 + k_{-2})([A]_t - [A]_{eqm}) \]

(2.14)

Rewriting Equation 2.14 followed by integration leads to

\[\int_{[A]_0}^{[A]_t} \frac{d[A]}{([A]_t - [A]_{eqm})} = -(k_2[B]_0 + k_{-2}) \int_0^t dt \]

\[\ln \left( \frac{[A]_t - [A]_{eqm}}{[A]_0 - [A]_{eqm}} \right) = -(k_2[B]_0 + k_{-2})t \]

\[= -k_{obs}t \]

(2.15)

where $k_{obs} = k_2[B]_0 + k_{-2}$

$k_{obs} = \text{observed first-order or observed pseudo first-order rate constant}$

$[B]_0 = \text{is the initial concentration of the nucleophile.}$

Thus, plotting $k_{obs}$ against $[B]_0$ gives a straight line with a slope of $k_2$ and an intercept of $k_{-2}$. The ratio of $k_2/k_{-2}$ gives the equilibrium constant, $K$, which is a measure of the thermodynamic equilibrium position of the reaction.19 Figure 2.4 shows an example of such plots obtained for the substitution reaction of Ptppytt with different nucleophiles (where tpy is 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine and tteg is tetraethylene glycoxy ether).
Figure 2.4  Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constant ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentration of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptppytt in methanol solution ($I = 0.02 \text{ M LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCl) at 298 K.

From Figure 2.4, it can be seen that $k_2$ is sensitive to the nature of the entering nucleophile as this is a nucleophile dependent path.$^{14a}$ A plot which passes though zero implies that the forward reaction is irreversible and goes to completion. Noteworthy is that a positive intercept may also be attributed to the reverse reaction or a parallel solvolysis.$^{20}$ Some kinetic studies are carried out in coordinating solvents$^{2,15}$ such as water and methanol which are present in large excess. In cases where the contribution from the reverse reaction is negligible, then $k_2$ term can be ascribed as a measure of the solvolysis pathway.$^{9b,13,14b}$ This solvent associated pathway has been reported$^{21}$ for the substitution kinetics of several square planar complexes.

As mentioned earlier, associative substitution reactions of square planar Pt(II) complexes are just order with respect to both the metal complex and the nucleophile. However, in order to simplify the kinetics of substitution of Pt(II) complexes, pseudo first-order conditions are adopted by keeping the concentration of the nucleophile at least 10 fold greater than that of the metal complex in order to force the reaction to go to completion. This simplifies the concentration dependence of rate to first order.
2.4.2 Activation Parameters

The temperature dependence of a rate constant is often studied to determine the reaction’s activation parameters. In inorganic reactions, this is important in assigning the mechanism that the reaction undergoes. The enthalpy of activation (\(\Delta H^*\)) and entropy of activation (\(\Delta S^*\)) are determined as stipulated in the Transition State Theory. Practically, to measure \(\Delta H^*\) and \(\Delta S^*\), the observed second-order rate constant is measured at various temperatures. The third activation parameter, volume of activation, \(\Delta V^*\) is measured by varying the pressure of the reaction medium. The activation parameters along with the second-order rate constants obtained can therefore be used to postulate the reaction mechanism of the system.

2.4.2.1 Measurement of enthalpy of activation (\(\Delta H^*\)) and entropy of activation (\(\Delta S^*\))

According to the Transition State Theory many reactions occur via a formation of a pre-equilibrium between the reactants and the activated transition state complex which is in equilibrium with the reactants and can be described as follows:

\[
A + B \xrightarrow{K^\neq} \left\{ A - - B \right\}^* \xrightarrow{k_2} A + B
\] (2.16)

The reaction rate can thus be written as

\[
- \frac{d[A]}{dt} = \frac{k_b T}{h} [A - - B]^* = \frac{k_b T}{h} K^* [A][B]
\] (2.17)

where \(k_b = \) Boltzmann constant \((1.38 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1})\) and \(h = \) Planck's constant \((6.62 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}^{-1})\).

The experimental second-order rate constant, \(k_2\) can be written as

\[
k_2 = \frac{k_b T}{h} K^*
\] (2.18)

The Gibbs free energy of activation, \(\Delta G^*\), is expressed as
\[
\Delta G^* = -RT \ln K^* = \Delta H^* - T \Delta S^*
\]  

(2.19)

Substituting Equation 2.19 into Equation 2.18 gives

\[
k_2 = \frac{k_b T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta G^*}{RT}} = \frac{k_b T}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta H^*}{RT}} e^{\frac{\Delta S^*}{R}}
\]  

(2.20)

Rearranging and taking logarithm results in

\[
\ln \left( \frac{k_2}{T} \right) = -\frac{\Delta H^*}{RT} + \left[ \ln \left( \frac{k_b}{h} + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R} \right) \right]
\]  

(2.21)

Subsequent linearization affords

\[
\ln \left( \frac{k_2}{T} \right) = -\frac{\Delta H^*}{R} \cdot \frac{1}{T} + \left[ 23.8 + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R} \right]
\]  

(2.22)

Equation 2.22 is in the form \( y = mx + c \). A plot of \( \ln(k_2/T) \) against \( 1/T \) is linear with a slope from which the enthalpy of activation, \( \Delta H^* \) can be calculated. The entropy of activation, \( \Delta S^* \) can be determined from the y-intercept. This plot is generally known as Eyring plot.\(^7\)\(^b\),\(^9\)\(^b\),\(^23\) The magnitude of the two activation parameters can be used to assign the reaction mechanism. In an associatively activated mechanism, the value of \( \Delta H^* \) tend to be relatively small while \( \Delta S^* \) are normally negative compared to a dissociatively activated mechanism where the \( \Delta H^* \) is large and \( \Delta S^* \) is positive.\(^2\) If the mechanism of the reaction is assigned solely based on the activation parameters, it is necessary to draw such a conclusion from kinetic data taken from several nucleophiles. A drawback is that \( \Delta S^* \) is obtained from the intercept by extrapolating the graph to infinite temperatures. The error associated with its determination is usually three times higher than that of \( \Delta H^* \).\(^{24}\) Thus, a more reliable parameter in assigning a reaction mechanism is the volume of activation, \( \Delta V^* \) which can be determined by the measurements of the bimolecular rate constants, \( k_2 \) when the pressure of the medium is varied.\(^{25}\) However, to make a meaningful assignment on the mode of activation, the sign and the magnitude of the two activation parameters, i.e. \( \Delta H^* \) and \( \Delta S^* \), are crucial.
2.4.2.2 Volume of Activation ($\Delta V^*$)

The volume of activation is an important parameter for elucidating the underlying reaction mechanisms. The volume of activation represents the change in partial volume on moving from reactants to the transition state of the reaction, in such a way that the volume profile of the reaction can be analysed in terms of the changes in volume of the reactant.\(^{26}\) If the reaction mechanism is associative, this change in volume is greater than one. The activation volume is determined from a series of observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$, obtained at different applied pressures.\(^{24}\) It is a useful supplement to the activation parameters ($\Delta S^*$ and $\Delta H^*$).

The thermodynamic equation:

$$dG = -SdT + VdP \quad (2.23)$$

the partial derivatives can be written as:

$$\left( \frac{d\Delta G^*}{dP} \right)_T = \Delta V^* \quad (2.24)$$

where $V^*$ is the difference in partial molar volume between products and reactants.

Since

$$\Delta G = -RT\ln K,$$

Equation 2.24 can be written as:

$$\left( \frac{d \ln k_2}{dP} \right)_T \frac{d(k_2)}{dP} = \left( -\frac{d \Delta G^*}{(RT)} \right)_T \frac{\Delta V^*}{RT} \quad (2.25)$$

Since the equilibrium constant, $K = k_2/k_1$, the expression can be written as:

$$\frac{d(\ln k_2)}{dP} = \frac{\Delta V^*}{RT} \quad (2.26)$$

where $\Delta V^*$ is the volume of activation for the forward reaction and it the difference in the molar volumes of the activated complex and the reactant under the experimental conditions. Integrating both sides within an applied pressure range of $P = 0$ to $P = P$, $k_2 = (k_2)_0$ to $k_2$ gives
\[
\ln k_2 = \ln(k_2)_0 - \frac{\Delta V^*}{RT} P \quad \text{and is in the form } \ y = c - mx
\]  
(2.27)

where \((k_2)_0\) is the rate constant at zero pressure (coefficient compressibility of the solvent).

A plot of \(\ln k_2\) verses \(P\) gives a straight line with a slope of \(-\frac{\Delta V^*}{RT}\). The value of \(\Delta V^*\) is a combination of both an intrinsic contribution (\(\Delta V_{\text{int}}^*\)) due to changes in internuclear distances within the reactants in forming the transition state and an electrostrictive contribution (\(\Delta V_{\text{elec}}^*\)).

Ligand substitution reactions involving charged species, \(\Delta V_{\text{elec}}^*\), may be dominated by changes in charge occurring during the reaction. For the solvent exchange interpretations the \(\Delta V_{\text{elec}}^*\) term is absent and \(\Delta V^*\) is due to the activation step for bond making. A positive value of \(\Delta V^*\) indicates a dissociative mechanism while a negative value means the mechanism is associative. The errors associated with volume of activation are relatively small compared to \(\Delta S^*\) since the activation volumes are determined from the slope of the graph. Thus, to have an appreciable effect on the rate of reaction, a high pressure (100 - 200 MPa) is required. Recently, Jaganyi et al. and van Eldik et al. reported \(\Delta V^*\) for mechanistic investigations of ligand substitution reactions.

### 2.4.3 Instrumental Techniques Used in Chemical Kinetics

Kinetic investigations are normally performed by monitoring the dependence of a physical variable such as pressure, pH, conductivity, absorbance and density which is proportional to the concentration of the products or the reactants as a function of time. The data is then analyzed by fitting to an appropriate model to determine the rate constant. A number of different techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), UV/visible spectrophotometry and pulsed methods are used to study the rate of reactions. However, the choice of technique used to follow the kinetics depends on the nature and rapidity of the reaction. Regardless of the technique employed, the physical property measured must be proportional to concentration as a function of time after mixing. The reactants must be mixed in the shortest time possible within the time scale of the reaction. The physical conditions such as temperature and pressure must be controlled accurately.
A chemical reaction is generally considered as fast if 50% of the reaction completes in 10 seconds or less. Kinetic studies of very fast reactions such as reactions involving proton transfer, enzymes and non-covalent complex formation, that lie outside the time frame of the normal laboratory operations are studied using specialised techniques and instruments. Normally, for fast reactions, the time varies from 1 minute to $10^{-14}$ seconds. One approach of analysing fast reactions is to bring their rates into the conventional time range by changing the conditions such as temperature, concentration or the solvent which is reliable only if the half-life is greater than 1 hour. The two main methods often used to study fast reactions include flow methods and pulse methods.

Sufficiently slow reactions are often studied using conventional methods such as UV/visible spectrophotometry which involve first mixing of the reagents and then determining the decrease in the concentration of the reactant(s) or the increase in the concentration of the product(s) with respect to time. Thus, the time taken to mix the reagents and take the necessary measurements should be short enough so that it does not interfere with the actual rate of the reaction. Despite the number of methods available, only the techniques of UV/visible absorption spectrophotometry and the stopped-flow method would be highlighted in this thesis.

### 2.4.3.1 UV/visible Spectrophotometry

A substitution reaction which is slow (a reaction which generally takes longer than 16 minutes) are monitored using UV/visible spectrophotometer. UV/visible spectrophotometry is a sensitive technique which can detect the sample concentrations ranging from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-6}$ mol dm$^{-3}$. The instrument comprises of two light sources, one in the visible (tungsten lamp, 800 to 400 nm) and the other in ultraviolet (deuterium lamp, 400 - 200 nm), monochromator, reference and sample compartment, temperature control unit, detector, the data processor and the output-readout system. The commonly used detector is a photomultiplier tube. In a UV/visible spectrophotometer, radiation from the light sources is passed through a monochromator. The light gets dispersed by the grating prisms and the monochromator allows a particular wavelength to pass through. The light of the single wavelength with intensity, $I_0$, passes through the sample cell called a cuvette of length, $l$ where the sample absorbs some light. Modern spectrophotometers are based on a double-beam design where it manages the light alternatively to pass through the sample cell and the reference cell using a chopper which is a motor that rotates a...
mirror into and out of the light path. The basic set-up of the UV/visible spectrophotometer is illustrated in Figure 2.5 while the photograph is as shown in Figure 2.6.

Figure 2.5  Schematic diagram of a UV/visible spectrophotometry setup.
The spectrophotometer measures the transmitted light ($T$) from the sample which can be represented as

$$T = \frac{I_0}{I} \tag{2.28}$$

where $I_0$ is the intensity of the incident light and $I$ is the intensity of the transmitted light.

The transmittance is converted into absorbance by use of Equation 2.29 and is displayed on the screen.

$$A = -\log T \tag{2.29}$$

In Beer’s law (Equation 2.30), concentration of the sample is directly proportional to the absorbance and thus, can be determined from its absorbance,

$$A = \epsilon c l \tag{2.30}$$

where $A$ is the absorbance, $\epsilon$ is the molar absorptivity in $\text{mol}^{-1} \text{dm}^3 \text{cm}^{-1}$, $c$ is the concentration in $\text{mol dm}^{-3}$ and $l$ is the path length in cm (1 cm).
For a simple first-order reaction,

\[
X \xrightarrow{k_1} Y
\]

(2.31)

The absorption at any time \( t \), \( A_t \) is

\[
A_t = \varepsilon_X [X] + \varepsilon_Y [Y]
\]

(2.32)

where \( \varepsilon_X, \varepsilon_Y = \text{molar absorptivity of X and Y respectively} \)

Upon completion of the reaction, the absorption is given by

\[
A_\infty = \varepsilon_X [X]_0 + \varepsilon_Y [Y]_0
\]

(2.33)

where \( A_\infty = \text{absorbance at infinity} \)

\([X]_0\) and \([Y]_0\) = initial concentration of X and Y respectively.

For the kinetic analysis, absorbance can be obtained from the following equation

\[
\ln \frac{[X]_0}{[X]_t} = \ln \left( \frac{A_0 - A_\infty}{A_t - A_\infty} \right) = k_1 t
\]

(2.34)

The observed rate constant for the reaction can be obtained by a least squares fit of the observed absorbance versus time trace at a specific wavelength. The second-order rate constant for the reaction is obtained by monitoring the reaction at different concentrations. The reactions can also be performed at different temperatures to determine the activation parameters.

Figure 2.7 shows the reaction profile obtained for the substitution of \([\text{ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl}]\text{(PF}_6\text{)}_4\) (where tppz = tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine) with thiourea. The kinetics for the reaction was studied at 383 nm and the kinetic trace obtained for the reaction at 298 K is shown as an inset in Figure 2.7. The rate constant for the reaction was obtained by fitting first-order exponential decay function using Origin 7.5®.35
Apart from its use in the direct monitoring of conventionally slow kinetics of ligand substitution reactions, UV/visible spectroscopy is also used to perform UV/visible spectroscopic titrations to determine the $pK_a$ values of the coordinated protic ligands such as aqua ligands. In such titrations, the aqua complex is titrated against a suitable strong base and the resulting change in the absorbance is spectroscopically monitored. By analysing the data obtained at a specific wavelength, the $pK_a$ values for the complex are obtained. Such thermodynamic $pK_a$ values of the coordinated aqua ligands are important for probing the electrophilicity of the metal complexes as reported by Jaganyi et al.\textsuperscript{36} and van Eldik et al.\textsuperscript{29,36a,b,37} An example of a spectroscopic titration spectrum is given in Chapter 4, Figure 4.2.

2.4.4 Flow methods

Chemical reactions which are too fast to study using absorption spectrophotometry are analysed using flow methods pioneered by Hartridge and Roughton.\textsuperscript{9b} The mixing time of flow methods varies approximately from 1 ms to 10 seconds. Fast reactions with half- lives of about $10^{-2}$ seconds are normally followed by flow methods.\textsuperscript{31} In flow
methods two reactant solutions are rapidly mixed under pressure in a reaction mixing chamber. Concentrations of the reactants or products are then measured at various time intervals at different positions of the tube. The most common types of flow methods are continuous flow method and stopped-flow method.

2.4.4.1 Continuous flow method

This technique is used to study rapid reactions (which take less than one second) in solution. This method works on the idea where the two reacting solution (A and B, Figure 2.8) are forced into the mixing chamber by the pistons and the resulting solution then flows through the observation tube, where it gets spectroscopically detected at a specific distance (d) from the mixer where a steady state is attained. This method requires a larger amount of solutions. The use of stopped-flow reaction technique is an alternative to overcome this problem.

![Diagram of continuous flow kinetic system](image)

Figure 2.8  Diagrammatic representation of a continuous flow kinetic system. The letter d represents the distance from the mixture to the point of observation.

2.4.4.2 Stopped-Flow Technique

The stopped-flow technique is the most popular for studying reactions which are very fast and having a half-life rage of about $10^0$ to $10^3$ seconds. A schematic diagram of the stopped-flow technique is shown in Figure 2.9 and a photograph of SX 20 stopped-flow spectrophotometer is shown in Figure 2.10.
The apparatus is designed to study a reaction between two substances in solution where one of the reactant is placed in drive syringe A and the other in syringe B and equilibrated at the desired temperature. The reactants are then charged into the mixing chamber by a compressed gas-driven piston (800 kPa) where they get mixed rapidly in about 0.001 seconds. The reaction solution then goes into the stop syringe, causing the plunger to strike the stop block which ceases the flow of solution thereby leaving the solution of the reaction mixture in the observation cell. UV/visible spectrophotometry is the most commonly used detector for the reaction analyzer. The amount of light transmitted through the observation chamber in a given wavelength is measured as the reaction goes to completion. The transmitted light is converted into electric current by the photomultiplier. The computer computes and interprets the data as a kinetic trace of absorbance versus time.

Some advantages of stopped-flow technique includes its capacity to measure very fast reactions constants and the analysis require only a very small amount of sample (≈ 0.2 mL).
2.5 Factors Influencing the Reactivity of Square Planar Platinum(II) Complexes

The magnitude of rate constants of square planar Pt(II) complexes depend on a number of factors. Some of these factors will be discussed here in detail.

2.5.1 Effect of the Entering Group

It is well understood that in an associative substitution reaction mechanism, the second order rate constant, $k_2$ is dependent on the nucleophilicity of the entering group. Nucleophilicity is a measure of how readily a nucleophile can attack an electron deficient centre such as Pt(II). Thus, the stronger the nucleophile, the faster the rate at which the substitution occurs.9b
The nucleophilicity of the ligand is often influenced by several factors:7b
(a) Polarisability: Polarisability is an important aspect for the rates than for equilibria14a which is often explained by using Peasons's "Hard Soft Acid Base" †(HSAB) theory.7b,39 Increasing polarisability of the metal centre increases the effectiveness of the incoming nucleophile.

(b) Basicity: The basicity of a nucleophile depends on its pK_a which often correlates with the nucleophilicity of the entering nucleophile towards the metal centre. The relationship between the logk_2 and the basicity of the entering nucleophiles for the substitution reaction of Pt(II) terpyridine type complexes with azole nucleophiles has been reported recently.21a

(c) Oxidability: Ligands which are easily oxidised are considered as good nucleophiles (strong reducing agents) and their strength can be identified based on their electrode reduction potential.

(d) Metal Centre: The nature of the reaction centre affects the rate of substitution of square planar complexes. Thus, the nature of metal centre limits the applicability of nucleophilicity scales in inorganic chemistry since reactions show dependence on the nature of the metal centre. Heavier elements are better polarised in the transition state following the order: Ni(II) > Pd(II) > Pt(II).

(e) Solvation Energy: Ligands which are easily solvated are weak nucleophiles because energy is required to remove the solvent shell before it gets coordinated with the metal centre.

† Hard acids (metal ions) are small and highly charged (eg: Li^+ and Mg^{2+}) and their valence electron shell is not easily distorted while soft acids (metal ions) are large and possess low charge having an easily distortable or removable valence electron shell. Polarisability refers to the “softness” of the nucleophile, i.e. “Hard” nucleophiles prefers “hard” metal centres and “soft” nucleophiles prefers “soft” substrates or metal centres. Pt(II) being a soft metal centre is more effective towards large and soft donors.
Studies reported on Pt(II) complexes show that the nucleophilic reactivity order for commonly used nucleophiles follow the order:\textsuperscript{14a,14c,40}

$$R_3P > Tu > I^- > SCN^- > N^3^- > NO_2^- > Br^- > py > aniline \sim NH_3 \sim Cl^- > H_2O > OH^-$$

It is also important to note that the nucleophilicity of the reagents is independent of their base strength. Based on earlier studies,\textsuperscript{14c,40} the most detailed study on nucleophilicity was reported by Bellucco\textsuperscript{41} for \textit{trans}-Pt(py)$_2$Cl$_2$ in methanol at 30 °C \textit{(Equation 2.38)}.\textsuperscript{2}

\[\text{Pt Cl Cl py + Y}^n \rightleftharpoons \text{Pt Cl Cl py + Cl}^{(n+1)}\] \hspace{1cm} (2.35)

By using \textit{trans}-Pt(py)$_2$Cl$_2$ as the standard, the nucleophilic reactivity constants, \(n_{pt}\) was defined as:\textsuperscript{9b}

\[n_{pt}^o = \log \frac{k_y^o}{k_s^o}\] \hspace{1cm} (2.36)

where \(k_y\) = the measured second order rate constant for the entering nucleophile

\(k_s^o\) = the second order rate constant for the attack of solvent in an associative mechanism and is equal to \(k_s/\text{[Methanol]}\)

\(k_s\) = the observed rate constant for solvent attack (methanol) on the complex.

Plots of \(\log k_y\) for different Pt(II) complexes with various number of nucleophiles yielded linear free energy relationship (LFER).\textsuperscript{42} Such a linear free energy relationship is given by:\textsuperscript{9b,14a}

\[\log k_y = s n_{pt} + \log k_s\]

or

\[\log k_2 = s n_{pt} + \log k_2\] \hspace{1cm} (2.37)

where the slope, \(s\) depends on the complex and is called the \textit{nucleophilic discrimination factor}, a measure of the sensitivity of the metal centre to the nucleophilicity of the incoming ligand.\textsuperscript{14a} A larger value of \(s\) means that the reaction is very sensitive to the
changes in nucleophilicity of the substituting ligands. Ligands that are capable of forming dative π-bonding with Pt(II) centre in the transition state have larger values of \( s \) (smaller \( k_s \)) which enhances the addition of the electrons from the nucleophile. Generally, Pt(II) complexes with softer ligands have larger \( s \) values. The intercept \( \log k_s \) is the intrinsic reactivity. A smaller value of intrinsic reactivity is accompanied with very fast reactions and hence, a greater nucleophilic discrimination factor. Thus, the nucleophilic reactivity constant is a measure of the reactivity of a nucleophile towards a Pt(II) metal centre.

After a number of investigations, it was also reported that the nucleophilicity of the incoming ligand also depends on other properties such as biphilicity of the ligand and the charge effect while steric hindrance plays a minor role. Some of the experimental results obtained are presented in Table 2.1. For many nucleophiles, a plot of \( k_Y \) against \( n_{Pt} \) is a straight line as represented in Figure 2.11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>( n^o_{Pt} )</th>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>( n^o_{Pt} )</th>
<th>Nucleophile</th>
<th>( n^o_{Pt} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td>N-Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Halogens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH(_3)O(^-)</td>
<td>&lt;2.4</td>
<td>C(_6)H(_5)NH(_2)</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>( ^{36})Cl(^-)</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C(_6)H(_5))(_2)S</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>C(_6)H(_5)N</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>Br(^-)</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S=C(NH(_2))(_2)</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>H(_2)N-NH(_2)</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>I(^-)</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH(_3))(_2)S</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN(^-)</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>(C(_6)H(_5))P</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Donor</td>
<td></td>
<td>(C(_2)H(_5))_3P</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN(^-)</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1 Some nucleophilic constants given for Pt(py)\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) with different nucleophiles of donor atoms.\(^{14a,41,45}\)
In a study van Eldik et al.\textsuperscript{47} reported the nucleophilic discrimination ability of Pt(II) complexes of [Pt(N-N-C)Cl] (N-N-CH = 6-phenyl-2,2'-bipyridine), [Pt(N-C-N)Cl] (N-CHN = 1,3-di(2-pyridyl)benzene), and [Pt(N-N-N)Cl]Cl (N-N-N = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine) where the rate constants for the substitution of Cl\textsuperscript{-} by MeOH, Br\textsuperscript{-} and I\textsuperscript{-} were measured as well as the corresponding log\textit{k}_2 versa \textit{n}_\textit{Pt}. In that study, it was found that due to the biphilic nature of thiourea, its nucleophilic discriminating factor was misleading from plot. The resulting values for \textit{s} were reported to be 0.61 ± 0.04 (NCN), 0.9 ± 0.1 (NNC), 1.39 ± 0.02 (NNN) respectively.

### 2.5.2 The Effect of Leaving Group

Since square planar Pt(II) complexes mainly follow associative mode of substitution reactions, the effect of the leaving group is less important on the rate of substitution compared to the incoming group. However, some substitution reactions have shown a dependence on the nature of the leaving group.
For this purpose, Pt(dien)X⁻ systems (Equation 2.38) has been studied extensively. Data obtained is represented in Table 2.2.

\[
\text{Pt(dien)X}^+ + \text{py} \rightarrow \text{Pt(dien)py}^{2+} + \text{X}^-(2.38)
\]

**Table 2.2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand, X</th>
<th>(10^6 k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻</td>
<td>very fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br⁻</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₃⁻</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN⁻</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂⁻</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN⁻</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decrease in the rate of substitution of X from the substitution by pyridine ligand follows the order:

\[
\text{NO}_3^- > \text{H}_2\text{O} > \text{Cl}^- > \text{Br}^- > \text{I}^- > \text{N}_3^- > \text{SCN}^- > \text{NO}_2^- > \text{CN}^-
\]

In general, it is easier to substitute ligands which are less nucleophilic than those which are more nucleophilic. For example, the rate of substitution in the Pt(dien) is reduced by a factor of 2000 when the cyano is replaced by a chloride as a leaving group while replacement of Cl⁻ by CN⁻ is 10⁴ times greater than that of Cl⁻.⁴¹,⁴⁸a Thus, for a square planar complex, it is clear that even though the reactivity of a complex strongly depends on the nature of the incoming group, is also dependent on the leaving group.²,¹⁴b Pitteri et al.⁴⁹ had reported the effect of displacement of halides from several square planar Pt(II) complexes with different nucleophiles depend on the nature of the leaving groups.

### 2.5.3 Effect of Steric Hindrance

Associative mode of mechanisms involves an increase in the steric hindrance in the transition state. Therefore, for a sterically hindered complex, the rate is expected to be slower due to the transition state destabilization. The influence to the rate of reaction
could be either due to the steric bulk in the spectator ligand or in the incoming nucleophile.

It is rationalized that increasing the steric bulk of the spectator ligands usually decrease the rate of substitution. Studies have reported that steric hindrance due to methyl and ethyl substituents on the tridentate (R₆dien) ligands slows down the substitution rate of [Pt(R₆dien)Cl]⁺ complexes on increasing the size of the substituent, R, by five orders of magnitude compared to the unhindered molecule (Table 2.3). The corresponding Pt(II) complexes, even though not yet been studied extensively, based on the available data a similar trend is expected to be followed for Pt(II) complexes.

Table 2.3  
Rate constants and activation parameters for the substitution of Cl⁻ by I⁻ in [Pd(R₆dien)Cl]⁺ (n = 0, 3-5) in aqueous solution at 25 °C.[50]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R₆dien</th>
<th>k₁ s⁻¹</th>
<th>ΔH° kJ mol⁻¹</th>
<th>ΔS° J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹</th>
<th>ΔV° cm³ mol⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dien</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-69</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4,7-E₃dien</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>-86</td>
<td>-10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,7,7-E₄dien</td>
<td>2.2 x 10⁻³</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-74</td>
<td>-14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,4,7,7-Me₅dien</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-88</td>
<td>-10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,1,4,7,7-E₅dien</td>
<td>7.2 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-106</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The steric effect of the spectator ligand might influence differently for cis and the trans positions. This steric effect, using cis and trans isomers was reported by using cis/trans-[Pt(PET₃)₂LX] (L= phenyl, o-tolyl, mesityl, X = MeOH, Cl⁻ and Br⁻).[7c,9b,51] The substitution of chloro ligand in trans -[Pt(PET₃)₂LX] complex by thiourea showed that by having one or two ortho-methyl groups on the phenyl rings decelerated the reactivity by a factor of only 130 : 10 : 1 respectively for R = phenyl, o-tolyl and mesityl.[51b] The decrease in reactivity was reported to be even greater for the cis complex, cis -[Pt(PET₃)₂LBr] ( 32000 : 110 : 1), with the same modification on the phenyl ring.[51c]

The larger decrease in the rates observed for cis complex can be explained in terms of transition state complex of the cis isomer where the leaving group and the entering group are in equatorial positions (Figure 2.12). In the case of the cis isomer, in the transition state, the group L occupies the axial position thus, L interacts with the leaving group at 90° which causes more steric repulsion between the ortho-methylene substituents and the entering and the leaving group. For the trans isomer, L occupies an equatorial position in the transition state, which lies at 120° to the incoming group.
which is further apart.\textsuperscript{7c} Also in the trigonal bipyramidal intermediate the \textit{cis} isomer offers more shielding than the \textit{trans} isomer. Recently Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{36f} reported mechanistic elucidation of substitution reactions of Pt(II) dinuclear complexes bridged by pyrazine units where the rate of substitution was found to be controlled by the steric hindrance at the Pt(II) centres due to the linker groups.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{The steric effect of the aryl square planar complex showing the steric bulk for the \textit{cis} isomer blocking the attacking site.\textsuperscript{7c}}
\end{figure}

\begin{equation}
\text{P} = \text{PEt}_3 \quad \text{Y} = \text{Py} \\
\text{L} = \text{phenyl, o-tolyl, mesityl}
\end{equation}

\textbf{2.5.4 Effect of Solvent}

Since the solvent is the reaction medium in which the reaction takes place, it can rapidly substitute the labile group rendering the rate to be independent of the entering nucleophile and has been reported\textsuperscript{21} for substitution reactions of Pt(II) complexes proceeding via the solvent pathway. This contribution of the solvent to the overall rate of reaction depends on the coordination ability of the solvent.\textsuperscript{2,14a} The effect was experimentally investigated for the chloride exchange reaction for \textit{trans}-Pt(py)\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} (\textit{Table 2.4, Equation 2.39}).\textsuperscript{9b}

\begin{equation}
\text{trans}-\text{Pt(py)}\textsubscript{2}\text{Cl}_{2} + 36\textsuperscript{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \text{trans}-\text{Pt(py)}\textsubscript{2}\text{Cl}(36\textsuperscript{Cl} - ) + \text{Cl}^{-} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation}
Table 2.4 Effect of solvent on the chloride exchange reaction \( \text{(Equation 2.39)} \) at 25 °C.\textsuperscript{52}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinating</th>
<th>( k_2 ) / ((10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}))</th>
<th>Weakly coordinating</th>
<th>( k_2 ) / ( \text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>CCl(_4)</td>
<td>10(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>C(_6)H(_6)</td>
<td>10(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>( i )-BuOH</td>
<td>10(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrOH</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Me(_2)C(O)</td>
<td>10(^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>10(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results obtained show that for the strongly coordinating solvents, the reaction preceded \( \text{via} \) a solvolytic pathway which is independent of the concentration of the chloride while the opposite is true for the weakly or non-coordinating solvents. For coordinating solvents such as DMSO, the rates of the reaction showed a direct dependence on the nucleophilicity of the solvent, \( (k_5 \gg k_5[\text{Cl}^-]) \). This is also because the soft Pt(II) metal centre prefers to bond with larger \( S \) in DMSO. For poorly coordinating (or non-coordinating) solvents such as CCl\(_4\), larger rates of reaction have been reported where the chloride acts as a nucleophile \( (k_5[\text{Cl}^-] > k_5) \).\textsuperscript{14a} Romeo \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{53} have reported similar effects. Linear free energy relationships between several parameters that are commonly used to describe the Lewis acidity of the solvent and reactivity were found to depend strongly on the salvation of the incoming nucleophile.

2.5.5 Non-Participating Ligand

Substitution reactions of square planar Pt(II) complexes are influenced to a great extent by the nature of the spectator ligand. A number of research studies has been reported on the effect of reactivity on changing the properties such as the \( \pi \)- and \( \sigma \)-bond strengths and the steric factors of the spectator ligands which are \( \text{trans} \) and \( \text{cis} \) to the leaving group.\textsuperscript{36b,54} It is known that the influence on the reactivity due to the \( \text{trans} \) ligand is much stronger than the two \( \text{cis} \) ligands.\textsuperscript{14d}

2.5.5.1 The \textit{trans} Effect

The \textit{trans} effect is the influence exerted by a non-labile ligand in a square planar complex on the rate of replacement of a labile ligand \textit{trans} to it.\textsuperscript{4} This concept of \textit{trans} influence was first observed by Werner in the early 20\textsuperscript{th} century.\textsuperscript{9b,55} By 1926 Chernyaev used the concept of \textit{trans} effect to synthesize isomeric square planar Pt(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{14a} The \textit{trans} ligand (L) labilize the ligand \textit{trans} to it (X). In this case the
spectator ligands ($A_2$) facilitate the replacement by the incoming nucleophile $Y$.\textsuperscript{14b} (Equation 2.40).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{L-M-X} & \quad + \quad \text{Y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{L-M-Y} & \quad + \quad \text{X} \\
\text{L-M-X} & \quad + \quad \text{Y} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{L-M-Y} & \quad + \quad \text{X}
\end{align*}
\]

In an associative mechanism, a greater $trans$ effect increases the rate of substitution for square planar complexes. Using a standard complex, studies have established the general order of labilization by $trans$-directing ligands to be:\textsuperscript{2,9b,14a,b}

\[
\mathrm{CN}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{H}_4 \quad > \quad \mathrm{CO} \quad > \quad \mathrm{NO} \quad > \quad \mathrm{R}_3\mathrm{P} \quad \approx \quad \mathrm{SC(NH}_2\mathrm{)_2} \quad > \quad \mathrm{CH}_3^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_5^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{SCN}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{NO}_2^- \quad > \\
\mathrm{I}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{Br}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{Cl}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{NH}_3 \quad > \quad \mathrm{OH}^- \quad > \quad \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}
\]

A ligand high up in the $trans$ effect series would have a greater $trans$ effect. Kinetically, the $trans$ effect can be large, hence, with a good $trans$ labilizing ligand the effect may increase up to $10^6$ or more.\textsuperscript{14a} Since $trans$ effect is a kinetic phenomenon, in order to understand it better, its effect at the ground state and the transition state should be considered. For example, a stabilization of the transition state and destabilization of the ground state leads to a reaction coordinates marked by smaller energy barrier leading to a higher reactivity.

At this point it is important for one to differentiate the two closely related but characteristically distinct concepts, $trans$ effect and $trans$ influence. The $trans$ effect provides information about the $trans$ ligand on ground state as well as the transition state, while $trans$ influence is a ground state phenomenon which involves the ground state effects such as ground state bond length.\textsuperscript{7c,9b,46} To understand the $trans$ effect better, it is important to know both $\sigma$- and $\pi$-bonding effects. These effects involve the ground state orbitals shared by the metal $M$, the leaving group $X$ and the $trans$ ligand $L$.\textsuperscript{7c} (Equation 2.40).

In a square planar Pt(II) complex, a good $\pi$-accepting $trans$ ligand can stabilise the five coordinate transition state intermediate by accepting the electron density from metal centre.\textsuperscript{14a} The $\pi$-orbital in the metal centre is a filled $d_{xy}$, $d_{x^2}$ or $d_{yz}$ orbital and the electrons are transferred from these orbital to the empty $p$ orbitals on the $trans$ ligand, $L$.\textsuperscript{14a} This makes the metal centre highly electrophilic. Thus, the increase in the electron
density due to the five coordinate transition state on the metal centre is reduced. Hence, the activation energy is reduced and thus, the rate of substitution increases.

In the transition state, the leaving group and the \textit{trans} ligand do not directly share the same \textit{p} orbital. Thus, such a ligand has a greater \(\sigma\)-donacity in the ground state and is the dominant contributor for the ground state \textit{trans} influence. Of the studies conducted to explain \textit{trans} effect,\textsuperscript{56} the \(\pi\)-bonding theory and the polarisation theory are the two very common theories.\textsuperscript{4} \textit{Trans} effect due to an appended alkyldiammine pendent groups have been recently reported by Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{28,36c} for the substitution kinetics of mono and dinuclear Pt(II) complexes with thiourea nucleophiles.

The \(\pi\)-back bonding effect in a metal complexes was first introduced by Pauling\textsuperscript{57} to account for the short \textit{Ni}—\textit{C} bond distances in \textit{Ni(CO)}\textsubscript{4}. The \(\pi\)-bonding theory states that ligands with \(\pi\)-bonds, such as \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\), \text{PR}_3 and CO have stronger \(\pi\)-acceptor abilities thus, stabilise the transition state and therefore appear higher in the \textit{trans}-effect series.\textsuperscript{14a,46} A pair of electrons is donated from the ligand to platinum centre to form the \(\sigma\)-bond while the \(\pi\)-bond is formed by the overlap of electrons from the filled \(d\) orbital (either \(d_{xz}\) or \(d_{yz}\)) of platinum with the vacant orbital of the ligand.\textsuperscript{14a,46}

In general, removal of electron density from the platinum centre to the empty orbitals of the ligand weakens the \textit{M}—\textit{X} bond in the ground state.\textsuperscript{14a} Chatt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{58} and Orgel\textsuperscript{59} independently proposed a \(\pi\)-bonding stabilization of the trigonal bipyramidal intermediate complex for the reaction of \textit{trans}\textsuperscript{-}PtA\textsubscript{2}LX with \textit{Y} to form \textit{trans}\textsuperscript{-}PtA\textsubscript{2}LY where \textit{Y} is the entering group, \textit{X} is the leaving group and \textit{L} is the \textit{trans} ligand (\textit{Figure 2.13}).

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure213.png}
\caption{\(\pi\)-back donation of the electrons from the filled \(d\) orbital to the vacant orbitals of the \textit{trans} ligand in PtA\textsubscript{2}LXY.\textsuperscript{14a,46}}
\end{figure}
Chatt et al., stated that strong trans directing ligands enhance the substitution reactions by removing the electron density from the metal centre to the π-backbonding ligand.\textsuperscript{58} One of the hybrid orbitals, either $d_{xz}$ or $p_x$, overlaps with the empty orbital on the trans ligand.\textsuperscript{46} Thus, the other two orbitals readily accept the electron density from the incoming ligand thereby favouring a rapid reaction.\textsuperscript{2,14a} Orgel\textsuperscript{59} also supported this theory indicating that the presence of the π-acceptor ligand in the five coordinate transition state lowers the energy of the intermediate because the electron density on the Pt(II) is reduced along the Pt–X and Pt–Y directions, thereby retaining the original configuration.\textsuperscript{14a}

Polarization theory, reported by Meerwein,\textsuperscript{60} is based on weakening of the Pt–X bond due to the strong trans effect caused by the trans ligand in PtA\textsubscript{3}LX.\textsuperscript{14a} The charge on the Pt(II) induces a dipole in L, which in turn induces a dipole in the platinum metal which repels the negative charge in X\textsuperscript{14a} resulting in a weakening of the Pt–X bond. A diagrammatic representation of charge induced dipoles in L–Pt–X is shown in Figure 2.14. However, there are some contradictions to this theory. Thus, the effect of covalent bonding in such systems needs to be considered. Ligands of high polarisability are expected to form the strongest bonds with Pt(II).

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure_2.14.png}
\caption{Distribution of Charge induced dipoles in the L–Pt–X coordinate of trans-PtA\textsubscript{3}LX.\textsuperscript{14a}}
\end{figure}

The σ- and π-trans effect is best described in the molecular orbital theory (MO theory).\textsuperscript{14a}

### i σ-trans effect

A simplified MO diagram for PtCl\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–} is given in Figure 2.15. The most stable σ-orbitals tailed by the π-bonding orbitals are located mostly on the chloride groups followed by the anti-bonding orbitals of the σ and π-orbitals.\textsuperscript{46} These orbitals are derived from the
5d orbitals of Pt(II) and have four probable MO of which the $\pi_{xy}$ is the most stable and the $\sigma_{x^2-y^2}$ is relatively the least stable MO.\textsuperscript{14a,46} The higher energy $p_z$ valence orbitals are not involved in the $\sigma$-bond formation and the higher energy anti-bonding $\sigma$ orbitals; $\sigma_{z}^{*}$, $\sigma_{x}^{*}$ and $\sigma_{y}^{*}$ are relatively the least stable from all.\textsuperscript{14a}

![Molecular orbital representation showing the relative orbital energies in PtCl$_4$$^{2-}$](image)

**Figure 2.15** Molecular orbital representation showing the relative orbital energies in PtCl$_4$$^{2-}$\textsuperscript{14a,46}

In a square planar complexes, $d_{x^2-y^2}$, $s$, $p_x$, $p_y$ and $5d_z$ metal valence orbitals are used for the $\sigma$-bond formation.\textsuperscript{46} However, only the two $p$ orbitals have the right geometry for the trans directing properties. Therefore, in trans-PtA$_3$LX, the leaving group, X and the trans ligand, L must share the same $dsp^2$ orbital in the ground state.\textsuperscript{14a} It is known that trans effect causes destabilization of the $\sigma$-trans ligand by elongation of the Pt–L bond via $\sigma$-donation. This in turn weakens the Pt–X bond due to the smaller share of
the electrons available for the bonding,\textsuperscript{14a} thus, increases the rate of substitution of X (Figure 2.16). If the \textit{trans} ligand is a stronger \(\sigma\)-donor, then the Pt–L shortens as a result of less electron density available for this bond and the Pt–X bond lengthens as reported by Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{36c}

![Figure 2.16](image)

\textbf{Figure 2.16} Representation of L–Pt–X bonding using \(\sigma\)x MO (a) The \(\sigma\)-bond strength of L and X are almost equal. (b) Strong \(\sigma\)-donor ligand L, the \(\sigma\)-bond strength of L is much greater than that of X.\textsuperscript{10b,14a}

Langford and Gray\textsuperscript{6} used the \(\sigma\)-donation to explain the increase in the stabilization of the trigonal bipyramidal intermediate. In a ground state square planar complex, the same \(p\), orbital is used to form the L–Pt–X bonds.\textsuperscript{14a} Addition of the incoming ligand, Y from above the \(xy\) plane shifts X out of plane resulting in two suitable \(p\) orbitals (\(p_x\) and \(p_z\)) increasing the number of orbitals available for formation of bonds (Figure 2.17).\textsuperscript{14a} As a result, good \(\sigma\)-bonding ligands such as \(\text{H}^+\) and \(\text{CH}_3\) which can donate to the extra \(p\) orbital can stabilize the \(\sigma\) structure in the trigonal bipyramidal intermediate through \textit{trans} effect.\textsuperscript{14a} Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{36c} recently reported the \textit{trans} effect due to the \(\sigma\)-donation of the alkyl pendent chains on the substitution kinetics of Pt(II) complexes by thiourea nucleophiles. It was reported that the alkyl chain on the \textit{trans} N-donor atom of the chelate head group increases the rate of substitution of the aqua leaving groups due to the weaker \textit{trans} influence of the alkyl amine donor group.

![Figure 2.17](image)

\textbf{Figure 2.17} The \(\sigma\)-\textit{trans} effect due to the stabilization of the trigonal bipyramidal intermediate. (a) Only one \(p\) orbital is available for \(\sigma\)-bond formation of L and X. (b) Two \(p\) orbitals are available for the \(\sigma\)-bonding of L, X and Y.\textsuperscript{14a}
**ii  π-trans effect**

Good trans directing groups such as CO, H\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{2} and stabilize the complex by strong π-back donation from the filled Pt(II) 5\textit{dz}s or 5\textit{dz}π to the empty π* (\textit{pz} or \textit{py}) orbital of the ligand.\textsuperscript{14a} In the trigonal plane, the four orbitals are shared in π-bonding with the three ligands, L, X and Y. This stabilises the trigonal bipyramidal transition state if trans ligand, L, can form bonds with the π* orbitals which results in the transfer of electron density from the platinum centre to the π-acceptor ligands thereby lowering the energy of the system.\textsuperscript{14a} Thus, a good trans ligand lowers the activation energy of the reaction.\textsuperscript{9b,14a} This theory rates the trans ligands according to the following orders:\textsuperscript{14a,17}

C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{4}, CO > CN\textsuperscript{−} > SCN\textsuperscript{−} > I\textsuperscript{−} > Br\textsuperscript{−} > Cl\textsuperscript{−} > NH\textsubscript{3} > OH\textsuperscript{−}

Recent studies reported\textsuperscript{36a,b} that trans π-accepting ligands can cause an increase in the substitution reaction of Pt(II) complexes by increasing the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) centre.

**2.5.5.2 Cis- Effect**

For square planar Pt(II) complexes, even though, the cis effect has not been studied detail, it is generally assumed that the cis effect is smaller than the trans effect. The reactivity of Pt(II) complexes are less sensitive towards the properties of cis ligands compared to trans. However, the cis effect becomes important if the cis ligands are bulky as cis position can impose greater steric influence.\textsuperscript{2} It is known that good trans directing ligands have poor cis effects.\textsuperscript{61} For example, experimental results obtained for cis-Pt(P\textsubscript{Et}\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}LCl (where L = Cl\textsuperscript{−}, C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6} and CH\textsubscript{3}I) with pyridine (\textit{Equation 2.47}) have shown the same trend for the corresponding trans-Pt(P\textsubscript{Et}\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}LCl with pyridine with the change of the trans ligand, L in the order of CH\textsubscript{3} (6.0 x 10\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{−1}) > C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5} (3.8 x 10\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{−1}) > Cl\textsuperscript{−} (1.7 x 10\textsuperscript{2} s\textsuperscript{−1}).\textsuperscript{51a}

\[
\text{cis-Pt(P\textsubscript{Et}\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}LCl + py \rightarrow cis-Pt(P\textsubscript{Et}\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}L(py) + Cl\textsuperscript{−}}
\]

van Eldik \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{47} reported that the cis effect on the substitution kinetics of Pt(II) complexes \textit{viz}; [Pt(1,3-di(2-pyridyl)benzene)Cl] (NCC), [Pt(6-phenyl-2,2′-bipy)Cl] (NNC) and [Pt(terpy)Cl] (NNN) using thiourea nucleophiles was much slower than the Pt(II) (NNN) complex. Pt(II) (NNC) where the Pt–C bond is on the cis position. The reactivity was much faster when the Pt–C bond was on the trans position due to stronger trans effect. The deceleration of the rate of substitution of Pt(II) (NNC) complex as compared to (NNN) was attributed to the reduced nucleophilic
discrimination of the \((\text{NNC})\) complex since the \(\text{cis} \sigma\)-donor lowers the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) metal centre due to their electron donating effect.\(^{47}\)

Additionally, Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\(^{62}\) also reported the Pt–C \(\text{cis} \sigma\)-donor effect on the substitution reaction of Pt(II) terpyridine type complexes by thiourea nucleophiles. The authors reported a decrease in the reactivity due to the accumulation of electron density at the Pt(II) metal centre of a Pt(II) (\text{NNC}) thereby decreasing its electrophilicity. This further prevents the approach of the nucleophiles due to a destabilization of the transition state. Furthermore, in different studies Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\(^{21a,63}\) reported the \(\sigma\)-donor ability of an isoquinoline ligand which is \(\text{cis}\) to the leaving group effectively decreases the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) metal centre thereby decreasing the substitution reactivity.

So far the factors that control the reactivity are studied mostly for mononuclear complexes with square planar Pt(II) geometry. However, not much studies have been reported for multinuclear and heterometallic square planar complexes with bridging linkers. Nevertheless, it is known from literature\(^{28,36d}\) that the bridging linker confers special structural properties such as flexibility and rigidity which then influences the substitution reactivity of the complex. Thus, an understanding of how the subtle changes in the structural feature of the complex affects the substitution reactivity at the Pt(II) centre is important. In the following chapters, the influence of some of these factors on the rate of substitution kinetics of square planar Pt(II) complexes will be reported based on the experimental findings.
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Mixed-metal Ruthenium(II)-Platinum(II) and Cobalt(II)-Platinum(II) Complexes of Tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine Bridging Ligand. A Kinetic, Mechanistic and Computational Investigation

3.0 Abstract

The substitution kinetics of monometallic [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl (Ptptpy) and mixed-metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) and Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes of the form [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6$)$_3$ (RuPt), [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6$)$_4$ (PtPtRu), [ClPtRu$_2$(tppz)$_3$PtCl](PF$_6$)$_6$ (PtRuRuPt) and [(tpy)Co(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6$)$_3$ (CoPt) (where tpy = 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine and tppz = tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine) with thiourea nucleophiles and ionic nucleophiles viz; thiourea (TU), 1-methythiourea (MTU), 1,3-dimethyl-2-thiourea (DMTU), 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea (TMTU) and an ionic nucleophile, iodide (I$^-$) and thiocyanide (SCN$^-$) were investigated under pseudo first-order conditions as a function of concentration and temperature by using UV/visible spectrophotometer and stopped-flow reaction analyzer. The reactions proceeded in two steps. The pseudo first-order rate constants, $k_{obs(1st,2nd)}$ for the substitution of the chloride ligand(s) from the Pt(II) complexes and subsequent displacement of the octahedrally coordinated Co(II)/Ru(II) linker. Incorporation of Ru(tppz) increases the reactivity due to the increased overall charge of the molecule and π-back donation by the tppz ligand which increases the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) metal centre. The increase in the number of tppz ligands further stabilises the LUMO (π*). Presence of two Ru(II) metal centres increase the intermetallic electronic communication within the molecule. Changing the metal centre from Ru(II) to Co(II), decreases the reactivity due to the weaker π-back donation. In all cases, the reactivity decrease with increase in the steric hindrance of the nucleophiles. The dechelation process is enhanced by the ligand field strength imposed by the addition of thiourea nucleophiles at the Pt(II) metal centre. The observed relatively low enthalpy of activation, $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and the negative entropy of activation, $\Delta S^\ddagger$ support an associative mode of activation for the steps. The observed kinetic results were supported by density functional theory (DFT) studies.
3.1 Introduction

The success of cisplatin as an anticancer drug prompted interest in platinum based drugs.\(^1\) However, due to the drawbacks of cisplatin such as nephrotoxicity and neurotoxicity,\(^2\) limited water solubility\(^3\) and resistance in certain tumour cells,\(^2c,4\) chemists were provoked to synthesize a variety of analogues, of which, only few have shown potential for clinical application.\(^5\) Thus, research has given a particular interest on development of effective anticancer agents with fine-tuned performance. In this regard, particular focus is given on the development of combination therapy, consisting of multiple bioactive sites\(^6\) and structurally different complexes of cisplatin derivatives with altered pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties which can exhibit effective antitumour activity with less side effects and tumour resistance. As such, mixed-metal supramolecular\(^7\) complexes of transition metals such as ruthenium, cobalt and platinum complexes have provided fertile grounds in modern chemistry due to their increased potency as anticancer agents.\(^6,8\) Today, a number of supramolecular systems with various applications are known. These complexes are expected to disclose improved new chemical, physiological and biological activities.\(^7a,9\)

Special attention has been given to such complexes possessing polypyridyl ligands.\(^8c,10\) Polypyridyl ligands form stable complexes with transition metals of different oxidation states.\(^11\) Such polypyridyl complexes consisting of polyazine bridging ligands between the two metal centres have shown enhanced electrostatic interactions with target nucleophiles.\(^8b,11-12\) Focus is given to multinuclear polyazine complexes of ruthenium due to their rich photophysical, redox properties,\(^6a,13\) their metal to ligand charge transitions (MLCT)\(^8a,14\) and biological activities such as cleaving DNA in the presence of oxygen.\(^15\) These MLCT states undergo intermolecular electron transfers with easily tunable ground state and excited state redox properties.\(^8a\)

In multinuclear complexes, the design of bridging ligand is important given that electron transfer between the metal centres depend on the type and nature of the bridging ligand.\(^8c,16\) In this regard, the ligand, tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine (tppz), is one of the known polyazine bridging ligand which is capable of forming monomeric and back-to-back\(^8b,17\) polymeric transition metal complexes.\(^11,14\) Homo and heterodimetallic analogues of tppz with ruthenium,\(^6a,8a,17-18\) osmium,\(^8a\) cobalt,\(^19\) palladium\(^20\) and platinum\(^6a\) have been recently reported.\(^6a,11,14,21\)
Mixed-metal supramolecular bioactive complexes of ruthenium of the form [(tpy)RuCl(BL)PtCl₂](PF₆)₂ and [(bpy)₂Ru(dpq)PtCl₂]²⁺ (BL = dpp, dpq (dpq = 3 bis-(2-pyridyl)benzoquinoxaline), dpb (dpb = 2,3-bispyridyl)benzoquinoxaline) and (bpy ) 2,2'-bipyridine) coupled with a cis-Pt(II)Cl₂ moiety via a bridging ligand have been investigated recently⁶a,²² and the latter complex was found to photo-cleave DNA through ruthenium chromophore.⁹ Recently, Higgins et al.⁴²b,²³ reported DNA interaction with mixed-metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes of the form [(Ph₂phen)₂Ru(BL)PtCl₂]²⁺ where Ph₂phen = 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline. These complexes were reported to modify DNA by a new mechanism of photobinding that involves metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) excitations using visible light.²²b Furthermore, the spectroscopic properties of Ru(II) chromophore are imparted onto the Pt(II) bioactive site demonstrating the significance of supramolecular architecture²²b thus, enhancing the antitumour activity of the complex.

Another aspect of mixed-metal interest is complexes consisting of cobalt, due to its usefulness as bimetallic catalysis,²⁴ electrochemistry²⁵ luminescent properties²⁶ and interaction with biological systems.²⁷ The biological role of cobalt has shown antitumour, antiproliferative,²⁷b antimicrobial,²⁸ antifungal,²⁹ antiviral³⁰ and antioxidant activities.²⁷a Apart from direct covalent coordination with DNA, cobalt complexes show noncovalent bindings such as hydrogen bonding and electrostatic groove bindings. Additionally, coupled with planar aromatic ligands, cobalt complexes undergo intercalation with DNA.²⁷a,³¹ DNA intercalation and binding activity of Co(II) phenanthroline, terpyridine and dipyrido complexes have been reported.²⁷a,³¹e-g The Co(II) complexes, [Co(abz)Cl₂(H₂O)]·3H₂O where abz = albendazole reported by Lopez-Sandoval et al.²⁷b showed stronger anticancer activity towards human cancer cell lines than cisplatin. However, there is no literature available on ligand substitution reactions of mixed-metal Co(II) complexes with a few reported on cobalt by van Rudi and co-workers.³³

Recently, Ru(II)-Pt(II) mixed-metal complexes of [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₃ and [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₄ where a ruthenium light absorber is coupled with a platinum bioactive site through the bridging ligand tppz were synthesized by Zhao et al.⁶a The bioactive site, Pt(II) tpy moiety, in these complexes, has been reported to intercalate with the DNA double strands,⁸b thus, labilizing the Pt—Cl bond.⁶a Modification of DNA by these complexes was found to be more intense than by the well-
known anticancer drug, cisplatin.\textsuperscript{8b} The mode of interaction of the complexes with DNA was found to be different from cisplatin. Coupling with light absorbing ruthenium improves solubility due to the high overall charge. Furthermore, interaction of these complexes with DNA was found to exhibit strong charge transitions from Ru(II) to antibonding orbitals ($\pi^*$) of tppz

Since these complexes are potential anticancer agents, understanding the mechanism of action of these complexes with human cells is important. Thus, this work reports for the first time the kinetic and mechanistic study of mixed-metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) and Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes of the form [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6)_3$, [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6)_4$ [ClPtRu$_2$(tppz)$_3$PtCl](PF$_6)_6$ and [(tpy)Co(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6)_3$ with biorelevant thiourea nucleophiles \textit{viz}; TU, MTU, DMTU, TMTU and ionic nucleophiles, I$^-\text{ and SCN}^-$. For comparisons, data from literature was used for the well-known Pt(II) complex, Pttppy. The two complexes, [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6)_3$ and [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6)_4$ were the first Ru(II)-Pt(II) rigid tridentate bridged mixed-metal complexes shown to bind with DNA.\textsuperscript{6a} The complexes studied are given in Scheme 3.1.
3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 Chemicals
Potassium tetrachloroplatinate (K₂PtCl₄, 99.99%), ruthenium(III) chloride trihydrate (98%), 1,5-cyclooctadiene (99%) and silver tetrafluoroborate (AgBF₄, 98%) were purchased from Aldrich and stored in a dessicator prior to use. Methanol (Merck, South Africa) was distilled over magnesium to use in kinetic analysis. Ammonium hexafluorophosphate (98%) was purchased from Fluka. Acetonitrile (BDH, ≥ 99.5%), dimethylsulfoxide (99.9%) were purchased from Aldrich and used as supplied. The ligand, tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine (97%) was bought from Aldrich. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received.

3.2.2 Characterizations and Instrumentations
¹H NMR were recorded on either a Bruker Avance 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer, at 303 K using tetramethylsilane, Si(CH₃)₄ as the reference for the chemical shifts. ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR were studied on a 500 MHz spectrometer (¹⁹⁵Pt, 107.5 MHz) chemical shifts externally referenced to K₂PtCl₆. Both ¹H and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectroscopy are useful techniques to determine the coordination behaviour of the metal centres since their chemical shifts are influenced by the coordinating atoms, solvent and the temperature. Mass spectra were obtained on a Hewlett Packard HP5988A GC-MS using electron impact (IE) negative ionisation mode. Elemental analyses were performed by a Thermal Scientific Flash 2000. Kinetic analyses were studied either on Varian Cary 100 Bio UV/visible spectrophotometer with an attached Varian Peltier temperature-controller an online kinetic applications or on an Applier Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow reaction analyser coupled with an online data acquisition. The temperature of the instrument was controlled within ± 0.1 °C.

3.2.3 Synthesis of Ruthenium Precursors and Intermediate Complexes
The [Ru(tpy)Cl₃]¹⁶, [(tpy)Ru(tppz)][PF₆]₂¹¹, [Ru(tppz)₂][PF₆]₂¹¹ and [Ru₂(tppz)₃][PF₆]₄¹¹ were synthesized according to the literature procedures. Details of experimental procedures are given under Supporting Information.

The purity of the intermediate complexes was confirmed by using ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy. The mass spectra obtained for the all complexes show characteristic peaks due to different species. Due to the poor solubility and the paramagnetic nature, the Ru(tpy)Cl₃ precursor was used as synthesized.¹⁶
[Ru(tpy)Cl₃]³⁶
Yield: 191 mg, 433 mmol, (76%), brown precipitate.

[(tpy)Ru(tppz)](PF₆)₂.¹¹ Yield: 70 mg, (60%), brown powder ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CH₃CN) δ (ppm): 8.77 (2H, ds, J = 8.5 Hz), 8.50 (1H, t), 8.54 (2H, s), 7.99 (2H, t, J = 8.05, 7.63, 1.2 Hz), 7.25 (2H, t, J = 7.5, 6.0, 1.0 Hz), 7.58 (2H, t, J = 6.0, 1.0 Hz), 8.37 (2H, t, J = 7.5, 1.8 Hz), 8.24 (2H, t, J = 8.0, 6.5, 1.7 Hz), 7.75 (2H, d, J = 7.8, 6.8, 1.7 Hz), 8.75 (2H, d, J = 5.0, 2.0 Hz), 7.55 (2H, t, J = 8.0, 6.0, 1.8 Hz), 7.61 (2H, t, J = 8.0, 6.0, 1.8 Hz), 7.15 (2H, t, J = 7.8, 6.2, 1.5 Hz), 7.41 (2H, d, J = 5.4, 1.6 Hz). TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 361.5719 (M²⁺).

[Ru(tppz)₂](PF₆)₂ Yield: 98 mg, (39%), brown powder ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CH₃CN) δ (ppm): 8.38 (4H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.26 (4H, t, J = 8.3, 7.8, 1.4 Hz), 7.78 (4H, dd, J = 7.8, 2.1 Hz) 8.77 (4H, d, J = 5.0 Hz), 7.64 (4H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 7.66 (4H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.22 (4H, dt, 6.9, 6.3, 1.2 Hz), 7.67 (4H, d, J = 5.1 Hz). TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 439.0962, (M²⁺ + 1).

[Ru₂(tppz)₃](PF₆)₄ Yield: 50 mg, (12%), dark purple powder ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CH₃CN) δ (ppm): 9.06 (4H, d, J = 8.7, 1.2 Hz), 8.78 (4H, d, J = 4.8 Hz), 8.54 (4H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 8.33 (4H, dt, J = 8.1, 7.5, 1.7 Hz), 8.03 (4H, br), 8.01 (4H, br), 7.84 (4H, br), 7.81 (4H, br), 7.77 (4H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.3 Hz), 7.73 (4H, br), 7.54 (4H, dt, J = 7.1, 7.0, 1.2 Hz), 7.31 (4H, dt, J = 7.1, 6.7, 1.6 Hz). TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 342.0604, (M⁺⁺).

3.2.4 Synthesis of Platinum(II) Complexes

The Pt(II) complexes, [Pt(DMSO)₂Cl₂]³⁸ and [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl.H₂O (Pttpy)³⁹ were synthesized as in literature. The heterometallic complexes, [[(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₃ (RuPt)₈b and the analogous complex [[(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₃ (CoPt)₈b [CI Pt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl(PF₆)] (PtRuPt)₈b and [PtCl(tppz)Ru(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₆ (PtRuRuPt)₈b were synthesized following the literature method reported by Zhao et al.₈b Details of experimental procedures and respective spectra are given under Supporting Information.

*δppm, s = singlet, quat = quaternary, m = multiplet, dd = doublet of doublet, dt = doublet of triplets
The identity and the purity of the complexes were confirmed by using \(^1\)H NMR, \(^{195}\)Pt NMR, elemental analyses and mass spectroscopy. The \(^1\)H NMR spectra obtained show the symmetric nature of the heterometallic complexes. The \(^{195}\)Pt NMR of all the complexes exhibited a characteristic signal between -2500 to -2600 ppm which confirms the coordination of platinum to the Ru(II) moieties. Due to the high charge and complexity of the molecules, the mass spectra obtained show characteristic fragmentation of the molecules.

\[
[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{DMSO})_2]\]


\[
[\text{Pt(tpy)Cl}]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{Pttpy})
\]

Yield: 0.64 g, 1.19 mmol (89%), Orange crystalline powder. Anal. Calc. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{13}\)N\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)OPt: C 34.83, H 2.53, N 8.12. Found: C 34.92, H 2.98, N 7.75.

\[
[(\text{tpy})\text{Ru(tppz)}]\text{PtCl}(\text{PF}_6)_3 \quad (\text{RuPt})
\]

The complex was synthesized by modification of the literature method reported by Zhao et al.\(^{8b}\). To a refluxing solution of \([\text{Pt(DMSO)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\) (80 mg, 0.38 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL), a solution of \([(\text{tpy})\text{Ru(tppz)}](\text{PF}_6)_2\) (48 mg, 48 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was added drop wise under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was added to an aqueous solution of ammonium hexafluorophosphate (50 mL, 3 M). The purple precipitate formed was vacuum filtered, washed with ethanol (5 mL), distilled water (20 mL) and copious amount of diethyl ether. Yield: 50 mg, 36 mmol, (76%), very dark purple powder. \(^1\)HNMR(500 MHz, CH\(_3\)CN) \(\delta/\text{ppm}: 9.45 \times 2\) (2H, dd, \(J = 5.6, 1.3 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.89 (2H, d, \(J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.87 (2H, d, \(J = 8.5, 1.0 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.75 (2H, d, \(J = 8.7, 1.1 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.63 (1H, t, \(J = 16.7, 8.3 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.56 (2H, d, \(J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.45 (dt, 2H, \(J = 8.0, 7.8, 1.6 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.12 (2H, dt, \(J = 6.9, 6.7, 1.2 \text{ Hz}\)), 8.02 (2H, t, \(J = 8.0, 7.9, 1.4 \text{ Hz}\)), 7.99 (2H, t, \(J = 7.8, 6.3, 1.5 \text{ Hz}\)), 7.69 (2H, d, \(J = 6.3, 1.1 \text{ Hz}\)), 7.49 (2H, d, \(J = 6.2, 1.0 \text{ Hz}\)), 7.45 (2H, d, \(J = 7.8, 6.8, 1.5 \text{ Hz}\)), 7.18 (2H, t, \(J = 7.8, 6.3, 1.5 \text{ Hz}\)), \(^{195}\)Pt NMR (CH\(_3\)CN) \(\delta/\text{ppm} - 2593\). TOF MS-ES+, \(m/z\): 318.0260, (M\(^3+\)). Anal. Calc. for C\(_{39}\)H\(_{27}\)ClF\(_{18}\)N\(_4\)P\(_3\)PtRu-5H\(_2\)O: C 31.68, N 8.56, H 2.52. Found: C 31.96, N 8.10, H 2.03.
[ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)4 (PtRuPt)\textsuperscript{ib} This compound was synthesized by a similar approach as [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)3. Yield: 62 mg, 32 mmol (77%), very dark purple powder. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CH3CN) δ (ppm): 9.51 (4H, dd, J = 5.5 Hz), 8.91 (4H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 8.77 (4H, d, J = 8.5, 1.0 Hz), 8.51 (4H, dt, J = 8.2, 7.9, 1.3 Hz), 8.19 (4H, dt, J = 6.6, 7.1, 1.0 Hz), 8.09 (4H, t, J = 8.1, 8.010 Hz), 7.89 (4H, br), 7.50 (4H, br). \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR (CH3CN) δ/ ppm: -2568. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: calculated: 318.0258, experimental: 318.0260 ± 0.6). Anal. Calc. for C_{40}H_{32}Cl_{2}F_{24}N_{2}P_{6}Pt_{2}Ru·H_{2}O: C 29.77, N 8.68, H 1.77. Found: C 29.35, N 8.28, H 2.24.

[ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)4 (PtRuRuPt)\textsuperscript{ib} The compound was synthesized by using an approach similar to [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)4. Yield: 0.0201 g, (75%), dark purple powder. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CH3CN) δ (ppm): 9.56 (4H, dd, J = 6.2, 1.1 Hz), 9.07 (4H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 9.01 (4H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 8.91 (4H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 8.55 (4H, dt, J = 8.2, 8.0, 1.3 Hz), 8.23 (4H, dt, J = 7.2, 7.0, 1.1 Hz), 8.17 (4H, br), 8.11 (4H, br), 8.08 (4H, br), 7.97 (4H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 7.64 (4H, t, J = 7.72, 7.0 Hz), 7.52 (4H, t, J = 7.8 Hz), \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR (CH3CN) δ/ ppm: -2574. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 342.0879, (M^{6+}·6H, where one chloride ligand is substituted by a DMSO molecule). Anal. Calc. for C_{72}H_{48}Cl_{2}F_{36}N_{18}P_{6}Pt_{2}Ru_{2}·5H_{2}O: C 31.01, N 9.08, H 2.10. Found: C 31.45, N 8.74, H 1.82.

[(tpy)Co(tppz)PtCl](PF6)3 (CoPt)\textsuperscript{ib} This complex was synthesized following the method used for [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF6)3. Yield: 20 mg, (58%), dark brown powder. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 625.1257, (C_{30}H_{25}CoN_{8}·species). Anal. Calc. for C_{30}H_{27}ClF_{18}N_{8}P_{3}PtCo: C 34.80, N 9.37, H 2.02. Found: C 34.82, N 8.96, H 2.18.

### 3.2.5 Preparation of Nucleophile Solutions for Kinetic Measurements

The solutions of nucleophiles, viz. TU, MTU, DMTU, TMTU, Iγ and SCN were prepared by dissolving the required amount of the nucleophile in methanol solution of fixed ionic strength of 0.02 M (adjusted with LiCF3SO3 and LiCl) to afford a concentration of approximately equal to 50 times greater than that of the metal complex for mono chloro compounds and approximately 100 times greater for dichloro compounds. The other nucleophile solutions were prepared by subsequent dilutions of the same stock solution to afford a series of standards of 10, 20, 30 and 40 (for mono chloro compounds) or 20, 40, 60 and 80 (for dichloro compounds) times that of the platinum complex. The concentration of the nucleophiles were kept pseudo first-order conditions to push the substitution reactions to completion.\textsuperscript{40}
3.2.6 Kinetic Measurements

To establish a wavelength to perform the kinetic measurements, the spectral changes resulting from mixing the metal complexes with the ligand solutions were predetermined on a UV/visible spectrophotometer over the wavelength range of 200 – 800 nm. The wavelengths are summarised in Table S3.1 (Supporting Information). The fast substitution reactions were studied on an Applied Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow system which is coupled with an online data acquisition system. The substitution kinetics of the slower reactions were purposely analysed on UV/visible spectrophotometer. A typical kinetic trace obtained from the stopped-flow spectrophotometry for the reaction of CoPt with TU at 298 K is shown in Figure 3.4 and Figure S3.1 (Supporting Information). Temperature dependence studies were performed within the range of 15 – 40 °C. All data were mathematically analysed using Origin 7.5®.41 Representative graphs are given in Figures 3.5, 3.6, and Figure S3.2 to Figure S3.9.

3.2.7 Computational Modelling

Computational calculations for the complexes Pttpy, RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt, and CoPt were performed in gas phase as cations for the chloro complexes, using the software package Spartan® ’04 for Windows®,42 using the B3LYP43, density functional method (DFT)44 and the LACVP+* (Los Alamos Core Valence Potentials)45 pseudo potential basis set. The LACVP basis set employs effective core potentials for K-Cu, Pb-Ag, Cs-La and Hf-Au.46 Data obtained are summarized in Tables 3.1, 3.2 and Tables S3.2 and S3.3. The numbering scheme used is as given in Scheme 3.1.
Table 3.1 Selected bond lengths (Å), bond angles (°), natural bond orbital (NBO) charges, HOMO and LUMO energies and other computational data obtained for the complexes Pttpy, RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt and CoPt obtained from the computational studies. Data for Pttpy is included for reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>RuPt</th>
<th>PtRuPt</th>
<th>PtRuRuPt</th>
<th>CoPt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N (trans)</td>
<td>1.966</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>1.969</td>
<td>1.967</td>
<td>1.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Cl</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>2.320</td>
<td>2.313</td>
<td>2.303</td>
<td>2.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–N(pyrzine)</td>
<td>2.657</td>
<td>2.646</td>
<td>2.662</td>
<td>2.660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–Pt</td>
<td>6.624</td>
<td>6.651</td>
<td>6.663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co–Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–N(pyrzine)</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>2.058</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torsion Angles(°)</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>RuPt</th>
<th>PtRuPt</th>
<th>PtRuRuPt</th>
<th>CoPt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-C-C- C of tppz</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central/terminal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7C2C3N6(with Pt)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NBO charges</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>RuPt</th>
<th>PtRuPt</th>
<th>PtRuRuPt</th>
<th>CoPt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt1/2</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>1.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntrans</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
<td>-0.567</td>
<td>-0.560</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-0.637</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
<td>-0.541</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Co</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td>-0.546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>-0.498</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
<td>-0.546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td>-0.533</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>-0.489</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td>-0.500</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>-0.491</td>
<td>-0.495</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.474</td>
<td>-0.484</td>
<td>-0.554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO/eV</td>
<td>-6.16</td>
<td>-10.75</td>
<td>-12.52</td>
<td>-15.09</td>
<td>-10.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE/eV</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η/eV</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω/eV</td>
<td>18.46</td>
<td>57.92</td>
<td>83.06</td>
<td>150.96</td>
<td>56.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

η = chemical hardness, μ = chemical potential and ω = global electrophilicity index. NBO = natural bond orbital.
Table 3.2  Density functional theoretical (DFT) calculated minimum energy structures, HOMO and LUMO frontier molecular orbitals for the complexes investigated. The planarity of the molecules is viewed along the propagation axis showing the different planes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>HOMO Map</th>
<th>LUMO Map</th>
<th>Planarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pttpy</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="HOMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="LUMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Planarity" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPt</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="HOMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="LUMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Planarity" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuPt</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="HOMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="LUMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Planarity" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuRuPt</td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="HOMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="LUMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Planarity" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoPt</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="HOMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="LUMO Map" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Planarity" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Synthesis and Characterization

In this study, one mononuclear Ptppy and four heterometallic Ru(II)/Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes were synthesized. The data obtained is in good agreement with the literature\textsuperscript{ab} and the chemical structures. Details of the characterisation are given under experimental section. Exemplary spectra are presented under Supporting Information (Figures S3.10 to S3.23).

The Ru(III) metal centre gets reduced to Ru(II) during refluxing in ethanol water mixture. The paramagnetic nature of CoPt complex hindered the characterization using normal \textsuperscript{1}H NMR studies due to broadening of signals.\textsuperscript{8c} Thus, the complex was characterized using micro analysis and mass spectroscopy. The novel heterometallic complex, PtRuRuPt was synthesized and characterized using \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR, mass spectroscopy and micro analysis. In the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (Figures S3.12 and S3.15), only twelve signals were seen in the aromatic region, a clear indication that the complexes are symmetric. The \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR for all the complexes appeared around -2500 to -2700 ppm, typical of NNN coordinated Pt(II) centre.\textsuperscript{48} For all the complexes, the mass spectra show great fragmentations.

3.3.2 Computation Calculations

In order to understand the structural and electronic properties of the complexes studied, computational calculations were performed at density functional theory (DFT) level. The geometry at the Ru(II) centres is distorted octahedral\textsuperscript{6a,49} while the Pt(II) centres exhibit a distorted square planar, typical for Pt(II) centres.\textsuperscript{50,46} The capping tpy ligand in RuPt and CoPt is coordinated to the Ru(II) metal centre meridionally along the equatorial plane. This mer-tpy chelating ligand exerts steric repulsion from its extended electron cloud towards the coordinated tppz ligand maintaining some degree of stereo genetic rigidity.

The optimized geometrical structures show that in all the heterometallic complexes the Co/Ru—N pyrazine bond orients along the z axis\textsuperscript{51} and hence the Co/Ru—N pyrazine bond length is shorter than the Co/Ru—N pyridine which reflects the steric constrains due to the tridentate coordination and the strong back donation facilitated by the bridge-mediated intermetallic electronic interactions.\textsuperscript{17} The capping pyridine groups are tilted from the central pyrazine group as can be seen in Figure 3.1.\textsuperscript{17,51} The intermetallic Ru—Pt distances increases with increase in the number of tppz ligands in
the complex suggesting that the rigid tppz ligand controls the steric influences of the complexes throughout the series. DFT calculations for paramagnetic CoPt were performed at doublet spin state.

![Optimized molecular structure of CoPt, showing the torsion angles of the pyridyl groups.](image)

The DFT calculated frontier orbitals show the electron density of highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) orbitals are predominantly located on the Pt(II) and the chloride ligands while the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) electron density predominantly lies on the bridging tppz ligand, an indication of the contribution from the metal centres due the strong π-accepting ability which develops a high density condition in both the unoccupied and occupied orbitals, and hence the ΔE(gap) decreases with the number of tppz units.

### 3.3.3 Kinetic Analyses

The substitution reactions of the heterometallic complexes with thiourea nucleophiles viz; TU, MTU, DMTU, TMTU and ionic nucleophiles, I\(^-\) and SCN\(^-\) were carried out in methanol. Representative data are given in Table 3.3 and Table S3.4 to Table S3.20 (Supporting Information). The substitution reactions occurred via two separated steps i.e. substitution of the coordinated chloride ligand(s) followed by the release of the
coordinated linker as comprehended from NMR study (Figures 3.2, 3.4, S3.24 and S3.25).

This conclusion was drawn after monitoring the reaction between PtRuPt with TU (6 eqv) and RuPt with TU (3 equivalence, eqv) as a nucleophile by $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectroscopy in acetonitrile. To rule out solvolysis, a freshly prepared solution of PtRuPt in acetonitrile (0.02 mM) was monitored under UV/visible spectrophotometer over 12 hours and change in absorbance was observed (Figure S3.26). Arrays of the $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra recorded for PtRuPt with 6 eqv of TU and RuPt with 3 eqv TU are given in Figures 3.2 and 3.3 respectively. Representative $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra for PtRuPt with TU (6 eqv) is depicted in Figure S3.25. As seen in Figure 3.2 a new set of $^1$H NMR signals, slightly upfield were observed after mixing PtRuPt with TU. The intensity of the distinctive signals of the coordinated complex, 6D (9.52 ppm) and 5C (7.49 ppm) decreased during the reaction. The two signals nearly disappeared after 240 minutes of the reaction and new signals at 8.78 ppm (doublet) and 7.23 ppm (triplet) were well formed. The spectrum obtained after twelve hours with 6 equivalence of TU produced a spectrum same as that of the linking Ru(II) complex, (Ru(tppz)$_2$)$_2$, indicating complete dechelation of the terminal Pt(II) centre. Furthermore, the $^1$H NMR spectrum obtained after 48 hours is similar to the spectrum obtained after twelve hours, hence re-chelation of the (Ru(tppz)$_2$) ligand with platinum is ruled out. Since the first step of the reaction is fast and takes less than 5 seconds to complete, this substitution step cannot be followed by the NMR technique. Thus, it can be concluded that the subsequent changes with the addition of TU in the spectra are due to the dechelation of the coordinated ligand.
Figure 3.2  $^1$H NMR spectra of PtRuPt (6.48 mM) with TU in acetonitrile at 298 K showing the dechelation of the coordinated platinum complex to form the (Ru(tppz)$_2$) unit. The spectra also indicates the formation of other intermediate products, in which some of their chemical shifts merges making it difficult to assign them exactly. The numbering system used to monitor the reaction progress is shown on the structure of PtRuPt (inset).

This was further confirmed by the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra obtained for RuPt and PtRuPt (Figures 3.3, S3.24 and S3.25) after addition of 3 and 6 equivalence of TU respectively. By looking at Figure 3.3, at $t = 0$, the signal due to starting complex, RuPt, was observed at -2590 ppm. To elucidate the substitution reaction pathway with the nucleophiles, we first added two equivalence (equiv) of TU. The $^{195}$Pt NMR obtained after this addition shows complete disappearance of PtN$_3$Cl signal at -2590 ppm. The $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra show the formation of intermediate species. After 6 hours, two species, labelled as B (-3410 ppm) and C (-3950 ppm) are formed which is typical of PtN$_2$S$_2$ and Pt(TU)$_4$ respectively.$^{35a,40b,54}$ After 12 hours, there exists uncoordinated ligand in solution as indicated by a strong doublet at 8.77 ppm (6C, Figure 3.2). Furthermore, the singlet at -3950 ppm due to the Pt(TU)$_4$ as the end product when the linking Ru(II) complex is released by TU, support the observed substitution kinetics. Additionally, when RuPt and PtRuPt were reacted with a large excess of TU (10 equiv) and (6 equiv) respectively, the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra obtained for RuPt after 4 - 6 hours (Figure S3.24)
and the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra obtained for PtRuPt after 10 - 30 hours (Figure S3.25) shows no peak corresponding to any intermediate products. In both the cases only one peak corresponding to the final product, [Pt(TU)$_4$]$^{2+}$ at around -4000 ppm was observed. This indicates that under pseudo first-order conditions the dechelation step is not stepwise and hence occurs simultaneously releasing the terminal Pt(II) centre, further supporting the observed kinetics.

Based on NMR studies one can infer that the coordination of strong thiourea nucleophiles at terminal Pt(II) centre enhances simultaneous dissociation of the linker. Dechelation is in accord with recent reports by Jaganyi et al.\textsuperscript{35a,50} for substitution reactions of thiourea with Pt(II) binuclear complexes bridged by rigid pyrazine and flexible diammine linkers and van Eldik and co-workers\textsuperscript{48b,50} for ring opening of bis-(2-pyridylmethyl)amine chelate groups by strong labilizing thiourea nucleophiles. It is also noted that in this study dechelation of terpyridine type chelated Pt(II) complexes is observed for the first time. Noteworthy here is that for the weaker ionic nucleophiles, $\text{I}^-$ and SCN$^-$, only one step taken as the substitution of the chloride ligand was observed.

![Diagram of dechelation process](image-url)
If all the facts are put together, it clearly shows that the substitution of the chloride ligand(s) occurred simultaneously from the diPt(II) complexes irrespective of the number of metal centres or the number of linking tppz groups. The second step is due to the displacement of the ligand\textsuperscript{3,5a} caused by labilizing thiourea nucleophiles. Further support for this comes from the second substitution step, which occurs at least 2400 times slower compared to the first step in RuPt, PtRuPt and PtRuRuPt while it is 1400 times slower in CoPt. Thus, the rate of dechelation depends on the type of metal centres and irrespective of the electronic properties of the linker, ring opening is pervasive for Pt(NNN) coordinated complexes with strong labilizing thiourea nucleophiles.\textsuperscript{50} Hence, the overall substitution reaction can be represented by the mechanism given in Scheme 3.2.

![Scheme 3.2 Proposed reaction mechanism for the reactions between the complexes, RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt and CoPt with thiourea nucleophiles. The full reaction mechanism holds for RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt and CoPt with thiourea nucleophiles only. For the ionic nucleophiles studied, I\textsuperscript{−} and SCN\textsuperscript{−}, the reaction mechanism holds only for the first step. The charges on the complexes are omitted for clarity.](image-url)

In all cases, the first step was fast and was studied on stopped-flow method while the subsequent slower step was studied by UV/visible spectrophotometer. An example of the combined time resolved kinetic trace obtained from the stopped-flow spectrophotometer showing the two substitution steps recorded at 298 K and 382 nm using RuPt (2.00 x 10\textsuperscript{−}5 M) with TU (6.00 x 10\textsuperscript{−}4 M) is given in Figure 3.4. Included in this Figure is a typical kinetic trace obtained from a UV/visible spectrophotometer for the reaction between RuPt (2.00 x 10\textsuperscript{−}5 M) with TU (6.00 x 10\textsuperscript{−}4 M) for the second step in methanol solution (I = 0.02 M) at 330 nm at 298 K. Even though, stopped-flow
analyzer could also be used to study the slower second step, the reaction was slow enough to be analyzed using UV/visible spectrophotometer, and hence, the kinetics of this step was followed under UV/visible spectrophotometer.

Figure 3.4 (a) Typical two well-resolved kinetic traces at 382 nm for the two steps reaction between RuPt (2.0 x 10^{-5} M) by TU (6.00 x 10^{-4} M) followed on stopped-flow spectrophotometer at 298 K. (b) A typical plot showing the changes in absorbance between 250 – 750 nm wavelength range for the degradation of the chelate ligand in RuPt (2.00 x 10^{-5} M) by TU (6.00 x 10^{-4} M) at 298 K. Inset is the kinetic trace followed at 382 nm. $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).
Both substitution steps fitted well to a single exponential model to obtain the observed first-order rate constant, \( k_{\text{obs}(\text{1st/2nd})} \). The observed pseudo first-order rate constants \( k_{\text{obs}(\text{1st})} \) and \( k_{\text{obs}(\text{2nd})} \) were plotted against the concentration of the nucleophiles. Absence of non-zero intercepts indicated that the reactions were irreversible in nature and that they do not follow the solvotic pathway and hence, the rate law can be written as shown in Equation 3.1.\(^{55}\) The second-order rate constants, \( k_{2(\text{1st/2nd})} \) were obtained from the slopes of the plots. Representative plots for PtRuPt are shown in Figure 3.5. The data obtained is summarized in Table 3.3. Included in Table 3.3 is the literature kinetic data for Ptppy\(^{56}\) for comparison purposes except Ptppy with MTU which is new data.

\[
k_{\text{obs}(\text{1st/2nd})} = k_{2(\text{1st/2nd})}[N_t] \tag{3.1}
\]

where \( k_2 \) is the second-order rate constant for the forward reaction.
Figure 3.5 Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles (a) for the simultaneous displacement of chloride ligands in $k_{\text{obs,1}}$, s$^{-1}$, (b) for the dechelation of the ligands in $k_{\text{obs,2}}$, s$^{-1}$, from PtRuPt in methanol solution at 298 K and $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).
The temperature dependence studies were performed between 15 - 40 °C in 5 °C intervals. Activation parameters, entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) and enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^*$) for both the substitution of the chloride ligand and the subsequent dechelation step were calculated from the slopes and the intercepts of the Eyring plots.\textsuperscript{55} Representative plots are presented in Figure 3.6 and respective activation parameters are in Table 3.3.
Figure 3.6  Eyring plots obtained for (a) RuPt with the nucleophiles for the substitution of chloride ligand, (b) Plots of ln($k_2/T$) against $1/T$ for the reactions of RuPt with the nucleophiles for the dechelation of the linker at various temperatures in the range 15 - 35 °C.
Table 3.3 Summary of the rate constants and activation parameters for the displacement of the chloride ligand(s) by the nucleophiles studied and the kinetic data for the dechelation of the tppz units by thiourea nucleophiles. Data for Ptppy except with MTU is obtained from references56 and is included for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>$k_1/1^\text{st}$</th>
<th>$k_2/2^\text{nd}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_1^*$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_2^*$</th>
<th>$\Delta S_1^*$</th>
<th>$\Delta S_2^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>1494 ± 10</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td>-88 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>1306 ± 19</td>
<td>38 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-68 ± 0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>448 ± 10</td>
<td>36 ± 1</td>
<td>-73 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
<td>-91 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I^-</td>
<td>243 ± 4</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td>-42 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN^-</td>
<td>17 ± 0.2</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
<td>-61 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>8921 ± 100</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.03</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
<td>43 ± 1</td>
<td>-78 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>8359 ± 155</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.06</td>
<td>32 ± 3</td>
<td>56 ± 1</td>
<td>-61 ± 9</td>
<td>-50 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>5395 ± 113</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.04</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
<td>58 ± 3</td>
<td>-46 ± 6</td>
<td>-47 ± 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>288 ± 2</td>
<td>17 ± 0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>-146 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I^-</td>
<td>5443 ± 73</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
<td>-60 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN^-</td>
<td>4334 ± 78</td>
<td>38 ± 1</td>
<td>-49 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PtRuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>9141 ± 260</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.06</td>
<td>46 ± 0.4</td>
<td>35 ± 1</td>
<td>-17 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>8630 ± 157</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.06</td>
<td>40 ± 0.2</td>
<td>41 ± 1</td>
<td>-34 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-101 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>5684 ± 89</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.05</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
<td>-37 ± 7</td>
<td>-85 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>690 ± 12</td>
<td>51 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-20 ± 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I^-</td>
<td>5541 ± 73</td>
<td>32 ± 2</td>
<td>-67 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN^-</td>
<td>4405 ± 92</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>-49 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PtRuRuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>10035±100</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.08</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
<td>-57 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>8860 ± 198</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.06</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>32 ± 2</td>
<td>-18 ± 7</td>
<td>-128 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>6659 ± 139</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.02</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>-41 ± 7</td>
<td>-93 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>4159 ± 72</td>
<td>52 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-7 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I^-</td>
<td>6962 ± 74</td>
<td>22 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-96 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN^-</td>
<td>4536 ± 75</td>
<td>28 ± 1</td>
<td>-87 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CoPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>2090 ± 31</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.02</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
<td>37 ± 2</td>
<td>-56 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>2043 ± 38</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.02</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>-33 ± 6</td>
<td>-116 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>1707 ± 7</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.01</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
<td>-27 ± 9</td>
<td>-74 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>989 ± 16</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-33 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I^-</td>
<td>1813 ± 39</td>
<td>49 ± 4</td>
<td>-38 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN^-</td>
<td>994 ± 16</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
<td>-19 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data in Table 3.3 show the results obtained for the displacement of the chloro ligand(s) for the complexes and subsequent dechelation of the coordinated ligand. By using \textit{Ptty}'s rate constant as a standard value, the ratio of the rate of substitution of chloride ligand(s) by TU is 1 : 6.0 : 6.1 : 6.7 : 1.4 respectively for \textit{Ptty} : RuPt : PtRuPt : PtRuRuPt : CoPt. Thus, the order of reactivity of displacement of chloride ligand(s) by the nucleophiles studied follows the order PtRuRuPt > PtRuPt > RuPt > CoPt > Ptty. The difference in reactivity can be attributed to the electronic effects of the linking complex unit(s) and the number and type of metal centres.

The reactivity increases at least six folds from \textit{Ptty} to RuPt, by incorporation of the Ru(tppz) moiety. This could be attributed to the increased π-back donation along with the increased overall charge of the complex\textsuperscript{46b,57} and hence the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) centre\textsuperscript{56a,58} as supported by the DFT calculated higher NBO charge on the Pt(II) centre of RuPt (1.255) to that of \textit{Ptty} (1.227). The back donation from the tppz ligand is greater than the tpy ligand which makes the Pt(II) centre in RuPt more electrophilic than that of \textit{Ptty}. The crystal structure reported\textsuperscript{59} for Cu(tppz)(SCN)\textsubscript{2} shows that the dihedral angles between the pyridyl rings are fairly small. Thus, supports the greater π-back donation in RuPt due to its extended π conjugation. Since in a chemical reaction, the interactions occur only between the frontier molecular orbitals,\textsuperscript{60} the considerable decrease in the LUMO energy of RuPt along with the decrease in the frontier orbital gap makes it easier for the transfer of electrons into the anti-bonding π* LUMO orbital, thereby stabilizing the transition state. This destabilizes the ground state of RuPt as supported by the smaller chemical hardness, $\eta = (\varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}} - \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}})/2$\textsuperscript{47a} value of RuPt. As expected, this significantly increases the global electrophilicity index, $\omega = \mu^2/2\eta$\textsuperscript{47b,c} obtained for RuPt and hence, the reactivity of RuPt is remarkably higher than that of \textit{Ptty}.

Similarly, when compared the reactivity of \textit{Ptty} with CoPt, the increase is only by 1.4 times. This increase in reactivity of CoPt can be attributed to the increase in the overall charge\textsuperscript{46b,58} along with the increased electrophilicity of the Pt(II) metal centre\textsuperscript{57a,59} due to the π-back donation from the tppz ligand. As expected, this decreases the anti-bonding π* LUMO energy level and thus, the chemical hardness of CoPt. However, the increased overall charge from \textit{Ptty} to CoPt (from +1 to +3) and the increased global electrophilicity index of CoPt (56.02 eV), despite significant, do not reflect on the observed reactivity for CoPt to that of \textit{Ptty} as in the case of RuPt. Therefore, the
reactivity of \textbf{CoPt} is influenced by the presence of the Co metal centre than that of tppz ligand.

By keeping the overall charge and the ligand system constant, when the metal centre is changed from Ru(II) \textbf{(RuPt)} to a Co(II) \textbf{(CoPt)}, the substitution rates of displacement of chloride ligand decreases by more than 4 times while the dechelation was 2 times slower. A glance at the N\textsubscript{trans} atom to Pt(II) centre (N8), one notices that the nitrogen atom in \textbf{RuPt} (-0.567) is more negative than that of \textbf{CoPt} (-0.547). However, the NBO charges on the N atoms around Ru(II) metal centre are less negative in \textbf{RuPt} \textit{(Table 3.1)} than that of the corresponding N atoms around Co(II) in \textbf{CoPt}. Conversely, the NBO charge on the Ru(II) metal centre of \textbf{RuPt} (0.997) is less positive compared to the Co(II) centre (1.319). This difference in the DFT calculated NBO charges indicate that Ru(II) enhances π-back donation in \textbf{RuPt} by accommodating the electron density at the Ru(II) metal centre, while in \textbf{CoPt}, the Co(II) metal centre donates the electron density into the capped tpy ligand and the linking tppz ligand as can be seen from the NBO charges on the N atoms. Thus, replacing the Ru(II) metal centre for a Co(II) changes the electron accepting property of the ligand system. Further support is the electron donor property of Co(bpy)\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2+} reported by Song \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{61} based on photophysical investigations performed on ruthenium and cobalt heterometallic complexes. This electron donation lowers the π-acceptability of the tppz ligand in \textbf{CoPt} as supported by the smaller NBO charge on the N8. Furthermore, the tilt angle, C\textsubscript{N}C\textsubscript{N}C\textsubscript{N} (\textit{Figure 3.1}), in \textbf{CoPt} is 25.00 ° while it is 10.39 ° in \textbf{RuPt}. This tilt angle between the subunits of the bridging linker is critical for aromatic linkers containing π systems.\textsuperscript{62} This may have significant influences on the degree of metal-metal interactions.\textsuperscript{62a}

The weaker π-back donation in \textbf{CoPt} is also supported by the nearly absent (Co(dπ)→tppz(π*)) transitions at 500 to 590 nm as reported for Co(II)(tpy)\textsubscript{2} complex\textsuperscript{63} and the observed weaker (Pt(dπ)→tppz(π*))\textsuperscript{64} between 370 to 400 nm (\textit{Figure 3.7a}) indicating the weak π-back donation and metal-metal electronic transitions.\textsuperscript{62a} This is further backed by the DFT calculated smaller HOMO-LUMO energy gap. The slightly higher HOMO-LUMO gap in \textbf{CoPt} indicates that the compound is thermodynamically more stable\textsuperscript{65} and is supported by the calculated greater chemical hardness value\textsuperscript{47b} for \textbf{CoPt}, which is an indication of its ground state stability to that of \textbf{RuPt}. Additionally, the higher electrongetivity of Ru (2.2 eV)\textsuperscript{66} compared to Co (1.9 eV)\textsuperscript{66} along with the
global electrophilicity index, $\omega$ of RuPt, despite small, indicates the greater propensity of the molecule to accept electrons from the incoming nucleophiles.$^{47c,d}$

![Figure 3.7](image-url)

(a) UV/visible spectra of Ptppy, RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt and CoPt in methanol (0.01 mM). (b) Energy of highest absorption wavelength peak of band against the number of tppz units in the complexes. CoPt deviates from the straight line.
The difference in reactivity might also be due to the spacial arrangement of the tppz ligand in both the complexes as can be seen from the DFT calculated minimum energy structures (See Table 3.2) which may influence the electronic properties of π* orbitals of the bridging tppz ligand. Since cobalt is a first row transition metal, the radial extension of its 3d orbital is smaller than 4d orbital in ruthenium. Thus, the π→π* transitions are stronger for 4d because of its stronger orbital diffuse compared to a 3d which is reflected on the observed much smaller absorption coefficient for CoPt ($E_{557} = 4769 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, Figure S3.25) compared to its analogous complex, RuPt. This might be the controlling factor for the observed slower reactivity of CoPt to that of RuPt.

Furthermore, to understand the spacial conjugation in the π-conjugated systems, we determined the effective conjugation length (ECL),\textsuperscript{51,67} reported by Osuka et al.\textsuperscript{68} Thus, a plot of absorption maxima, (Δ$E_{\text{max}}$) (Equation 3.2)\textsuperscript{69} obtained from the UV/visible spectra (Figure 3.7a) against the number of tppz units (Figure 3.7b),\textsuperscript{51,70} the resultant slope indicates the extent of delocalization,\textsuperscript{18a} which is observed to be greater for RuPt than that of CoPt with the other complexes, further supporting the greater π-conjugation in RuPt (also see Figures S3.27 to S3.29).\textsuperscript{69a,18a,71}

\begin{equation}
\Delta E_{\text{max}} = \frac{hc}{\lambda}
\end{equation}

where $h = \text{is plank's constant, } c \text{ is the speed of light.}$

The reactivity of a metal complex is influenced by the number of valence electronic configuration of the metal. In $d^7$ octahedral symmetry, the Co(II) coordinated system, even though it enormously favours high spin (HS) state,\textsuperscript{52,72} might undergo spin-crossover transitions between low spin $^2E \ t_{2g}^6e_g^1$ and high spin $^4T_1 \ t_{2g}^5e_g^2$ state.\textsuperscript{52,73} These transitions between the two states can significantly affect the thermodynamic and the kinetic processes of the complex.\textsuperscript{52,64} The electron transfer from a nonbonding $t_{2g}$ to anti-bonding $e_g$ orbitals might result in concurrent loss of π-backbonding in CoPt,\textsuperscript{73a} as a consequence affects the ligand field strength which in turn impacts on the strength of MLCT charge transfer transitions as can be seen in Figure 3.7a and from the DFT calculated NBO charges. For high spin state Co(II) complexes, the metal-ligand bond length is longer and hence the longer bond length does not allow a better overlapping of the orbitals.\textsuperscript{73a} Moreover, the octahedral $d^7$ Co(II) undergoes distinct
Jahn-Teller distortions,\textsuperscript{63,74} which might result in a large first-order splitting of the $^2E$ level\textsuperscript{73a} that can change the electronic property of the bridging ligand system, hence influence the reactivity of Pt(II) centre. This is accounted for by the slightly bigger HOMO-LUMO gap for CoPt (2.61 eV) compared to RuPt (2.47 eV).

When a second platinum centre is introduced, the results obtained show a slight increase in lability of the chloride ligands in PtRuPt compared to RuPt when two tppz ligands are orthogonally bonded to the Ru(II) metal centre. The slightly higher reactivity of PtRuPt can be ascribed to the increased cationic charge and the higher π-backbonding from the two tppz ligands in PtRuPt\textsuperscript{6a} thereby decreasing the LUMO, π* energy (Table 3.1), resulting in a more electrophilic metal centre in PtRuPt as can be seen from the DFT calculated greater NBO charge on the Pt(II) metal centre. Consequently, the positive charge on the Ru(II) centre in PtRuPt decreases slightly. This observation is in line with the observed smaller HOMO-LUMO gap obtained for PtRuPt and is supported by the less negative tppz based reduction (tppz$^{0/-}$ couple) for RuPt (-0.16 V) than PtRuPt (-0.03 V), reported by Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{8b} This was explained as a result of the extra stability gained by the π* orbitals of tppz, by increasing the π-accepting ability and the intermetal electronic transitions via electron exchange pathway within the complex,\textsuperscript{8b,17,51,75} on increasing the number of tppz ligands. This intermetal communications take place among the contiguous metal centres since the bridging tppz ligands are orthogonal to each other as a result of the octahedral geometry imposed by the Ru(II) metal centre.\textsuperscript{51} Coordination of this ligand to the Pt(II) metal centres\textsuperscript{76} pulls the electron density from the Pt(II) metal centres whereby making them more electrophilic. This makes the ground state PtRuPt complex more unstable as can be seen from the smaller chemical harness value of PtRuPt and hence, more reactive.\textsuperscript{47d} This explanation is in line with the observed kinetic behaviour. A similar behaviour in reactivity was reported for the two complexes when incubated with DNA on agarose gel, where modification of DNA structures was higher for PtRuPt than that of RuPt.\textsuperscript{6a}

By keeping in mind that increasing the number of tppz ligands in the complex enhances the π-accepting ability, a second Ru(II) and a tppz ligand was then introduced into PtRuPt. As expected, this has increased the overall π-backbonding (Figure 3.7b) at the Pt(II) metal centre and the intermetallic communication within the molecule\textsuperscript{53a,77} as can be seen from the very low LUMO energy level of PtRuRuPt, enhancing the transfer of electrons from the 18 electron five coordinate intermediate, hence the DFT calculated
positive NBO charge on the Pt(II) centre of PtRuRuPt increases. This is further supported by the DFT calculated smallest $\Delta E_{\text{gap}}$ in PtRuRuPt as a result of the $\pi$-backbonding interactions between the $t_{2g}$ orbitals of the two Ru(II) centres and the $p$ orbitals of the nitrogen atoms of pyrazine in the central tppz ligand which increases the stability of the tppz based ($\pi^*$) LUMO electrons and decreases the stability of the Ru(III) HOMO electrons and is well supported by the very small chemical hardness value of PtRuRuPt. The destabilization of the HOMO electrons arises due to the repulsion between the charges among the metal centres together with the $\pi-\pi^*$ ligand transitions to lower energy levels because the anti-bonding $\pi^*$ orbitals of the bridging tppz ligand which gets stabilized on adding the second Ru(II) metal centre. Thus, the lower $\Delta E_{\text{gap}}$ is due to the intermetal communication between Ru1–Ru2 in PtRuRuPt through the central tppz ligand which stabilizes the LUMO orbital as supported by Arana et al. for the two electron reduction observed (+1.53 V and +0.99 V) for [Ru$_2$(tppz)$_3$]$^{4+}$ synthet, despite its symmetrical structure. Thus, this intermetal electronic communication between Ru1–Ru2 metals ions might be the main reason for the slightly higher reactivity of PtRuRuPt compared to RuPt and PtRuPt.

If one considers the two diPt(II) complexes, PtRuPt and PtRuRuPt, it is noticeable that due to the orthogonal geometry at the Ru(II) metal centres, orbital overlapping between the tppz ligands is absent. Due to this geometry along with the symmetrical nature of the two complexes, the two Pt(II) centres are kinetically indistinguishable and hence, react independently. As a result, the two Pt(II) metal centres cannot be discriminated by the incoming nucleophile which results in a simultaneous displacement of the chloride ligands as reported previously for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes. If there was any orbital overlapping between the tppz ligands, one would expect a considerable increase in the reactivity as anticipated from the significant change in the DFT calculated global electrophilicity index, $\omega$, on moving from RuPt to PtRuPt to PtRuRuPt. However, the increased global electrophilicity index is as a result of the increased aromatic systems in the complexes. In each complex, the electronic environment around the reactive Pt(II) metal centre varies only slightly and hence their substitution reactivity. The slight differences in reactivity observed is due to the increased intermetal communication on increasing the number of metal centres and the improved $\pi$-acceptor effect facilitated by the tppz ligands which stabilises the energy of anti-bonding LUMO orbitals as explained earlier.
The reaction rates for the second step are much slower by a factor of at least 2400 for RuPt, PtRuPt and PtRuRuPt while it is about 1400 times slower for CoPt. Binding the nucleophile to the Pt(II) centre increases the steric effect on the Pt(II) centre.\textsuperscript{35a} This in turn blocks the approach of the nucleophile from above and below the Pt(II) centre, hence, the observed second step is slower.\textsuperscript{35a} Furthermore, the results show that the rate of dissociation of the chelate ligand is less sensitive to the structural and electronic nature of the chelate ligand moiety as previously reported by Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35a,83} and van Eldik \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{48b} and explained this dechelation arising most likely due to the increased constraints perched on the incoming nucleophiles as the Pt(II) centre in the transition state is already hindered.\textsuperscript{46h,83-84} Once strong donor thiourea coordinates the Pt(II) centre, the electronegativity of the metal centre increases thereby enhancing the direct attack of the incoming nucleophile.\textsuperscript{85} This weakens the Pt–N\textsubscript{tppz} bonds, thereby enhancing further substitution of thiourea ligands, resulting in the simultaneous release of the linker and formation of [Pt(TU)\textsubscript{4}]\textsuperscript{2+} in the case of TU.\textsuperscript{35a} Furthermore, the increased steric distortions, along with the higher ligand field strength around the Pt(II) centre enhances the dechelation process as thiourea attacks to an already thiourea substituted Pt(II) centre.\textsuperscript{35a} Dechelation of the coordinated ligands from square planar transition metal complexes such as Pt(II),\textsuperscript{86} Au(III)\textsuperscript{84,87} and Pt(II)\textsuperscript{35a,40b,83,88} metal centres by sulphur donor have been reported previously. Pt(II) being a soft metal centre has more tendency to form bonds with sulphur donor than hard nitrogen donor atoms\textsuperscript{83} in the tppz ligand.

In all cases, the substitution reactivity of the chloride(s) by the ionic nucleophiles was less than the strong donor TU and MTU. The reactivity of I\textsuperscript{−} was comparable to DMTU. The reactivity with the ionic nucleophiles were greater for I\textsuperscript{−} than SCN\textsuperscript{−} due to the higher polarisability of the I\textsuperscript{−} along with its stronger electrostatic attraction towards the softer cationic Pt(II) metal centre.\textsuperscript{39}

In terms of steric effects, the complexes investigated are sensitive towards the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles studied where the fastest rate of chloride substitution was observed for TU in all cases. Thus, the reactivity of the nucleophiles followed the order, TU > MTU > DMTU, I\textsuperscript{−} > SCN\textsuperscript{−} > TMTU. For all the complexes studied, TMTU was the slowest due to the presence of methyl groups which hinder the approach of the nucleophile thereby decreasing its reactivity.\textsuperscript{40b,56a,83,88-89}
The results obtained for enthalpy for activation ($\Delta H^*$) and entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) support an associative mode of activation typical for square planar Pt(II) complexes as a result of bond formation in the transition state. Some of the activation entropies for the first step are small and negative. This may be because the substitution steps are accompanied by charge neutralization by the solvent molecules. The release of the electrostatically attracted solvent molecules results in an increase in entropy and counterweigh the negative intrinsic contributions due to the bond formation. Nevertheless, the substitution reactions proceeded via an associated mode of activation.

3.4 Conclusion

Incorporation of Ru(tppz) in RuPt increases the reactivity almost six times compared to the monometallic Pttpy. The significant increase in reactivity is ascribed mainly to the increased π-back donation from the tppz ligand which increases the electrophilicity of the metal centre, along with the increased overall charge and the global electrophilicity index of the complex. On adding the second tppz ligand further stabilises the LUMO (π*) thereby enhancing π-back donation of the molecule. The intermetal communication between the two Ru(II) metal centres via the bridging tppz linker even stabilises the LUMO orbitals. Thus, the overall reactivity is driven by the increased π-backbonding and the overall electrophilicity of the molecules along with the decrease in the HOMO-LUMO gap obtained on adding more metal centres and tppz ligands to the complex. Thus, the reactivity followed the order PtRuRuPt > PtRuPt > RuPt > CoPt > Pttpy.

Changing the metal centre from Ru(II) to Co(II) decreases the substitution reactivity of CoPt by four times that of RuPt. UV/visible spectrophotometric analysis along with the DFT calculations show that for CoPt electronic transitions from (Pt(dπ)→tppz(π*)) are very weak which is an indication of weak π-backbonding from the Pt(II) $d$ orbitals to the tppz ligand. Based on the facts discussed, we conclude that the Ru(II) is better at accepting the electron density than Co(II) from the Pt(II) centre through the bridging tppz ligand.

$^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectroscopic data confirms the observed dechelation of the coordinated ligand from the Pt(II) centre which was enhanced by the ligand field strength imposed by the addition of thiourea nucleophiles at the Pt(II) metal centre.
The substitution reactions were sensitive towards the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles. The observed enthalpy of activation, $\Delta H^*$ and the entropy of activation, $\Delta S^*$ support an associative mode of substitution.
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3.6 Supporting Information

A summary of the wavelengths used for kinetic studies; representative DFT calculated structures of the complexes; representative mass spectra, NMR spectra, exemplary spectra for microanalysis, exemplary tables of kinetic data and the respective plots and graphs on concentration and temperature dependence studies for the complexes studied are given as supporting information.

Table S 3.1 Summary of selected wavelengths (nm) used for the kinetic investigations for the heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) and Co(II)-Pt(II) complexes of pyrazine, 2,3,5,6-tetra-2-pyridyl with thiourea and the ionic nucleophiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Wavelength (λ), nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>step 1 (Stopped-flow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pttpy</td>
<td>mtu</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN-</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN-</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuRuPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN-</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoPt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCN-</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S 3.1  Kinetic trace obtained at 292 nm for CoPt (4.0 x 10^{-5} M) with TU (6.0 x 10^{-4} M) on stopped flow at 298 K, \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\), adjusted with LiCl. Inset is the kinetic trace obtained at 382 nm for RuPt (2.0 x 10^{-5} M) with TU (3.0 x 10^{-5} M) at 298 K, \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\), adjusted with LiCl.
Table S 3.2 Geometry-optimised structures of the platinum complexes investigated and distribution of the electron density on the platinum complexes and the planarity of the molecules investigated. The blue area indicates the most electropositive areas and the red region indicates the most electronegative areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Electron density map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptppy" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="RuPt" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuPt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PtRuPt" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoPt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="CoPt" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtRuRuPt</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PtRuRuPt" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.3  Selected bond lengths (Å), bond angles (°), NBO charges, HOMO and LUMO energies and other computational data obtained for the complexes Ptppy, RuPt, PtRuPt, PtRuRuPt and CoPt obtained from the computational studies and the X-ray crystal structures. The values in brackets are obtained from the X-ray crystallographic structure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond lengths (Å)</th>
<th>Ptppy</th>
<th>RuPt</th>
<th>PtRuPt</th>
<th>PtRuRuPt</th>
<th>CoPt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt—N (trans)</td>
<td>1.966</td>
<td>1.972</td>
<td>1.969</td>
<td>1.967</td>
<td>1.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt—Cl</td>
<td>2.347</td>
<td>2.320</td>
<td>2.313</td>
<td>2.303</td>
<td>2.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-N(pyridine)</td>
<td>2.657</td>
<td>2.646</td>
<td>2.662</td>
<td>2.660</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-Pt</td>
<td>6.624</td>
<td>6.651</td>
<td>6.663</td>
<td>6.649</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-Cl</td>
<td>8.932</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>8.939</td>
<td>8.967</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N(pyrazine cis)</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>2.058</td>
<td>2.028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Pt</td>
<td>13.302</td>
<td>24.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl--- Py-H ortho</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.700</td>
<td>2.703</td>
<td>2.713</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N(cis Py terminal)</td>
<td>2.123</td>
<td>2.130</td>
<td>2.140</td>
<td>2.252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N(tpy trans)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.128</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N(tpy cis)</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt—N(cis Py)</td>
<td>2.141</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Angles(°)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N cis—Pt1—N cis</td>
<td>161.58</td>
<td>161.31</td>
<td>162.25</td>
<td>161.48</td>
<td>162.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl1—Pt1—N trans</td>
<td>179.93</td>
<td>176.52</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>175.29</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/d</td>
<td>80.79</td>
<td>81.26</td>
<td>81.13</td>
<td>81.42</td>
<td>81.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/b</td>
<td>99.25</td>
<td>98.89</td>
<td>98.79</td>
<td>98.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5-Ru/Co-N4</td>
<td>156.75</td>
<td>156.80</td>
<td>154.88</td>
<td>155.84</td>
<td>154.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1-Co-N2</td>
<td>155.88</td>
<td>158.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e/f</td>
<td>78.92</td>
<td>78.40</td>
<td>77.95</td>
<td>77.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/j</td>
<td>89.55</td>
<td>94.13</td>
<td>91.91</td>
<td>93.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h/i</td>
<td>78.01</td>
<td>78.40</td>
<td>78.40</td>
<td>81.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NBO charges</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N6</td>
<td>-0.599</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>-0.599</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7</td>
<td>-0.599</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>-0.599</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
<td>-0.601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continuation of Table S 3.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Torsion Angles(°)</th>
<th>C-C-C-C of tppz</th>
<th>central/terminal</th>
<th>NCCN-inside- (terminal)</th>
<th>Cl from Pt plan</th>
<th>N7-Pt-Ru-N5</th>
<th>N7-Pt-Ru-N(1 of RuPt)</th>
<th>NCCN (central Pyrazine)</th>
<th>N-C-C-N(with Pt)</th>
<th>Dipole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>13.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>14.73</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>21.67</td>
<td>85.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pz = pyrazine, py = pyridyl

Table S 3.4  

**Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs} (1^{st})$, s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for Pttpy with MTU at various concentrations and temperature dependence of $k_2$, s$^{-1}$, for the replacement of chloride ligand in Pttpy by MTU at 30-fold excess over [Pttpy], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.10967</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>0.2399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.24957</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>0.51451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.38307</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>0.76144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.52425</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>1.0077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>0.6664</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>1.23732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table S 3.5  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$ (1st), s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the replacement of chloride ligand in RuPt (3.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>1.6266</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.74185</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.98054</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
<td>0.057864</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.9077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00029</td>
<td>2.96973</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>3.2127</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>1.6780</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
<td>0.07023</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>1.6629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>4.02429</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>3.89585</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>2.5553</td>
<td>0.00029</td>
<td>0.085163</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>2.5523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>5.20728</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>4.8251</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.1224</td>
<td>0.00040</td>
<td>0.116908</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.2482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>6.62738</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>6.18877</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>4.0031</td>
<td>0.00050</td>
<td>0.144108</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>4.0017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.6  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$ (2nd), s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in RuPt (3 x 10$^{-5}$ M) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>2.76 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>2.87 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>1.61 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>6.17 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>4.36 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.99 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>9.37 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>7.28 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.00045</td>
<td>4.34 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.00119</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>5.52E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.00149</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.00129</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>6.42E-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.7  Average observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), s\(^{-1}\) at 298.15 K for the replacement of chloride ligand in PtRuPt \((2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})\) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. \( I = 0.02 \text{ M} \) (adjusted with LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\) and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2.0542</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>2.01688</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.2045</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.14769</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.0192</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.0166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>3.6365</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>3.23528</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.08718</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.28915</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.3226</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.7023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>4.93334</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>5.17973</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.43196</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>3.08438</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>2.5216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>7.11465</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>6.6906</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>4.6178</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.56124</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>4.5658</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>3.7033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9.59337</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>8.8281</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.68903</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.6656</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.55345</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.3316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.8  Average observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), s\(^{-1}\) at 298.15 K for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in PtRuPt \((2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})\) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. \( I = 0.02 \text{ M} \) (adjusted with LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\) and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}} ), s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>6.62 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>4.63 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>3.98 x 10(^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.00142</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>7.98 x 10(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>6.95 x 10(^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.00217</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.00132</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.00123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.00309</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.00159</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.00146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00369</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00218</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.9  Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs} \,(^{1}st)$, s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the replacement of chloride ligand in PtRuRuPt (1.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I$^{-}$</th>
<th>SCN$^{-}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.97715</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.8144</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.4852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.76353</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>1.426</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>2.422</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>1.97418</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>1.2996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>3.5174</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>2.714</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.6482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>4.5784</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>3.2362</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.0312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.10  Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs} \,(^{2}nd)$, s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the dechelation of the coordinated ligand in PtRuRuPt with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>3.92 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>6.40 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>9.35 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.00129</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table S 3.11** Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}(1\text{st})$, s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the replacement of chloride ligand in CoPt (4.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I$ = 0.02 M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I$^-$</th>
<th>SCN$^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.4631</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.3269</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.842667</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.83014</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.6915</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.28935</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.21424</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>1.0338</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.66195</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.553</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.3575</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.05865</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.0986</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.7079</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table S 3.12** Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}(2\text{nd})$, s$^{-1}$ at 298.15 K for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in CoPt (4.0 x 10$^{-5}$ M) with the nucleophiles at various concentrations. $I$ = 0.02 M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.000297</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.000239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.000571</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.000556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.000924</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.000864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.001197</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.001107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001531</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S 3.2  Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants \( (k_{\text{obs}}) \) on the concentrations of MTU for the displacement of chloride ligand in from Ptpy in methanol solution at 298 K and \( I = 0.02 \text{ M} \) (adjusted with LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\) and LiCl).
Figure S 3.3  Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles (a) for the displacement of chloride ligands in $k_{obs1st}$, s$^{-1}$ (b) for the dechelation of the ligands in $k_{obs2nd}$, s$^{-1}$, from RuPt in methanol solution at 298 K and $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).
Figure S 3.4  Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles (a) for the simultaneous displacement of the chloride ligands, $k_{obs1st}, s^{-1}$ (b) for the dechelation of the ligands, $k_{obs2nd}, s^{-1}$, from PtRuRuPt in methanol solution at 298 K and $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).
Figure S 3.5 Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles (a) for the displacement of the chloride ligand, $k_{obs}^{1st}, s^{-1}$ (b) for the dechelation of the ligand, $k_{obs}^{2nd}, s^{-1}$ from CoPt in methanol solution at 298 K and $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).
Table S 3.13  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M·s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in RuPt by nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I$^-$</th>
<th>SCN$^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>3.11454</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>3.02634</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.51549</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>3.29369</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>3.2077</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>2.7604</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>3.47705</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>3.36858</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>2.9768</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>3.61121</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>3.6287</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>3.29297</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>3.87124</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>3.91634</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>3.53436</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.14  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M·s$^{-1}$, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in RuPt by thiourea nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-5.15171</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-5.65839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-4.81778</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-5.29034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-4.55839</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-4.87543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-4.25257</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-4.5053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-3.99009</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-3.55479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.15  Temperature dependence of $k_{2 \text{M}^{-1}s^{-1}}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in PtRuPt by nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [PtRuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I$^-\text{ }$</th>
<th>SCN$^-\text{ }$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_{2}/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_{2}/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_{2}/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.63302</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.85908</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.16223</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003411</td>
<td>2.87183</td>
<td>0.003411</td>
<td>3.165</td>
<td>0.003411</td>
<td>2.49082</td>
<td>0.003411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003354</td>
<td>3.317</td>
<td>0.003354</td>
<td>3.36574</td>
<td>0.003354</td>
<td>2.80685</td>
<td>0.003354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003299</td>
<td>3.48409</td>
<td>0.003299</td>
<td>3.68863</td>
<td>0.003299</td>
<td>2.97359</td>
<td>0.003299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003245</td>
<td>3.88284</td>
<td>0.003245</td>
<td>3.96378</td>
<td>0.003245</td>
<td>3.31565</td>
<td>0.003245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.16  Temperature dependence of $k_{2 \text{M}^{-1}s^{-1}}$, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in PtRuPt by thiourea nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [PtRuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_{2}/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_{2}/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-5.43628</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-4.63896</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-5.14642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-4.41349</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-4.88489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-4.17073</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-4.60967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-3.95086</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-4.31259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.17  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in PtRuRuPt by nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [PtRuRuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>SCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>In($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>In($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>In($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.95076</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.74097</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>2.4655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>3.17488</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>3.10021</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>2.8066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>3.42384</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>3.29873</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>3.09428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>3.57999</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>3.67861</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>3.34262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>3.86461</td>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>3.98884</td>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>3.52507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.18  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in PtRuRuPt by thiourea nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [PtRuRuPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>MTU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>In($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-5.1066</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-4.73573</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-4.56057</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-4.31535</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-4.09525</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>-4.09525</td>
<td>0.00324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00322</td>
<td>-4.09525</td>
<td>0.00322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 3.19  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M·s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in CoPt by nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [CoPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>1.4983994</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>1.20850</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>0.5454441</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>1.7305361</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>1.58010</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>0.904107</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>1.9751224</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>1.915102</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>1.2421416</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>2.2394616</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>2.166765</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>1.5665842</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>2.5117602</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>2.417184</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>1.7787942</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 3.20  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M·s$^{-1}$, for the dechelation of the pyridyl units in CoPt by thiourea nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [CoPt], $I = 0.02$ M (adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ and LiCl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.003470415</td>
<td>-5.71353</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-5.87206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003411223</td>
<td>-5.47388</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-5.49783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003354016</td>
<td>-5.26543</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-5.33283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003298697</td>
<td>-4.94821</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>-5.09471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003245173</td>
<td>-4.7254</td>
<td>0.00324</td>
<td>-4.80536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S 3.6  Eyring plot obtained for the substitution of chloride ligand in Ptppy, with MTU nucleophile at various temperatures in the range 15 - 40 °C
Figure S 3.7  Eyring plots obtained, as $\ln(k_2/T)$ against $1/T$ (a) for the reactions of PtRuPt with the nucleophiles for the substitution of chloride ligands (b) for the dechelation of the linker at various temperatures in the range 15 - 40 °C.
Figure S 3.8  Eyring plots obtained, as $\ln(k_2/T)$ against $1/T$ (a) for the reactions of PtRuRuPt with the nucleophiles for the substitution of chloride ligands (b) for the dechelation of the linker at various temperatures in the range 15 - 40 °C
Figure S 3.9 Eyring plots obtained, as \( \ln(k_{2}/T) \) against \( 1/T \) (a) for the reactions of CoPt with the nucleophiles for the substitution of chloride ligands (b) for the dechelation of the linker at various temperatures in the range 15 - 40 °C
Figure S 3.10  $^1$HNMR spectrum of (tpy)Ru(tppz)(PF$_6$)$_2$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons in the aromatic rings.
Figure S 3.11  $^1$H NMR spectrum of Ru(tppz)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons in the aromatic rings.
Figure S3.12  $^1$HNMR spectrum of Ru$_2$(tppz)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_4$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons in the aromatic rings.
Figure S 3.13 \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF\(_6\)). Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons in the aromatic rings. Inset is the \(^{195}\)Pt NMR for the complex.
Figure S 3.14 $^1$HNMR spectrum of [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF$_6$)$_4$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons in the aromatic rings. Inset is the $^{195}$Pt NMR for the complex.
Figure S 3.15 $^1$HNMR spectrum of [[ClPtRu$_2$(tppz)$_3$PtCl]($\text{PF}_6$)$_6$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons on the aromatic rings. Inset is the $^{195}$Pt NMR for the complex.
Figure S 3.16    Exemplary elemental analysis spectrum for CoPt.
Figure S 3.17  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of (tpy)Ru(tppz)(PF₆)₂.
Figure S 3.18  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ru(tppz)$_2$(PF$_6$)$_2$. 
Figure S 3.19  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ru$_2$(tppz)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_4$. 
Figure S 3.20  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of RuPt.
Figure S 3.21  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of PtRuPt.
Figure S 3.22  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of PtRuRuPt
Figure S 3.23  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of CoPt
Figure S 3.24 $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra for the reaction of RuPt (6.28 mM) with TU, showing the changes in the chemical shift of the Pt before adding the TU nucleophile and the degradation after addition of TU for the new complex [Pt(TU)$_4$]$^{2+}$. 
Kinetic $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra in CD$_3$CN for the reaction of PtRuPt (0.02 mM) with TU at 298 K. The bottom spectrum is obtained for the pure complex and the top spectrum is obtained by addition of six equivalence of TU after 12 hours. Inset is the trace obtained at 386 nm.

Figure S 3.25
Figure S 3.26 A typical UV/visible plot showing the changes in absorbance between 250 to 800 nm wavelength range for PtRuPt in acetonitrile (0.02 mM) at 298 K over a period of 12 hours.
Figure S 3.27  (a) Computed HOMO energy against inverse number of metal centres. (b) Computed LUMO energy against inverse number of metal centres.
Figure S 3.28  Graph of DFT calculated HOMO-LUMO energy gap against inverse number of metal centres. CoPt deviates from the trend.
Figure S 3.29  Electronic absorption for the complexes investigated using 0.01 mM concentration for all the complexes measured in methanol at 298 K.
Experimental

[Ru(tpy)Cl₃]
Equal amounts of RuCl₃·3H₂O (~150 mg) and tpy were refluxed in absolute EtOH (125 mL) for 3 hours. The resulting reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was filtered, washed with cold absolute EtOH (10 mL) followed by copious amount of diethyl ether and dried under vacuo.

[(tpy)Ru(tppz)](PF₆)₂
To a stirred solution of Ru(tpy)Cl₃ (50.7 mg, 0.115 mmol) in an ethanol/water mixture (1: 1) was added the ligand tppz (45 mg, 0.115 mmol). The reaction mixture was flushed with nitrogen for 15 minutes and then refluxed for 12 hours. The resulting reaction mixture was cooled and filtered in order to remove any unreacted tppz. The filtrate was added to an aqueous solution of ammonium hexafluoro phosphate. The resulting black precipitate was filtered and this product was chromatographed on neutral aluminium oxide using acetonitrile and toluene (1: 1) as an eluent. The first main orange band was isolated and solvent removed under vacuo and dried under vacuum to yield the desired product.

[Ru(tppz)₂](PF₆)₂
The ruthenium complexes; [Ru(tppz)₂(PF₆)₂] and [Ru₂(tppz)₃(PF₆)₄] were synthesized as from literature. To a stirred solution of Ru(III)Cl₃·3H₂O (58.5 mg, 0.22 mmol) in ethanol/water (1: 1), was added the ligand, tppz (300 mg, 0.77 mmol). The solution was flushed with nitrogen for 15 minutes and then heated to reflux for 48 hours. The purple reaction mixture was allowed to cool and filtered to remove any unreacted tppz. The filtrate was treated with aqueous ammonium hexafluoro phosphate and the black precipitate formed was filtered and chromatographed on neutral alumina using acetonitrile/toluene (1: 1) as an eluent. The first orange band was collected and solvent removed and dried under vacuo to yield the monomeric product, Ru(tppz)(PF₆)₂. The homodimetalic complex, [Ru₂(tppz)₃(PF₆)₄] was obtained as a byproduct which was eluted as a purple band after the orange fraction. This product was obtained after removing the solvent and drying it under vacuo.

PtCl₂(DMSO)₂
Dimethyl sulphoxide (0.64 mL, 0.009 mol) was added to a solution of (10 mL) K₂PtCl₆ (1.87 g, 0.0045 mol) at room temperature. The solution was left to stand until the yellow crystals were formed. The crystals were filtered, washed with water and diethyl ether and dried under vacuo.
[Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl·H₂O (Pttpy)
To a stirred solution of [Pt(COD)Cl₂] (500 mg, 1.34 mmol) in water (30 mL), 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine (313 mg, 1.34 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred and warmed to between 45-50 °C for 15 minutes. The clear orange-red solution obtained was cooled to room temperature and filtered to remove any unreacted [Pt(COD)Cl₂]. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure gave an orange-red solid, [Pt(terpy)Cl]Cl·2H₂O, (Pttpy). The product was collected, washed thoroughly with diethyl ether and air-dried. Recrystallization of the dried solid in a hot methanol/water mixture (50: 50) gave orange needle-like crystals of the titled compound. The crystals were filtered using 0.45 μm nylon filter membrane on millipore filtration unit, washed with diethyl ether and air-dried.

[ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₄ (PtRuPt)
This compound was synthesized by a similar approach as [(tpy)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₃. [ClPt(tppz)Ru(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₄ was synthesized by slow addition of Ru(tppz)₂ (50 mg, 43 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) to a refluxing solution of [Pt(DMSO)₂Cl₂] (38 mg, 90 mmol) in acetonitrile (15 mL). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 hours under nitrogen and then cooled to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was added to an aqueous solution of ammonium hexafluoro phosphate. The dark purple precipitate formed was filtered, washed with ethanol (5 mL), distilled water (20 mL) and copious amount of diethyl ether and dried under vacuo.
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Chapter Four

The Effect of Ruthenium(II) Terpyridine Fragment on the Reactivity of Platinum(II) centre. A Kinetic and Computational Approach

4.0 Abstract

The rate of substitution of a series of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes; cis-[Pt(en)(py)H$_2$O](CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$, Pt1, cis-[(tpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H$_2$O](CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_6$, Pt2 and cis-[H$_2$O(en)Pt(qpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H$_2$O](CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_6$, Pt3 (en = 1,2-ethylenediamine, py = pyridine, tpy = 2,2':6,2"-terpyridine and qpy 4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine), with thiourea nucleophiles, viz. thiourea (TU), 1,3-dimethylthiourea (DMTU) and 1,1,3,3-tetramethylthiourea (TMTU) were studied under pseudo first-order conditions as a function of concentration and temperature by using UV/visible spectrophotometer and stopped-flow analyzer. The reactions proceeded via a single step, following first-order kinetics. The observed pseudo first-order rate constants, $k_{obs}$ followed the rate law: $k_{obs} = k_2[\text{Nu}]$. The observed reactivity trend, $\text{Pt3} \approx \text{Pt2} > \text{Pt1}$ is in line with the observed $pK_{a1}$ trend for the complexes investigated. The substitution reactivities of the heterometallic complexes are enhanced as a result of the increased overall charge and the electrophilicity of the complexes. Replacing the cis pyridyl group by a (tpy)Ru(qpy) moiety lowers the energy of anti-bonding LUMO ($\pi^*$) orbitals and increases the metal-metal interactions and electronic transition within the complex whereby enhancing the reactivity of Pt(II) centre. Incorporation of Ru(qpy)$_2$ in Pt3 did not double the reactivity to that of Pt2 due to the orthogonal geometry of the coordinated qpy ligands at the Ru(II) metal centre. The rate of the reactivity of the nucleophiles is depended on the steric hindrance, where the reactivity followed the order: TU > DMTU > TMTU. The observed relatively low enthalpy of activation, $\Delta H^*$ and the negative entropy of activation, $\Delta S^*$ support an associative mode of activation for the displacement of the aqua ligand(s). Experimental data were supported by density functional theory (DFT) calculations.
4.1 Introduction

Development of new effective anticancer drugs has focused on designing new drugs with lesser side effects and drawbacks.\textsuperscript{1} In the past, a number of different mono- and multinuclear complexes with potential anticancer activity have been developed.\textsuperscript{1c,2} The architecture of the most well-known multinuclear Pt(II) complexes has platinum centres structurally joined by linkers with terminal platinum centres containing labile ligand(s) such as chloro or aqua.\textsuperscript{1b,3} These structural linkers are thought to play an important role in influencing the mode of interaction when binding with DNA base pairs. Reaction of such complexes with DNA oligomers have shown different adducts to that formed by cisplatin and its analogues.\textsuperscript{1a} For example, multinuclear anticancer Pt(II) complexes linked by 4,4’-dipyrazolylmethane\textsuperscript{4} and pyrazole ligands which have one labile group were expected to exhibit different modes of actions with DNA than that of cisplatin.\textsuperscript{5}

Recent kinetic studies reported by van Eldik \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{6} and Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{7} for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes with flexible alkyldiamine linkers show that the reactivity depends on the distance between the two Pt(II) centres, their electrostatic interactions and the charge density around the metal centre.\textsuperscript{6,7b} Of note, are multinuclear Pt(II) complexes bridged by flexible alkyldiamines,\textsuperscript{8} first synthesized by Farrell \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{9} Two good examples are [μ-\textit{trans-}Pt(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}{\textit{trans-}PtCl(NH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{6}NH\textsubscript{2}}]{(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}, (BBR3464)}\textsuperscript{9} and its analogue (BBR3610).\textsuperscript{9} Both comprise of two or three Pt(II) centres linked \textit{via} flexible alkyldiamine bridges. These compounds have shown strong clinical potential but are limited by \textit{in vitro} degradation to release the linker in the presence of strong S-containing biomolecules.\textsuperscript{9} However, it is difficult to generalize on how the factors control the kinetic and thermodynamic behaviour due to structural complexity of multinuclear complexes such as the flexibility\textsuperscript{1b,6c,7,10} (e.g., aliphatic diamine linkers) or the rigidity\textsuperscript{5,11} (e.g., azoles, azines and polypyridines) of the linkers.

Out of the different metal complexes synthesized and tested for biological activity,\textsuperscript{12} Ru is of great interest.\textsuperscript{13} From the many Ru(II) complexes tested for biological activity, the compound [ImH][\textit{trans-RuCl\textsubscript{4}(DMSO-S)Im}] (Im = imidazole), NAMI-A and its derivatives are the most studied.\textsuperscript{14} Interaction of other Ru complexes such as {([NH\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{4}Ru\textsubscript{2}(dpb)})\textsuperscript{4+} (dpb= 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)benzoquinoxaline) with DNA has also been reported.\textsuperscript{15}
Furthermore, synthesis of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes\textsuperscript{16} of the form, [(bpy)\textsubscript{2}M(dp)PtCl\textsubscript{2}]Cl\textsubscript{2} (M = Ru(II), Os(II); bpy = 2,2\textquotesingle-bipyridine; dp = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)benzoquinoxaline) containing short heterocyclic rigid linkers have been reported.\textsuperscript{16} The presence of non-binding metal centres in the complexes increase the overall cationic charge thereby enhancing their water solubility.\textsuperscript{16-17} The complexes were also reported to photoreact with DNA through the light absorbing ruthenium metal centre,\textsuperscript{18,19,20} which transfers the energy into the platinum metal centres thereby enhancing its reactivity.\textsuperscript{16} This property can be a useful switch for kinetic and thermodynamic control of the platinum complexes in their substitution reactions. However, ligand substitution behaviour of heterometallic Pt(II)-Ru(II) complexes have not been studied kinetically to a great extent.

Therefore, it is the aim of this work to investigate the substitution reactions of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes, where the two metal centres are linked by a 4\textquotesingle-pyridyl-2,2\textquotesingle:6\textquotesingle,2\textquoteright\textquoteright-terpyridine (qpy), a ligand which is rigid. Apart from being a tridentate ligand, qpy can also bind with a second metal centre via the nitrogen atom of the appended pyridine ring on the terpyridine moiety.\textsuperscript{21} This ligand has a very versatile coordination chemistry; forming mononuclear compounds with pendant groups,\textsuperscript{21a,22} metal coordinated polygons\textsuperscript{23} and polymers.\textsuperscript{24} It is postulated to interact with DNA favourably due to its extended aromaticity apart from the substitution at the terminal Pt(II) metal centre.\textsuperscript{11c}

We therefore synthesized three complexes viz; cis-[Pt(en)(py)H\textsubscript{2}O][NO\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2} (Pt1), cis-[(tpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H\textsubscript{2}O][NO\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{3} (Pt2) and cis-[H\textsubscript{2}O(en)Pt(qpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H\textsubscript{2}O][NO\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{4} (Pt3). The mononuclear platinum complex, Pt1 is included for comparison reasons so that the effect on reactivity of the complexes due to the cis ligand to the Pt(II) centre or the linker (qpy) could be quantified. The cis geometry is purposely chosen as it endows enhanced stability to metabolic deactivation. It is known that the cis complexes react slower compared to their trans counterparts.\textsuperscript{25} Studies have also shown that complexes such as [(cis-PtCl(NH\textsubscript{2})(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{2-μ-κ} (where y = NH\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{26} do not undergo degradation of the linker with strong sulfur donor nucleophiles as compared to their trans analogues.\textsuperscript{1d} However, the factors which facilitate these reactions are not fully understood. Furthermore, the invariant ethylenediamine chelate coordinated to the Pt(II) centre is deliberately designed into all the complexes since our interest was to investigate the effect of the octahedral Ru(tpy)\textsubscript{2} moiety making up the linker on the reactivity of the terminal Pt(II) ions. Thus,
it is anticipated that structurally improved architecture of the complexes will have better advantages over the well-known trinuclear anticancer compound, \textbf{BBR3464} and other well-known alkyldiamine complexes since their DNA cross links will be differently recognised by cellular proteins and are potential to cause cell death via different pathways.

The reactivities of the complexes were studied in aqueous medium at pH 2 using thiourea nucleophiles. Thiourea nucleophiles are used because of the multiple role of sulfur containing bio molecules inside the cells. This is also facilitated by their solubility, high nucleophilicity and neutral character. The structures of the complexes used in this study are shown in Figure 4.1.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{structural_formulae.png}
\caption{Structural formulae of the mono, di and tri-nuclear complexes investigated.}
\end{figure}

\section*{4.2 Experimental}
\subsection*{4.2.1 Materials}
pH buffer standards of pH 4.0, 7.0 and 10.0 were bought from Merck. 4’-chloro-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (99%), ruthenium(III)chloride trihydrate (99%) and 1,5-cyclooctadiene were purchased from Aldrich. All other chemicals were procured from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. For preparation of all aqueous solutions, ultra-pure water was used. The ruthenium precursors; [Ru(tpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} \cite{29} [Ru(qpy)\textsubscript{3}][11c] and 0.1 M Ru(III) solution\textsuperscript{11c} were synthesized as described in literature.
4.2.2 Synthesis of Ligand and Ruthenium Moieties

The ligand, 4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (qpy) was synthesized as in literature. A perspective X-ray crystal structure is given under Supporting Information. Summary of the experimental procedure and the characterization data are given under supporting information. Acidic Ru(III) solution was prepared following the literature procedure. The Ru complexes, [Ru(tpy)Cl$_3$], [Ru(qpy)Cl$_3$], Ru(qpy)(tpy)Cl$_2$ and [Ru(qpy)$_2$]Cl$_2$ are synthesized following the literatures. Experimental procedures are summarized under Supporting Information.

The purity of the complexes was confirmed by $^1$H NMR and mass spectroscopy. The X-ray crystal structure obtained for the ligand qpy further confirms the correct identification of the qpy ligand.

4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (qpy) Yield: 0.509 g (70%), Colourless needles. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$/ ppm: 8.79 (2H, m, 2'' 6''), 8.77 (2H, s, 3' 5'), 8.74 (2H, br dm, 6 6''), 8.67 (2H, dd, 3 3''), 7.90 (2H, td, 4 4''), 7.80 (2H, dd, 3''' 5''''), 7.39 (2H, ddd, 5, 5''). TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 333.1114, (M$^+$Na)$^+$. 

Ru(qpy)(tpy)Cl$_2$ Yield: 35 mg, 0.0489 mmol (61%), Dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$/ ppm: 9.58 (2H, s, 13' 5'), 9.12 (2H, d, 13'' 5''), 9.10 (2H, s, 113' 5'), 8.99 (2H, d, 12'' 6''), 8.85 (2H, d, 113' 3'), 8.56 (2H, t, 114'), 8.45 (2H, d, 13''' 5'''), 8.09 (2H, t, 14 4'''), 8.03 (2H, t, 114 4'''), 7.52 (2H, d, 116 6''), 7.46 (2H, d, 16 6'''), 7.30 (2H, t, 15 5'''), 7.25 (2H, t, 115 5'''). TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 366.5616, (M$_2^+$). Anal. Calc. for C$_{33}$H$_{25}$Cl$_2$N$_7$Ru: C 58.75, N 13.70, H 3.52. Found: C 58.32, N 13.21, H 3.12.

[Ru(qpy)$_2$]Cl$_2$ Yield: 50 mg, (46 %), Dark maroon powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$/ ppm: 9.61 (2H, s, 3' 5'), 9.12 (2H, d, 2'' 6''), 9.00 (2H, d, 3 3''), 8.45 (2H, d, 3''' 5''''), 8.09 (2H, t, 4 4'''), 7.57 (2H, d, 6 6''), 7.29 (2H, t, 5 5'''). TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 361.1088, (M$_2^+$). Anal. Calc. for C$_{40}$H$_{28}$Cl$_2$N$_8$Ru: C 60.61, N 14.14, H 3.56. Found: C 60.99, N 14.57, H 3.72.
4.2.3 Synthesis of Platinum(II) Complexes

The platinum precursor, Pt(en)Cl₂ was synthesized following a literature procedure. Details of the experimental procedure and characterization data are summarized under Supporting Information. The platinum complexes, Pt1–Cl, Pt2–Cl and Pt3–Cl are synthesized following a similar approach reported.¹¹c

(Pt1–Cl) The compound was synthesized by a slight modification of the literature method.¹¹c To a stirred solution of [Pt(en)Cl₂] (42 mg; 0.126 mmol) in 10 mL of DMF at 310 K, silver nitrate (AgNO₃) (21 mg, 0.126 mmol) in dimethylformamide (DMF) (5 mL) was added drop wise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 313 K. After filtration of silver chloride (AgCl) precipitate using a 0.45 μm nylon membrane filter (Millipore), pyridine (0.010 mL, 0.126 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was stirred for another 18 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered and coevaporated using EtOH/MeOH (v: v = 50:50) (20 mL) to remove DMF. The precipitate was dissolved in MeOH. The product was precipitated by slow diffusion of diethyl ether.

The purity and the identity of the complexes were confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR, mass spectroscopy and elemental analyses. The ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR of all the complexes exhibited a characteristic signal at about -2500 ppm, typical to platinum coordinated to NNN chelate and a chloride. Due to the high charge and complexity of the complexes, the mass spectra obtained show characteristic fragmentations.

Yield: 0.032 g, (60%), off-white crystalline powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMF) δ/ ppm: 8.62 (2H, dd, J = 6.9, 1.4), 7.89 (1H, tt, J = 7.8, 1.5), 7.40 (2H, br), 6.04 (2H, br), 5.65 (2H, br), 3.01 (2H, t), 2.92 (2H, br), ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR (500 MHz, DMF) δ/ ppm: -2501. TOF MS-ES⁺, m/z: 369.0449, (M⁺). Anal. Calc. For C₇H₁₃ClN₄O₃Pt: C 19.47, N 12.98, H 2.96. Found: C 19.21, N 12.51, H 2.98.

(Pt2–Cl) Yield: 28 mg (15 %), Dark red powder. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ/ ppm: 9.11 (2H, s, I₃ 5'), 9.00 (2H, d, I₂'' 6''), 8.78 (2H, d, II₃' 5'), 8.61 (2H, d, I₃ 3''), 8.50 (2H, d, II₃ 3''), 8.42 (2H, t, II₄'), 8.25 (2H, d, I₃'' 5''), 7.93 (2H, t, I₄ 4''), 7.89 (2H, t, II₄ 4''), 7.45 (2H, d, II₆ 6''), 7.35 (2H, d, I₆ 6'), 7.17 (2H, t, I₅ 5'), 7.11 (2H, t, II₅ 5'), 2.73 (2H, br, b), 2.66 (2H, br, c). ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR (500 MHz, D₂O) δ/ ppm: -2530. TOF MS-ES⁺, m/z: 322.5963, (for water substituted M⁺). Anal. Calc. For C₃₇H₁₅ClN₁₂O₉PtRu·4H₂O: C 37.24, N 14.08 , H 3.46. Found: C 36.81, N 13.76, H 3.01.
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(Pt3-Cl) Yield: 0.035 g, (22%), dark red powder. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, D\(_2\)O) \(\delta/\) ppm: 9.13 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 9.01 (2H, d, I12'' 6''), 8.62 (2H, d, I3' 3''), 8.25 (2H, d, I13'' 5''), 7.93 (2H, t, I14 4''), 7.45 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.17 (2H, t, I5 5''), 2.80 (2H, br, b), 2.73 (2H, br c)

\(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, D\(_2\)O) \(\delta/\) ppm: -253.2.

\(^{195}\)Pt NMR (500 MHz, D\(_2\)O) \(\delta/\) ppm: 2901.0024, (for water substituted M\(^{6+}\)·H\(_2\)O).

Anal. Calc. For C\(_{44}\)H\(_{44}\)Cl\(_2\)N\(_{16}\)O\(_{12}\)Pt\(_2\)Ru·5H\(_2\)O: C 32.90, N 13.95, H 3.64. Found: C 32.69, N 13.98, H 3.31.

4.2.4 Preparation of Aqua Complexes

The desired solutions for kinetic studies of aqua complexes (Pt1, Pt2 and Pt3) were prepared according to the literature procedure which is summarized in Scheme 4.1. \(^{32}\)

To a stirred solution of the Pt(II) compound (Pt1-Cl, Pt2-Cl and Pt3-Cl) (0.4 mmol) in 0.01 M triflic acid (CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H) (40 mL) was added silver triflate (AgSO\(_3\)CF\(_3\)) (equal amounts for mono chloro complexes, (Pt1-Cl and Pt2-Cl) and 2 equivalences for the dichloro complex, (Pt3-Cl)). The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 50 °C in dark. The precipitated silver chloride was removed by filtration using a 0.45 μm nylon membrane filter (Millipore). The filtrate was made up to 100 mL using 0.01 M CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H which had an ionic strength of 0.02 M adjusted with LiSO\(_3\)CF\(_3\). For all kinetic studies, the pH of the solution was kept at pH 2, ionic strength of 0.02 M adjusted with lithium triflate (LiSO\(_3\)CF\(_3\)). A pH of 2 was chosen to prevent the formation of the hydroxo species which are substitutionally inert. \(^{28,33}\)

The solutions for \(pK_a\) determination were prepared by diluting the metal complexes with ultra-pure water and keeping the pH of the desired solution at pH 1 using concentrated CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H. The initial absorbance of the peaks of the complexes were kept between 0.2 - 1.5. The schematic representation of the aquation process is given in Scheme 4.1.

\[ \text{Scheme 4.1} \quad \text{Schematic representation of preparation of aqua complexes.} \]
4.2.5 Instrumentation and Measurements

$^1$H NMR were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer, at 303 K using Si(CH$_3$)$_4$ as the reference for the chemical shifts. $^{195}$Pt NMR were done on a 500 MHz spectrometer ($^{195}$Pt, 107.5 MHz) and chemical shifts externally referenced to K$_2$[PtCl$_6$]. Low resolution electron spray ionization (ESI$^+$) mass spectra were recorded on a TOF Micromass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by a Thermal Scientific Flash 2000. X-ray crystal structure for the ligand qpy was solved using an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur 2 CCD 4-circle diffractometer linked to an Oxford Cryostat System. The data collection was done at 100 K.

UV/visible spectra for wavelength determination and kinetic measurements of slow reactions were studied on Varian Cary 100 Bio UV/visible spectrophotometer with an attached Varian Peltier temperature-controller with an online kinetic applications having temperature controlled to within ± 0.05 °C. Kinetic measurements of fast reactions were studied on an Applied Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow reaction analyser coupled with an online data acquisition system with controlled temperature having an accuracy of ± 0.1 °C. The pH measurements were recorded on a Jenway 4330 conductivity/pH meter equipped with a Jenway glass microelectrode calibrated with standard buffer solutions of pH 4.0, 7.0 and 10.0.

4.2.6 Determination of pK$_a$ of Aqua Complexes

The acidity of the coordinated water ligands were studied prior to kinetic studies. The pK$_a$ titrations were carried out as described in literature$^{28,34}$ by using NaOH as the base (Scheme 4.2). The pH titrations were carried out starting from pH 2 (0.01 M CF$_3$SO$_3$H solution), and increased by addition of small amounts of NaOH solution until pH 9 (Figures 4.2, S4.1, S4.2). To avoid errors due to dilution effect, a large volume (200 mL) complex solution was used. Crushed NaOH pellets were added until a pH of 3 was reached. NaOH solutions of different concentrations were used to obtain evenly distributed spectra. The solution was stirred after each addition of NaOH before the pH measurement was taken. Small vials were used for sampling out the solution (2 mL) for pH measurements. After each pH measurement the sample aliquot used was discarded to avoid in situ precipitation of the chloride. Aliquots from spectral acquisitions were returned back to the titration solution. To ensure the presence of the aqua species in solution, the titrations were carried out using both NaOH and triflic acid with which the
The reversibility of the spectra were observed. The results obtained are summarised in Table 4.1.

\[
[X-(\text{Py})-\text{Pt-(en)}-\text{OH}_2]^{n+} + \text{OH}^{\text{-}} \overset{K_{a1}}{\underset{K_{a2}}{\rightleftharpoons}} [X-(\text{Py})-\text{Pt-(en)}-\text{OH}]^{(n-1)+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4.1)
\]

\[
[2\text{HO-(Py)-Pt-(en)-(qpy)Ru(qpy)-(en)-Pt-(Py)-OH}]^{n+} + \text{OH}^{\text{-}} \rightleftharpoons [2\text{HO-(Py)-Pt-(en)-(qpy)Ru(qpy)-(en)-Pt-(Py)-OH}]^{(n-1)+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4.2)
\]

\[
[2\text{HO-(Py)-Pt-(en)-(qpy)Ru(qpy)-(en)-Pt-(Py)-OH}]^{(n-1)+} + \text{OH}^{\text{-}} \rightleftharpoons [\text{HO-(Py)-Pt-(en)-(qpy)Ru(qpy)-(en)-Pt-(Py)-OH}]^{(n-2)+} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4.3)
\]

\(n = 2, 4, 6\) \(X = \text{H in Pt1 and (tpy)Ru(qpy) in Pt2}\)

**Scheme 4.2** The \(pK_a\) titration reactions of the aqua complexes with \(\text{OH}^{\text{-}}\).

Note: Equation 4.1 is true for Pt1 and Pt2, while Equations 4.2 and 4.3 hold for Pt3.

Addition of the (tpy)Ru(II)(qpy) and (qpy)Ru(II)(qpy) moieties to Pt(II) centre increases the acidity and lability of the coordinated aqua ligand(s) resulting in a lower \(pK_a\) for Pt2 and Pt3 relative to Pt1. A smaller \(pK_{a1}\) value for Pt3 compared to Pt2 reflects that the additional \(\pi\)-acceptability due to the terpyridine capping is insignificant due to its orthogonal disposition in the octahedral geometry of the appended ruthenium moiety.

### 4.2.7 Kinetic Measurements

All substitution reactions were performed under pseudo first-order conditions. The concentrations of the nucleophiles studied were kept at least 10-fold excess over (Pt1 and Pt2) or (20-fold excess for Pt3) metal complexes to ensure that the reactions go for completion. All kinetic runs were performed at pH of 2 and at a constant ionic strength of 0.02 M. All the wavelengths used for the kinetic runs were predetermined using UV/visible spectrophotometer by observing the absorbance of the reaction mixture (metal complex with the nucleophile) as a function of time. A summary of the wavelengths used for the kinetic studies is given as Supporting Information in Table S4.1. The temperature dependence studies for the reactions were performed in the range 15 - 40 °C at 5 °C intervals. Representative graphs are given in Figures S4.3 to S4.8.
4.2.8 Computational Modelling

Computational modelling for the complexes were performed at Density Functional Theoretical (DFT) level based on B3LYP/LanL2DZ\textsuperscript{35} (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double ξ) level theory, with inner core electrons of platinum atom replaced by relative Effective Core Potential (ECP). Due to low electronic spin of Pt(II), the DFT calculations of the complexes were done at singlet state. The complexes were computed in water taking into account the solvolysis effect by means of the Conductor Polarizable Continuum Model (C-PCM).\textsuperscript{36} The Gaussian09 suite of programs was used for all computational calculations.\textsuperscript{37} A summary of respective bond lengths, the bond angles and DFT-calculated natural bond orbital (NBO) charges, highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energies obtained from the modelled structures of the platinum complexes are given in Table 4.2 (also see Tables S4.2 to S4.5) The numbering scheme used is as shown in Figure 4.1.

DFT calculations in gas phase as cations of total charge +2, +4 and +6 respectively for Pt1, Pt2 and Pt3, were also repeated by using the software package, Spartan\textsuperscript{®} '08 for Windows\textsuperscript{®} using the B3LYP\textsuperscript{35a} density functional method (DFT)\textsuperscript{39} and the LACVP+\textsuperscript{**} (Los Alamos Core Valence Potentials)\textsuperscript{35c} pseudo potential basis set. The LACVP basis set employs effective core potentials for K-Cu, Pb-Ag, Cs-La and Hf-Au.\textsuperscript{40} However, somewhat similar results were obtained and are summarized in Table S4.5 for further references.

4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Synthesis and Characterization

The three chloro derivatives of Pt(II) complexes were synthesized following literature methods\textsuperscript{11c,29,30} and characterised using \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR, MS and elemental analysis (Figures S4.9 to S4.22). The details of the characterisation and spectroscopic data are included in the experimental section and also under Supporting Information. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of (Pt1-Cl) (Figure S4.11), shows that one of the CH\textsubscript{2} protons overlap with the solvent residual peak. Synthesis of Ru(II) moieties, [Ru(tpy)Cl\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{29}, [Ru(qpy)Cl\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{11c} [Ru(qpy)(tpy)]Cl\textsubscript{2} and [Ru(qpy)\textsubscript{2}]Cl\textsubscript{2} have been reported previously.\textsuperscript{21a,41,11c} However, due to the poor solubility\textsuperscript{42} and the paramagnetic nature (due to the unpaired electron in one of the t\textsubscript{2g} orbital of low-spin Ru(III)\textsuperscript{11c}) of [Ru(tpy)Cl\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{29} and [Ru(qpy)Cl\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{11c} the two precursors were used as synthesized.\textsuperscript{21a} In the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the two heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes, the resonance peaks are well resolved and most importantly the ortho protons of the coordinated
pyridyl ring shows a down field shift in the spectrum of Pt2-Cl relative to the free ligand (Figures S4.12 and S4.13). Due to the low symmetry (C2) of Pt3-Cl, chemical shifts corresponding only half of the molecule are detected.11c However, signals due to ammine groups are not detectable in D2O because of the rapid exchange with the deuterated solvent.11c The ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR signals were observed between -2300 ppm to -2600 ppm, typical for square planar NNN coordinated Pt(II) complexes.⁶c The characterization data agreed with the literature and the proposed chemical structures of the complexes.¹¹c,2¹a,4¹

4.3.2 Acid-base Equilibria of the Aqua Pt(II) Complexes
The pKₐ values were determined from the titration spectra at a specific wavelength. Typical UV/visible pKₐ titration spectra obtained for Pt1 with NaOH is shown in Figure 4.2. Distinct isosbestic points at the following wavelengths, 230 nm and 238 nm were observed as the solution became more basic. For Pt2 and Pt3, more absorbance peaks were observed as the ligand systems around the metal centres are more conjugated (Supporting Information Figures S4.1 and S4.2 for the corresponding spectra for Pt2 and Pt3, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Pt1</th>
<th>Pt2</th>
<th>Pt3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pKₐ₁</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKₐ₂</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.08 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹pKₐ obtained by fitting the titration data to the Boltzmann equation, $y = A_2 + (A_1 - A_2)/(1 + \exp((x-x_0)/dx))$ using Origin 7.5®
The pK\textsubscript{a1} values for the deprotonation of the coordinated aqua ligand(s) follow the order, Pt\textsubscript{3} (3.01 ± 0.01) < Pt\textsubscript{2} (3.61 ± 0.05) < Pt\textsubscript{1} (5.53 ± 0.01) even though the chelate effect is kept constant. The observed decrease in the pK\textsubscript{a} in Pt\textsubscript{2} and Pt\textsubscript{3} compared to Pt\textsubscript{1} is probably due to the replacement of the pyridyl by the octahedrally coordinated (qpy)Ru(tpy) or Ru(qpy)\textsubscript{2} moiety as the chelate effect is kept constant.

The pK\textsubscript{a} values indicate the degree of the electrophilicity of the metal complexes,\textsuperscript{43} following the order; Pt\textsubscript{3} > Pt\textsubscript{2} > Pt\textsubscript{1}. Thus, introducing the Ru(II) moiety as a cis linking unit to the Pt(II) centre increases the overall charge and the electrophilicity of the heterometallic complex thereby increasing the overall acidity of the coordinated aqua ligand(s).\textsuperscript{7b} As already known,\textsuperscript{6b,7b,34b} this charge addition is prominent if the distance between the two platinum centres is shorter.

The diaqua complex, Pt\textsubscript{3}, showed a stepwise deprotonation, with the lowest pK\textsubscript{a} value. This smallest pK\textsubscript{a1} might be attributed to the higher overall positive charge (+6) which is a clear indication of an increased acidity on attaching a second Pt(en)py unit to Pt\textsubscript{2}, a factor which enhances substitution reactivity. It is also noted that the second deprotonation of the coordinated aqua ligand in Pt\textsubscript{3} occurs at a higher pK\textsubscript{a2} (7.08 ±
0.03) than that of the first $pK_a$ (3.01 ± 0.01) and is attributed to decrease in the overall charge on the platinum complex by deprotonation of the first aqua ligand as reported previously,\textsuperscript{6a,b,6d,7b}

Since the position of the $^{195}$Pt NMR resonance influences the donor strength of the ligands coordinated to the Pt(II) centre, the observed $pK_a$ trend is further supported by the changes in the $^{195}$Pt NMR chemical shifts obtained for $\textbf{Pt1}$ (-2501), $\textbf{Pt2}$ (-2530) and $\textbf{Pt3}$ (-2534 ppm). As the ethylenediamine chelate ligand is kept constant for all the three complexes, the changes in the $pK_a$ is an indication of the strength of the $\sigma$-inductive effect of the cis pyridyl moiety attached to the Pt(II) centre which controls the basicity of the aqua complexes. The smaller difference between the $pK_{a1}$ values of $\textbf{Pt2}$ and $\textbf{Pt3}$ (0.6) units indicate the similarity of the cis pyridyl moieties in $\textbf{Pt2}$ and $\textbf{Pt3}$ and hence the difference between them is a result of the increase in the overall charge.\textsuperscript{44}

### 4.3.3 Computational Calculations

In order to understand the relation between the electronic structures and the substitution reactions of the aqua complexes, the molecules were optimized at the DFT level using B3LYP functional with LANL2DZ basis set as this setting has been reported to be reliable for Ru(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{45} An extract of the data obtained from gaussian09 software package are summarized in \textit{Tables 4.2 and 4.3} (also \textit{Tables S4.2 to S4.5})
Table 4.2 Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes using Gaussian09 software package based on B3LYP and LanL2DZ basis set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pt1</th>
<th>Pt2</th>
<th>Pt3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths, Å</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–OH₂</td>
<td>2.110</td>
<td>2.111</td>
<td>2.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N₅ trans</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>2.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N₂ trans(N₂)</td>
<td>2.082</td>
<td>2.085</td>
<td>2.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N₂ cis(py)</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>2.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–Pt</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>11.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Pt</td>
<td>22.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₀(py) ortho–Pt</td>
<td>2.993</td>
<td>3.037</td>
<td>3.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles, °</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist of 4'-py from plane of terpyridine ligand</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>30.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deviation of py (relative to Pt(II) coord. plane)</td>
<td>87.93</td>
<td>92.84</td>
<td>92.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₅ trans</td>
<td>-0.813</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td>-0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ cis(py)</td>
<td>-0.516</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂ cis(NH₂)</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td>-0.812</td>
<td>-0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (H₂O)</td>
<td>-0.913</td>
<td>-0.915</td>
<td>-0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbital Energy / eV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>-7.00</td>
<td>-6.30</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>-3.16</td>
<td>-3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE[HOMO-LUMO]</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η / eV</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ / eV</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>-4.73</td>
<td>-4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω / eV</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point group</td>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>C₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

η = chemical hardness, μ = chemical potential and ω = global electrophilicity index.⁴⁶

DFT calculations reveal that the Pt(II) centres exhibit slightly distorted square planar arrangement, typical for Pt(NNN) coordinated centres (Table S4.4).⁴⁷ The bite angle of octahedrally coordinated qpy to the Ru(II) centre is smaller than a typical square planar Pt(II)⁴² centre as expected for octahedral geometry.⁴⁸ As exhibits from the perspective view of the complexes in Table 4.3, the 4'-pyridyl group of qpy is not co-planar with the terpyridine ligand backbone which is bonded to Ru(II). This is necessary to reduce the steric strain due to the hydrogens on the 4'-pyridyl group and the hydrogens attached on the central pyridine ring of the terpyridine ligand.⁴⁷a,⁴⁹ Due
to the steric effects caused by the trans NH$_2$ protons of the ethylenediaminene chelate, the monodentate pyridyl group lies in a plane which is nearly orthogonal to the plane containing the Pt, N, N atoms$^{7b}$ (Figure 4.3). Thus, the π-backbonding between the Pt(II) metal centre and the cis pyridyl moiety is minimised$^{11b,50}$ since the π-acceptor ligand is out of plane with the coordination geometry of the Pt(II) metal centre.$^{47c,51}$

DFT calculated HOMO electron density of Pt1 is predominantly on the Pt(II) metal centre while in heterometallic complexes, the HOMO electron density mainly lies on the Ru(II) metal centre and sparsely on the coordinated pyridyl rings with no electron density at the Pt(II) metal centre. The LUMO electron density comprises the contributions from the metal centre to the ligands. Thus, the LUMO electrons are concentrated on the pyridyl ring in Pt1 while in Pt2 and Pt3, they are located on the qpy ligand. This is supported by the decrease in the HOMO-LUMO energy gap by incorporation of Ru(II) moiety as indicated in Table 4.2.

A change in the cis-coordinated pyridyl group to a back coordinated Ru(qpy) increases the electrophilicity of the Pt(II) centres. However, when increased the number of qpy to 2, linked by an octahedral Ru(II) does not double the electrophilicity and the reactivity. The reactivity of Pt2 and Pt3 are almost equal. This is because the two qpy ligands lie orthogonal to each other at the Ru(II) metal centre. Thus, prevents the continuous flow of electron density across the two qpy ligands because of orthogonal cut of extended π-conjugation at the octahedral Ru(II) centre.
Table 4.3  DFT calculated minimum energy structures and frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of the complexes investigated. Calculations were done using Gaussian09 software package based on B3LYP and LanL2DZ basis set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry optimised structure</th>
<th>HOMO map</th>
<th>LUMO map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Pt1_HOMO" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Pt1_LUMO" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt2</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Pt2_HOMO" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Pt2_LUMO" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt3</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Pt3_HOMO" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Pt3_LUMO" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4 Kinetics Analyses

The ligand substitution reactions of the coordinated aqua ligand(s) by thiourea nucleophiles were studied as a function of concentration of the nucleophiles at 25 °C. The substitution reactions of Pt1 with the nucleophiles were studied on the UV/visible spectrophotometer while those of Pt2 and Pt3 were studied on stopped-flow reaction analyzer. Only one reaction step, taken to be the substitution of the coordinated aqua ligand(s) was observed for all the studied complexes. A representative of time resolved kinetic step of the complex Pt2 with DMTU is shown in Figure 4.4. Representative spectra for the Pt1 and Pt3 are shown in Figures S4.3 and S4.4.

![Kinetic trace obtained at 291 nm for the reaction between Pt2 (2.86 x 10^-5 M) and DMTU (8.58 x 10^-4 M) on stopped-flow at 298 K, I = 0.02 M LiCF3SO3, adjusted with LiCl.](image)

The hetero-metallic complex, Pt3 is symmetrical and the terminal Pt(II) centres are spaced sufficiently far apart (22.31 Å), thus, their behaviour is expected to be independent from each other. Hence, the two square planar Pt(II) centres cannot be differentiated by the strong labile thiourea nucleophiles as reported by Jaganyi et al. and van Rudi et al. for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes. Thus, reactivity at each Pt(II) centre is independent of the other metal centre. This assertion is further supported by the calculated NBO charges on the platinum atoms for Pt3. As a result, the aqua ligands
of Pt3 are simultaneously substituted. To confirm this, the substitution reaction of Pt3 as a chloride compound, $[\text{Cl(en)}\text{Pt(qpy)}\text{Ru(qpy)}\text{Pt(en)}\text{Cl}]\text{(NO}_3\text{)}_4$, with excess TU (2 to 6 equivalence, eqv), was studied by $^{195}$Pt NMR spectroscopy at 25 °C. $^{195}$Pt NMR spectroscopy is a useful method to study the coordination behaviour of Pt(II) complexes since the $^{195}$Pt resonance is influenced characteristically by the nature of the atoms coordinated to the platinum centre.52 An array of the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra for the substitution reaction is shown in Figure 4.5.

Prior to the addition of the nucleophile, a signal ($\delta = -2506$ ppm) due to the starting complex Pt3 was observed. A new upfield resonance peak, at $\delta = -3015$ ppm appeared after 3 hours upon mixing the complex with 2 equivalents of TU. The $^{195}$Pt NMR reveals the formation of the TU substituted complex, $[\text{TU(en)}\text{Pt(qpy)}\text{Ru(qpy)}\text{Pt(en)}\text{TU}]^{6+}$, which exhibits a chemical shift at $\delta = -3015$ ppm, typical of PtN$_3$S coordinated environment.53 Based on the results obtained for Pt3-Cl by NMR spectroscopy, it is clear that the aqua ligands in Pt3 are substituted simultaneously. Furthermore, $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum shows that there was no dechelation of the chelate ligand, induced by the substituted thiourea nucleophile. A similar observation for complexes that possess...
cis geometry at the Pt(II) centre have been reported with strong sulfur donor nucleophiles.\textsuperscript{26}

In all cases the substitution reactions fitted perfectly to a single exponential equation. The observed \textit{pseudo} first-order rate constant, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), varied linearly with the concentration of the nucleophiles and their plots passed through the origin. Representative plots of \( k_{\text{obs}} \) against nucleophiles of the concentration are shown in \textit{Figure 4.6} (also Supporting Information, \textit{Figures S4.5 and S4.6}).

![Figure 4.6](image)

\textbf{Figure 4.6} \hspace{1cm} Concentration dependence of the \textit{pseudo} first-order rate constant, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), for the substitution of aqua ligand in Pt2 with the thiourea nucleophiles at pH = 2, \( T = 298 \text{ K}, I = 0.02 \text{ M HCF}_{3}\text{SO}_{3} \), adjusted with LiCF_{3}SO_{3}.

The slopes of the concentration dependence graphs gave the second-order rate constants, \( k_2 \), at 25 °C for the direct attack of the nucleophiles and are summarized in \textit{Table 4.4}. The absence of non-zero intercepts for all the complexes suggest that the reactions are irreversible in nature as proposed in \textit{Scheme 4.3}. 
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Table 4.4 Summary of the second-order rate constants, $k_2$ and activation parameters, with the corresponding standard deviations for the substitution of the aqua ligand(s) by a series of thiourea nucleophiles at pH = 2, $I = 0.02$ M HCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>$k_2$/M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S^o$/J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^o$/kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt1</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>22 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-109 ± 6</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>12 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-116 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-88 ± 3</td>
<td>42 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt2</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>61 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-24 ± 9</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>38 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-30 ± 10</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-68 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt3</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>66 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-23 ± 5</td>
<td>56 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>41 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-81 ± 4</td>
<td>39 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-53 ± 11</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 4.3 Proposed reaction mechanism for the substitution of aqua ligand(s) by thiourea nucleophiles studied.

Thus, the corresponding associative rate law can be written as Equation 4.4:

$$k_{obs} = k_2[Nu]$$ (4.4)

The temperature dependence of the second-order rate constants were studied over a temperature range of 15 °C - 40 °C in 5 °C intervals. The resulting thermodynamic activation parameters, entropy of activation ($\Delta S^o$) and enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^o$) were obtained by Eyring equation and the data obtained are summarized in Table 4.4 and representative Eyring plots are shown in Figure 4.7 (also Supporting Information Figures S4.7, S4.8 and Tables S4.6 to S4.11).
Data in Table 4.4 clearly indicate that when a Ru(qpy) moiety replaces the pyridyl ligand of Pt(en)(py) through the 4'-pyridine ring of Ru(qpy), the resultant cis heterometallic complex is more reactive towards the incoming nucleophiles studied. When the rate constants of the aqua complexes are compared, using Pt1’s rate constant as the common denominator, the ratio of the rate constants for the substitution of aqua ligands by TU is 1 : 2.78 : 2.98, respectively for Pt1, Pt2 and Pt3. A similar trend is observed for the substitution of aqua ligand by DMTU and TMTU. Thus, the rate of substitution of aqua ligand(s) by the S-donor thiourea nucleophiles increased in the order: Pt3 ≈ Pt2 > Pt1.

The reactivity increased by almost three fold from Pt1 to Pt2 by incorporation of the (tpy)Ru(qpy) moiety. DFT calculations show that attachment of the (qpy)Ru(tpy) moiety slightly increases the Pt–N cis(py) bond from Pt1 (2.051 Å) to Pt2 (2.057 Å), this small change may be attributed to the pulling of bonding electrons towards the qpy linker. The net effect of this is a slight increase in the positive NBO charge on the Pt(II) metal centre of Pt2. Thus, it seems that tridentate coordination of qpy to Ru(II) enhances the electronic transitions from the Pt(II) metal centre towards the pyridyl...
group.\textsuperscript{54} Electrochemical and TD-DFT computational studies reported by Gagliardo \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{55} for heterometallic Ru(II)-Pd(II) complexes linked by a similar terpyridine linker, 4’-{4-BrC\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{2}(CH\textsubscript{2}NMe\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}-}3,5\textsubscript{-2,2’:6’,2”}-terpyridine (TPBr) showed the existence of electronic intermetal communication between Ru(II) and Pd(II) metal centers, due to the presence of the aromatic linker.\textsuperscript{55} Such intermetal electronic communications between the adjoining metal centers have also been reported for polymetallic Ru(II) complexes with tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine (tppz) aromatic linkers.\textsuperscript{56} However, unlike the work reported in \textit{Chapter 3}, electronic interactions between the metal centres decrease when the pyridyl group was attached to the 4’-position of the terpyridine moiety as such electronic interactions become less effective with longer separations between the metal centres.\textsuperscript{57} Also, in Pt\textsubscript{2}, the orthogonal geometry of the \textit{cis} pyridyl moiety to the plane of Pt(II) centres further reduces possible electronic transitions between the two metal centres.

Coordination of Ru(II) moiety to Pt\textsubscript{1} decreases the energy of LUMO (π\textsuperscript{*}) orbitals resulting in a smaller HOMO-LUMO gap as in Pt\textsubscript{2} (3.14 eV) to that of Pt\textsubscript{1} (4.89 eV). This makes it easier to transfer the electrons from the HOMO to the empty LUMO (π\textsuperscript{*}) orbitals. Such decrease in HOMO-LUMO gaps have been reported\textsuperscript{12d} for heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes and was attributed to the stabilization of the anti-bonding orbital of the aromatic bridging ligand on coordinating the Ru(II) moiety to the Pt(II) metal centre. The decrease in LUMO energy stabilizes the five coordinate transition state complex by enhancing the transfer of electrons from the 18 electron transition state complex to the qpy ligand, which in turn enhances the approach of the incoming nucleophile.\textsuperscript{11b,42b,52} Furthermore, reactivity increases with the increase in deviation of the pyridyl group from the square planar Pt(II) plane from 87.93° to 92.84° respectively for Pt\textsubscript{1} to Pt\textsubscript{2}, however small, might enhancing the ease of the nucleophile to approach the metal centre.\textsuperscript{11b}

Additionally, in each case, the \textit{cis} pyridyl group is nearly perpendicular to the Pt(II) centre, in such a way that the \textit{ortho}-hydrogen atoms (H\textsubscript{ortho}) on the pyridyl group imposes some degree of steric hindrance to the aerial approach of the nucleophiles.\textsuperscript{44} An equal amount of steric hindrance would be felt on both above and below the square planar Pt(II) metal centre. This aerial steric hindrance, despite small, decreases on moving from Pt\textsubscript{1} (2.993 Å) to Pt\textsubscript{2} (3.037 Å) to Pt\textsubscript{3} (3.051 Å) (\textit{Figure 4.8, Table 4.2}). As expected, the decrease in steric hindrance results in an increase in the substitution
reactivity from \textbf{Pt1} to \textbf{Pt2} to \textbf{Pt3}. This steric influence certainly plays a role in controlling the reactivity of the complexes.

Figure 4.8  Schematic representation of the aerial steric effect due to the \textit{ortho}-H atoms on the \textit{cis} pyridyl moiety. Optimized structure obtained for Pt1 from computational calculations using Gaussian09 software package.

Another factor which may lead to the higher reactivity of \textbf{Pt2} compared to \textbf{Pt1} is the increase in the overall charge of the complex which enhances the electrophilicity and hence, the reactivity of the complex. The DFT calculated HOMO electron density of \textbf{Pt1} lies on the Pt(II) metal centre while in \textbf{Pt2} it is centred on the Ru(II) metal centre and the capped tpypyridine ligand leaving the Pt(II) metal centre electron free. This indicates that Ru(II) moiety accommodates the electron density. DFT calculated higher global electrophilicity index\textsuperscript{46c} along with the smaller \(pK_a\) obtained for \textbf{Pt2} further support its higher electrophilicity compared to that of \textbf{Pt1}.\textsuperscript{6a,b,11b,47c} If all the facts are combined together, it can be concluded that the (tpy)Ru(qpy) lowers the energy of anti-bonding LUMO, hence enhances the removal of electron density from the Pt(II) centre making it more electrophilic whereby increasing the substitution reactivity.

A comparison of the reactivity of \textbf{Pt3} is not significantly different from that of \textbf{Pt2} despite the increase in the overall charge of the complex.\textsuperscript{5c,9} The octahedral geometry of the linking Ru(qpy) does not permit extended \(\pi\)-conjugation between the coordinated qpy ligands, which would further increase the reactivity. As such, the effect of the octahedrally coordinated qpy ligands on the reactivity is independent of each other since the \(\pi\)-extended molecular orbital in each qpy are mutually orthogonal at the Ru(II) metal centre. The Ru(II) ion acts as an orthogonal shatter to the flow of delocalization of \(\pi\)-electron density across the entire semi-rigid linker.
Keeping in mind that coordination of the Ru(II) moiety to the Pt(II) metal centre stabilizes the π* orbitals of the aromatic bridging linker, one notices that when the second Pt(II) moiety is added to Pt2, the resulting LUMO orbitals of Pt3 decreases slightly compared to the difference observed between Pt1 and Pt2. The slightly higher reactivity of Pt3 to that of Pt2 is attributed to the increased overall charge along with the DFT calculated slightly decreased LUMO (π*) energy and the higher global electrophilicity index, (ω) of Pt3. Thus, Pt3 has a slightly greater potential to accept electrons from the incoming nucleophiles, despite its lower HOMO energy, hence, the observed reactivity. The similar reactivity is further supported by the similar pKₐ values obtained for both Pt2 and Pt3.

The slightly higher reactivity of Pt3 can also be due to the enhanced metal-metal couplings in Pt3. Since qpy is a semi-rigid linker, there is some degree of conformational freedom in terms of rotation around the C–C single bond (C1–C1', Figure 4.1 and also see Figure S4.23, Table S4.12) between the parent terpyridine backbone and the 4'-pyridyl moiety. Such tilt angles between the subunits of aromatic linkers play a crucial role on the degree of metal-metal interactions and electronic transitions in supramolecular complexes. Since the DFT calculated tilt angle between the 4'-pyridyl group and the plane of terpyridine backbone, in Pt3 (30.31 °) is slightly smaller than that of Pt2 (35.53 °), the metal-metal interactions and electronic transitions in Pt3 is expected to be slightly higher. This somewhat influences the reactivity. Furthermore, the slight increase in the planarity of the qpy linker in Pt3 is also responsible for the observed red shifted metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT) absorption maximum in Pt3 as depicted in Figure 4.9. This has been reported and was attributed to the enhanced metal-metal coupling and electronic interactions along with the enhanced π-backbonding within the complex.
The complexes investigated are sensitive towards the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles. In all cases, the rate was fastest for TU, following the order TU > DMTU > TMTU and is in line with the steric effect of DMTU and TMTU. TMTU was the slowest due to the bulkiness of the nucleophile.

The observed relatively low enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^e$) and negative entropy of activation ($\Delta S^e$) support for associative mode of activated process, typical for square planar platinum complexes. The characteristic negative $\Delta S^e$ values is a result of bond formation in the transition state. However, the smaller entropy of activation obtained for both Pt2 and Pt3 compared to that Pt1 might be due to the re-organisation of the solvent causing an increase in the entropy which cancels out the negative intrinsic contribution resulting from the bond formation.
4.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of the $^{195}$Pt NMR experiment shows that cis geometry confers stability$^{26}$ which precludes labilization of the Ru(qpy) linker unlike what has been reported for substitution reactions involving complexes of trans geometry with sulfur donors.$^{63,44}$ Also unlike bridged cis Pt(II) complexes reported,$^{28,50}$ the cis Ru(II) moiety enhances the substitution reactivity. Apart from the increased overall charge and the electrophilicity, the octahedrally coordinated Ru(II) moiety lowers the energy of antibonding LUMO ($\pi^*$) orbitals whereby enhancing the metal-metal interactions and electronic transitions within the molecule. This seems to have changed the electronic structure of the system sufficiently enough to promote the reactions as observed from the reactivity trend $\text{Pt3} \approx \text{Pt2} > \text{Pt1}$. The reactivity of Pt2 and Pt3 are almost equal since the qpy ligands lie near orthogonal planes at the Ru(II) metal centre, which prevents the efficient flow of extended $\pi$-electron density through the three metal centres as supported by the $pK_a$. However, DFT calculations and the spectroscopic data show a slight increase in metal-metal coupling on increasing the number of metal centres and the qpy ligand in Pt3 compared to Pt2. The observed enthalpy of activation, $\Delta H^*$ and the entropy of activation, $\Delta S^*$ support an associative mode of substitution.
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4.6 Supporting Information

A summary of wavelengths at which the kinetic studies were performed, spectra obtained for titrations of \textbf{Pt2}, \textbf{Pt3} with \( \text{NaOH} \), spectral absorbance change for \textbf{Pt1} with \( \text{TU} \) and plots of the dependence of \( k_{\text{obs}} \) against concentration of the nucleophiles and plots from temperature dependence studies along with tables of kinetic data, graphs of exemplary mass spectra for \( \text{qpy} \) ligand and \textbf{Pt1}, exemplary spectrum for microanalysis of \textbf{Pt1} and the representative spectra for \textsuperscript{1}H NMR and \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR work reported in this study are given as electronic supporting information (ESI).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Complex & Nu & Wavelength (\( \lambda \), nm) \\
\hline
\textbf{Pt1} & TU & 273 \\
& DMTU & 274 \\
& TMTU & 274 \\
\hline
\textbf{Pt2} & TU & 291 \\
& DMTU & 291 \\
& TMTU & 296 \\
\hline
\textbf{Pt3} & TU & 284 \\
& DMTU & 284 \\
& TMTU & 350 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Summary of the wavelengths (nm) used to study the substitution reactions of the complexes with thiourea nucleophiles.}
\end{table}
Table S 4.2  Geometry-optimised structures of the platinum complexes investigated and distribution of the electron density on the platinum complexes investigated. The blue area indicates the most electropositive areas and the red region indicates the most electronegative areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure Pt1" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt2</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure Pt2" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt3</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure Pt3" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 4.3  Calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) for the Ru terpyridine moieties; Ru(tpy)$_2$ and Ru(qpy)$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>HOMO</th>
<th>LUMO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(tpy)$_2$</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(tpy)$_2$ HOMO]</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(tpy)$_2$ LUMO]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(tpy)(qpty)</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(tpy)(qpty) HOMO]</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(tpy)(qpty) LUMO]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(qpy)$_2$</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(qpy)$_2$ HOMO]</td>
<td>![Image of Ru(qpy)$_2$ LUMO]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.4 Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included are the data obtained for the DFT calculated Ru(II) analogues; Ru(tpy)$_2$, Ru(tpy)(qpy) and Ru(qpy)$_2$ for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pt1</th>
<th>Pt2</th>
<th>Pt3</th>
<th>Ru(tpy)$_2$</th>
<th>Ru(tpy)(qpy)</th>
<th>Ru(qpy)$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths, Å</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–Pt</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>11.247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3–Cl’(dipyridine)</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td>1.488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–N$_{trans}$(qpy)</td>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>2.016</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>2.004</td>
<td>2.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru–N$_{trans}$(tpy)</td>
<td>2.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>2.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles, °</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{cis}$–Pt–N$</em>{cis}$</td>
<td>177.99</td>
<td>177.95</td>
<td>178.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH$<em>2$–Pt–N$</em>{trans}$</td>
<td>174.51</td>
<td>175.36</td>
<td>174.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{trans}$–Pt–N$</em>{cis}$(py)</td>
<td>94.07</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>94.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{trans}$–Pt–N$</em>{cis}$(NH$_2$)</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>83.76</td>
<td>83.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_{cis}$(py)–Pt–OH$_2$</td>
<td>91.17</td>
<td>90.35</td>
<td>90.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_{cis}$(NH$_2$)–Pt–OH$_2$</td>
<td>90.83</td>
<td>91.62</td>
<td>91.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{cis}$–Ru–N$</em>{cis}$ (tpy)</td>
<td>157.64</td>
<td>157.80</td>
<td>157.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{cis}$–Ru–N$</em>{cis}$ (qpy)</td>
<td>157.82</td>
<td>157.65</td>
<td>157.72</td>
<td>157.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$<em>{trans}$–Ru–N$</em>{trans}$</td>
<td>179.97</td>
<td>179.90</td>
<td>179.99</td>
<td>179.97</td>
<td>179.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation of (en)</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(relative to coord. plane)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of inclination of water from Pt plane</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (H$_2$O)</td>
<td>-0.913</td>
<td>-0.915</td>
<td>-0.914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_{cis}$(py)</td>
<td>-0.528</td>
<td>-0.528</td>
<td>-0.528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td>-0.404</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>-0.410</td>
<td>-0.408</td>
<td>-0.409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.405</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>-0.410</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N9</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.420</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>-0.226</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>-0.148</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>-0.226</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
<td>-0.234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1’</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy gap, eV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>-6.18</td>
<td>-6.23</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>-2.78</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$E$_{HOMO}$–LUMO</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>$D_{2d}$</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table S 4.5: Summary of DFT calculated data from Spartan08 Computational calculations for the complexes investigated. Data included for references.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Pt1</th>
<th>Pt2</th>
<th>Pt3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond lengths, Å</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–OH₂</td>
<td>2.136</td>
<td>2.136</td>
<td>2.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N&lt;sub&gt;trans&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>2.056</td>
<td>2.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt – N&lt;sub&gt;cis&lt;/sub&gt;(HN₂)</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>2.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt – N&lt;sub&gt;cis&lt;/sub&gt;(py)</td>
<td>2.066</td>
<td>2.091</td>
<td>2.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru – Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>11.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond angles, °</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twist of 4'-py from plane of tpy ligand</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>39.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deviation of py (relative to Pt(II) coord. plane)</td>
<td>89.83</td>
<td>94.98</td>
<td>96.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NBO charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>1.185</td>
<td>1.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;trans&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.943</td>
<td>-0.943</td>
<td>-0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;cis&lt;/sub&gt; (py)</td>
<td>-0.653</td>
<td>-0.652</td>
<td>-0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N&lt;sub&gt;cis&lt;/sub&gt; (NH₂)</td>
<td>-0.974</td>
<td>-0.967</td>
<td>-0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy gap, eV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>-14.52</td>
<td>-14.14</td>
<td>-16.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>-9.37</td>
<td>-11.87</td>
<td>-13.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE&lt;sub&gt;HOMO - LUMO&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η / eV</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ / eV</td>
<td>-11.95</td>
<td>-13.01</td>
<td>-15.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω / eV</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>74.19</td>
<td>77.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S 4.1: UV/visible spectrum for the titration of Pt₂ (5.0 x 10⁻⁵ M) with NaOH, pH range from 2 - 9 at 298 K. Inset is the titration graph of absorbance verses pH at 327 nm from pH 2 - 6.
Figure S 4.2  UV/visible spectrum for the titration of Pt3 (2.5 x 10^{-5} M) with NaOH from pH 2 - 9 at 298 K. Inset are the titration graphs of absorbance verses pH at 297 nm.

Figure S 4.3  Spectrum obtained from Cary UV/Visible spectrophotometer for the substitution of H_2O from Pt1 (1.850 x 10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}) with Tu (2.780 x 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) in methanol solution at pH = 2, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M HCF_3SO_3, adjusted with LiCF_3SO_3. Inset is the time resolved kinetic trace obtained at 273 nm.
**Figure S 4.4** Kinetic trace at 284 nm for the reaction of Pt(1.248 × 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) with TU (3.744 × 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) at 298 K, pH = 2.0, I = 0.02 \text{ M} \text{ HCF}_3\text{SO}_3, adjusted with Li\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3.

**Figure S 4.5** Concentration dependence of the pseudo first-order kobs for the substitution of aqua ligand in Pt1 with the thiourea nucleophiles at pH = 2, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 \text{ M} \text{ HCF}_3\text{SO}_3, adjusted with Li\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3.
Figure S 4.6 Concentration dependence of the pseudo first-order $k_{\text{obs}}$ for the substitution of aqua ligand in Pt3 with the thiourea nucleophiles at pH = 2, $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M HCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

Figure S 4.7 Eyring plots obtained for Pt1 with the nucleophiles for the substitution reactions over the temperature range $15-40$ °C at pH = 2, $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M HCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$. 

40
Figure S 4.8  Eyring plots obtained for Pt3 with the nucleophiles for the substitution reactions over the temperature range 15-40 °C at pH = 2, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M HCF₃SO₃⁻, adjusted with LiCF₃SO₃.

Table S 4.6  Average observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \) s⁻¹, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt1 with thioureia nucleophiles, at pH = 2, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M HCF₃SO₃⁻, adjusted with LiCF₃SO₃⁻.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th></th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc, mM</td>
<td>( k_{\text{obs}} ) s⁻¹</td>
<td>Conc, mM</td>
<td>( k_{\text{obs}} ) s⁻¹</td>
<td>Conc, mM</td>
<td>( k_{\text{obs}} ) s⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.00185</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.00121</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.000473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.00363</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.00218</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.00102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.00591</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.00322</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.00165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.00811</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.00469</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.00213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.01058</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.00546</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 4.7  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt1 by thiourea nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [Pt1], pH = 2, $I = 0.02 \text{ M HCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-3.04956</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-3.65076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.8304</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-3.40433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-2.64079</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-3.24791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-2.4258</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-2.98288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-2.13686</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-2.76149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 4.8  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt2 with thiourea nucleophiles, at pH = 2, $T = 298 \text{ K}$, $I = 0.02 \text{ M HCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Conc, mM}$</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\text{Conc, mM}$</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, \text{ s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.00956</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.00954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.01813</td>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.02208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.02732</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.0341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.572</td>
<td>0.03543</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.04421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.04252</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.05356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 4.9  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt2 by thiourea nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Pt1], pH = 2, $I = 0.02 \text{ M HCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.32889</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.26821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-2.0545</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.8327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-1.58638</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-1.40179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-1.20635</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-1.11602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00319</td>
<td>-0.89219</td>
<td>0.00319</td>
<td>-0.84015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S 4.10  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$ s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt3 with thiourea nucleophiles, at pH = 2, T = 298 K, $I = 0.02$ M HCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc, mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc, mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc, mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01647</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.01496</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.00266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.02546</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.00435</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.02535</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.04083</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.0331</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.05032</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.00991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.0402</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.06337</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.01342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S 4.11  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the aqua ligand in Pt3 by thiourea nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Pt1], pH = 2, $I = 0.02$ M HCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCF$_3$SO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.87293</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.48116</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-1.13116</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.71432</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00319</td>
<td>-0.42996</td>
<td>0.00319</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S 4.9  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4'-(4'''-pyridyl)2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons on the aromatic rings.
Figure S 4.10  \(^1\)H NMR and \(^{195}\)Pt NMR spectra of Pt(en)Cl\(_2\) in DMF-\(^d_7\).
Figure S 4.11 $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum of Pt1-Cl in DMF-$d_7$. 
Figure S 4.12  \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of Ru(qpy)(tpy)Cl\(_2\). Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons on the aromatic rings.
Figure S 4.13  $^1$H NMR spectrum of Pt2-Cl. Inset is the signal due to the alkyl protons.
Figure S 4.14 $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum of Pt3-Cl.
Figure S 4.15  $^1$HNMR spectrum of [Ru(qpy)$_2$Cl]$_2$. Spectrum zoomed in to show the signals due to the protons on the aromatic rings.
Figure S 4.16  $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of Pt3-Cl. Insets are the signals due to the alkyl protons and due to Pt.
Figure S 4.17  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of 4'-{(4''-pyridyl)2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (qpy).
Figure S 4.18  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of Pt1-Cl.
Figure S 4.19  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of [Ru(qpy)(tpy)Cl₂].
Figure S 4.20  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Pt2-Cl.
Figure S 4.21  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of [Ru(qpy):Cl₂].
Figure S 4.22  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Pt3·Cl.
Experimental

4'-pyridyl-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (qpy)
2-acetylpyridine (5 g, 4.13 mol), was added to a suspension of NaOH in polyethylene glycol (PEG 300) (35 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at 0 °C. 4-pyridine carboxaldehyde (2.21 g, 2.06 mol) was added to the suspension and left at 0 °C for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was stirred manually in every 15 minutes due to the high viscosity of the reaction mixture. After this time, excess NH₄OAc (10 g) was added and the suspension was heated at 100 °C for 2 hours. Brown precipitate was formed from the initially formed red solution. Water (75 mL) was added to the precipitate. The precipitate was filtered, washed with more water (50 mL) followed by cold ethanol (10 mL). The ligand was recrystallized from ethanol. Yield: 3.5 g, (55 %). Crystal good for X-ray determinations were obtained by recrystallizing the ligand in ethanol and slow evaporation of the solvent.

Preparation of about 0.1 M Ruthenium(III) Solution
RuCl₃·3H₂O (~1.5 g, 5.7 mmol) was refluxed in a mixture (70 mL) of a 1 M HCl and EtOH (v:v = 1:1) for 3 hours. The mixture was cooled and filtered. The filtrate was reduced in vacuo to 10 mL. An aqueous solution of 1 M HCl was used to dilute the solution to the required acidified ~ 0.1 M Ru(III) solution.

[Ru(tpy)Cl₃]
Equal amounts of RuCl₃· 3H₂O (~150 mg, 0.574 mmol) and terpyridine were refluxed in absolute EtOH (125 mL) for 3 hours. The resulting reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was filtered, washed with cold absolute EtOH (5 mL) followed by copious amount of ethylether and dried under vacuo. Yield: 191 mg, 433 mmol, (76 %), brown precipitate.

[Ru(qpy)Cl₃]
The Ru moiety was synthesized following the literature. The ligand qpy (100 mg; 0.323 mmol) was refluxed in MeOH (25 mL) until it dissolved. To this solution, a 0.1 M Ru(III) solution (3.25 mL; 0.33 mmol) was added drop-wisely. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 1.5 hours. The precipitated crude product was filtered hot. The filtrated was cooled to 253 K, which resulted in the precipitation of a relatively pure product. The product was filtered and dried. Yield: 84 mg, 0.162 mmol (49%).
Ru(qpy)(tpy)Cl$_2$

This Ru moiety was synthesized by a slight modification of the literature method.$^{11c}$ To a filtered solution of AgBF$_4$ (240 mg; 1.232 mmol) in acetone (15 mL), [Ru(tpy)Cl$_3$] (40 mg; 0.080 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 18 hours in the dark after which the precipitated AgCl was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL in vacuo. Into the resulting green oil the ligand qpy (43 mg, 0.148 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 2 hours in DMF (20 mL) which reduced Ru(III) to Ru(II). The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo to (1.0 mL) which gave a red oil. A saturated solution of LiCl (2 mL) in EtOH was added to the red oil. A precipitate was formed on adding the oil to acetone (200 mL). The precipitate obtained was purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina using CH$_3$CN: EtOH (50: 50) as the eluent. The first red band was collected and was lyophilised with diethylether. Recrystallization of the precipitate with a mixture of MeOH and diethylether yielded a relatively pure product.

[Ru(qpy)$_2$]Cl$_2$

The Ru moiety was synthesized by a slight modification of the literature method.$^{11c}$ To a filtered solution of AgBF$_4$ (350 mg; 1.798 mmol) in acetone (20 mL), [Ru(qpy)Cl$_3$] (68.9 mg; 0.133 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 18 hours in the dark after which the precipitated AgCl was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated to 1 mL in vacuo. To the resulting green oil, the ligand qpy (46 mg, 0.147 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 2 hours in DMF (25 mL) which reduced Ru(III) to Ru(II). The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered. The red filtrate was reduced to 1 mL to afforded a red oil. A saturated solution of LiCl (6 mL) in EtOH was then added to the red oil. A precipitate was formed on adding acetone (400 mL). The precipitate was purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina using acetone: MeOH: EtOH (3: 6: 1) as the eluent. The first red band was collected and lyophilised with diethylether gave a relatively pure product.

Pt(en)Cl$_2$

The platinum complex was synthesized following a literature procedure.$^{31}$ Into an aqueous solution of K$_2$PtCl$_4$ (125 mg, 0.300 mmol), an aqueous solution of ethane-1,2-diamine (0.018, 0.300 mmol) was added drop wise. The pH of the solution was brought to 6. The reaction mixture was stirred at 313 K. During the reaction, the pH of the solution was maintained between 5-6 using 0.1 M NaOH. The reaction was stopped
when the pH of the solution no longer changed. The yellow precipitate which formed was filtered, washed with water and ethanol and dried.

Yield: 0.068 g, (70%), yellow powder. $^1$HNMR (400 MHz, DMF) δ/ ppm: 5.54 (4H, br, NH), 2.79 (4H, br). $^{195}$Pt (-3298).

**Pt$^2$-Cl**

This compound was synthesized by a slight modification of the literature method.$^{11c}$ To a stirred solution of [Pt(en)(py)Cl]$^-$ (56 mg, 0.168 mmol)) in 5 mL of DMF at 310 K, AgNO$_3$ (28 mg, 0.168 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added drop wise over three hours. Subsequently the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 313 K. After removing the formed AgCl precipitate using a 0.45 μm nylon membrane filter (Millipore), [Ru(tpy)(qpy)]Cl$_2$ (120 mg, 0.168 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was stirred for another 18 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and co-evaporated three times with EtOH/MeOH (50:50) (20 mL) to remove DMF. The precipitate formed was redissolved in MeOH. The desired product was precipitated with slow addition of diethylether.

**Pt$^3$-Cl**

The compound was synthesized by a slight modification of the literature method.$^{11c}$ To a stirred solution of [Pt(en)(py)Cl]$^-$ (84 mg, 0.252 mmol)) in 20 mL of DMF at 310 K, AgNO$_3$ (43 mg, 0.252 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was added drop wise over three hours. Subsequently the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 313 K. After removing the formed AgCl precipitate using a 0.45 μm nylon membrane filter (Millipore), [Ru(qpy)$_2$Cl$_2$] (100 mg, 0.126 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was stirred for another 18 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and co-evaporated three times with EtOH/MeOH (50:50) (25 mL) to remove DMF. The precipitate formed was redissolved in MeOH. The desired product was precipitated with slow addition of diethylether.
X-ray Crystallography
Needle-like crystals good for X-ray crystallography were obtained by recrystallizing the ligand in ethanol. The X-ray crystal structure obtained for qpy ligand which shows that the qpy ligand is not planar. The terminal pyridine ring systems adopt a trans-trans conformation about the interannular bonds C2—C2′ and C6′—C2'' (Figure S 4.23, Table S4.12) as reported previously for terpyridine and 4'-functionalized terpyridine ligand systems. Of particular interest is the C5''—C4''—C4'—C5' torsion angle since this has been shown to be important in determining the crystal structures of platinum complexes of the 4'-pyridyl (terpyridine) ligand systems. The value is 25.95°, showing a large twist of the 4'-pyridyl group about the C4''—C4' interannular bond i.e. the 4'-pyridyl group twists out of the plane of the central pyridine ring by a large amount in order to minimise steric repulsion between the methyl group and the hydrogen atom attached to C5'.

Figure S 4.23 Perspective view showing the molecular geometry and atom numbering scheme for the qpy ligand. Non-H atoms are drawn as 50% thermal ellipsoids and the H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radius.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C10 H7 N2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>155.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>100(2) K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>pbcn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>a = 11.082(5) Å, α = 90.000(5)°.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b = 11.408(5) Å, β = 90.000(5)°.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c = 12.140(5) Å, γ = 90.000(5)°.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>1534.8(12) Å³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (calculated)</td>
<td>1.343 Mg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>0.083 mm⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size</td>
<td>0.10 x 0.100 x 0.35 mm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection</td>
<td>2.56 to 31.08°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index ranges</td>
<td>-15&lt;=h&lt;=16, -16&lt;=k&lt;=16, -12&lt;=l&lt;=17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected</td>
<td>9744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>2440 [R(int) = 0.0319]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta = 25.00°</td>
<td>99.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refinement method</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data / restraints / parameters</td>
<td>2440 / 0 / 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on F²</td>
<td>1.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices [I&gt;2sigma(I)]</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0519, wR2 = 0.1421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data)</td>
<td>R1 = 0.0713, wR2 = 0.1563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole</td>
<td>0.308 and -0.224 e Å⁻³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter Five

Understanding the Role of Flexible 4’-Functionalised Polyethylene glycoxy Chains on the Behaviour of Platinum(II) (4’-(ethylene glycoxy)-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine- A kinetic and a Mechanistic Study

5.0 Abstract
The ligand substitution kinetics of 4’-functionalized mono nuclear Pt(II) (4’-(ethylene glycoxy)-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine complexes of the form; [Pt(nY-tpy)Cl]Cl] (where Y = ethylene glycoxy, n = number of ethylene glycoxy units = 1, 2, 3 and 4, tpy = 2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine) with thiourea (TU), 1,3-dimethyl-2-thiourea (DMTU), 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea (TMTU) and iodide (I) were investigated under pseudo first-order conditions as a function of concentration and temperature by conventional stopped-flow technique. The observed first-order rate constants followed the simple rate law \( k_{obs} = k_2 [\text{Nu}] \). The data obtained shows that the ethylene glycoxy pendant, trans to the leaving group, acts as a \( \sigma \)-donor into the terpyridine ligand and is effective only up to \( n = 1 \), beyond which the substitution reactivity of the complexes are controlled by the steric influence of the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant units, which decreases with the increase in the number of ethylene glycoxy units. The activation parameters obtained support an associative mode of mechanism, where bond formation in the transition state is favoured. The observed reactivity trends were supported by density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

5.1 Introduction
The tridentate N-donor ligand, 2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine, also known as tpy, first reported by Burstall\(^1\) and Morgan,\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\) is one of the most attractive ligands for metal coordination. The ligand has very rich chemistry since it can easily form transition metal complexes with potential applications in various fields such as biochemistry, photochemistry,\(^3\) nanoscience and supramolecular chemistry.\(^4\) In the biomedical applications, terpyridine and its derivatives are used as potential sensors for tumour cells\(^5\) due to their ability to interact with double strand DNA and proteins.\(^3c,6\) Some complexes of terpyridine were found to be cytotoxic against human ovarian cancer.\(^6b,7\) However, interactions of some of these complexes with certain biomolecules such as sulfur...
donors were found to exhibit toxic side effects. Therefore, the search for obtaining better cytotoxic agents with improved side effects is of great focus. So there is need for investigating the mechanism of interactions of these compounds with biomolecules and DNA.

Recent synthetic techniques have opened new possibilities for the synthesis of functionalized terpyridine complexes. More importantly, functionalization in the 4’ position by using substituted terpyridine ligands such as 4’-chlorterpyridine or 4’-hydroxyterpyridine derivatives are of great interest today. However, applications of functionalized terpyridine complexes have not been fully studied.

In this regard, square planar terpyridine derivatives are useful models for studying the substitution behaviour of Pt(II) complexes. Only the ligand in the fourth coordinate position of terpyridine is replaced in a simple substitution reaction. During substitution, the π-acceptor orbitals of pyridine units in terpyridine ligand accepts the electrons from metal centre including those from the incoming ligand and stabilizes the transition state intermediate. This π-acceptor effect is responsible for the high reactivity of the unfunctionalized Pt(II) terpyridine type complexes. Literature data focusing on understanding the substitution kinetics of unfunctionalized terpyridine complexes and its derivatives is available.

Mono nuclear Pt(II) terpyridine complexes have been useful in understanding the effect of a non-carrier ligand on the rate of substitution at the metal centres. It has been illustrated from previous studies, that the structure and the electronic properties of the chelate ligand backbone control the rate of the ligand substitution of square planar metal complexes. Furthermore, it has also been established that the degree of lability of the leaving group is influenced by the σ and π- structural features of the ancillary group of the terpyridine ligand system.

The effect of the ancillary group on the π-acceptor property of the terpyridine complexes of the form: [Pt(R-tpy)X]⁺ (where R = H; Ph; Ph(o-CH₃); Ph(o-Cl); where Ph = phenyl group, X = Cl, OH) has been investigated previously. Data obtained from these studies reveals that electron withdrawing groups on the terpyridine ancillary ligand increases the rate of ligand substitution while electron donating groups have the opposite effect. The influence of the ligand substitution is also controlled by the extent of the π-backbonding from the metal centre to the terpyridine ligand backbone.
Basolo et al.\textsuperscript{16} and Tobe et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that substitution kinetics of bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine complexes possessing appending ancillary groups on the \textit{trans} position of the non-labile ligand system showed that the \textit{trans} effect was more dominant over \(\pi\)-acceptor effect. When electron donating head groups were attached to the \textit{trans} \(N\) atom, an increase in the rate of ligand substitution was observed.\textsuperscript{18} This increase in the reactivity was thought to be due to the increased ground state stabilization caused by the \textit{trans} effect of the appended group. Structural variations due to such appending groups were thought to enhance the anti-tumour activity of the complex in biological systems.\textsuperscript{19}

To extend this understanding we functionalized the terpyridine at 4'-position by polyethylene glycoxy groups. We have investigated the ligand substitution behaviour of 4'-functionalized mono nuclei Pt(II) terpyridine complexes of the form; [Pt(\(nY\)-tpy)Cl]Cl (where \(Y = \) ethylene glycoxy, \(n = \) number of ethylene glycoxy units = 1, 2, 3 and 4, tpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine) with TU, DMTU, TMTU and I. The length of the polyethylene glycoxy tail is systematically increased by incorporating base 2 – 4 units.

It is our expectation that the results of this study will reveal the role of flexible poly glycoxy pendant groups on the substitution reactions of Pt(II) terpyridine. The structures of the investigated complexes are shown in \textit{Figure 5.1}.

![Figure 5.1 Structures of polyethylene glycoxy appended Pt(II) complexes studied. Shown on the diagram is the numbering scheme used. Pttpy is included for reference.](image-url)
5.2 Experimental

5.2.1 Materials

Methanol (Merck) was distilled over magnesium\(^{20}\) prior to use for kinetic analysis. Dimethylsulfoxide (99.9\%) from Aldrich was used without any further purification. Ethylene glycol (99.8\%), diethylene glycol (99\%) and triethylene glycol (99\%) were bought from Sigma Aldrich. The ligand, 4’-chloro,2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (97\%), tetaethylene glycol (99\%), and the platinum salt, potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) (99.9\%) were bought from Aldrich. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were used without further purification.

5.2.2 Synthesis of Ligands

The syntheses of ligands were carried out by literature procedures.\(^{21}\) To a suspension of KOH in dry DMSO at 50 °C was added the ethylene glycol and its respective polymer (n = 2, 3, 4) in excess. After stirring for 30 minutes, 4’-chloro-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 20 hours at this temperature. Upon cooling to room temperature, the reacting mixture was treated with deionised water and filtered. The crude product was extracted from the filtrate in dichloromethane (3 x 30 mL) dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and the solvent removed. 4’-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (tpye\(\text{g}\)) and 4’-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (tpyde\(\text{g}\)) gave a white paste and a white powder respectively. Crude products were purified in THF to give white solids, pure enough for platination.

The purity of the ligands was confirmed by \(^1\)H NMR and mass spectroscopy. The \(^1\)H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region for the ligands.

\((\text{tpye\(\text{g}\))}: \text{Yield: 250 mg, (70\%), off white paste.} \)\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(\text{3}\)) \(\delta/\text{ppm: 8.63 (d, 2H, 6’), 8.55 (2H, d, 3 3’), 7.99 (2H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.79 (dt, 2H, 4 4’), 7.29 (dt, 2H, 5 5’), 4.23 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.97 (t, 2H, CH2).}  \)\(^13\)C NMR (77 MHz, CDCl\(\text{3}\)), \(\delta/\text{ppm: 61.1, 69.6, 107.6, 121.5, 123.8, 136.9, 148.9, 155.6, 157.2, 167.1. TOF MS-ES\(^+\), m/z: 316.1062, (M+Na)\(^+\).}\)

\(^{20}\) s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, dt = doublet of a triplet. The same representation is used for the other complexes.
(tpydeg): Yield: 190 mg, (80%), white powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ ppm: 8.69 (d, 2H, 6 6’’), 8.62 (d, 2H, 3 3’’), 8.13 (s, 2H, 3’ 5’’), 7.87 (t, 2H, 4 4’’), 7.35 (t, 2H, 5 5’’), 4.46 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.94 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.77 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.69 (t, 2H, CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (77 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ / ppm: 61.7, 68.1, 69.9, 72.8, 108.3, 121.6, 124.0, 137.1, 148.9, 155.7, 156.7, 167.3. Anal. Calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$N$_3$O$_3$: C, 67.64; H, 5.68; N, 12.46; Found: C, 68.00; H, 5.81; N, 11.97. TOF MS-ES$, m/z$: 360.1324, (M+Na)$^+$. 

(tpy): Yield: 175 mg, colourless oil (70%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ ppm: 8.66 (d, 2H, 6 6’’), 8.59 (d, 2H, 3 3’’), 8.03 (s, 2H, 3’ 5’’), 7.83 (t, 2H, 4 4’’), 7.31 (t, 2H, 5 5’’), 4.40 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.92 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.73 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.69 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.60 (t, 2H, CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (77 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ / ppm: 61.7, 67.9, 69.8, 70.4, 71.0, 72.9, 107.8, 121.4, 123.9, 136.9, 148.9, 155.9, 157.0, 167.1. TOF MS-ES$, m/z$: 404.1580, (M+Na)$^+$. 

(tpytteg): Yield: 183 mg, (74%), pale brown oil. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ ppm: 8.38 (d, 2H, 6 6’’), 8.30 (d, 2H, 3 3’’), 7.72 (s, 2H, 3’ 5’’), 7.58 (t, 2H, 4 4’’), 7.07 (t, 2H, 5 5’’), 4.11 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.63 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.44 (t, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.37 (m, 4H, CH$_2$), 3.27 (m, 4H, CH$_2$). $^{13}$C NMR (77 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ / ppm: 39.5, 40.6, 42.4, 60.9, 60.9, 67.5, 69.1, 69.8, 70.0, 70.2, 70.3, 70.5, 72.5, 72.5, 107.2, 121.1, 123.8, 136.8, 148.8, 155.4, 156.7, 166.7. TOF MS-ES+, $m/z$: 448.1848, (M+Na)$^+$. 

5.2.3 Synthesis of Platinum(II) Complexes

The synthesis of the complexes was carried out using the following procedure: To a stirred solution of [Pt(cod)Cl$_2$]$^{15b}$ in dry methanol at room temperature, a suspension of the ligand in dry methanol was added at 55 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at the same temperature, after which the solution was cooled and filtered. When the bright yellow filtrate was concentrated under vacuo, the desired compound precipitated as pale yellow solid. The compound was filtered, washed with chloroform (20 mL), cold methanol (1 x 5 mL), diethylether (2 x 15 mL) and dried and stored in a desiccator.

The identity and the purity of the final complexes were confirmed by using $^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR, $^{195}$Pt NMR, elemental analyses, IR and mass spectroscopy. The $^1$H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region. Presence of a peak at about -2700 ppm on the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra confirms the Pt(NNN) coordination.
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(Ptppyeg): Yield: 35 mg, (55%), pale yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: 10.23 (2H, d, 6 $''$), 9.79 (2H, d, 3 $'''$), 9.78 (t, 2H, 4 $'$$'$), 9.39 (2H, s, 3 $'$ 5$'$), 9.20 (t, 2H, 5 $''$), 5.88 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.44 (t, 2H, CH2). $^{195}$Pt NMR (CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: -2715. IR (4000-650 cm$^{-1}$) ν: 3237 (O-H), 3062 (C-H stretch), 1607 (C=H, pyridine), 1476 - 1423 (C-H stretch), 1222.43 (C-O), 788 (C-H stretch). *Anal. Calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{23}$Cl$_2$N$_3$O$_2$: C, 36.51; H, 2.70; N, 7.51; *Found*: C, 36.38; H, 3.20; N, 7.92. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 524.0482, (M+1)$^+$.

(Ptppydeg): Yield: 46 mg, (64%), crystalline yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: 9.76 (d, 2H, 6 $''$), 9.67 (d, 4H, 3 $'''$), 9.65 (t, 2H, 4 $'$$'$), 9.17 (s, 2H, 3 $'$ 5$'$), 9.07 (t, 2H, 5 $''$), 5.87 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.38 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.21 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.11 (t, 2H, CH2). $^{13}$C NMR (77 MHz, CD$_3$OH), δ / ppm: 62.26, 69.93, 72.01, 74.07, 112.07, 126.95, 130.28, 142.73, 152.27, 156.70, 159.58, 170.89. $^{195}$Pt NMR (CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: -2705. IR (4000-650 cm$^{-1}$) ν: 3338 (O-H), 3071 (C-H stretch), 1607 (C=H, pyridine), 1476 - 1430(C-H stretch), 1219 (C-O), 773(C-H stretch). *Anal. Calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$Cl$_2$N$_3$O$_2$: C, 37.73; H, 3.41; N, 6.76; *Found*: C, 37.35; H, 3.75; N, 6.34. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 568.0847, (M+1)$^+$.

(Ptppyteg): Yield: 38 mg, dark orange powder (60%). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: 9.98 (dd, 2H, 6 $''$), 9.73 (s, 2H, 3 $'''$), 9.71 (d, 2H, 3 3$'$), 9.29 (t, 2H, 4 $'$$'$), 9.13 (t, 2H, 5 $''$), 5.90 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.40 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.22 (m, 2H, CH2), 5.14 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.11 (t, 2H, CH2), 5.04 (t, 2H, CH2). $^{195}$Pt NMR (CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: -2708. IR (4000-650 cm$^{-1}$) ν: 3332 (O-H), 3071 (C-H stretch), 1607 (C=H, pyridine), 1448 - 1429 (C-H stretch), 1220 (C-O), 774 (C-H stretch). *Anal. Calc. for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$Cl$_2$N$_3$O$_2$: C, 38.96; H, 3.58; N, 6.49; *Found*: C, 38.48; H, 3.41; N, 6.32. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 612.1027, (M+1)$^+$.

(Ptppytteg): Yield: 30 mg, (65%), mustard yellow powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: 8.75 (d, 2H, 6 $''$), 8.60 (d, 2H, 3 3$'''$), 8.49 (t, 2H, 4 $'$$'$), 8.25 (s, 2H, 3 $'$ 5$'$), 7.90 (t, 2H, 5 $''$), 4.51 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.90 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.67 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.60 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.53 (m, 4H, CH2), 3.47 (t, 2H, CH2), 3.40 (t, 2H, CH2). $^{13}$C NMR (77 MHz, CD$_3$OH), δ / ppm: 39.4, 40.7, 41.0, 60.7, 70.2, 70.4, 70.5, 72.8, 111.2, 125.3, 126.3, 129.9, 143.2, 155.9 158.9, 169.5. $^{195}$Pt NMR (CD$_3$OH) δ/ ppm: -2710. IR (4000-650 cm$^{-1}$) ν: 3246 (O-H), 3064 (C-H stretch), 1607 (C=H, pyridine), 1476 - 1423 (C-H stretch), 1220 (C-O), 773 (C-H stretch). *Anal. Calc. for C$_{23}$H$_{27}$Cl$_2$N$_3$O$_3$: C, 40.47; H, 3.54; N, 5.92; *Found*: C, 40.27; H, 3.17; N, 5.60. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 657.1368, (M+1)$^+$.
5.2.4 Physical Measurements

$^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer, at 303 K using Si(CH$_3$)$_4$ as the reference for the chemical shifts. $^{195}$Pt NMR were done on a 500 MHz spectrometer ($^{195}$Pt, 107.5 MHz) chemical shifts externally referenced to K$_2$[PtCl$_6$]. Low resolution electron spray ionization (ESI$^+$) mass spectra were recorded on a TOF Micromass spectrometer. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded by using Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by a Thermal Scientific Flash 2000. Kinetic analyses were studied on an Applied Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow reaction analyser coupled with an online data acquisition system with controlled temperature within ± 0.1 °C. The wavelengths for the kinetic analysis were predetermined on Varian Cary 100 Bio UV/visible spectrophotometer with an attached Varian Peltier temperature-controller and an online kinetic application. X-ray crystal structure for the ligand qpy was solved using an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur 2 CCD 4-circle diffractometer linked to an Oxford Cryostat System. The data collection was done at 100 K.

5.2.5 Computational Modelling

Computational modelling for the complexes was performed at Density Functional Theoretical (DFT) level based on B3LYP/LanL2DZ$^{22}$ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double ξ) level theory, with inner core electrons of Pt atom replaced by relative Effective Core Potential (ECP). Due to low electronic spin of Pt(II), the DFT calculations of the complexes were done at singlet state. The complexes were computed in methanol solution taking into account the solvolysis effect by means of the Conductor Polarizable Continuum Model (C-PCM).$^{23}$ The Gaussian09 suite of programs was used for all computational calculations.$^{24}$

5.2.6 Kinetic Analyses

All kinetic measurements were performed under pseudo first-order conditions using at least 10-fold excess of the nucleophile in 0.02 M ionic solution, made by dissolving the required amount of LiCF$_3$SO$_3$ (0.018 M) and LiCl (0.002 M) in dry methanol. LiCl was added to suppress the solvolysis reactions. Since CF$_3$SO$_3^-$ does not coordinate with the Pt(II) metal centre,$^{25}$ all substitution kinetics were studied in this media.

Pt(II) complex solutions were prepared by dissolving the required amount of the complex in the ionic solution. Nucleophile solutions were prepared at 50 times the concentration of the Pt(II) complex. Subsequent dilutions of the nucleophile stock
solution afforded solutions of 10, 20, 30 and 40 times the concentration of metal complex. The wavelengths chosen for the kinetic investigations were pre-determined using UV/visible absorption spectra (See Table S5.1). A typical kinetic trace for the reaction of Pttpydeg with TU at 330 nm and 298 K is shown in Figure 5.2 and Figure S5.1.

Substitution reactions were fast, and were studied on an Applied Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow system coupled with an online data acquisition system. All measurements were carried out in a thermostatted environment to within ± 0.1 °C. All data were graphically analysed using the software package, Origin 7.5® to determine the observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$. All kinetic data obtained were fitted to first-order exponential decay function to generate the observed pseudo first-order rate constants, $(k_{\text{obs}})$, using Equation 5.1 at all concentrations and temperatures.

$$A_t = A_0 + (A_\infty - A_0) \exp(-k_{\text{obs}} t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

where $A_0$, $A_t$ and $A_\infty$ represent the absorbance of the reaction mixture initially, at time, $t$ and at the end of the reaction respectively.
The observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), for the nucleophiles at different concentrations were determined in the same manner. The values used were averages of seven to ten independent runs. Linear graphs with zero intercepts were obtained for the nucleophiles studied. The second-order rate constants, \( k_2 \), for the reactions of the platinum complexes with the nucleophiles were obtained from the slopes and the intercepts of the graphs of \( k_{\text{obs}} \) versus the concentration of the nucleophiles (Equation 5.2). Representative plots for Ptpydeg, shown in Figure 5.3 clearly indicate that the substitution reactions were first-order with respect to the incoming nucleophile.

\[
 k_{\text{obs}} = k_2 [Nu] \tag{5.2}
\]

where \( k_2 \) is the second-order rate constant.

Figure 5.3 Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants (\( k_{\text{obs}} \)) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptpydeg (4.0 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution (\( I = 0.02 \) M) at 298 K.
The temperature dependence studies were done in a similar manner, using a single nucleophile concentration in the temperature range 15 - 40 °C in 5 °C intervals. The activation parameters, entropy of activation (ΔS*) and enthalpy of activation (ΔH*), were obtained using the Eyring equation. Figure 5.4 shows the representative plots obtained for Ptppydeg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions with the nucleophiles. Representative graphs are also given in Figures S5.2 to S5.9.

![Eyring plots obtained for Ptppydeg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.](image)

5.3 Results and Discussion

5.3.1 Synthesis and Characterization

In this study, four square planar 4'-functionized Pt(II) terpyridine complexes of polyethylene glycoxy pendant groups, which vary by the number of appended ethylene glycoxy units or monomers, were synthesized and characterized. The ligands have been synthesized by different methods. Details of the synthesis and the spectroscopic data were given under experimental. The spectroscopic data obtained were in good agreement with the literature and the proposed chemical structures of the ligands and the complexes. To avoid the formation of the diterpyridine ligand, ethylene glycol reagent was added in large excess. Representative ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR, IR and mass spectra are given in Figure S5.10 to Figure S5.34 (Supporting Information). The peak due to the OH proton is not seen in any of the ¹H NMR spectra due to the
proton exchange with the solvent, methanol.\textsuperscript{28} The \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR signals for all the complexes appeared at around -2700 ppm, typical for N\textsuperscript{N}N\textsuperscript{N} coordinated square planar Pt(II) centre.\textsuperscript{18,29} Furthermore, the IR spectra of the complexes show distinct broad bands at around 3200 to 3300 cm\textsuperscript{-1} due to the O–H stretches along with the C–H peaks at around 3000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.\textsuperscript{30} Additionally, the crystal structure obtained for \textbf{Ptpypdeg} (Figure S5.35) confirms the synthesis of the anticipated complex. However, the compound could not be resolved to a satisfactory quality due to desolvation.

5.3.2 DFT Calculations

In order to obtain further insight on how the ethylene glycoxy pendant groups influence the substitution kinetics of the Pt(II) complexes, the electronic properties of the complexes were investigated at DFT level. The DFT calculated geometry optimized structures (also Table S5.2) along with the DFT calculated data are given in Figure 5.5 and Table 5.1 respectively. Table S5.3 (Supporting Information) shows that the geometry at the Pt(II) centre is slightly distorted square planar which is common to \textbf{Ptppy} type of complexes.\textsuperscript{10,13g}

When compared the DFT calculated natural bond orbital (NBO) charges on the platinum and N\textsubscript{trans} atoms of \textbf{Ptppy} and \textbf{Ptpyeg}, the value for platinum decreases from \textbf{Ptppy} to \textbf{Ptppyeg}. The same is true for the charge on N\textsubscript{trans}, i.e, it becomes more negative. This difference can be linked to the attachment of ethylene glycoxy pendant to the 4’-position of the parent \textbf{Ptppy} molecule. However, when the NBO charges of the corresponding atoms of the ethylene glycoxy appended molecules (i.e \textbf{Ptpyeg} to \textbf{Ptptyttag}) are compared, the values are observed to be constant. The results show that the inductive effect to the 4’-position of the complex is limited to the first glycoxy unit only. The subsequent units have little or no effect. This implies that the electronic effect beyond the first unit have little or no influence on the reactivity of the complexes.

Since reactivity parameters are explained by various associated electronic structure principles, the DFT calculated computational data was further analysed to understand the global chemical reactivity descriptors\textsuperscript{31} such as chemical hardness ($\eta$, which relates to the thermodynamic stability of the molecule),\textsuperscript{32} electronic chemical potential ($\mu$, which defines the electronegativity of the molecule) and electrophilicity index ($\omega$, which measures the propensity of a species to accept electrons).\textsuperscript{33} DFT calculated $\mu$ and $\omega$ further support the observed changes in the NBO charges as already discussed. The electrophilicity index shows that the ability of the complex to accept electron decreases
from **Pttpy** to **Ptpytteg** after which it remains constant. This is an indication of reduction of π-backbonding ability of the terpyridine moiety from **Pttpy** to **Ptpytteg** due to the introduction of the attachment of ethylene glycoxy pendant.

### Table 5.1
Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated Pttpy complex for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>Ptpytteg</th>
<th>Ptpytteg</th>
<th>Ptpytteg</th>
<th>Ptpytteg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond Length (Å)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1—Cl</td>
<td>2.446</td>
<td>2.445</td>
<td>2.446</td>
<td>2.446</td>
<td>2.446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt—N1(trans)</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'C—O1</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntrans—O1</td>
<td>4.111</td>
<td>4.110</td>
<td>4.110</td>
<td>4.110</td>
<td>4.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond angles (°)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation angle of ethylene glycoxy pendant (α)</td>
<td>37.55</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>19.38</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NBO charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1(trans)</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{HOMO}$/eV</td>
<td>-7.04</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-6.88</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{LUMO}$/eV</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>-3.22</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E$</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole moment</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$ /eV</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ /eV</td>
<td>-5.20</td>
<td>-5.04</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
<td>-5.05</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega$ /eV</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.5  DFT calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) and the planarity of the complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated Ptppy complex for comparisons.
5.3.3 Kinetics

Substitution kinetics of coordinated chloride from the Pt(II) complexes (Figure 5.1) by four different nucleophiles, i.e. TU, DMTU, TMTU and an ionic nucleophile, I were studied under pseudo first-order conditions using the conventional stopped-flow technique. Only one step, taken to be the substitution of the chloride ligand was observed with no solvation pathway. Thus, the proposed substitution mechanism for the complexes studied can be represented as shown in Scheme 5.1. The kinetic data obtained are summarized in Table 5.2. Additional data are given in Tables S5.4 to S5.12

Scheme 5.1 Proposed mechanism for the substitution of chloride ligand from the Pt(II) complexes.

To understand the role of the polyethylene glycoxy pendant units on the rate of chloride substitutions, the reactivities of Ptppy and Ptppye were compared. The difference between them is the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant group in Ptppye. When a single ethylene glycoxy pendant group is attached to the 4'-position of the terpyridine chelate ligand, the reactivity of the resultant metal complexes is reduced by almost five times that of Ptppy showing that the polyethylene glycoxy pendant is acting as a σ-donor including the trans phenyl ring as supported by the DFT calculations. Furthermore, through π-resonance effect, the lone pair of electrons on the O1 atom of the glycoxy pendant is donated towards the Pt(II) centre. As can be seen from Table 5.1, the inductive σ-electron donation along with the π-electron contribution from the ethylene glycoxy pendant increases the negative charge on the Ntrans atom of Ptppye compared to Ptppy. Consequently, the positive charge on the Pt(II) centre decreases marginally from 0.604 (Ptppy) to 0.592 (Ptppye), indicating that the electron density flow is towards the metal centre, and can be attributed to the well-known trans effect.34

As reported previously, electron donating groups on the ancillary position of terpyridine reduces the positive charge at the metal centre, thereby lowering the electrophilicity of the metal centre.13g,h,15h,35 This observation in this study is supported
by the DFT calculated global electrophilicity index, $\omega$, which clearly indicates that the ability of the parent \textbf{Ptppy} to accept the electron density from the incoming nucleophile gets considerably decreased when the ethylene glycoxy pendant unit is attached to 4'-position of the terpyridine backbone.$^{31,36}$ In addition, from the perspective that a high dipole moment favours higher $\pi$-back donation character,$^{37}$ the observed smaller dipole moment of \textbf{Ptppyeg} further supports its lower reactivity relative to \textbf{Ptppy}. Additional support is obtained by the energy levels of the molecular orbitals, HOMO and LUMO. The fact that the LUMO energy of the \textbf{Ptppyeg} is slightly raised (-3.21 eV) related to \textbf{Ptppy} (-3.35 eV), makes it difficult to $\pi$-back donate. Thus, reduces the transfer of electron density from the 18- electron five coordinate Pt(II) $d_{xz}$ orbital into the tridentate terpyridine ligand, thereby making the transition state less stable. This can be reflected on from the observed slightly higher activation enthalpy, $\Delta H^*$ of \textbf{Ptppyeg}, which indicates the slightly higher energy barrier associated with the formation of transition state complex. The net effect is the observed decrease in the electron acceptability of the terpyridine moiety in comparison to that of \textbf{Ptppy}, resulting in a decreased rate of substitution of chloride in \textbf{Ptppyeg}. 
Table 5.2  Summary of second-order rate constants, \( k_2 \) and activation parameters, with the corresponding standard deviations for the substitution of the chloro ligand by a series of thiourea nucleophiles and iodide at \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, adjusted with LiCl. Given in brackets for TU is the data for Ptppy taken from literature<sup>13g</sup> and included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>( k_2 / \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \Delta S^+ / \text{J} \text{K}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \Delta H^+ / \text{kJ} \text{mol}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>(1494 ± 10)</td>
<td>(-88 ± 5)</td>
<td>(29 ± 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1421 ± 25</td>
<td>-76 ± 4</td>
<td>35 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>448 ± 10</td>
<td>-73 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>-91 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>243 ± 4</td>
<td>-42 ± 11</td>
<td>47 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>257 ± 5</td>
<td>-56 ± 6</td>
<td>43 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>81 ± 1</td>
<td>-98 ± 6</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>-57 ± 7</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>95 ± 2</td>
<td>-32 ± 9</td>
<td>53 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppydeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>265 ± 1</td>
<td>-66 ± 5</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>83 ± 1</td>
<td>-54 ± 9</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
<td>-83 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>91 ± 2</td>
<td>-44 ± 9</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>277 ± 1</td>
<td>-60 ± 3</td>
<td>41 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>93 ± 1</td>
<td>-57 ± 3</td>
<td>45 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>19 ± 0.3</td>
<td>-65 ± 6</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>91 ± 1</td>
<td>-48 ± 6</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>321 ± 4</td>
<td>-67 ± 5</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
<td>-46 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>-88 ± 6</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>156 ± 2</td>
<td>-44 ± 5</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This retardation effect observed between Ptppy and Ptppyeg has also been noted previously by Schmülling et al.<sup>30</sup> where an electron donating methoxy (OCH<sub>3</sub>) group was attached to the ancillary ligand of a Pt(II) complex of the form [Pt(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>XY(CH<sub>2</sub>NMe<sub>2</sub>))[NC<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>](H<sub>2</sub>O)] where X = OMe. The decrease in the reactivity was accounted for in terms of the σ-inductive donation from the methoxy group to the metal centre making it less electrophilic. A similar effect due to electron donating groups attached at the 4'-position of the terpyridine ligand has also been reported previously by Jaganyi et al.<sup>13g,15h</sup>
Furthermore, the decrease in the rate of substitution of $\text{Pttpyeg}$ is also attributed to the steric contribution imposed on one side of the Pt(II) coordination sphere by the inclined appended ethylene glycoxy unit (Figure 5.6) thereby hindering the approach of the axially incoming nucleophile. This steric influence exists in $\text{Pttpy}$ since the angle of inclination, $\alpha$, is absent in $\text{Pttpy}$. Therefore, it can be concluded that the difference in the rate of substitution between $\text{Pttpy}$ and $\text{Pttpyeg}$ is due to both steric and electronic effects.

The analysis of the reactivity of the other complexes having ethylene glycoxy pendant shows a slight increase in the rate of substitution with the increase in the number of ethylene glycoxy units. One would have expected the reactivity to decrease due to increase in the $\sigma$-inductive effect, however that is not the case and is supported by the DFT calculations. The observed NBO charges on the Pt(II) centre and $N_{\text{trans}}$ atom of $\text{Pttpyeg}$ to $\text{Pttpytteg}$ are all constant indicating that no change is being experienced by the Pt(II) centres as the pendant unit is increased. The $\sigma$-donation and the $\pi$-resonance contribution (due to $O1$) is effective only up to the first glycol unit. The global electrophilicity index shows a very small increase with an increase in the pendant unit which might be linked to the increase in electrons within each pendant unit. Therefore, the remaining factor that accounts for the observed reactivity from $\text{Pttpyeg}$ to $\text{Pttpytteg}$ is steric hindrance due to the inclination of the ethylene glycoxy pendant group to the plane containing Pt(II). This angle $\alpha$, which has also been reported in literature by Jaganyi et al.,$^{39}$ when investigating the reactivity of Pt(II) complexes having appended poly alkyl groups, decreases from $\text{Pttpyeg}$ (37.55 °) to $\text{Pttpytteg}$ (17.32 °) as depicted in Figure 5.6. This means that one side of these complexes experiences steric hindrance which decreases as the angle of inclination decreases with increase in the number of pendant unit. This results in reactivity increasing slightly from $\text{Pttpyeg}$ to $\text{Pttpytteg}$.
There is also a possibility that very weak intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the lone pair of electrons on the oxygen atoms and the neighbouring H atoms or the OH, might be contributing to the stabilization of the five coordinate transition state, which increases with the increase in the number of oxygen atoms in the pendant (Scheme S5.1, Supporting Information).

If all the facts are put together, it explains the observed substitution reactivity trend: Ptppy >> Ptptyteg > Ptppydeg > Ptppyeg. If the reactivity of Ptppy with TU is taken as a reference, the ratio of reactivity follows: 1.00 : 0.17 : 0.18 : 0.19 : 0.22 respectively for Ptppy, Ptppyeg, Ptppydeg, Ptppyteg and Ptptyteg. The slight changes in reactivity for the ethylene glycoxy pendant complexes are sterically controlled with minor or no electronic effects.

The data in Table 5.2 clearly shows a dependence of the chloride substitution on the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles. In all cases, TU has the highest rate constant and the rate constant decreases as the incoming nucleophile gets more bulky; i.e., rate constants for DMTU and TMTU are significantly lower compared to TU. The results show that the complexes are sensitive to the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles which is typical of an associative substitution reaction. In case of the ionic nucleophile, I⁻, the rate of substitution of the chloride ligand was slower than TU. This difference in reactivity has been explained to be a result of the electrostatic attraction between the anionic iodide and the cationic metal centre facilitated by the high polarisability of the I⁻ ion.
The activation parameters obtained for the substitution of the chloride ligands support an associative mode of mechanism.\(^4\) The large and negative entropy of activation \((\Delta S^\ddagger)\) suggests a more ordered transition state. The small enthalpies of activation \((\Delta H^\ddagger)\) support the ease of bond formation in the transition state.\(^10,13\) This is typical for substitution of \(d^8\) square planar Pt(II) complexes.\(^10,15,18,39,40,42\)

5.4 Conclusions

This study demonstrates that the polyethylene glyoxy pendant attached \textit{trans} to the leaving group of Ptppy, acts as a \(\sigma\)-donor towards the Pt(II) centre, thereby decreasing the reactivity compared to the parent Ptppy molecule. The \(\sigma\)-donation due to the pendant unit and the \(\pi\)-electronic contribution due to O1 is effective only up to one unit of the ethylene glycoxy pendant, beyond which there is no significant electronic effect on the Pt(II) metal centre. Thus, the retardation of the reactivity from Ptppy to Ptpyeg is mostly due to both steric and electronic effects caused by the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant. However, the slight increase in substitution reactivity from Ptpyeg to Ptpytteg is mainly sterically controlled as the angle of inclination of the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant decreases from the Pt(II) plane. The complexes are sensitive to the incoming nucleophiles as demonstrated by the decrease in rate constant depending on the size. The activation parameters, enthalpy of activation and entropy of activation well support an associative mode of mechanism, where bond formation in the transition state is favoured.
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5.6 Supporting Information

A summary of wavelengths at which the kinetic studies were performed, plots of the dependence of $k_{obs}$ against concentration of the nucleophiles and plots from temperature dependence studies along with tables of kinetic data, graphs of exemplary mass spectra and the representative spectra for $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR work reported in this study are given as electronic supporting information (ESI).

Table S5.1 Summary of the wavelengths (nm) used to study the substitution reactions of the complexes with thiourea nucleophiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Wavelength ($\lambda$), nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppydeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptpytteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S5.2 Geometry-optimised structures of the platinum complexes investigated and distribution of the electron density on the platinum complexes investigated. The blue area indicates the most electropositive areas and the green region indicates the most electronegative areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptppy" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppydeg</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptppydeg" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyteg</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptppyteg" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppytteg</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptppytteg" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S5.1 Kinetic trace at 284 nm for the reaction of Pttpy (1.3 x 10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}) with Tu (3.7 x 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) at 298 K, \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF_{3}SO_{3}, adjusted with LiCl.

Figure S5.2 Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants (\( k_{\text{obs}} \)) on the concentrations of TU for the chloride substitution from Pttpy (4.0 x 10^{-5} M) and
Figure S5.3  (a) Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants \(k_{\text{obs}}\) on the concentrations of TU for the chloride substitution from Ptppy \(4.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\) and (b) Eyring plot obtained for Ptppy with TU for the over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution \(I = 0.02 \text{ M LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3, \text{adjusted with LiCl}\) at 298 K.
Table S5.3  Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated Ptty complex for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Ptty</th>
<th>Pttyeg</th>
<th>Pttydeg</th>
<th>Pttyteg</th>
<th>Pttytteg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1—N2(cis)</td>
<td>2.0486</td>
<td>2.0510</td>
<td>2.0490</td>
<td>2.0508</td>
<td>2.0488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt—N3(cis)</td>
<td>2.0486</td>
<td>2.0491</td>
<td>2.0532</td>
<td>2.0513</td>
<td>2.0501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1—O2</td>
<td>2.9737</td>
<td>2.9705</td>
<td>2.9734</td>
<td>2.9709</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2—O3</td>
<td>2.8311</td>
<td>3.1122</td>
<td>3.1222</td>
<td>3.0948</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3—O4</td>
<td>2.8679</td>
<td>3.1265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4—O5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1-O5/O1-03/O1-04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4784</td>
<td>8.3258</td>
<td>9.0097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1—O1</td>
<td>6.0703</td>
<td>6.0704</td>
<td>6.0701</td>
<td>6.0814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntrans—O1</td>
<td>4.11104</td>
<td>4.1102</td>
<td>4.1101</td>
<td>4.1210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1—Pt—Cl</td>
<td>179.99</td>
<td>179.543</td>
<td>179.60</td>
<td>179.40</td>
<td>179.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2—Pt—Cl</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.030</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>99.31</td>
<td>98.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3—Pt—Cl</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.426</td>
<td>99.52</td>
<td>99.14</td>
<td>99.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3—Pt—N2</td>
<td>161.90</td>
<td>161.544</td>
<td>161.56</td>
<td>161.55</td>
<td>161.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2—Pt—N1</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>80.799</td>
<td>80.73</td>
<td>80.86</td>
<td>80.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1—Pt—N3</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>80.746</td>
<td>80.83</td>
<td>80.69</td>
<td>80.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral -cl</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>-0.559</td>
<td>-0.560</td>
<td>-0.560</td>
<td>-0.608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>-0.814</td>
<td>-0.658</td>
<td>-0.650</td>
<td>-0.656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>-0.821</td>
<td>-0.665</td>
<td>-0.658</td>
<td>-0.658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.824</td>
<td>-0.657</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 4</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Group</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground state energy</td>
<td>-876.46</td>
<td>-1105</td>
<td>-1259</td>
<td>-1413</td>
<td>-1567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S5.4  Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptppyeg ($4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl) at 298 K.

Figure S5.5  Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentration of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptppyeg ($4.00 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl) at 298 K.
Figure S5.6  Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constant ($k_{obs}$) on the concentration of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptpytteg ($4.00 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.) at 298 K.

Figure S5.7  Eyring plots obtained for Ptpytteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.
Figure S5.8  Eyring plots obtained for Ptppyteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.

Figure S5.9  Eyring plots obtained for Ptppyteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.
### Table S5.4
Average observed rate constants, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Pttpy with TU, at $T = 298$ K and Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Pttpy by TU at 30-fold excess over [Pttpy], $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2$/T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.12503</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>0.21285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.26255</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>0.45944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.40997</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>0.65416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.57142</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.94986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.73003</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>1.14465</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S5.5
Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs}$ s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Pttpyeg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.06831</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.02068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.12859</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.03861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.18792</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.0564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.2332</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.07593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>0.29235</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>0.09408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S5.6
Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Pttpyeg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [Pttpyeg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$/T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.77375</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.85666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.41648</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.55805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.1006</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.30418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.13394</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-1.15741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.38996</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.93678</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S5.7  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptppydeg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298 \text{ K}$, $I = 0.02 \text{ M LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>Iˉ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.0533</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.01594</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.00557</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.02111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.10391</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.03404</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.00991</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.04101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.15373</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.04892</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.01392</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>0.05605</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.20663</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.06539</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.01813</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.07142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.26106</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0845</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.02223</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.09049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S5.8  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptppydeg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [Ptppyeg], at $T = 298 \text{ K}$, $I = 0.02 \text{ M LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>1/T, K⁻¹</th>
<th>$\ln(k_2/T)$</th>
<th>1/T, K⁻¹</th>
<th>$\ln(k_2/T)$</th>
<th>1/T, K⁻¹</th>
<th>$\ln(k_2/T)$</th>
<th>1/T, K⁻¹</th>
<th>$\ln(k_2/T)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.71115</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.97003</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-3.1435</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.80055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.36447</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.80919</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.37186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.15161</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.29658</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-2.56486</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.17219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.14608</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.94055</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-2.3508</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.80536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.37893</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.74569</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-1.99632</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.44109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S5.9  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptppydeg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298 \text{ K}$, $I = 0.02 \text{ M LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}} \text{ s}^{-1}$</th>
<th>Iˉ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.06301</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.02378</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.00483</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.02073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.11921</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.04244</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.03996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.1792</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.06119</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.01256</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.2252</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.08255</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.01699</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.0816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.29024</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.09991</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.02095</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>0.09814</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S5.10  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptpyteg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [Ptppyeg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.66929</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.80685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.34999</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.51259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.0966</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.17105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.1892</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.89035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.46045</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.6124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S5.11  Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs}$ s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptpyteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.06242</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.02311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.12927</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.04156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.18476</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.06049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.2388</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.07858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.29756</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>0.09822</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S5.12  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in Ptpyteg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [Ptppyeg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.45212</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.80917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.22695</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.41566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>0.09414</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.06236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.37004</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.77722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.56892</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.50865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S5.10  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4'-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.11 $^{13}$C Spectrum of 4'-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.12 $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of Ptppyeg
Figure S5.13  
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 4'-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 

![NMR spectrum image]
Figure S5.14  
$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $4'\cdot (2\cdot (2\text{-hydroxyethoxy})\text{ethoxy})\cdot 2\cdot 6',2''\cdot \text{terpyridine}$ in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.15  $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of ptppydeg.
Figure S5.16  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Ptpydeg
Figure S5.17  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4'-{2-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy}-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.18  $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 4'-{2-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy}-2,2',6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.19  $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of Ptptyeg.
Figure S5.20  $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4'-{2-[2-(2-Hydroxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethoxy}ethoxy)-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.21 $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $4'(2(2\text{-}(2\text{-}(2\text{-}\text{Hydroxyethoxy})\text{ethoxy})\text{ethoxy})\text{ethoxy})\cdot 2,2':6',2''$ terpyridine in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S5.22  $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum of Ptppyteg.
Figure S5.23 High resolution ESI mass spectrum of tpyeg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Calc. Mass</th>
<th>mDa</th>
<th>PPM</th>
<th>DBE</th>
<th>i-FIT</th>
<th>i-FIT (Norm)</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>316.1058</td>
<td>316.1058</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>519.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>C17 H15 N3 O2 Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316.1062</td>
<td>316.1062</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
9 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 15-20  H: 15-20  N: 0-5  O: 0-3  Na: 1-1
Alishath
tpyeg-ppt 29 (0.475) Cm (1:31)

TOF MS ES+ 8.89e+004
Figure S5.24  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of tpydeg.
Figure S5.25  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of tpyteg.
Figure S5.26  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of tpytpeg.
Figure S5.27  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptppyeg.
Figure S5.28  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of Pttpydeg.
Figure S5.29  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptppyteg.
Figure S5.30  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptpytteg.
Figure S5.31   IR Spectrum of Ptppyeg.
Figure S5.32  IR Spectrum of Pttipydeg.
Figure S5.33  IR Spectrum of PTPyTeG.
Figure S5.34  IR Spectrum of Ptppyteg.
Figure S5.35  Perspective view showing the molecular geometry and atom connectivity for the Ptppydeg complex. Non-H atoms are drawn as 50% thermal ellipsoids and the H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radius.

Scheme S5.1  Possible electronic interactions and hydrogen bondings within the molecule and between the molecules and solvent.

DFT calculations
DFT calculated HOMO and LUMO energies are used to calculate the chemical hardness ($\eta$), electronic chemical potential ($\mu$) and electrophilicity index ($\omega$) by following the Equations (S5.1), (S5.2) and (S5.3) respectively.

$$\eta = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}} + \varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}}}{2} \quad (S5.1)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{HOMO}} + \varepsilon_{\text{LUMO}}}{2} \quad (S5.2)$$

$$\omega = \frac{\mu^2}{2\eta} \quad (S5.3)$$
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Chapter Six

A Kinetic and Mechanistic Investigation of Polyethyleneglycol Ether Bridged Dinuclear Platinum(II) 2,2′:6′,2″-Tpyridine Complexes

6.0 Abstract

A series of dinuclear Pt(II) complexes bridged with polyethyleneglycol ether of the type [ClPt(tpy)-O(CH₂CH₂O)ₙ(tpy)PtCl]Cl₂ where n = 1 (Ptdteg), 2 (Ptdtdeg), 3 (Ptdtteg), 4 (Ptdttteg) and linker free complex, ClPt(tpy)₂(tpy)PtCl][Cl₂. (Ptdt), (where tpy = 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine) were synthesized and characterized to investigate the role of bridging polyethyleneglycol ether linker on the substitution reactivity of the dinuclear Pt(II) complexes. Substitution reactions were studied using thiourea nucleophiles viz thiourea (TU), 1,3-dimethyl-2-thiourea (DMTU), 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea (TMTU) under pseudo first-order conditions as a function of concentration and temperature by conventional stopped-flow reaction analyser. The reactions gave single exponential fits following the rate law \( k_{\text{obs}} = k_2[Nu] \). Introduction of polyethyleneglycol ether linker decreases the electrophilicity of the platinum centre and the whole complex. The results obtained indicate that the rate of substitution reactions is controlled by both electronic and steric hindrance which increases with the length of the linker. Experimental results are supported by the density functional theory (DFT) calculations and structures obtained at LanL2DZ/ B3LYP level. The order of the reactivity of the nucleophiles is TU > DMTU > TMTU. The magnitude and the size of the enthalpy of activation and entropy of activation support an associative mode of mechanism, where bond formation in the transition state is favoured.

6.1 Introduction

Platinum metallodrugs play an important role in the treatment of cancer and modification of nucleic acids.¹ Today, multinuclear platinum complexes comprise a new class of promising anticancer agents with comparable cytotoxicity.² Compared to cisplatin, they are more water soluble and offer better DNA interactions due to their high charge.³ The multinuclear Pt(II) complexes that can interact with DNA were first synthesized by Farrell et al.²⁴ The most successful of these complexes are the monodentate amine complexes, \([[(\text{trans-PtCl(NH₃)}₂]_2[\mu-\text{trans-}...\])\]
Pt(NH$_3$)$_2$(NH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$NH$_2$)$_2$]$_4$ (BBR3464) and [{trans-PtCl(NH$_3$)$_2$}$_2$($\mu$-C$_6$H$_4$(NH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_6$NH$_2$)$_2$)]$_4$ (BBR3610). The complexes show enhanced cytotoxicity against lung, pancreatic and melanoma cancers. The interaction of these complexes with DNA were found to be based on their structural-activity relationships that depend on the length of the linker and the average distance between the Pt(II) centres. Furthermore, for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes it was found that the reactivity of the first metal centre is independent of the other. However, in some cases the interactions between the two Pt(II) centres have been reported. Therefore, there is limited data in literature for one to formulate a clear relationship between the nature of the bridging ligands and the reactivity of the Pt(II) centres.

Apart from the Pt···Pt distances, the nature of the linker or the spacer plays a crucial role in the cytotoxicity and the reactivity of multinuclear complexes. For example, the flexibility and the hydrophilicity of the linker influences the reactivity and the cytotoxicity of the complexes in a biological environment. The hydrophilicity of the linker can be increased by introducing polar groups into its linker. The advantage of having a flexible linking unit over a mono nuclear complex is that the linker provides a variable flexibility and reduces the steric hindrance between the two monomer binding units. Furthermore, hydrophilic flexible bridging linkers between two platinum centres can provide additional interactions with the proteins and the biomolecules in the body which in turn might enhance the transport of drug carrying ability in the body. For instance, in the case of BBR3464, one of the factors accounted for its higher activity against tumour cells was attributed to its ability to form long range flexible intrastand cross links, straddling over four nucleobases of DNA.

2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine, most commonly known as tpy and its derivatives are extensively used in research applications mostly due to their excellent photochemical and DNA intercalating properties. In some cases, coordinated compounds of terpyridine do not show cytotoxic behaviour. However, coupling of two active terpyridine moieties with flexible linkers were found to improve this activity. Good examples of such flexible linkers include alkyldiamine and ethyleneglycol ligands. The ethyleneglycol ether linker increases the flexibility of the ligand so that it can wrap around the DNA. Previous studies have shown that a number of multinuclear complexes have been synthesized using this linker and 4′-chloroterpyridine ligand. Biological activities of such complexes have been studied using 9-ethylguanine, (Etg).
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DNA interaction of the dinuclear Pt(II) complex, [ClPt(dtdeg)PtCl] (where dtdeg = bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-tpyridyl)]-diethylene glycol ether) studied by using Calf Thymus (CT) DNA as a substrate,\textsuperscript{10a} was reported to show very high activity against all the cancer cell lines tested. In some cases the activity was found to be greater than the well known anticancer drug, cisplatin.\textsuperscript{10a} Furthermore, the activity of the dinuclear complex was found to be higher than its mononuclear complex, [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl, indicating that the modification of the primary terpyridine ligand system improves the biological activity of the complex.\textsuperscript{10a} However, to understand the behaviour of interaction with DNA, one needs to understand the mechanism of action of substitution behaviour of such complexes with the biological molecules.

The mechanistic understanding of how flexible linkers control the ligand substitution behaviour at the platinum centres has not been studied extensively. Recently, a number of ligand substitution reactions of dinuclear Pt(II) complexes have been reported.\textsuperscript{10b,14} Studies have shown that reactivity is controlled by the distance between the platinum centres and the symmetry of the complexes.\textsuperscript{14b,c} The increase in reactivity was attributed to an increase in charge density around the platinum centre facilitated by the increase in the electrostatic interactions with simultaneous reduction of the $\sigma$-donation to each platinum centre.\textsuperscript{14c} Substitution of aqua ligands from an alkyldiamine bridged dinuclear platinum complexes further support the decrease in the reactivity with the increase in the length of the flexible alkyldiamine linker.\textsuperscript{14a,b} Furthermore, the results obtained showed a greater reactivity for the odd number of CH$_2$ groups in the alkyldiamine ligand due to their geometry.\textsuperscript{14a,14c}

In Chapter 5, with the mononuclear Pt(II) complexes, it was found that the $\sigma$-donacity of polyethylene glycoxy linker reduces the $\pi$-acceptability of terpyridine. In this study, our aim is to increase our understanding of the role of flexible polyethylene glycol ether linkers on the reactivity of dinuclear Pt(II) terpyridine complexes when a second terpyridine group is coupled with the polyethylene glycoxy pendant. To accomplish this, we have synthesized and characterized five dinuclear Pt(II) complexes, of which, four complexes are linked by polyethylene glycol ether units of different monomer units. The linker-free dinuclear complex, Ptdt was used to gain an in-depth understanding on the role of the ethylene glycoxy ether linker on controlling the reactivity of the dinuclear complexes. The monomeric unit, [Pt(tpy)Cl]$^+$ and Pt(II) (4'-(ethylene glycoxy)-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (Ptppyeg) from Chapter 5 is used to compare
the reactivity of the dinuclear complexes. The complexes used in this investigation are shown in Figure 6.1.

![Diagram of complexes](image)

Figure 6.1 Structures of polyethyleneglycol ether linked dinuclear Pt(II) complexes studied. Shown on the diagram is the numbering scheme used. Pttpy is included for comparisons.

### 6.2 Experimental

#### 6.2.1 Materials

Methanol (Merck) was distilled over magnesium prior to use for kinetic analysis. Dimethylsulfoxide (99.9%) from Aldrich was used without any further purification. Ethylene glycol (99.8%), diethylene glycol (99%) and triethylene glycol (99%) were bought from Sigma Aldrich. The ligand, 4'-chloro,2,2':6',2''-tpyridine (97%), tetraethylene glycol (99%), and the platinum salt, potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) (99.9%) were bought from Aldrich. All the other chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were used without further purification.
6.2.2 Synthesis of Ligands

The ligand 6',6''-Bis(2-pyridyl)-2,2':4',4'' :2 ',2'''-quaterpyridine (dt) was synthesized following a literature method\textsuperscript{16} and ethyleneglycol ether linked ligands were synthesized according to the literature procedure.\textsuperscript{17}

6',6''-Bis(2-pyridyl)-2,2':4',4'' :2 ',2'''-quaterpyridine (dt)\textsuperscript{16}

To a solution of [Ni(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}] (2.94 g, 4.5 mmol) and PPh\textsubscript{3} (2.36 g, 9 mmol) in DMF (15 mL) was added zinc dust (0.30 g, 4.5 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. The resulting red suspension was treated with 4'-chloro-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (0.6 g, 4.5 mmol) which immediately changed to dark green. After stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for 8 hours, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue obtained was boiled in water (50 mL) for 10 minutes, cooled and filtered. The greenish brown filtrate was treated with ammoniumhexafluorophosphate (1.5 g, 9 mmol). The precipitate formed was filtered and the solid was dissolved in a mixture of acetonitrile and water (1 : 4, 50 mL) and refluxed for one hour with sodium cyanide (1.5 g, 30 mmol). The yellow solid formed was filtered, washed with methanol and dried. Recrystallization of the solid in methanol (20 mL) gave colourless needles.

Yield: 111 mg, (69%), colourless needles. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra and the mass spectra are in good agreement with the proposed chemical structure. \textsuperscript{1}HNMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ/ppm\textsuperscript{†}: 8.72 (4H, d, 6 6''), 8.61 (4H, d, 3 3''), 8.51 (4H, s, 3' 5'), 7.88 (4H, dt, 4 4''), 7.35 (4H, dt, 5 5''). TOF MS-ES\textsuperscript{+}, m/z: 487.1639, (M+Na\textsuperscript{+}).

Synthesis of bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-tpyridyl)]- ethyleneglycol ether Ligands\textsuperscript{17}

A mixture of ethyleneglycol (with variable chain lengths \textit{viz.} ethyleneglycol, diethyleneglycol, triethyleneglycol and tetraethyleneglycol) with 2 equivalents of 4'-chloro-2,2':6',2''-tpyridine and excess KOH were stirred in dry DMSO at 60 °C for 24 hours, under nitrogen. After cooling the reaction mixture to room temperature, water was added, which resulted in a white precipitate. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and air dried. The crude product was refluxed in Ethanol (98%) for half an hour. The pure product was precipitated upon cooling the mixture in ice for about 30 minutes. The precipitate was filtered, washed with a small amount of ice cold ethanol and air dried.

\textsuperscript{†} s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, dt = doublet of a triplet. The same representation is used for the other complexes.
The purity of the ligands was confirmed by using $^1$H NMR and mass spectroscopy. The $^1$H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region for the ethyleneglycol ether linked ligands.

**Bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)]- ethyleneglycol ether (dteg):** Yield: 109 mg, (54%), off white powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ppm: 8.72 (4H, d, 6, 6’’), 8.65 (4H, d, 3 3’’), 8.15 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.88 (4H, dt, 4 4’’), 7.35 (4H, dt, 5 5’’), 4.71 (4H, t, CH$_2$), TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 547.1857, (M$^+$Na)$^+$. 

**Bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)]- diethyleneglycol ether (dtdeg):** Yield: 185 mg, (80%), off white powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ppm: 8.69 (4H, d, 6, 6’’), 8.61 (4H, d, 3 3’’), 8.08 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.85 (4H, dt, 4 4’’), 7.33 (4H, dt, 5 5’’), 4.47 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 4.07 (4H, t, CH$_2$), TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 591.2115, (M$^+$Na)$^+$. 

**Bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)]- triethyleneglycol ether (dtdeg):** Yield: 103 mg, (65%), off white powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ppm: 8.69 (4H, d, 6, 6’’), 8.61 (4H, d, 3 3’’), 8.05 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.84 (4H, dt, 4 4’’), 7.32 (4H, dt, 5 5’’), 4.42 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 3.97 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 3.81 (4H, t, CH$_2$), TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 635.2371, (M$^+$Na)$^+$. 

**Bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)]- tetraethyleneglycol ether (dttdeg):** Yield: 98 mg, (67%), off white powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ/ppm: 8.69 (4H, d, 6, 6’’), 8.61 (4H, d, 3 3’’), 8.05 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.84 (4H, dt, 4 4’’), 7.31 (4H, dt, 5 5’’), 4.40 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 3.94 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 3.78 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 3.72 (4H, t, CH$_2$), TOF MS-ES$^+$, m/z: 679.2626, (M$^+$Na)$^+$. 

### 6.2.3 Synthesis of Platinum(II) Complexes

**Synthesis of 6',6"'-Bis(2-pyridyl)-2,2':4',4'"'-quaterpyridine Platinum(II), (Ptdt)**

The silver salt AgSbF$_6$ (68.5 mg, 0.200 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (5 mL) and added to a suspension of platinum salt, [Pt(PhCN)$_2$Cl$_2$] (99 mg, 0.200 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL). The mixture was refluxed overnight under an inert atmosphere and the resultant precipitate of AgCl was filtrated. An equimolar amount of 6',6"'-Bis(2-pyridyl)-2,2':4',4'"'-quaterpyridine (92.9 mg, 0.200 mmol) was added to the filtrate and the mixture was refluxed for an additional 24 hours. Once the reflux was complete, the mixture was filtered hot and the solvent partially removed under vacuo resulting in the precipitation of $[\text{Pt}\{6',6"'-\text{Bis(2-pyridyl)}\}-2,2':4',4'"'-2',2'''-\text{quaterpyridine}\}$.
quaterpyridineCl][SbF$_6$)$_2$. The product was washed on the frit with copious amounts of diethyl ether and then smaller amounts of cold acetonitrile. The purity of the complex was confirmed using $^1$H NMR, $^{195}$Pt NMR, elemental analysis and mass spectroscopy.

Yield: 37 mg, (65%), brown powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 8.82 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 8.79 (4H, d, 3 3’), 8.08 (4H, s, 6 6’), 7.85 (4H, dt, 4 4’), 7.33 (4H, dt, 5 5’). $^{195}$Pt NMR (DMSO-$d_6$) ppm: -2710. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 498.0071, (M$^{2+}$) (C$_{15}$H$_{10}$Cl$_3$N$_3$Pt species). Anal. Calc. for C$_{30}$H$_{20}$Cl$_2$N$_6$Pt$_2$Sb$_2$F$_{12}$·7H$_2$O: C, 23.66; H, 2.25; N, 5.52; Found: C, 23.57; H, 2.65; N, 5.31.

**Synthesis of Ethyleneglycol ether Linked Dinuclear Platinum(II) Complexes**

The synthesis of the complexes was carried out using the following literature procedure:$^{10a}$ To a stirred solution of [Pt(cod)Cl$_2$] in dry methanol at room temperature, a suspension of the ligand in dry methanol was added at 65 °C drop wise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 hours at 45 °C, after which the solution was cooled and filtered. When the bright yellow filtrate was concentrated under vacuo, the desired compound precipitated. The compound was filtered, washed with chloroform (20 mL), cold methanol (1 x 5 mL), diethyl ether (2 x 15 mL) and dried and stored in a desiccator.

The identity and the purity of the final complexes were confirmed by using $^1$H NMR, $^{195}$Pt NMR, elemental analyses and mass spectroscopy. The $^1$H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region. Presence of a peak at about -2500 to -2700 ppm on the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra confirms the coordination of the ligand to platinum metal.

**Pt$^{dteg}$:** Yield: 35 mg, (45%), red brown powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 8.97 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 8.94 (4H, d, 6 6’), 8.70 (4H, d, 3 3’), 8.55(4H, dt, 4 4’), 7.99 (4H, dt, 5 5’), 4.71 (4H, t, CH$_2$), $^{195}$Pt NMR (DMSO-$d_6$) ppm: -2714. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: (M$^{2+}$), 464.1578 (C$_{13}$H$_{11}$ClN$_3$Pt species). Anal. Calc. for C$_{32}$H$_{24}$Cl$_4$N$_6$O$_2$Pt$_2$: C, 36.38; H, 2.29; N, 7.95; Found: C, 35.89; H, 2.72; N, 7.51.

**Pt$^{dtdeg}$:** Yield: 47 mg, (51%), orange powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 8.57 (4H, d, 6 6’), 8.43 (4H, d, 3 3’), 8.2 (4H, d, 4 4’), 8.17 (4H, s, 3’ 5’), 7.80 (4H, dt, 5 5’), 4.66 (4H, t, CH$_2$), 4.01 (4H, t, CH$_2$), $^{195}$Pt NMR (DMSO-$d_6$) ppm: -2699. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 568.1068, (M$^{2+}$) (C$_{19}$H$_{18}$ClN$_3$O$_3$Pt species). Anal. Calc. for C$_{34}$H$_{28}$Cl$_4$N$_6$O$_3$Pt$_2$: C, 37.10; H, 2.56; N, 7.64; Found: C, 36.78; H, 2.92; N, 7.17.
Chapter 6

(Ptdtteg): Yield: 56 mg, (57%), orange powder. \( ^1 \)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\( d_6 \)) \( \delta/ \) ppm: 8.71 (4H, d, 6 6'), 8.60 (4H, t, 4 4'), 8.18 (4H, br, 3 3'), 8.06 (4H, s, 3' 5'), 7.95 (4H, dt, 5 5''), 4.70 (4H, br, CH2), 4.22 (4H, br, CH2), 4.14 (4H, t, CH2), 195Pt NMR (DMSO-\( d_6 \)) ppm: -2683. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 568.1073, (M+2) (C19H18ClN3O3Pt species). Anal. Calc. for C36H32Cl4N6O4Pt2: C, 37.77; H, 2.82; N, 7.34; Found: C, 37.38; H, 3.30; N, 6.91.

(Ptdttteg): Yield: 56 mg, (57%), orange powder. \( ^1 \)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\( d_6 \)) \( \delta/ \) ppm: 8.71 (4H, d, 6 6'), 8.61 (4H, t, 4 4''), 8.38 (4H, br, 3 3''), 8.03 (4H, s, 3' 5'), 7.98 (4H, dt, 5 5''), 4.48 (4H, br, CH2), 4.06 (4H, br, CH2), 4.01 (4H, br, CH2), 4.00 (4H, br, CH2), 195Pt NMR (DMSO-\( d_6 \)) ppm: -2691. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 887.2581, (M+2), (C38H36Cl6N6O5Pt species). Anal. Calc. for C38H36Cl6N6O5⋅7H2O: C, 34.02; H, 3.64; N, 6.61; Found: C, 34.49; H, 3.41; N, 6.15.

Details of the characteristic data agree well with the proposed chemical structures of the complexes. Representative spectra for analysis are given under Supporting Information.

6.2.4 Instrumentation and Physical Measurements

\( ^1 \)H NMR were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer, at 303 K (unless or otherwise stated) using Si(CH3)4 as the reference for the chemical shifts. 195Pt NMR were done on a 500 MHz spectrometer (195Pt, 107.5 MHz) chemical shifts externally referenced to K2[PtCl6]. Low resolution electron spray ionization (ESI+) mass spectra were recorded on a TOF Micromass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by a Thermal Scientific Flash 2000. Kinetic analyses were studied on an Applier Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow reaction analyser coupled with an online data acquisition system with controlled temperature within ± 0.1 °C. The wavelengths for the kinetic analysis were predetermined on Varian Cary 100 Bio UV/visible spectrophotometer with an attached Varian Peltier temperature-controller within ± 0.1 °C and an online kinetic application.

6.2.5 Computational Modelling

Computational modelling for the complexes were performed at Density Functional Theoretical (DFT) level based on B3LYP/LanL2DZ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double \( \xi \)) level theory, with inner core electrons of Pt atom replaced by relative Effective Core Potential (ECP). Due to low electronic spin of Pt(II), the DFT calculations of the complexes were done as singlet state. The complexes were computed in methanol solution taking into account the solvolysis effect by means of the Conductor
Polarizable Continuum Model (C-PCM).\textsuperscript{19} The Gaussian09 suite of programs was used for all computations.\textsuperscript{20}

### 6.2.6 Kinetic Analyses

All kinetic measurements were performed under pseudo first-order conditions using at least 20-fold excess (a 10-fold excess of nucleophile at each Pt(II) centre) of the nucleophile in 0.02 M ionic solution, made by dissolving the required amount of LiCF\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3} (0.018 M) and LiCl (0.002 M) in dry methanol. LiCl was added to suppress any possibility of solvolysis reactions. Since CF\textsubscript{3}SO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} does not coordinate with the Pt(II) metal centre,\textsuperscript{21} all substitution kinetics were studied in this media. Nucleophiles were used in large excess in order to drive the reactions to completion.

Pt(II) complex solutions were prepared by dissolving the required amount of the complex in the ionic solution. Nucleophile solutions were prepared at 100 times the concentration of the Pt(II) complex. Subsequent dilutions of the nucleophile stock solution afforded solutions of 20, 40, 60 and 80 times the concentration of metal complex. The wavelengths chosen for the kinetic investigations were pre-determined by monitoring the change in absorbance of the mixture of the metal complex and the nucleophile as a function of time using UV/visible absorption spectra and are summarised in Table S6.1 (Supporting Information). All data were graphically analysed using the software package, Origin 7.5\textsuperscript{22} to determine the observed rate constants, \(k_{\text{obs}}\).

### 6.3 Results

Substitution kinetics of coordinated chlorides from the Pt(II) complexes by thiourea nucleophiles, \textit{viz.} TU, DMTU and TMTU were investigated under pseudo first-order conditions using conventional stopped-flow reaction analyzer. An example of a time resolved kinetic trace obtained from a stopped-flow analyzer for the simultaneous substitution of the chloride ligands in \textbf{Ptdtteg} (3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}) with DMTU (0.899 mol dm^{-3}) at 298 K is given in Figure 6.2.
Figure 6.2  Kinetic trace at 301 nm for the reaction of Ptddt (3.0 x 10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}) with DMTU (8.99 x 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) at 298 K, I = 0.02 M LiCF_3SO_3, adjusted with LiCl.

All kinetic data obtained were fitted to first-order exponential decay function to generate the observed *pseudo* first-order rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), which were plotted against the concentration of the incoming nucleophiles. The values used represent an average of at least eight independent runs. Straight line graphs with zero intercepts were obtained for each of the nucleophile, indicating that the reactions were irreversible in nature and can be represented by Scheme 6.1 and the corresponding rate law given by Equation 6.1. Representative plots for Ptdt2eg, shown in Figure 6.3 clearly indicate that the substitution reactions were first-order with respect to the incoming nucleophile. Kinetic data obtained from the slope of the Equation 6.1 are summarized in Table 6.1.
Scheme 6.1 Proposed substitution mechanism for the dinuclear Pt(II) complex system with thiourea nucleophiles.

\[ k_{\text{obs}} = k_2 [\text{Nu}] \] (6.1)

Figure 6.3 Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants (k_{obs}) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptdteg (2.65 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution (I = 0.02 M) at 298 K.
The temperature dependence studies were performed in a similar manner, using a single nucleophile concentration in the temperature range 15 - 40 °C in 5 °C intervals. The activation parameters, entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) and enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^*$) were then obtained by using the Eyring equation. Figure 6.4 shows the representative plots obtained for Pt$\text{dteg}$ with the different nucleophiles for the forward reactions. The data obtained are summarized in Table 6.1. Representative graphs are given in Figures S6.1 to S6.8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>$k_2$/M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S^*$ / J K$^{-1}$mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^*$ / kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{tpy}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>1494 ± 10</td>
<td>-88 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>448 ± 10</td>
<td>-73 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>-91 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{dt}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>1520 ± 28</td>
<td>-85 ± 6</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>838 ± 14</td>
<td>-31 ± 7</td>
<td>48 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>139 ± 3</td>
<td>-50 ± 8</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{dteg}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>281 ± 2</td>
<td>-52 ± 6</td>
<td>44 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>90 ± 1</td>
<td>-59 ± 3</td>
<td>54 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>52 ± 1</td>
<td>-96 ± 2</td>
<td>35 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{dtdeg}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>132 ± 2</td>
<td>-50 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>43 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-89 ± 4</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>27 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-88 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{dtteg}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>100 ± 1</td>
<td>-96 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>40 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-62 ± 6</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>14 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-49 ± 12</td>
<td>51 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$\text{dttttgeg}$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>43 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-49 ± 5</td>
<td>49 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>28 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-69 ± 6</td>
<td>45 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>22 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-78 ± 8</td>
<td>42 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.4 Eyring plots obtained for Ptdteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.

6.3.1 Computational Modelling

The geometry optimized structures of the platinum complexes investigated are shown in Table 6.2 (also see Table S6.2) while the data obtained are summarized in Table 6.3 and Table S6.3 (Supporting Information). The geometry around the Pt(II) centre is slightly distorted square planar which is typical for Pt(II) terpyridine complexes. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) electron density of the complexes are predominantly concentrated on the Pt(II) centre and the chloride ligands whereas the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) mainly lie on the terpyridine ligand backbone. The π systems of the two terpyridine moieties of the ligand interact with the Pt(II) metal centre through the metal d orbitals. This makes the two halves of the complex to orient at an angle to each other which decreases with increase in the length of the linker (δ as represented in Figure 6.5 in Ptdteg, Ptdtdeg and Ptdtteg). This bonding orientation to the platinum metal centre prevents possibility of π interaction across the system. As expected, in the back to back terpyridine complex, Ptdt, the HOMO and LUMO electron density span throughout the molecule due to the extended π-conjugation (Figure 6.6, Table 6.2). In the case of the shortest linker (Ptdteg), due to the interaction of the lone pair of electrons on O1 atoms with the electrons on the
terpyridine ligands, the π electron density spreads throughout the ligand system and hence the observed DFT calculated HOMO and LUMO electron density. However, DFT calculations show that the HOMO and LUMO electrons are restricted on one side of the molecules as the length of the spacer between the two terpyridine ligands was increased (Figure S6.9, Supporting information). This is because the electronic charge distribution depends on the degree of metal-metal coupling and the perturbations in the molecular environment; such that, increase in the length of the linker decreases the metal-metal coupling leading to modest perturbations whereby localizing the charge on one metal centre of the complex. Thus, it seems that the two Pt(II) terpyridine moieties become independent of each other with increase in the chain length. Furthermore, the two terpyridine moieties lies at an inclined angle, α, which increases with increase in the chain length as exemplified in the Figure 6.5.

On comparing the DFT calculated natural bond orbital (NBO) charges on the platinum and N atoms of Ptty and back to back linked complex, Ptdt, the charge on Pt(II) centre increases from Ptty to Ptdt while it decreases for N from Ptty to Ptdt. However, when Ptdt was compared with Ptdteg, the opposite was observed, i.e. the NBO charge on the platinum centre decreases from Ptdt to Ptdteg then remains constant, while the opposite is true for N which becomes more negative and remains constant as the chain length increases. This observation for Ptdt and Ptdteg is due to the inductive σ-donation from the ethyleneglycol ether linker to the Pt(II) centre. Nevertheless, the fact that the values are roughly constant as the length of the polyethyleneglycol ether linker is increased indicates that the σ-inductive effect does not play a significant role on increasing the length of the linker beyond one unit of ethyleneglycol ether. Furthermore, since the DFT-based global electrophilicity index (ω, related to the capacity of the molecule to accept electrons), obtained by using the chemical hardness (η) and electronic potential (μ), is a strong tool to determine the chemical reactivity, this parameter was calculated and the values show similar trend between Ptty, Ptdt and Ptdteg as reported above.
Figure 6.5 Aerial view showing the angles of inclination, $\alpha$, in the DFT calculated distorted slip-up stair case like linkers and the angle of twisting ($\delta$) of the tpy moieties from each other.
Table 6.2  Geometry optimised structures of the complexes investigated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Pttpy" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptddt" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptdteg" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptddteg" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptdtteg" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ptddtteg" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.6  DFT calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of Pttpy, Ptddt and Ptdteg. Data for Pttpy is included for comparisons. Data for Ptdteg, Ptdtteg and Ptdttteg are included in Figure S6.9 (Supporting Information).
Table 6.3  Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated Pttpy complex for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>Ptdt</th>
<th>Ptdtdeg</th>
<th>Ptdttdeg</th>
<th>Ptdtteg</th>
<th>Ptdttteg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Cl</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>2.441</td>
<td>2.441</td>
<td>2.445</td>
<td>2.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N1 (trans)</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>1.960</td>
<td>1.960</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>2.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of linker</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.926</td>
<td>5.040</td>
<td>8.316</td>
<td>11.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt···Pt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>14.34</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>19.95</td>
<td>21.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral angle (δ)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>65.45</td>
<td>56.55</td>
<td>55.95</td>
<td>10.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclination angle (α)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>20.44</td>
<td>25.79</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>45.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1/Pt2</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1 (trans)</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.446</td>
<td>-0.469</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td>-0.496</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE / eV</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η / eV</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ / eV</td>
<td>-5.20</td>
<td>-5.49</td>
<td>-5.11</td>
<td>-5.09</td>
<td>-5.08</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω / eV</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

η = chemical hardness, µ = chemical potential and ω = global electrophilicity index.30-31

6.4 Discussion

The complexes were synthesized and characterized using 1H NMR, 195Pt NMR, MS and elemental analysis (See Figures S6.10 to S6.29). In the current study, substitution kinetics of chloride ligands from the dinuclear Pt(II) complexes by thiourea nucleophiles occurred simultaneously by a single step. Data summarized in Tables 6.1 and 6.3 (also Tables S6.4 to S6.13) show that the Pt(II) complexes are somewhat symmetrical such that the nucleophiles cannot differentiate the two Pt(II) centres. The symmetrical nature of the Pt(II) centres is supported by the DFT calculated similar NBO charges on the Pt(II) centres (Table 6.3) indicating that the two Pt(II) centres are in similar chemical environments regardless of the distance between the two Pt(II) centres. Similar substitution behaviour has been reported previously by Jaganyi and co-workers32 for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes containing flexible diamine linkers.

Simultaneous substitution of the chloride ligands is further confirmed using 195Pt NMR of Ptdttteg with TU (2 to 4 eqv) in DMF-d6 (Figure 6.7). At t = 0, a signal due to the starting complex (Ptdttteg) is observed at δ = -2687 ppm, represented as A. This
chemical shift at $\delta = -2687$ ppm has moved to $\delta = -3099$ ppm, (B) after 3 hours and is attributed to the formation of TU substituted product which exhibits a chemical shift due to Pt(NNN)TU centres as reported by Jaganyi et al.\textsuperscript{14e} Unlike the previous findings for dinucelar Pt(II) complexes with flexible diamine,\textsuperscript{14a,14c} rigid azine\textsuperscript{14e} and cyclic\textsuperscript{10b} linkers, the dinuclear complexes reported in this work are more stable showing no dechelation as can be seen from Figure 6.7.

When compared the mononuclear analogue, $\text{Pttpy}$ with the linker free back to back terpyridine complex, $\text{Ptdt}$, the reactivity increases slightly which can be attributed to the expansion of the $\pi$-conjugated electron system over the two Pt(II) centres. This increases the electronic communication within the terpyridine ligand system. Thus, decreases the electron density at the Pt(II) metal centre due to the enhanced $\pi$-backbonding ability of the ligand system.\textsuperscript{33} As a result, the Pt(II) metal centres in $\text{Ptdt}$ become more electrophilic thereby enhancing the binding of the incoming nucleophile. This is clearly seen from the DFT calculated NBO charges on the platinum centres which increases from $\text{Pttpy}$ to $\text{Ptdt}$. Further support for this comes from the remarkably higher DFT calculated global electrophilicity index of $\text{Ptdt}$ compared to $\text{Pttpy}$, a clear indication of the greater ability of $\text{Ptdt}$ to accept electron density from
the incoming nucleophile to that of Ptppy.\textsuperscript{30b,c,34} This, together with the increased overall charge, (2+)\textsuperscript{7b,14c} attributes to the higher substitution reactivity of Ptdt. Compared to Ptppy and to the rest of the complexes, steric hindrance is an additional factor.

To understand the role of the linker on the rate of substitution of chloride ligands, the reactivity of Ptdt and Ptddteg are compared so as to explain the huge drop in the reactivity. The difference between them is the presence of the ethyleneglycol ether linker in the case of Ptddteg. The kinetic data shows that the reactivity of Ptddt is five times greater than Ptddteg which implies that, as reported in Chapter 5, the ethyleneglycol ether linker acts as a σ- donor using the two lone pair of electrons on the O1 atoms. This inductive σ-donation increases the charge on the N\textsubscript{trans} atom from Ptddt (-0.446) to Ptddteg (-0.469) which in turn decreases the NBO charge on the platinum centres from Ptddt (0.620) to Ptddteg (0.595). This is in line with the reported literatures,\textsuperscript{1c,24,35} where electron donating groups on the ancillary position of terpyridine reduces the positive charge at the metal centre, thereby decreasing its electrophilicity. Further support for this comes from the significant decrease in the DFT calculated global electrophilicity index for Ptddteg (7.13 eV) to that of Ptddt (9.72 eV). Hence, Ptddt has a greater tendency to accept the incoming nucleophiles and thus, enhances the π-backbonding of electrons from the d\textsubscript{xz} orbital of platinum into the antibonding π* orbital of the ligand whereby stabilizing the transition state intermediate. In other words, the significantly slower reactivity of Ptddteg indicates that the nature of the bridging ligand in Ptddteg decreases the π-backbonding ability of the terpyridine moiety.\textsuperscript{33} This can also be seen from the greater tilt angle,\textsuperscript{36} \(\delta\), obtained for Ptddteg (65.45 °) compared to that of Ptddt (3.27 °), despite the π-delocalization noticed with O1 atoms of the linker in Ptddteg. Together with the mentioned electronic effects, the decrease in rate of substitution reactions from the linker free Ptddt to Ptddteg is also due to the presence of the axially imposed steric influences on one side of the Pt(II) coordination sphere as illustrated in Figure 6.5.

Further analysis of the reactivity of the polyethyleneglycol ether linked complexes show a decrease in the reactivity as the length of the linker is increased from Ptddteg (2.926 Å) to Ptdetetteg (11.65 Å). When viewed along the axis perpendicular to the mean planes containing the platinum atoms, the DFT calculated minimum energy structures show that the complexes adopt twisted slip up staircase like geometry so that the second terpyridine moiety lie in an inclination angle, \(\alpha\) which is dependent on
the length of the linker. Relative to the plane containing one of the terpyridine moieties, the other chelate moiety projected by the linker increases from 20.44 ° in Ptdteg to 45.14 ° in Ptdttteg. Thus, the steric imposition on one side of the Pt(II) coordinated sphere increases with the increase in the length of the linker, whereby impeding the approach of the axially incoming nucleophile. This steric influence is the main factor responsible for the decreasing reactivity from Ptdteg to Ptdttteg. This deduction is also supported when one compares the trend at the reactivity of these dinuclear complexes to that of the mono nuclear complexes which shows the reactivity to be relatively constant as the linker is increased. The decrease in the reactivity is also due to the poor electronic transitions between the metal centres due to the longer spaces and large reorganizational energies caused by the highly twisted the systems as the length of the linker increases.\textsuperscript{36-37} It is known that the reactivity increases with increase in the coupling between the metal centres, which decreases with increase in the distance between the metal centres.\textsuperscript{37-38} This trend in the decrease in reactivity has been reported by van Eldik \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{9,14b} and Jaganyi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{32} for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes with flexible linkers.

In addition to steric hindrance, the decrease in reactivity is also attributed to the fact that the charges on the metal centres do not affect each other\textsuperscript{9,39} thus, reducing the overall electrophilicity of the molecule as supported by the DFT calculation.\textsuperscript{30c} It can also be argued that the other factor contributing to the observed decrease in the reactivity from Ptdteg to Ptdttteg is the increase in electrostatic attraction induced between the ethyleneglycol ether polymer units as well as the platinum metal centres and the terpyridine moieties by the lone pair of electrons on the oxygen atoms in the linker.\textsuperscript{40}

To further investigate the steric influence introduced by the linker, analysis of $^1$H NMR study of Ptdttteg (0.02 M) at six different temperatures (30 °C to 80 °C, Figure 6.8, also see Figure S6.30, Supporting Information) shows more down fielded chemical shifts with improved multiplicity (in case of proton 3 3" from broad to a doublet) as the temperature was increased. This is an indication of the disruption of the self-associated dimmers such as π-stacked molecules in solution at high temperature.\textsuperscript{41} However, since the kinetic investigations are performed at the concentration levels of 10\textsuperscript{-5} M, in such diluted solutions, the probability of formation of dimmers is unlikely.\textsuperscript{42} Therefore, the improved multiplicity is due to the relief of intrinsic steric effect within the complex which is due to the increase in rotations about the flexible linker. This increases with
the increase in the length of the linker which imposes a greater degree of steric influence on the Pt(II) centre. This has a net effect of influencing the axial attack of the incoming nucleophile whereby slowing the reactivity.\textsuperscript{32}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Pt_dttteg_H_spectra.png}
\caption{\textsuperscript{1}H spectra of Ptdttteg (0.02 M) in DMSO-\textit{d}_6 at temperatures, 30 °C to 80 °C.}
\end{figure}

In general, when comparing the reactivity of the Pt(II) dinuclear complexes with their representative monomer (\textit{Chapter 5}), the reactivity of the dinuclear complexes was slower than the reactivity of the mononuclear complexes. Unlike the mononuclear complexes, the reactivity of the dinuclear complexes decreases with increase in the length of the linker. However, one notes that the reactivity of the Pt(II) dinuclear complex with the shortest linker Ptdteg is slightly faster (281 \(\pm\) 2.0 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) than its monomer, Pttpyeg (257 \(\pm\) 5 M\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) (\textit{See Chapter 5, Table 5.2}). This increase in reactivity is related to the increased overall charge of the molecule, as such when the
distance between the two Pt(II) centres is small, the molecule behaves more like a 2+ charge at each metal centre than 1+. The reactivity of the nucleophiles shows a clear dependence on the steric effects, which is typical of a mechanism involving bond making in the transition state. In all cases TU has the highest reactivity and the rate decreases as the incoming nucleophile gets bulky, i.e. rate with TMTU is significantly slower. From the results obtained for activation parameters, the large entropies of activation, ($\Delta S^\ddagger$) suggest a more ordered transition state. The relatively small enthalpies of activation, ($\Delta H^\ddagger$) support an easy bond formation in the transition state which is typical for $d^8$ square planar Pt(II) complexes during associative mode of substitution.

### 6.5 Conclusions

The lability of the dinuclear polyethyleneglycol ether linked complexes; Ptdteg, Ptdtddeg, Ptdtteg and Ptdttteg differ significantly from the corresponding linker free dinuclear complex, Ptdt and the monomeric complex, Pttpy. The differences in reactivity can be accounted for in terms of the electronic as well as steric effect due to structural differences. The introduction of the linker results in decreased electrophilicity of the platinum centre and the whole complex because of the ethyleneglycol ether acts as a $\sigma$-donor by using the lone pair of electrons on the oxygen atoms. In addition, the reactivity of the ethyleneglycol ether linked complexes decrease with increase in the distance between the Pt(II) metal centres. This is due to steric hindrance which increases with the length of the linker. Unlike the mono nuclear Pt(II) complexes whose reactivity remains relatively constant, the reactivity of these dinuclear complexes decrease with increase in the length of the linker.

The substitution reactions of these complexes have shown to be more stable with the S-donor nucleophiles compared to what has been reported in literature which showed dechelation of the ligand and linker. The substitution rates showed a positive dependence to the steric effects of the incoming nucleophiles, hence, the rate constants for TU are much faster than DMTU and TMTU. The activation parameters, enthalpy of activation and entropy of activation well support an associative mode of mechanism. This study clearly shows that the nature of the bridging ligand uniquely influences the rate of substitution reactions when compared to the similar studies reported in literature.
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6.7 Supporting Information

A summary of wavelengths at which the kinetic studies were performed, plots of the dependence of $k_{obs}$ against concentration of the nucleophiles and plots from temperature dependence studies along with tables of kinetic data, graphs of exemplary mass spectra and the representative spectra for $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR work reported in this study are given as electronic Supporting Information (ESI).

Table S6.1 Summary of the wavelengths (nm) used to study the substitution reactions of the complexes with thiourea nucleophiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Wavelength ($\lambda$), nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptdt</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdtdeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdtteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdttrtgeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6.2: Geometry-optimised structures of the platinum complexes investigated and distribution of the electron density on the platinum complexes investigated. The blue area indicates the most electropositive areas and the orange region indicates the most electronegative areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td>Ptddy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdteg</td>
<td>Ptdtteg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptdttteg</td>
<td>Ptdttteg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6.3  Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated PtTpy for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>Ptdt</th>
<th>Ptdteg</th>
<th>Ptdttdeg</th>
<th>Ptdtteg</th>
<th>Ptdtttteg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond Length (Å)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1–N2(cis)</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>2.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N3(cis)</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.050</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>2.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–N1(trans)</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.954</td>
<td>1.960</td>
<td>1.960</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>2.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'C–O1</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1–O2</td>
<td>2.926</td>
<td>3.071</td>
<td>2.949</td>
<td>2.949</td>
<td>2.945</td>
<td>2.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3–O4</td>
<td>3.705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4–O5</td>
<td>3.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'C–4'C</td>
<td>1.495</td>
<td>7.998</td>
<td>13.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bond angles (°)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Pt–Cl</td>
<td>179.99</td>
<td>179.99</td>
<td>179.29</td>
<td>179.71</td>
<td>179.55</td>
<td>179.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2–Pt–Cl</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.20</td>
<td>99.03</td>
<td>98.92</td>
<td>99.25</td>
<td>99.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Pt–Cl</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.19</td>
<td>99.34</td>
<td>99.46</td>
<td>99.21</td>
<td>99.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3–Pt–N2</td>
<td>161.90</td>
<td>161.61</td>
<td>161.63</td>
<td>161.62</td>
<td>161.54</td>
<td>161.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2–Pt–N1</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>80.80</td>
<td>80.91</td>
<td>80.91</td>
<td>80.84</td>
<td>80.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–Pt–N3</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>80.81</td>
<td>80.71</td>
<td>80.71</td>
<td>80.70</td>
<td>80.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihedral-c1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>1.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NBO charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.469</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>-0.561</td>
<td>-0.566</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
<td>-0.565</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>-0.561</td>
<td>-0.647</td>
<td>-0.650</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td>-0.559</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.408/0.408</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHOMO/ eV</td>
<td>-7.04</td>
<td>-7.04</td>
<td>-6.94</td>
<td>-6.91</td>
<td>-6.90</td>
<td>6.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELUMO/ eV</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>-3.94</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
<td>-3.26</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole moment</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>8.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6.4  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptddt with the nucleophiles, at T = 298 K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.56224</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.03699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>1.03629</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.55002</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.08896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>1.36725</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.7599</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.12844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>1.96703</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>1.11151</td>
<td>1.280</td>
<td>0.18886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>2.45658</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>1.35162</td>
<td>1.610</td>
<td>0.2194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6.5  Temperature dependence of $k_2$, M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptddt by the nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [dt], at T = 298 K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\ln(k_2/T)$</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>1.10512</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>1.35682</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>1.56066</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>1.68741</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>1.95483</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6.6  Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$, s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptddt with the nucleophiles, at T = 298 K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Conc., mM</th>
<th>$k_{\text{obs}},$ s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.08029</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.02191</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.01364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.15586</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.04942</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.03339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.22643</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.07608</td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.04063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.30329</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.09664</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.05598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>0.38862</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>0.12295</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>0.07003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6. 7  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdteg by the nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Ptdteg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$)$/T$</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.71663</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.34561</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.07635</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.24629</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.46319</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6. 8  Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs}$ s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.04376</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.0812</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.11215</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.15854</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.20028</td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6. 9  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdteg by the nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Ptdteg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2$)$/T$</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.52978</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.20269</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.87131</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.53935</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.2974</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6.10  Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdtteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conc., mM</strong></td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.01937</td>
<td>0.01042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
<td>0.02305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.08797</td>
<td>0.03674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.12138</td>
<td>0.04958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.15091</td>
<td>0.05965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6.11 Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdtteg by the nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Ptdtteg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1/T, K$^{-1}$</strong></td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.56957</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.30861</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.11407</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.91965</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.63777</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S6.12 Average observed rate constants, $k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdtteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conc., mM</strong></td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$k_{obs}$, s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.01435</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.03679</td>
<td>0.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>0.05035</td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>0.06627</td>
<td>1.370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S6.13  Temperature dependence of $k_2 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligands in Ptdttteg by the nucleophiles at 60-fold excess over [Ptdttteg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2/T$)</th>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2/T$)</th>
<th>1/T, K$^{-1}$</th>
<th>ln($k_2/T$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TU</td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-2.61068</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-3.1753</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-3.28899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.28225</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.80547</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-2.92163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.98584</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-2.47622</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-2.70047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-1.59011</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-2.2582</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-2.4499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-1.28713</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-1.94006</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-2.08984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S6.1 Dependance of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptdt (3.2 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M) at 298 K.

Figure S6.2 Eyring plots obtained for Ptdt with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M).
Figure S6.3 Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptdtdeg (3.0 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M) at 298 K.

Figure S6.4 Eyring plots obtained for Ptdtdeg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M).
Figure S6.5  Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptdtteg (3.0 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M) at 298 K.

Figure S6.6  Eyring plots obtained for Ptdtteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M).
Figure S6.7  Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants \((k_{\text{obs}})\) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from Ptddt (3.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}) in methanol solution \((I = 0.02 \text{ M})\) at 298 K.

Figure S6.8  Eyring plots obtained for Ptddt with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution \((I = 0.02 \text{ M})\).
Figure S6.9  DFT calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of the complexes instigated.
Figure S6.10 \[\text{^1}H\text{NMR spectrum of 2,2':6',2''-bisterpyridine in CDCl}_3\].
Figure S6.11 \(^1\)HNMR spectrum of Ptdt in DMSO. Inset is the \(^{195}\)Pt NMR spectrum of the complex.
Figure S6.12 $^1$HNMR spectrum dteg in CDCl$_3$. 
Figure S6.13  $^1$HNMR spectrum of Pt(dteg) in DMSO. Inset is the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum of the complex.
Figure S6.14  $^1$HNMR spectrum dtdeg in CDCl$_3$.  

![NMR Spectrum Image]
Figure S6.15 \(^1\)HNMR spectrum of Pttddeg in DMSO. Inset is the \(^{195}\)Pt NMR spectrum of the complex.
Figure S6.16 $^1$HNMR spectrum dtteg in CDCl$_3$. 
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Figure S6.17  \(^1\)HNMR spectrum of Ptddteg in DMSO. Inset is the \(^{195}\)Pt NMR spectrum of the complex.
Figure S6.18 \(^1\)HNMR spectrum dttgeg in CDCl₃.
Figure S6.19  $^1$HNMR spectrum of Pt$dttteg$ in DMSO. Inset is the $^{195}$Pt NMR spectrum of the complex.
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Figure S6.20    High resolution ESI mass spectrum of 6,6'-Bis(2-pyridyl)-2,2':4',4''-2,2''-quaterpyridine.
Figure S6.21  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Pttd.
Figure S6.22  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of dteg.
Figure S6.23 Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptdteg.
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**Figure S6.24**  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of dtdeg.
Figure S6.25  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptdtdeg.
Figure S6.26  High resolution ESI mass spectrum of dtteg.
Figure S6.27  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ptdtteg.
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Chapter Seven

Role of Bridging Polyethyleneglycol Ether Linkers on the Rate of Ligand Substitution of Heterometallic Ruthenium(II)-Platinum(II) Complexes

7.0 Abstract
The ligand substitution kinetics of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes linked by polyethyleneglycol ether units \textit{viz;} \{(tpy)Ru(tpy)-O(CH\_2CH\_2O)\_n-(tpy)PtCl\} (where tpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine and n = 1 (RuPtdteg), 2 (RuPtdtdeg), 3 (RuPtdtteg) and 4 (RuPtdttteg)) with thiourea (TU), 1,3-dimethyl-2-thiourea (DMTU), 1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-2-thiourea (TMTU) and iodide (I\(^{-}\)) were investigated under \textit{pseudo} first-order conditions as a function of concentration and temperature by using UV/visible spectrophotometry and conventional stopped-flow technique. The observed first-order rate constants followed the simple associative rate law \(k_{obs} = k_2[Nu]\). Density functional theory (DFT) calculations at LanL2DZ/ B3LYP level of theory demonstrate that the rate of substitution reactions of the heterometallic complexes is geometrically controlled. Increasing the length of the polyethyleneglycol ether linker increases the entrapment effect of the nucleophiles due to the V-shape geometry of the complexes which in turn decreases the steric influence by the Ru(tpy)\_2 moiety on the reactive Pt(II) metal centre thereby increasing the reactivity. The electronic transitions between Ru(II) and Pt(II) is insignificant in the presence of a non-aromatic polyethyleneglycol ether linker. The activation parameters obtained support an associative mode of mechanism, where bond formation in the transition state is favoured.

7.1 Introduction
Cisplatin, \textit{cis}_[PtCl\_2(NH\_3)\_2], is one of the most effective anticancer drugs available to date.\(^1\) However, due to its significant side effects, tumour resistance and poor solubility in water has resulted in the development of many other complexes for cancer treatment.\(^2\) Currently, the focus of research is on developing structurally new metal based complexes capable of cleaving DNA.\(^{1a-c,3}\) As a result, many transition metal complexes have been reported with systems which are promising as structural probes and therapeutic agents.\(^4\) In this regard, polypyridine Ru(II) complexes are considered as good candidates due to their light responsive properties\(^5\) such as their ability to
control the reaction, conversion of light energy to chemical energy and being highly targeted.\(^4\text{m,6}\)

Within this framework, Ru-based complexes have recently been reported as a new class of anticancer agents.\(^2\text{e,7}\) For example, the mononuclear antimetastatic coordinated imidazolium complexes, \(\text{[trans-RuCl}_4\,(1\text{Himidazole})(\text{DMSO-S})]\) (NAMI-A) and indazolium \(\text{[trans-RuCl}_4(1\text{-Hindazole})_2]\) (KP1019)\(^7\text{i}\) were reported to exhibit potential toxicity and low levels of frequent side effects compared to cisplatin. The complexes were successful in phase-I clinical trials.\(^8\) Ru-based complexes are also potential candidates for photodynamic therapy (PDT) due to their ability to absorb visible light and photo cleaves DNA,\(^4\text{k,9}\) most likely due to their longer lived metal-to-ligand charge transfer transitions (MLCT).\(^10\) Furthermore, multinuclear Ru(II) complexes have gained a special interest on assumption that the greater size and the high charge would increase the DNA binding capacity relative to their mononuclear complexes.\(^11\) In this regard, Ru(II) complexes of polypyridyl ligand systems, especially, \(2,2^{\prime},6^{\prime},2^{\prime\prime}\)-terpyridine (tpy) has been of interest in the area of multinuclear supramolecular chemistry.\(^12\)

In multinuclear complexes, the bridging ligand (BL) plays an important role in controlling the biological and spectroscopic properties. For example, the high toxicity shown by the Pt(II) complexes linked by polynuclear \(\alpha,\omega\)-diaminoalkane is thought to be due to their ability to form long-ranged DNA adducts.\(^13\) The complexes, \(\text{BBR3464}\) which contains two \(\text{trans-[PtCl(NH}_3)_2]^+\) units connected by a tetraamine \(\text{[Pt(NH}_3)_2(2\text{H}_2\text{N(CH}_3)_2\text{NH}_2)]}^2+\) unit and the binuclear alkylamine complex \(\text{[(cis-PtCl}_2(\text{NH}_3)]_2\text{(diamine)}}\) known as \(2,2/\text{c,c-bn}\) are expected to form different DNA adducts.\(^14\) Unfortunately, after the phase-II clinical trials, further evaluation of \(\text{BBR3464}\) was limited due to its low activity.\(^15\) Apart from alkylamine bridged complexes, polyethyleneglycol linked complexes were also recently reported\(^16\) as possible anticancer agents. Examples include, flexible bis\([4^{\prime}\cdot(2,2^{\prime},6^{\prime},2^{\prime\prime}\text{-terpyridyl})]-\)diethyleneglycol ether (dtdeg) and its coordinated metal complexes of platinum, ruthenium and copper which can modify calf thymus (CT) DNA.\(^16\) This ligand system was reported to form both heterometallic and homometallic compounds.\(^17\)

Incorporation of a labile Pt(II) complex coupled to a light absorbing Ru(II) moiety results in a group of Ru(II)-Pt(II) supramolecular complexes that could alter the targeted PDT and optical excitation into therapeutic window.\(^1d,3a,10c\) Integration of a
light absorbing (LA) unit into the structural framework increases the possibility of photoactivation. This alternatively affects the photophysical properties of the complex. The octahedral geometry of ruthenium metal centre, compared to that of square planar cisplatin, is also thought to impose unique interactions with DNA with a different anticancer profile. The development of polynuclear with heteronuclear comprising Ru-Pt centres is a remarkable challenge. Selective reactivity may be achieved depending on the geometry at the metal centres displaying different mechanisms of actions. This expansion of mixed-metal Ru-Pt complexes may overcome the limitations of platinum based complexes and provide an alternative for more targeting metal based PDT agents, while keeping the photocleavage and DNA binding properties.

Mixed metal complexes bridged with polyazine of the form $[(\text{bpy})_2\text{Ru(dpp)}\text{PtCl}_2]^2+$, $[(\text{bpy})_2\text{Ru(dpq)}\text{PtCl}_2]^2+$ and $[(\text{bpy})_2\text{Ru(dpb)}\text{PtCl}_2]^2+$ (where bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, dpp = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl), dpq = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)quinoxaline and dpb = 2,3-bis(2-pyridyl)benzoquinoxaline) have been reported where the latter two complexes exhibit DNA binding. These complexes have several advantages such as high solubility compared to the well-known neutral cisplatin. Thus, information obtained on such varied molecular framework would be an important tool for designing effective anticancer drugs.

Based on the previous work on mono nuclear and dinuclear 4'-functionalised Pt(II) terpyridine complexes, this study aims to increase our understanding of the role of flexible ethyleneglycol ether linkers on the reactivity of the mixed metal Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes when one end of the molecule is capped with Ru(II)terpyridine moiety. Keeping in mind that multinuclear Pt(II) complexes linked by flexible alkyldiamine bridged complexes show high activity against tumour cells which are cisplatin resistant, the complexes reported here are also dinuclear and comprise of different metal centres, which result in a vacant Pt(II) coordination site for DNA binding and a substitutionally inert but photodynamically active Ru(tpy)$_2$ site. In this study, the two metal centres are connected by a flexible bis[4'-(2,2':6',2''-terpyridyl)]- ethyleneglycol ether ligands of different chain lengths. Our motivation for incorporating the Pt(II) moiety to the Ru(II) moiety is to investigate the effect of Ru(tpy)$_2$ on the substitution reactivity at the Pt(II) centre. The complexes used in this investigation are shown in Figure 7.1.
Figure 7.1 Structure of heterometallic complexes investigated. Shown on the structure, RuPtdttdeg is the numbering scheme employed for characterizations and DFT data. Rectangular inset shows the structures of additional mononuclear complexes, without the linker (Pttpy) and with the linker (Ptpyege) for comparisons. The kinetic data for Pttpy is obtained from reference\textsuperscript{22} and Ptpyege from our previous work (Chapter 5).

7.2 Experimental

7.2.1 Materials

Methanol (Merck, South Africa) was distilled over magnesium prior to use for kinetic analysis.\textsuperscript{23} Dimethylformamide (99.9%) (Sigma-Aldrich) was used without further purification. 4′:chloro-2,2′:6,2″-terpyridine (99%), the platinum salt, potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) (99.9%) and ruthenium salt, RuCl\textsubscript{3}·3H\textsubscript{2}O (99%) were purchased from Aldrich. All other chemicals were bought from Sigma Aldrich and were of analytical grade hence, used as received.
7.2.2 Synthesis
The ligands linked by ethyleneglycol ether viz. bis[4’-(2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridyl)]-ethyleneglycol ether (dteg), bis[4’-(2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridyl)]-diethyleneglycolether (dtdeg), bis[4’-(2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridyl)]-triethyleneglycolether (dtteg) and bis[4’-(2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridyl)]-tetraethyleneglycolether (dttteg) were synthesized and characterized as reported in the previous chapter. The ruthenium precursor [Ru(tpy)Cl₃] was synthesized according to the literature procedure.

7.2.3 Synthesis of [(tpy)Ru(polyethyleneglycol ether)]Cl₂ Moieties
The polyethyleneglycol ether linked Ru(II)tpy moieties ([(tpy)Ru(dteg)]Cl₂), [(tpy)Ru(dtdeg)]Cl₂, [(tpy)Ru(dtteg)]Cl₂ and [(tpy)Ru(dttteg)]Cl₂) (where tpy, dteg, dtdeg, dtteg and dttteg have the same meaning as stated previously) were synthesized following the literature procedure. To a filtered solution of excess silver tetrafluoroborate (AgBF₄) in acetone, was added Ru(tpy)Cl₃ and the reaction mixture was refluxed in dark for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and the resulting silver chloride (AgCl) precipitate filtered. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo resulting into a dark green oil. The diterpyridine ethyleneglycol ether linked ligand (dteg, dtdeg, dtteg and dttteg) was added and the mixture refluxed in DMF for 1.5 hours. The solvent DMF reduces Ru(III) to Ru(II). The reaction mixture was filtered, concentrated under vacuo resulting into a red oil. The oil was then added to a saturated solution of lithium chloride (LiCl) in ethanol to obtain the chloride salt. The crude product was obtained by precipitation with a large amount of acetone. The precipitate was column chromatographed on neutral alumina with acetone: methanol: ethanol (8 : 1 : 1). The pure product was obtained from the first red band and precipitated with diethyl ether.

The purity of the products was confirmed by ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region for all the Ru(tpy) linked ligands. The mass spectra for all the complexes show characteristic fragmentations.
[(tpy)Ru(dteg)]Cl$_2$
Yield: 0.031 g, (30 %), dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.03 (2H, d, I'I 3''), 8.96 (2H, d, I'3' 5'), 8.90 (2H, d, II3 3''), 8.89(2H, d, I6 6''), 8.89 (2H, d, I3 3'), 8.79 (2H, s, I' 3' 5'), 8.56 (1H, t, I4'), 8.55 (2H, m, I3' 5'), 8.49 (2H, m, I4' 4''), 8.04 (2H, m, I'4 4''), 8.03 (2H, m, I6 6''), 7.55 (2H, d, I5 5''), 7.52 (2H, d, I'6 6''), 7.31 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.28 (2H, t, I5 5''), 5.10 (2H, t, 1'), 5.10 (2H, t, 1).

[(tpy)Ru(dtdeg)]Cl$_2$
Yield: 55 mg, (49%), Dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.08 (2H, d, I'I 3''), 8.87 (2H, d, I'3' 5'), 8.86 (2H, s, I' 3' 5'), 8.83 (2H, d, II3 3''), 8.67 (2H, d, I6 6''), 8.62 (2H, d, I3 3'), 8.45 (1H, t, I4'), 8.03 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 7.99 (2H, t, I4 4''), 7.97 (2H, t, I'4 4''), 7.51 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.47 (2H, t, I5 5''), 7.37 (2H, d, I'6 6''), 7.25 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.22 (2H, t, I5 5''), 4.77 (2H, t, 1'), 4.51 (2H, t, 2'), 4.15 (2H, t, 2'), 4.07 (2H, t, 2). TOF MS - ES$^+$, m/z: 451.6112, (M$^{2+}$).

[(tpy)Ru(dtteg)]Cl$_2$
Yield: 41 mg, (46%), Dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.06 (2H, d, I'I 3''), 8.85 (2H, d, I'3' 5'), 8.83 (2H, d, II3 3''), 8.80 (2H, s, I' 3' 5'), 8.67 (2H, d, I6 6''), 8.60 (2H, d, I3 3'), 8.47 (1H, t, I4'), 7.99 (2H, br, I4 4''), 7.98 (2H, b, I'4 4''), 7.96 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 7.52 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.47 (2H, t, I5 5''), 7.36 (2H, d, I'6 6''), 7.26 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.21 (2H, t, I5 5''), 4.71 (2H, t, 1'), 4.41 (2H, t, 1), 4.03 (2H, t, 2'), 3.91 (2H, t, 2'), 3.78 (2H, br, 3'), 3.77(2H, br, 3). TOF MS - ES$^+$, m/z: 473.6598, (M$^{2+}$).

[(tpy)Ru(dttteg)]Cl$_2$
Yield: 35 mg, (44%), Dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.05 (2H, d, I'I 3''), 8.85 (2H, d, I'3' 5'), 8.81 (2H, s, I' 3' 5'), 8.80 (2H, d, II3 3''), 8.68 (2H, d, I6 6''), 8.60 (2H, d, I3 3'), 8.46 (1H, t, I4'), 7.93 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 8.00 (2H, br, I4 4''), 7.97 (2H, b, I'4 4''), 7.52 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.46 (2H, t, I5 5''), 7.36 (2H, d, I'6 6''), 7.26 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.21 (2H, t, I5 5''), 4.68 (2H, t, 1'), 4.37 (2H, t, 1), 4.01 (2H, t, 2'), 3.85 (2H, t, 2) 3.73 (2H, t, 3'), 3.67(2H, t, 3), 3.66 (2H, t, 4'), 3.64 (2H, t, 4). TOF MS - ES$^+$, m/z: 495.6725, (M$^{2+}$).

† δ ppm, s = singlet, d = doublet, m = multiplet, dd = doublet of doublet, dt = doublet of triplets, t = triplet, br = broad (the same applies for all the ligands and complexes)
7.2.4 Synthesis of Platinum(II) Complexes

The mixed metal complexes were synthesized following the literature procedure.\textsuperscript{25} The ditpyeneglycol ether linked Ru(II) moiety and Pt(cod)\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{26} were refluxed in dry methanol under nitrogen for six hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered and volume reduced to half. The required compound was precipitated with slow diffusion of diethyl ether, filtered and dried in desiccators.

The purity of the final complexes was confirmed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR, \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR, elemental analyses and mass spectroscopy. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra obtained show similarity in the aromatic region for the heterometallic complexes. The \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR of all the complexes exhibited a characteristic signal at about -2700 ppm which confirms the coordination of platinum to the ligand. The mass spectra obtained show characteristic fragmentation of the molecules.

**RuPt\textsubscript{dteg}**

Yield: 0.035 g, (78 %), dark red powder. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) δ/ ppm: 9.04 (2H, d, II3' 5'), 8.96 (2H, d, I3 3''), 8.91 (6H, m, I'6 6', I13' 5'), 8.80 (2H, d, I13' 5''), 8.54 (2H, m, I' 3' 5', I'4 4''), 8.78 (1H, t, II4 4''), 8.01 (2H, t, II4 4''), 8.00 (2H, t, I4 4'). 7.99 (2H, t, I15 5''), 7.55 (2H, d, I16 6''), 7.51 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.31 (2H, m, I15 5''), 7.29 (2H, m, I5 5''), 5.09 (4H, m, 1,1'), \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- \textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) δ/ ppm: -2706. \textit{Anal. Calc. for C}_{47}H_{35}Cl_{4}N_{9}O_{2}PtRu·5H\text subscript{2}O: C, 43.90; H, 3.53; N, 9.80; \textit{Found: C, 43.41; H, 3.91; N, 9.32. TOF MS-ES+}, m/z: 385.9565, (M\textsuperscript{3+}+Na)

**RuPt\textsubscript{dtddeg}**

Yield: 0.035 g, (78 %), dark red powder. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-\textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) δ/ ppm: 9.08 (2H, d, II3' 5''), 9.85 (2H, d, I3 3''), 8.92 (2H, d, I'6 6'), 8.89 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 8.84 (2H, d, II3 3''), 8.83 (2H, d, I'3 3''), 8.55 (2H, s, I' 3' 5''), 8.49 (1H, t, II4 4''), 8.46 (2H, t, I'4 4''), 8.01 (2H, t, II4 4''), 7.99 (2H, t, I4 4''), 7.93 (2H, t, I14 4''), 7.52 (2H, d, II6 6''), 7.36 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.28 (2H, t, I15 5''), 7.22 (2H, t, I5 5''), 4.77 (2H, t, 1), 4.73 (2H, t, 1'), 4.14 (2H, t, 2), 4.11 (2H, t, 2'), \textsuperscript{195}Pt NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- \textsubscript{d}\textsubscript{6}) δ/ ppm: -2706. \textit{Anal. Calc. for C}_{49}H_{39}Cl_{4}N_{9}O_{3}PtRu·10 H\textsubscript{2}O: C, 41.44; H, 4.19; N, 8.91; \textit{Found: C, 41.65; H, 4.02; N, 8.59. TOF MS-ES+}, m/z: 378.0520, (M\textsuperscript{3+} + 1)
RuPtddteg
Yield: 0.030 g, (75%), dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.07 (2H, d, I3' 5'), 8.92 (2H, d, I3 3''), 8.87 (2H, d, I13 3''), 8.84 (2H, d, I6 6''), 8.81 (2H, s, I1' 3' 5'), 8.71 (2H, d, I3 3''), 8.49 (1H, t, II4'), 8.49 (2H, t, I'4 4''), 8.41 (2H, s, I3 3' 5'), 8.01 (2H, br, I4 4'), 8.01 (2H, t, I4 4''), 7.94 (2H, t, I5 5''), 7.52 (2H, d, II6 6''), 7.37 (2H, d, I'6 6''), 7.27 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.212 (2H, t, I'5 5''), 4.71 (2H, t, I'), 4.41 (2H, t, I), 4.03 (2H, t, 2'), 3.78 (2H, br, 3'), 3.77 (2H, br, 3), 195Pt NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: -2715. Anal. Calc. for C$_{51}$H$_{43}$ClN$_9$O$_4$PtRu·2H$_2$O: C, 37.16; H, 2.87; N, 7.65; Found: C, 37.21; H, 3.00; N, 7.63. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 392.7272, (M$^{3+}$).

RuPtddttteg
Yield: 0.031 g, (76%), dark red powder. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-$d_6$) δ/ ppm: 9.07 (2H, d, I3' 5'), 8.95 (2H, d, I3 3''), 8.87 (2H, d, I6 6''), 8.84 (2H, d, I13 3''), 8.82 (2H, s, I3' 5'), 8.71 (2H, d, I3'''), 8.51 (2H, t, I' 3' 5'), 8.49 (1H, t, II4 4''), 8.41 (2H, s, I'4 4''), 8.01 (2H, t, I4 4''), 7.99 (2H, t, I4 4''), 7.93 (2H, t, I5 5''), 7.51 (2H, d, II6 6''), 7.38 (2H, d, I6 6''), 7.27 (2H, t, II5 5''), 7.22 (2H, t, I5 5''), 4.68 (2H, t, I), 4.59 (2H, t, I'), 4.00 (2H, t, 2), 3.92 (2H, t, 2') 3.73 (2H, t, 3'), 3.69 (2H, t, 3), 3.66 (2H, t, 4'), 3.64 (2H, t, 4). $^{195}$Pt NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$) δ/ ppm: -2717. Anal. Calc. for C$_{53}$H$_{47}$ClN$_9$O$_5$PtRu·5H$_2$O: C, 44.89; H, 4.05; N, 8.89; Found: C, 44.43; H, 4.25; N, 9.34. TOF MS-ES+, m/z: 407.4033, (M$^{3+}$).

7.2.5 Instrumentation and Physical Measurements
$^1$H NMR were recorded on either a Bruker Avance DPX 400 or 500 MHz spectrometer, at 303 K using Si(CH$_3$)$_4$ as the reference for the chemical shifts. $^{195}$Pt NMR were done on a 500 MHz spectrometer ($^{195}$Pt, 107.5 MHz) chemical shifts externally referenced to K$_2$[PtCl$_6$]. Low resolution electron spray ionization (ESI$^+$) mass spectra were recorded on a TOF Micromass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by a Thermal Scientific Flash 2000. Kinetic analyses were studied on an Applied Photophysics SX 20 stopped-flow reaction analyser coupled with an online data acquisition system with controlled temperature within ± 0.1 °C. The wavelengths for the kinetic analysis were predetermined on Varian Cary 100 Bio UV/visible spectrophotometer with an attached Varian Peltier temperature-controller within ± 0.1 °C coupled with kinetic application.
7.2.6 Preparation of Solution for Kinetic Analysis

All kinetic measurements were performed under pseudo first-order conditions using at least 10-fold excess of the nucleophile in 0.02 M ionic solution, made by dissolving the required amount of lithium triflate (LiCF₃SO₃) (0.018 M) and LiCl (0.002 M) in dry methanol. LiCl was added to suppress the solvolysis reactions. Since CF₃SO₃⁻ does not coordinate to Pt(II) metal centre, all substitution kinetics were studied in this media. Nucleophiles were used in large excess in order to drive the reactions to completion. Ru(II)-Pt(II) complex solutions were prepared by dissolving the required amount of the complex in the ionic solution. Nucleophile solutions were prepared at 50 times the concentration of the Pt(II) complex. Subsequent dilutions of the nucleophile stock solution afforded solutions of 10, 20, 30 and 40 times the concentration of metal complex. The selected wavelengths for the kinetic runs are given in Table S7.1.

7.2.7 Computational Modelling

Computational modelling for the complexes were performed at Density Functional Theoretical (DFT) level based on B3LYP/LanL2DZ (Los Alamos National Laboratory 2 double ξ) level theory, with inner core electrons of Pt atom replaced by relative Effective Core Potential (ECP). Due to low electronic spin of Pt(II), the DFT calculations of the complexes were done at singlet state. The complexes were computed in methanol solution taking into account the solvolysis effect by means of the Conductor Polarizable Continuum Model (C-PCM). The Gaussian09 suite of programs was used for all computational calculations. A summary of respective bond lengths, bond angles and DFT calculated natural bond orbital (NBO) charges, highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energies obtained from the modelled structures of the platinum complexes are given in Table 7.1 and Figure 7.2 (also Supporting Information Tables S7.2 and S7.3). The numbering scheme used is as given in Figure 7.1.
Table 7.1  Summary of DFT calculated data for the complexes investigated. Included for comparison purposes is the data for Pttpy and Pttpyeg. Calculations were performed at B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory in all the systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Pttpy</th>
<th>Pttpyeg</th>
<th>RuPttdteg</th>
<th>RuPttdtdeg</th>
<th>RuPttdtdeg</th>
<th>RuPttdttdeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond Length (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1/—Cl</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.445</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>2.442</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt—N1(trans)</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru...Pt</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>13.96</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>19.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-Pt cavity, δ</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBO charges</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1(trans)</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.469</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{HOMO/eV}}$</td>
<td>-7.04</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-6.28</td>
<td>-6.25</td>
<td>-6.24</td>
<td>-6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{LUMO/eV}}$</td>
<td>-3.35</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>-3.34</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>-3.28</td>
<td>-3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η / eV</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/ eV</td>
<td>-5.20</td>
<td>-5.04</td>
<td>-4.81</td>
<td>-4.77</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω/ eV</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>7.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Group</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole moment</td>
<td>13.30</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>37.27</td>
<td>45.50</td>
<td>43.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

η = chemical hardness, μ = chemical potential and ω = global electrophilicity index$^{31}$ and X = Aerial distance between the Pt(II) centre and the capped terpyridine ligand.

The mappings of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals are similar for all the ethyleneglycol ether linked complexes, where the HOMO orbitals are predominantly concentrated on the Ru(II) centre and terpyridine moiety connected to the Ru(II) while the LUMO electron density purely lies on the Pttpy fragment. The HOMO-LUMO energy gap is somewhat the same along the series which is similar to what was reported previously for dinuclear Pt(II) complexes with alkylidiammine flexible linkers.$^{32}$ The NBO charges on the Pt(II) centers of the dinuclear complexes of ethyleneglycol ether linker are practically constant indicating that there is no significant change in the Pt(II) environment with changing the length of the polyethylene glycol ether linker.
Figure 7.2  DFT calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of RuPtdteg showing the V-shape geometry. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated complexes, Ptppy and Ptppyeg for comparisons. Calculations were performed at B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory in all the systems. Data for Ptdtdeg, Ptdtteg and Ptdttteg are included in Figure S7.1 (Supporting Information Figure S7.1)
7.3 Results

Substitution kinetics of coordinated chlorides from the Pt(II) complexes by thiourea nucleophiles, \textit{viz.} TU, DMTU and TMTU and an ionic nucleophile, \(I^-\) were investigated under \textit{pseudo} first-order conditions using conventional stopped-flow reaction analyzer. The data obtained is supported by DFT calculations.

7.3.1 Kinetic Studies on Substitution Reactions of Chloro Complexes

The wavelengths chosen for the kinetic investigations were pre-determined by monitoring the change in absorbance of the mixture of the metal complex and the nucleophile as a function of time using UV/visible absorption spectra and are summarised in 7.1. All data were mathematically analysed using the software package, Origin 7.5\textsuperscript{b33} to determine the observed rate constants, \(k_{\text{obs}}\). An example of a time resolved kinetic trace obtained from a stopped-flow analyser for the substitution of the chloride ligand in \textbf{RuPtdtdeg} (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M) with TU (3.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M) at 298 K is given in \textit{Figure 7.3}.

![Kinetic trace for the substitution reaction of RuPtdtdeg (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M) with TU (3.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-5}\) M) in methanol solution (\(I = 0.02\) M) at 330 nm at 298 K.](image-url)
All kinetic data obtained were fitted to first-order exponential decay function to generate the observed pseudo first-order rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), and were plotted against the concentration of the incoming nucleophiles. The values used represent an average of at least eight independent runs. Straight line graphs with zero intercepts were obtained indicating that the reactions were irreversible in nature and can therefore be represented by the corresponding associative rate law given by Equation 7.1. Representative plots for RuPtdtdeg, shown in Figure 7.4 clearly indicate that the substitution reactions were first-order with respect to the incoming nucleophile. Data obtained from the slope of Equation 7.1 at 298 K is summarized in Table 7.2.

\[
k_{\text{obs}} = k_1[Nu]
\]

(7.1)
Table 7.2  Summary of second-order rate constants, $k_2$ and activation parameters, with the corresponding standard deviations for the substitution of the chloro ligand by a series of thiourea nucleophiles and iodide at $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl. Data for Ptppy is taken from literature\textsuperscript{22} and data on mononuclear complexes are from Chapter 5 and are included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>$k_2$/M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S^*/$J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^*/$kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>*$k_2$/M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>1494 ± 10</td>
<td>-88 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>448 ± 10</td>
<td>-73 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>-91 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>243 ± 4</td>
<td>-42 ± 11</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ptppyeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>257 ± 5</td>
<td>-56 ± 6</td>
<td>43 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>81 ± 1</td>
<td>-98 ± 7</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>-57 ± 7</td>
<td>40 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>95 ± 2</td>
<td>-32 ± 8</td>
<td>53 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdtdeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>288 ± 3</td>
<td>-115 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>104 ± 1</td>
<td>-99 ± 3</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>33 ± 1</td>
<td>-111 ± 6</td>
<td>31 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>238 ± 3</td>
<td>-85 ± 6</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdttddeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>298 ± 5</td>
<td>-112 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>265 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
<td>-69 ± 1</td>
<td>41 ± 0.3</td>
<td>83 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>44 ± 1</td>
<td>-90 ± 4</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>192 ± 3</td>
<td>-80 ± 5</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
<td>91 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdttdteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>309 ± 5</td>
<td>-78 ± 7</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
<td>277 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>175 ± 2</td>
<td>-121 ± 1</td>
<td>21 ± 0.3</td>
<td>93 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>32 ± 1</td>
<td>-105 ± 1</td>
<td>33 ± 0.3</td>
<td>19 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>206 ± 2</td>
<td>-89 ± 5</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>91 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdttteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>330 ± 5</td>
<td>-98 ± 4</td>
<td>30 ± 12</td>
<td>321 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>108 ± 1</td>
<td>-119 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 12</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
<td>-114 ± 5</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I^-$</td>
<td>228 ± 2</td>
<td>-14 ± 5</td>
<td>55 ± 2</td>
<td>156 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = rate constants of the corresponding mononuclear complexes from Chapter 5.
Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from RuPtdtdeg ($1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M) at 298 K.

The temperature dependence studies were performed using a single nucleophile concentration in the temperature range 15 - 35 °C in 5 °C intervals. The entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$) and enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^*$) were then obtained from the slopes and intercepts of the Eyring equation\textsuperscript{34} respectively as given in Equation 7.2. Figure 7.5 shows the representative plots obtained for RuPtdtdeg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions with the nucleophiles and the data obtained are summarized in Table 7.2. Representative data and graphs are also given in Tables S7.4 to S7.11 and Figure S7.2 to S7.7 respectively.

\[
\ln(k_2/T) = -\frac{\Delta H^*}{RT} + \left(23.8 + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R}\right)
\]  

(7.2)
7.3.2 Discussion

In this study, four heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) tpy complexes linked by polyethyleneglycol ether units of different lengths were synthesized and characterized following the literature procedure.\textsuperscript{25} The spectroscopic data obtained is in good agreement with those reported in literature\textsuperscript{25} and the structural formulae (Figures S7.8 to S7.23).

Substitution kinetics of coordinated chloride from the Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes (\textit{Figure 7.1}) by four different nucleophiles (Nu), \textit{i.e.} TU, DMTU, TMTU and an ionic nucleophile, I\textsuperscript{−} were studied under pseudo first-order conditions using the conventional stopped-flow analyzer. Only one step, taken to be the substitution of the chloride ligand was observed which is typical for square planar Pt\textit{tpy} type complexes.\textsuperscript{22,35} Thus, the proposed substitution mechanism for the complexes studied can be represented as shown by \textit{Scheme 7.1}. The data obtained is summarized in \textit{Table 7.2}. 

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure7_5.png}
\caption{Eyring plots obtained for RuPttdg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15 - 35 °C.}
\end{figure}
Scheme 7.1 The general reaction scheme for the reactions between the complexes and the nucleophiles studied.

In Chapter 5, it is reported that the ethylene glycoxy pendant groups act as $\sigma$-donors decreasing the $\pi$-backbonding ability of the parent Ptppy by incorporating the lone pair electrons of the oxygen (O1) atom into the terpyridine moiety resulting in a decreased reactivity. A similar observation is made in this study as well. A glance at the rate constants for the displacement of the coordinated chloride ligand shows a drop from Ptppy to Ptppyeg followed by a slight increase as the length of the polyethylene glycol ether bridging linker between Ru(II) and Pt(II) moieties is increased. This is an indication that the increase in the number of polyethylene glycol ether units has a minor influence on the rate of substitution reactions (Table 7.2).

DFT calculations show an increase in the global electrophilicity index, $\omega$, of the complex when the Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety is attached to the Ptppyeg, an indication of the presence of $\pi$-back donation. This remains constant as the chain length is increased. Therefore, the increase is due to the two terpyridine moieties attached to the Ru(II) and not an increase in the $\pi$-backbonding on the Pt(II) centre whose NBO charge remains constant. This is also true with respect to the other changes such as the chemical potential ($\mu$) and hardness ($\eta$). General analysis of the DFT calculated data for the investigated heterometallic complexes are very similar, which indicates that the change in reactivity is not due to an electronic effect.

To understand the effect of Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety on the reactivity of the ethylene glycol ether linked Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes, the reactivity of the Ptppyeg (from Chapter 5) and RuPtdteg are compared. From the second order rate constants, it is noticeable that the reactivity increases slightly from Ptppyeg (257 ± 5 M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) to RuPtdteg (288 ± 3 M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$). A similar increase is observed for the other heterometallic complexes when compared to their corresponding mono nuclear complexes having the same size of the polyethylene glycol ether unit. One of the factors responsible for this slight increase in
the reactivity is the overall increase in the charge of the molecule on attaching Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety to the mono nuclear Pt(II) complexes.

The other factor influencing the reactivity of these heterometallic complexes is the steric hindrance imposed by the geometry adopted by the capped Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety as depicted in Figure 7.6. DFT calculated optimized geometries show that there is no extended π-electronic communication between the terpyridine ligand systems at the two metal centres. Also, the π-extended molecular orbital in each terpyridine ligand system in RuPtdtdeg are mutually orthogonal at the Ru(II) metal centre. Thus, this geometry breaks the flow of delocalized electron density across the entire heterometallic system but introduces steric hindrance on one side of the Pttpy moiety. This factor should have a decreasing effect on the rate.

![Figure 7.6](image.png)

Figure 7.6 Aerial view showing the steric disposition of the pendant group on the 4’-position of the chelate ligand (tpy) bonded to the Pt(II) metal centre obtained from DFT calculations.

The DFT calculated optimized structures shown in Figure 7.2 and Figure S7.1 show that the polyethyleneglycol ether bridging linkers are twisted such that the coordination sphere of the capped Ru(tpy)$_2$ moieties effectively ‘shadows’ the Pt(II) centre from one of the directions of the approach of the nucleophile. When the chain length is increased, both the twisting as well as the distance between the two metal centres carrying the terpyridine ligands also increases. For example, the Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety in RuPtdtdeg shows a greater degree of aerial steric overlapping on the Pt(II) metal centre by the capped terpyridine system (a distance of 13 Å) while those in RuPtdtttdeg, the distance between the Pt(II) metal centre and the capped terpyridine ligand is 19 Å (Figure 7.6). Thus, it is evident that the length of the linker influences the degree of steric disposition. When the chain length is short, the steric influence to the axially incoming
nucleophile is greater and decreases proportionally as the chain length of the linker increases. This should result in gradual increase in reactivity from RuPtdteg to RuPtdddttteg as observed.

Furthermore, the two metal centres form a V-shape structure of angle $\delta$.\textsuperscript{32,37} As reported by Jaganyi et al.,\textsuperscript{32} this geometry enhances the entrapment of the incoming nucleophiles, thereby increasing the successful collision frequencies between the nucleophiles and the reactive Pt(II) centre, leading to a greater number of nucleophile-metal encounter pairs possessing greater energy than the required activation energy.\textsuperscript{32} DFT calculations show that the depth of the cage increases with increase in the length of the linker as angle, $\delta$ decreases. The deeper the depth, the greater the successful collisions and hence, the reactivity.\textsuperscript{32} This elucidation, along with the steric influence affirms the observed slight increase in the reactivity with the increase in the polyethyleneglycol ether units. Thus, the increase in the rate of substitution reactions of the heterometallic complexes investigated in this work is mainly due to the structural architecture of the system which entraps the nucleophile. Furthermore, for heterometallic complexes bridged by non-aromatic flexible spaces, apart from their weak electronic transitions due to the rotational isomers and larger reorganizational energies, changes in electronic effect due to the changes in the length of the linker are difficult to investigation as they are spectroscopically almost indistinguishable.\textsuperscript{38} When compared the results of in this study with what has been obtained for the heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes in Chapter 3 (with a rigid aromatic linker) and Chapter 4 (with semi-rigid aromatic linker), one notices that the presence of a non-aromatic flexible linker decreases the metal-metal interactions and the electronic effects\textsuperscript{39} due to the Ru(II) metal centre on the overall reactivity of the complex.

Substitution reactions of the complexes show clear dependence on the steric hindrance of the incoming nucleophiles which is typical for square planar Pt(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{40} The sterically hindered nucleophiles, TMTU and DMTU show much slower reactivity than that of TU. Due to the high electrostatic force induced by high polarizability of the anionic I$^-$ on the positively charge metal centre,\textsuperscript{32} the reactivity of I$^-$ is comparable to TU.

The activation parameters obtained for the substitution reactions support an associative mode of mechanism. The large and negative entropy of activation ($\Delta S^*$)
support along with the small enthalpy of activation ($\Delta H^*$) support an associative mode of substitution as reported for square planar Pt(II) complexes,\textsuperscript{22,26,32,35a,b,41}

7.4 Conclusions
DFT calculated data shows electronic change from Pttpy to Pttpyeg as reported before. But after the introduction of the Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety, no significant electronic change is observed as the length of the linker is increased. The substitution reactivity of the heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) dinuclear complexes investigated in this study are geometrically controlled. The increase in the length of the polyethyleneglycol ether linker decreases the steric hindrance imposed on the Pt(II) centre by the Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety resulting in increased reactivity. This is further driven by the entrapment effect of the nucleophile due to the V-shape geometry adopted by the heterometallic complexes. It can be concluded that, presence of Ru(tpy)$_2$ moiety influences the structural geometry of the complex system which in turn controls the reactivity of the Pt(II) centre. Unlike the work reported in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4, it is noticeable that the electronic transitions between Ru(II) and Pt(II) is insignificant in the presence of a non-aromatic flexible linker.\textsuperscript{39} In all cases the reactivity of the complexes are sensitive to the steric nature of the incoming nucleophiles which decreases with increase in the size of the nucleophile. The mode of activation remains associative in nature.
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7.6 Supporting Information

A summary of wavelengths at which the kinetic studies were performed, plots of the dependence of $k_{\text{obs}}$ against concentration of the nucleophiles and plots from temperature dependence studies along with tables of kinetic data, graphs of exemplary mass spectra and the representative spectra for $^1$H NMR and $^{195}$Pt NMR work reported in this study are given as electronic supporting information (ESI).

Table S7.1 Summary of the wavelengths (nm) used to study the substitution reactions of the complexes with thiourea nucleophile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>Wavelength ($\lambda$), nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdtdeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdtteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdttteg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I⁻</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S7.2  Geometry optimised structures of the platinum complexes investigated and distribution of the electron density on the platinum complexes investigated. The blue area indicates the most electropositive areas and the orange region indicates the most electronegative areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ptppy</td>
<td>RuPtdddeg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdddeg</td>
<td>RuPtddteg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtddteg</td>
<td>RuPtddttteg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S7.1 DFT calculated minimum energy structures, frontier molecular orbitals (HOMO and LUMO) of the complexes showing the V-shape geometry. Calculations were performed at B3LYP/LanL2DZ level of theory in all the systems.
Table S7.3 Summary of DFT calculated data for the heterometallic RuPtpy complexes investigated. Included is the data obtained for the DFT calculated Ptpy complex for comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Ptppy</th>
<th>Ru(tpy)₂</th>
<th>RuPtdtdeg</th>
<th>RuPtdttdeg</th>
<th>RuPtdttteg</th>
<th>RuPtdttttdeg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bond (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt1/―Cl</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.440</td>
<td>2.442</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt―N₁trans</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.961</td>
<td>1.960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4'C―O1</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>1.370</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td>1.368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the linker</td>
<td>2.958</td>
<td>5.616</td>
<td>7.5586</td>
<td>9.967</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1-O2</td>
<td>2.952</td>
<td>3.653</td>
<td>2.9331</td>
<td>3.0501</td>
<td>3.7652</td>
<td>2.9877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2-O3</td>
<td>2.9452</td>
<td>2.2962</td>
<td>3.0859</td>
<td>3.0302</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3-O4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.9452</td>
<td>3.7652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N₁trans</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td>2.018</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td>2.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bond angles/ (°)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond angles/ (°)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N1PtN2</td>
<td>80.87</td>
<td>80.40</td>
<td>80.86</td>
<td>80.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2PtN3</td>
<td>80.77</td>
<td>81.03</td>
<td>80.73</td>
<td>80.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1PtCl</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2PtCl</td>
<td>178.73</td>
<td>176.98</td>
<td>179.65</td>
<td>179.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3PtCl</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>98.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1PtN3</td>
<td>161.63</td>
<td>161.38</td>
<td>161.59</td>
<td>161.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4RuN5</td>
<td>78.90</td>
<td>78.60</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>78.59</td>
<td>78.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5RuN6</td>
<td>78.90</td>
<td>78.70</td>
<td>78.37</td>
<td>78.74</td>
<td>78.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4RuN6</td>
<td>157.80</td>
<td>157.30</td>
<td>157.16</td>
<td>157.32</td>
<td>157.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N5RuN8</td>
<td>179.995</td>
<td>179.30</td>
<td>179.56</td>
<td>179.77</td>
<td>179.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7RuN8</td>
<td>78.95</td>
<td>79.02</td>
<td>78.96</td>
<td>79.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N8RuN9</td>
<td>78.98</td>
<td>78.88</td>
<td>78.98</td>
<td>78.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N7RuN9</td>
<td>157.93</td>
<td>157.89</td>
<td>157.94</td>
<td>157.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-N₁trans</td>
<td>2.009</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td>2.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihed-Cl</td>
<td>2.126</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NBO charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond</th>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Ru(0.309)</th>
<th>Ru(0.307)</th>
<th>Ru(0.307)</th>
<th>Ru(0.307)</th>
<th>Ru(0.307)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(0.307)</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.469</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1(trans)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.568</td>
<td>-0.561</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
<td>-0.564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.572</td>
<td>-0.646</td>
<td>-0.653</td>
<td>-0.657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.571</td>
<td>-0.652</td>
<td>-0.655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'4' to Ru</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'4' to Cl</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
<td>-0.503</td>
<td>-0.504</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td>-0.505</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.492</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.469</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td>-0.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N4</td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.21</td>
<td>-4.20</td>
<td>-4.20</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S7.4  Average observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdteg with the nucleophiles, at \( T = 298 \) K, \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\), adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.02645</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.01049</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.02351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.06005</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.01921</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.00677</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.08845</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0293</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.08209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.1140</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.03851</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.01340</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.11434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.14303</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.05041</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.01573</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.13969</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7.5  Average observed rate constants, \( k_{\text{obs}} \), for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdteg with the nucleophiles, at \( T = 298 \) K, \( I = 0.02 \) M LiCF\(_3\)SO\(_3\), adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
<th>Conc., M</th>
<th>( k_{\text{obs}}, \text{s}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.02364</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.01294</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00403</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.05582</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.03995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.08845</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.03605</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.01375</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.05937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.11821</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.04349</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.01703</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.15223</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.05681</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.09259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S7.6  
Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$, $s^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I =$ 0.02 M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, s^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.02785</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.01503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.05957</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.03313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.08592</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.05378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.1273</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.07055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.1564</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.08798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7.7  
Average observed rate constants, $k_{\text{obs}}$, $s^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdteg with the nucleophiles, at $T = 298$ K, $I =$ 0.02 M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, s^{-1}$</td>
<td>Conc., M</td>
<td>$k_{\text{obs}}, s^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.02855</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.06756</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.02193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.09465</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.03241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.13498</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.04224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.16538</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0515</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7.8  
Temperature dependence of $k_2$, $M^{-1} s^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdteg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPtdteg], at $T = 298$ K, $I =$ 0.02 M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TU</th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>1/T, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.29331</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.5313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.16154</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.3484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.01119</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.1160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.17209</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.8985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.37532</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.6576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S7.9  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdtdeg by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPtdtdeg], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.3421</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.47737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.1550</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.23105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.0112</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.90872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.1619</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.64428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.3624</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.37498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7.10  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdttde by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPtdttde], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTU</th>
<th>TMTU</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.6281</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.85037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.38894</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.68516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.06947</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-0.50869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.1418</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.36284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.38179</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.19512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S7.11  Temperature dependence of $k_2$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$, for the displacement of the chloride ligand in RuPtdttde by the nucleophiles at 30-fold excess over [RuPtdttde], at $T = 298$ K, $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMTu</th>
<th>TMTu</th>
<th>I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
<td>$1/T$, K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>ln($k_2/T$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-0.34161</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
<td>-1.34703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-0.09007</td>
<td>0.00341</td>
<td>-1.15574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>0.05659</td>
<td>0.00335</td>
<td>-1.01523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.26719</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.84209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>0.48535</td>
<td>0.00325</td>
<td>-0.62498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure S7.2** Dependence of the *pseudo* first-order rate constants ($k_{obs}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from RuPtdteg (2.0 x 10^{-5} M) in methanol solution (I = 0.02 M) at 298 K.

**Figure S7.3** Eyring plots obtained for RuPtdteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-40 °C in methanol solution (I = 0.02 M).
Figure S7.4 Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants \((k_{\text{obs}})\) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from RuPtdtteg \((2.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})\) in methanol solution \((I = 0.02 \text{ M})\) at 298 K.

Figure S7.5 Eyring plots obtained for RuPtdtteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution \((I = 0.02 \text{ M})\).
Figure S7.6  Dependence of the pseudo first-order rate constants ($k_{\text{obs}}$) on the concentrations of the nucleophiles for the chloride substitution from RuPtdttteg (2.0 x $10^{-5}$ M) in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M) at 298 K.

Figure S7.7  Eyring plots obtained for RuPtdttteg with the nucleophiles for the forward reactions over the temperature range 15-35 °C in methanol solution ($I = 0.02$ M).
Figure S7.8  $^1$H NMR spectrum of [(tpy)Ru(dteg)Cl$_2$] in DMSO-$d_6$. 

\[ \text{N} - \text{Ru} - \text{N} \] 

\[ \text{O} - \text{O} - \text{N} \]
Figure S7.9  $^1$H NMR spectrum of RuPtdteg in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.10  $^1$H NMR spectrum of [(tpy)Ru(dtdeg)Cl$_2$] in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.11  $^1$H NMR spectrum of RuPtdtdeg in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.12  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ru(tpy)dtdeg.
Figure S7.13  $^1$H NMR spectrum of [(tpy)Ru(dtteg)Cl$_2$] in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.14  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ru(tpy)dtteg.
Figure S7.15  $^1$H NMR spectrum of RuPtdteg in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.16  $^1$H NMR spectrum of [(tpy)Ru(dttteg)Cl$_2$] in DMSO-$d_6$. 
Figure S7.17  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of Ru(tpy)dttdeg.
Figure S7.18 $^1$H NMR spectrum of RuPdtttega in DMSO-$d_6$. 
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Figure S7.19  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of RuPtdteg.
Figure S7.20  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of RuPtdtdeg.
Figure S7.21  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of RuPtdtpeg.
Figure S7.22  Low resolution ESI mass spectrum of RuPtdttteg.
Figure S7.23   Exemplary elemental analysis spectrum for RuPtdtteg.
Experimental

[Ru(tpy)Cl₃]

Equal amounts of RuCl₃·3H₂O (~150 mg) and tpy were refluxed in absolute EtOH (125 mL) for 3 hours. The resulting reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was filtered, washed with cold absolute EtOH (5 mL) followed by copious amount of ethylether and dried under vacuo. Yield: 191 mg, 433 mmol, (76 %), brown precipitate.
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Chapter Eight

Summary and Future Prospects

8.1 Summary
The main aim of this project was to investigate the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes with N-donor chelate ligands that exhibit anticancer activity, with the focus on how the electronic and steric properties of a biologically active Ru(II) fragment influences the substitution reactivity of a Pt(II) metal centre. This was done by studying the rates of substitution as a function of concentration and temperature under pseudo first-order conditions. Knowledge on substitution kinetics is a vital aspect for the design of effective and improved antitumour drugs since it provides the basis for the rational mechanisms of interactions. Therefore, the rationale of this study was to provide meaningful information on the design and development of effective anticancer drugs, with reduced toxicity and improved uptake.

The structures of complexes enabled a systematic investigation into how the different linkers influence the reactivity of the momo- di and heteronuclear complexes as well as how the different metal centers (Ru(II) and Co(II)) influence the reactivity of the Pt(II) metal centre. A series of neutral biologically relevant thiourea nucleophiles (TU, MTU, DMTU and TMTU) along with some ionic nucleophiles (I⁻ and SCN⁻) were used for the substitution reactions. The results and the discussions are reported in Chapter 3 to Chapter 7 of this Thesis. The structures of the complexes studied (Figures 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4 and 8.5) are reported in the chapter summary for clarity.

Chapter 1 presented an introduction to the development of the platinum and ruthenium anticancer complexes. The clinical success of cisplatin, its mechanism of actions, its cellular resistance and the development of other platinum based anticancer drugs along with the current focus on the development of platinum drugs. This chapter also summarizes the theory on ligand substitution reactivity of Pt(II) complexes focusing on relevant and current research work on the subject. The second part of this chapter presents a short summary of development of anticancer ruthenium complexes with an insight into the biological features of ruthenium that make its complexes as potential biological probes as therapeutic agents. It also deals with applications in
photodynamic therapy, DNA binding including postulated mechanism of action of ruthenium anticancer agents and the substitution reactions. The last part of this chapter focuses on a short summary of some of the heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes reported and their biological activities.

Chapter 2 presented an introduction to the substitution reactions of Pt(II) complexes and the relevant kinetic theory including the techniques employed in investigating the ligand substitution reactions. It also reviewed on the factors influencing the ligand substitution reactions and links these to current mechanistic investigations reported into the ligand substitution reactions of Pt(II) complexes.

In Chapter 3, the substitution kinetics of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes linked by tppz (where tppz = tetra-2-pyridyl-1,4-pyrazine) ligands is reported. In this study it was found that incorporation of Ru(tppz) increases the reactivity of Pt(II) metal centre in [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl (Pttppy) (where tpy = 2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) and is ascribed to the increased π-back donation from the tppz ligand which increases the electrophilicity of the metal centre, overall charge and the global electrophilicity index of the complex. Additionally, it also increases the metal-metal interactions and electronic transitions within the complex. The increase in the number of tppz ligands further stabilises the anti-bonding lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) (π*). Presence of two Ru(II) metal centres in [ClPtRu₂(tppz)₃PtCl](PF₆)₆ (PtRuRuPt) further enhances intermetallic communication within the complex.

An interesting aspect of this work is the considerable decrease in the rate of substitution reactions by changing the metal centre from Ru(II) to a Co(II). The rate of substitution decreased by a factor of four due to the weaker π-back donation of electron density in [(tpy)Co(tppz)PtCl](PF₆)₃ (CoPt) complex. UV/visible spectrophotometric analysis along with the density functional theory (DFT) calculations indicate that (Pt(dπ)→tppz(π*)) electronic transitions are weaker for CoPt which is an indication of weak π-backbonding from the Pt(II) d orbitals to the tppz ligand. Based on the facts it was concluded that the Ru(II) is better at accepting the electron density than Co(II). Furthermore, the reactivity of the complexes is also driven by the increase in the global electrophilicity index of the complexes.

¹H NMR and ¹⁹⁵Pt NMR spectroscopic techniques were used to demonstrate the stepwise substitution observed when thiourea was the incoming nucleophile. From the
NMR study it was shown that second step observed was due to the degradation of the coordinated ligand from the Pt(II) metal centre forming Pt(TU)$_4$ which was achieved in the presence of excess thiourea and its derivatives.

![Figure 8.1 Structure of complexes reported in Chapter 3.](image)

The rates of substitution of a series of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes of cis geometry with a semi-rigid linker (qpy = 4’-pyridyl-2,2’6,2’-terpyridine) are reported in Chapter 4. The reactivity increases from the mononuclear, cis-[Pt(en)(py)H$_2$O][CF$_3$SO$_3$]$_2$, Pt1 to heterometallic cis-[(tpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H$_2$O][CF$_3$SO$_3$]$_4$, Pt2 and cis-[H$_2$O(en)Pt(qpy)Ru(qpy)Pt(en)H$_2$O][CF$_3$SO$_3$]$_6$, Pt3 (where en = 1,2-ethylenediamine, py = pyridine). Substitution reactions proceeded via a single step. $^{195}$Pt NMR spectroscopic analysis of Pt3–Cl with TU showed simultaneous substitution of the aqua ligands in Pt3 with no dechelation. This reaffirms that the cis geometry at the Pt(II) centre confers stability which precludes labilization of the Ru(qpy) linker.

Apart from the increased overall charge and the electrophilicity, the octahedrally coordinated Ru(II) moiety lowers the LUMO energy of the complexes thereby enhancing the transfer of electrons from the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) the empty LUMO energy level of the ligand systems. Furthermore, presence of Ru(II) moiety increases the metal-metal coupling and electronic transitions within the complex. The effect of increasing or decreasing the electron density of the Pt(II) centre is also noted in the pK$_a$ values, which decreases with increasing overall charge of the complex.
The reactivity of Pt2 and Pt3 are not of much difference. The Ru(II) metal centre prevents the flow of electron density through the three metal centres since the two qpy ligands lie orthogonal to each other at the central Ru(II) centre.

The substitution kinetics of Pt(II) terpyridine (Pttpy) complexes possessing polyethylene glyoxy pendant unit attached trans to the leaving group of Pttpy is reported in Chapter 5. The polyethylene glycoxy pendant unit acts as a σ-donor towards the Pt(II) centre, thereby decreasing the reactivity compared to the parent Pttpy molecule. The σ-donation due to the pendant unit and the and π-electronic contribution due to O1 was found to be effective only up to one unit of the ethylene glycoxy pendant, beyond which there is no significant electronic effect on the Pt(II) metal centre.

The reactivity is retarded from Pttpy to Pt(II)-4’-(ethylene glyoxy)-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (Pttpyeg). This is attributed to both steric and electronic effects caused by the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant. However, the slight increase in substitution reactivity from Pttpyeg to Pt(II)-4’-(tetraethylene glyoxy)-2,2’:6’,2”-terpyridine (Pttpytteg) was controlled mainly by steric effects which decrease as the angle of inclination of the appended ethylene glycoxy pendant decreases relative to the Pt(II) plane. The complexes are sensitive to steric size of the incoming nucleophiles as demonstrated by the decrease in rate constant depending on the size.
In Chapter 6, the work from Chapter 5 is extended to investigate the substitution reactivity of dinuclear Pt(II) complexes bridged with polyethylene glycol ether of the type [ClPt(tpy)-O(CH₂CH₂O)ₙ(tpy)PtCl]Cl₂ where n = 1 (Ptdteg), 2 (Ptdtdeg), 3 (Ptdtsg), 4 (Ptdttteg). A linker free complex, ClPt(tpy)-(tpy)PtCl]Cl₂, (Ptdt) was also investigated.

The lability of the dinuclear polyethylene glycol ether linked complexes differs significantly from the corresponding linker free dinuclear complex, Ptdt and the monomeric complex, Ptpy. The difference in reactivity was accounted for in terms of the electronic as well as steric effects. Introduction of the linker decreases the electrophilicity of the platinum centre as well as the overall electrophilicity of the whole complex. The ethylene glycol ether units donate electrons to the Pt(II) centre including the lone pair of electrons on the O₁ oxygen atoms. The reactivity of the glycolether linked complexes decrease with increase in the distance between the Pt···Pt metal centres. This decrease in reactivity is also accounted for the increase in the steric hindrance at the Pt(II) centres by the terpyridine ligands due to the geometry imposed by the linker.

The results from this study clearly show that the nature of the bridging ligand uniquely influences the rate of substitution reactions. The complexes showed coordination stability for the substitution of the S-donor nucleophiles.
In Chapter 7, the work reported in Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 is extended to investigate the substitution reactivity of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes bridged by polyethyleneglycol ether units *viz* [(tpy)Ru(tpy)-O(CH₂CH₂O)ₙ(tpy)PtCl] (where tpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine and n = 1 (RuPtdteg), 2 (RuPtdtdeg), 3 (RuPtdtteg) and 4 (RuPtdttteg)). The measured rate constants show that the increase in the length of the polyethyleneglycol ether linker increases the entrapment effect of the nucleophiles due to the V-shape geometry of the complexes. The reactivity is also influenced by the steric hindrance imposed by the Ru(tpy)₂ moiety at the reactive Pt(II) metal centre. The electronic transitions between Ru(II) and Pt(II) is insignificant in the presence of a non-aromatic polyethyleneglycol ether linker. Density functional theory (DFT) calculated data for the complexes show that the rate of substitution reactions of the heterometallic complexes is geometrically controlled.
In the work reported in *Chapters 3* to *Chapter 7*, large and negative entropy of activation and the positive enthalpy of activation support an associative mode of activation. In all cases the reactivity of the complexes is sensitive to the steric nature of the incoming nucleophiles which decreases with increase in the size of the nucleophile.

### 8.2 Work Completed but Not Included in This Thesis

The work reported in this thesis can be extended further to investigate new analogues of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) systems. As an extension of the current study, the two heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes\(^1\) bridged by diethyleneglycol ether units *viz*; 

\[
\text{[ClPt(tpy)-O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tpy})\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tpy})\text{PtCl}}
\]

*Ru(Ptdeg)\(_2\)* and 

\[
\text{[ClPt(tpy)-O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tpy})\text{Ru(tpy)-O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tpy})\text{Ru(tpy)}\text{O}(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_2(\text{tpy})\text{PtCl}}
\]

*RuPt\(_2\)(deg)\(_3\)* (*Figure 8.6*) were synthesized and characterized. Their substitution kinetics has also been investigated as chloro complexes with thiourea and \(\Gamma\) nucleophiles in methanol.
Figure 8.6 Structure of the two heterometallic complexes; Ru(Ptdeg)$_2$ and (RuPt)$_2$(deg)$_3$ investigated. Complexes, Ptppydeg and RuPtdeg are already reported in this thesis and Ptppy reported from literature are included for comparisons.

This is an extension of the work on the heterometallic complexes with the flexible linkers reported in this Thesis. The main aim of this work is to understand the effect on the substitution kinetics when the number of metal centres and the diethyleneglycol ether linkers are increased. The complexes were selected due to their comparable cytotoxic activity to cisplatin. The heterometallic complex Ru(Ptdeg)$_2$ inhibit cell growth of A2780cis cells for 50% at a concentration of 20 μM.\textsuperscript{1} The complex (RuPt)$_2$(deg)$_3$ was reported to show moderate cytotoxicity against A2780cis and A2780R cells-lines.\textsuperscript{1} Since the complexes are able to bind with DNA, they may play an important role in medicinal chemistry and molecular biology. The heterometallic complexes mentioned are potentially bifunctional, where Ru(II) bridging ligand provides a possible intercalation site while the Pt(II)Cl site can covalently bind to DNA.
The substitution kinetics of the complexes were fast and were followed on stopped-flow reaction analyzer via a single step. The kinetics data obtained are given in Table 8.1. The substitution reactions gave single exponential fits following the rate law, $k_{\text{obs}} = k_2[Nu]$. The activation parameters, enthalpy of activation and entropy of activation well support an associative mode of mechanism. The manuscript for this work is under preparation.

Table 8.1  
Summary of second-order rate constants, $k_2$ and activation parameters, with the corresponding standard deviations for the substitution of the chloro ligands by a series of thiourea nucleophiles and iodide ion at $I = 0.02$ M LiCF$_3$SO$_3$, adjusted with LiCl. Data for Pttpydeg and RuPtdtdeg are taken from Chapter 5 and Chapter 6 and data for Pttpy$^2$ are included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Nu</th>
<th>$k_2$/M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta S^\circ$ / JK$^{-1}$mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^\circ$ / kJ mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pttpy</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>1494 ± 10</td>
<td>-88 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>448 ± 10</td>
<td>-73 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>82 ± 4</td>
<td>-91 ± 8</td>
<td>35 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>243 ± 4</td>
<td>-42 ± 11</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pttpydeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>265 ± 1</td>
<td>-66 ± 5</td>
<td>40 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>83 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-54 ± 9</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>23 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-83 ± 7</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>91 ± 2</td>
<td>-44 ± 9</td>
<td>48 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuPtdtdeg</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>298 ± 5</td>
<td>-112 ± 3</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>114 ± 2</td>
<td>-69 ± 0.7</td>
<td>41 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>44 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-90 ± 4</td>
<td>37 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>192 ± 3</td>
<td>-80 ± 5</td>
<td>36 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(Ptdeg)$_2$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>306 ± 3</td>
<td>-93 ± 3</td>
<td>31 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>96 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-114 ± 1</td>
<td>28 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>30 ± 0.8</td>
<td>-36 ± 6</td>
<td>54 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>252 ± 2</td>
<td>-113 ± 4</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RuPt)$_2$(deg)$_4$</td>
<td>TU</td>
<td>166 ± 2</td>
<td>-47 ± 7</td>
<td>46 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMTU</td>
<td>45 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-43 ± 9</td>
<td>50 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TMTU</td>
<td>17 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-20 ± 6</td>
<td>60 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I$^-$</td>
<td>205 ± 3</td>
<td>-73 ± 7</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another interesting series of heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes synthesized during this work is given in Figure 8.7. The complexes are synthesized and fully characterized.

![Figure 8.7](image)

**Figure 8.7** Structure of complexes synthesized and characterized.

Kinetics of ClRu was followed in aqua form at pH = 2, T = 298 K, I = 0.02 M HCF₃SO₃, adjusted with LiCF₃SO₃ with TU and DMTU (data obtained is not presented here). Investigations on substitution kinetics of the other complexes are under progress. The complexes are chosen to study their substitution kinetics in order to understand how the substitution reactivity at the Ru(II) metal differs from that of the Pt(II) metal centre. The effect of the diethyleneglycol ether linker on the Pt(II) centre is reported in this thesis. The design of the complexes in this set of complexes however, would allow to understand the influence of the diethyleneglycol ether linker on the Ru(II) metal centre. Furthermore, the work would also investigate how the substitution behaviour at the Ru(II) metal centre is affected when a Pt(II) metal centre is attached to the Ru(II) molecule.

### 8.3 Future Prospects

It would be interesting to investigate the biological activity of the novel complexes reported in this thesis. Moreover, further study should be done probably by using DNA substrates to mimic the interactions of platinum complexes with DNA. Most of the complexes investigated in this study are expected to interact with DNA in a different way compared to the known mechanisms of cisplatin. Ongoing studies¹,³ have shown that the type of Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes investigated in this study have prominent DNA binding properties.
Another approach in the extension of this work is to use other bioactive metals such as gold(III), iron(II) or iron (III) in place of Pt(II) and Ru(II). Research has shown that gold complexes show high anticancer activity. Furthermore, it would also be interesting to understand how the substitution reactivity of the Pt(II) centres is effected by the use of alkydiamine linkers instead of polyethyleneglycol ether bridges. Alkydiamine linked Pt(II) complexes such as BBR3464 were reported as potential anticancer agents. Other flexible linkers containing different atoms such as sulphur and nitrogen can also be used.

It would be interesting to study the substitution kinetics of the complexes presented in Chapter 4 by changing the ligand system around the Pt(II) metal centre from ethylenediamine (en) to cis and trans platin analogues. Tethering of Ru(II) moiety to known drugs may undergo different modes of actions than cisplatin which may produce interesting results. This might also improve the anticancer activity of the complexes. Another different strategy that can be employed is to reverse the coordination sites of the metals with qpy linker, i.e. Pt(II) coordinating to the tridentate terpyridine part and Ru(II) coordinating to the monodentate fourth pyridine. This could produce interesting polynuclear heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) complexes which can resemble the Keppler type molecule.

In short, research on heterometallic antitumour drugs is an area of interest. Substitution kinetics of such complexes is novel and therefore full of prospects. Clinical investigations of heterometallic anticancer drugs are under process. There is room for further development of effective heterometallic Ru(II)-Pt(II) anticancer drugs with more promising cytotoxicity. However, more research needs to be done to further development of the complexes and the concept.
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